

Journal homepage www.ajas.uoanbar.edu.iq **Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences** (University of Anbar – College of Agriculture)



# GENOTYPIC AND PHENOTYPIC VARIANCE, CORRELATION, AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS IN MAIZE

H. S. Hamad <sup>1</sup>\*<sup>D</sup>

B. M. Abdulkareem<sup>1</sup> Z. A. Abdulhamed <sup>1</sup>

N. M. Abood<sup>2</sup>

## <sup>1</sup> Department of Field Crops, College of Agriculture, University of Anbar. <sup>2</sup> Center of Desert Studies, University of Anbar.

\*Correspondence to: Hadel Sabar Hamad, Department of Field Crops, College of Agriculture, University of Anbar, Ramadi. Iraq. Email: ag.hadeel.sabar@uoanbar.edu.iq

Article info	Abstract							
<b>Received:</b> 2024-05-20	A half-diallel crossing was carried out between six							
Accepted: 2024-07-26	0							
Published: 2024-07-20	Inbreeds of maize according to the second Griffing							
20211201	method. The genotypes (parents and the hybrids							
DOI-Crossref:	resulting from them) were planted during the spring							
10.32649/ajas.2024.149998.1265	and fall seasons of 2022 using a randomized							
	complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates							
Cite as:	to study genetic and phenotypic correlations and their							
Hamad, H. S., Abdulkareem, B.	variations and the path coefficient for traits (number							
M., Abdulhamed, Z. A., and	L							
Abood, N. M. (2024). Genotypic and phenotypic variance,	of silking days, plant height, leaf area, number of							
correlation, and path coefficient	ears, number of rows per ear, number of grains per							
analysis in maize. Anbar Journal	row, weight of 500 grains, and grain yield per plant).							
of Agricultural Sciences, 22(2):	Analysis of Variance showed highly significant							
1214-1227.	differences between the genotypes for all the studied							
©Authors, 2024, College of	traits for the fall and spring seasons of 2020. The							
©Authors, 2024, College of Agriculture, University of	results indicated that the values of the phenotypic							
Andar. This is an open-access	variation coefficient were close to the values of the							
article under the CC BY 4.0								
license	genetic variation coefficient for most traits.							
(http://creativecommons.org/lic	Accordingly, the genotype can be studied by taking							
<u>enses/by/4.0/</u> ).	phenotypic data, and such traits can be considered a							
	selection index for improving this crop. The broad-							
	sense heritability values were high for all traits and							
	were highest for the number of grains per row and							
	plant yield for the two seasons, reaching 91.95% and							
	90.21% for the spring season, respectively, and							
	95.52%, 86.08% for the fall season, respectively. The							
	number of rows per ear and the number of grains per							
	row showed a highly significant positive genetic							

correlation with the grain yield of the plant in the spring season, reaching 0.819 and 0.915 respectively. The highest genetic correlation for the autumn season for the traits of the number of ears and the number of rows per ear with the plant yield was 0.874 and 0.604. There was a highly significant phenotypic correlation with the grain yield of the plant for the traits of the number of ears, the number of rows per ear, and the number of grains per row. For both seasons, it reached 0.568, 0.707, and 0.748 for the spring season, respectively, and 0.825, 0.603, and 0.809 for the fall season, respectively. Furthermore, the highest direct effects on yield in the spring and fall seasons were through silking, the number of ears, and the number of rows per ear, as they reached 0.691, 0.498, and 0.671 for the spring season, respectively, and 0.701, 0.516, and 0.689 for the fall season and the above traits, respectively. Consequently, path coefficient analysis revealed that it is possible to benefit from direct selection for silking traits, number of ears, and number of rows per ear in breeding programs to increase yields in yellow maize.

Keywords: Pathway, GCV, PCV, Corn, Inbreed.

# الارتباطات والتباينات الوراثية والمظهرية وتحليل معامل المسار فى الذرة الصفراء

هديل صبار حمد <sup>1</sup> « أن براء محمود عبدالكريم <sup>1</sup> أن زياد عبدالجبار عبدالحميد <sup>1</sup> أن نهاد محمد عبود <sup>2</sup> أن <sup>1</sup> قسم المحاصيل الحقلية، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الانبار <sup>2</sup> مركز دراسات الصحراء، جامعة الانبار

\*ا**لمراسلة الى**: هديل صبار حمد، قسم المحاصيل الحقلية، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الانبار ، الرمادي، العراق. **البريد الالكتروني: ag.hadeel.sabar@uoanbar.edu.iq** 

#### الخلاصة

نفذ التهجين نصف التبادلي بين ست سلالات من الذرة الصفراء وفق طريقة Griffing الثانية زرعت التراكيب الوراثية (الآباء والهجن الناتجة منها) خلال موسمي الربيعي والخريفي 2022 باستخدام تصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة (R.C.B.D) وبثلاثة مكررات بهدف دراسة الارتباطات الوراثية والمظهرية وتبايناتهما ومعامل المسار لصفات (عدد الأيام للتزهير الأنثوي وارتفاع النبات والمساحة الورقية وعدد العرانيص وعدد الصفوف

بالعرنوص وعدد الحبوب بالصف ووزن 500 حبة وحاصل الحبوب بالنبات). أظهر تحليل التباين وجود اختلافات معنوبة عالية بين التراكيب الوراثية ولجميع الصفات المدروسة وللموسمين الخريفي والربيعي 2020. أشارت النتائج إلى ان قيم معامل الاختلاف المظهري كانت مقاربة من قيم معامل الاختلاف الوراثي لمعظم الصفات، وعليه يمكن استقراء التركيب الوراثي من خلال اخذ بيانات مظهرية وبالإمكان اعتبار مثل هذه الصفات كدليل انتخابي في تحسين هذا المحصول. كانت نسبة التوريث بالمعنى الواسع مرتفعة لجميع الصفات وكان أعلاها لصفتى عدد الحبوب بالصف وحاصل النبات للموسمين بلغت 91.95% و 90.21% للموسم الربيعي بالتتابع و95.52% و86.08% للموسم الخريفي بالتتابع. أبدى عدد الصفوف بالعرنوص وعدد الحبوب بالصف ارتباطاً وراثياً موجباً عالى المعنوية مع حاصل الحبوب بالنبات في الموسم الربيعي بلغ 0.819 و 0.915 بالتتابع وبلغ أعلى ارتباط وراثى للموسم الخريفي لصفتى عدد العرانيص وعدد الصفوف بالعرنوص مع حاصل النبات بلغ 0.874 و0.604 وكان هناك ارتباطاً مظهرياً عالى المعنوبة مع حاصل الحبوب بالنبات لصفات عدد العرانيص وعدد الصفوف بالعرنوص وعدد الحبوب بالصف ولكلا الموسمين بلغ 0.568 و 0.707 و0.748 للموسم الربيعي بالتتابع و0.825 و0.603 و0.809 للموسم الخريفي بالتتابع. أعلى التأثيرات المباشرة على الحاصل في الموسمين الربيعي والخريفي كان من خلال التزهير الأنثوي وعدد العرانيص وعدد الصفوف بالعرنوص إذ بلغت 0.691 و0.498 و0.671 للموسم الربيعي بالتتابع و0.701 و0.516 و 0.689 للموسم الخريفي وللصفات أعلاه بالتتابع وعليه كشف تحليل معامل المسار انه بالإمكان الاستفادة من الانتخاب المباشر لصفة التزهير الأنثوي وعدد العرانيص وعدد الصفوف بالعرنوص في برامج التربية لرفع الحاصل في الذرة الصفراء.

كلمات مفتاحية: معامل المسار، معامل الاختلاف الوراثي، معامل الاختلاف المظهري، الذرة الصفراء، السلالة.

## Introduction

The maize yield (Zea mays L.) is a quantitative trait with complex inheritance because many genes control it. Therefore, direct selection for it is an ineffective way to improve this trait. However, indirect selection through traits related to yield, which have a high degree of heritability, is an effective way to improve this trait, as it depends on the phenotypic correlation and additional genetic variation, in addition to the degree of heritability of the traits correlated with the yield (1 and 2). The correlation coefficient is useful in selecting many of the main components that affect yield (3). The correlation coefficient provides plant breeders with important information, as this information can indicate the most important traits studied (4). The genetic correlation coefficient measures the degree of relationship between the genetic variations of two quantitative traits in a population, and the genetic correlation coefficient is calculated in the inheritance of quantitative traits and in breeding research (19). The genetic correlation is calculated from the components of the covariance of the two traits when analyzing the covariance. Genetic correlation values are often higher than phenotypic correlation values, which indicates that the genotype determines the phenotypic correlation (5). Genetic Variance is the difference between plants with a dissimilar genotype, grown under the same or controlled environmental conditions. While Environmental Variance is defined as the difference between plants with an identical genotype, cultured under different environmental conditions, whereas Phenotypic Variance is the sum of genetic variation and environmental variation, and depends on the randomness of environmental variation (location and time) (8). Genetic variation is important for plant breeders, as it gives the breeder a wide range in selecting genetic variation, which represents the raw material from which it can select the best genetic genotypes. The environment was found to be an "important" element in demonstrating the characteristics of living organisms. Simple correlation between traits is not the ideal way to aid in selection, since a high correlation between multiple traits may have a negative impact on some other traits. Therefore, the path coefficient is used as an advanced step that determines the minimum number of traits that can be used as a criterion in selection for the yield trait (21). The scientist Swell Wright published the path coefficient analysis method for the first time in 1921 as a general calculation of the method and the relationship between the correlation and the path coefficient together. The benefit of path analysis is that it allows the correlation coefficient to be decomposed into its components. The path coefficient is used in agricultural experiments by plant breeders to help them identify useful traits, as selection criteria for increasing yields (17).

## **Materials and Methods**

This study relied on data from cultivating 21 genotypes, including six Inbreeds of maize, as shown in Table 1, with fifteen individual hybrids between them. The fifteen single-cross hybrids were obtained by crossing the six Inbreeds according to the second method of the scientist (10), the fixed model. The number of crosses will be according to the following equation:  $\left(1 - p\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\right)$ 

Inbreed names	Inbreed numbers				
1	B73				
2	IK				
3	A132				
4	NA30				
5	S7				
6	DR-B1				

Table 1: of Inbreed names and numbers.

The genotypes were planted in the spring and fall of 2022 growing seasons in lines according to the RCBD design, with three replicates and four lines for each genotype, with a distance of 0.25 m between holes and 0.75 m between lines. The field was fertilized with 320 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> of dab fertilizer added to the soil during land preparation, and 100 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> of urea was added in two batches, half the amount when the plant reached an average height of 25 cm and the other half at the beginning of flowering. The weeds were controlled using the herbicide atrazine at a concentration of 80% active ingredient at a rate of 1 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> after planting and before germination, while continuing the weeding process whenever necessary. An analysis of Variance and

covariance was conducted to find estimates of genetic Variance  $(\delta^2 g)$ , phenotypic Variance  $(\delta^2 P)$ , and environmental Variance  $(\delta^2 e)$ , the genotypic coefficients of variation (% G.C.V.), phenotypic coefficients of variation (%PCV), and broad-sense heritability (H2b.s) between these traits. The genetic correlation (rgij) and phenotypic correlation (rpij) between the pairs of studied traits was also calculated according to the following equations (9):

Genotypic variance, 
$$\sigma^2 g = \frac{GMS - EMS}{r}$$

 $\sigma^2 g$  = genetic variance, EMS = Error mean square

$$\% GCV = \frac{\delta g}{\overline{X}} \times 100, \qquad \% PCV = \frac{\delta p}{\overline{X}} \times 100$$

Based on the variance components of the general and specific unity potential for parents in diallel crosses and the variance of the experimental error, which represents environmental variance, the broad-sense heritability rate was estimated as stated by (22):

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle bs}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 G}{\sigma^2 P} = \frac{\sigma^2 A + \sigma^2 D}{\sigma^2 A + \sigma^2 D + \sigma^2 e} = \frac{2\sigma^2 g c a + \sigma^2 s c a}{2\sigma^2 g c a + \sigma^2 s c a + \sigma^2 e}$$

- $h^2_{bs}$  = Broad-sense heritability rate
- $\sigma^2 A$  = Additional genetic variation
- $\sigma^2 D$  =Dominant genetic variation

 $\sigma^2 G$  =Total genetic Variance (additive Variance + non-additive Variance).

 $\sigma^2 P$  =Phenotypic variation (genetic variation + environmental variation)

The genetic and phenotypic correlation was estimated after calculating the variance for each trait and then calculating the covariance between the traits in pairs, as follows:

$$r_{pij} = \frac{\sigma p_i p_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 p_i \sigma^2 p_j}}$$

$$r_{gij} = \frac{\sigma g_i g_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 g_i \sigma^2 g_j}}$$

$$r_{gij} = \text{Genetic correlation}$$

$$r_{pij} = \text{phenotypic correlation}$$

 $\sigma g_i g_j$ : covariance.  $\sigma p_i p_j$ : phenotypic Variance.  $\sigma^2$ : the trait variance.

After confirming the existence of a genetic link between the traits, path coefficient analyses were applied according to the method used by (22 and 23) for agricultural applications, from which the direct and indirect effects of each trait on seed yield were determined and organized in a table consisting of a matrix with the main diagonal that represents the path coefficients (direct effects) and both sides of the matrix represent the indirect effects.

## **Results and Discussion**

Genetic, environmental, and phenotypic variations and coefficient of variation: From the results shown in Table 2, it is clear that the coefficient of variation (CV) values, which did not exceed 16%, indicates good homogeneity in the data. The results of the ratio of genetic to environmental variation (VG/VE) in the number of grains per row and plant yield amounted to 11.42 and 9.21 in the spring season, respectively, and in the fall season 21.31 and 6.18, respectively, indicating the possibility of adopting different selection methods to improve these traits, and that these two traits were influenced genetically more than by the environment, as the values of genetic variation were higher than environmental variation. There is a difference in the values of genetic, phenotypic, and environmental Variance in the two growing seasons. The variance components differed for most of the studied traits. The genetic variance values were more significant than the environmental variance values for all traits, which indicates the significant contribution of non-additive (dominant) genetic action in controlling the studied traits. When observing the values of the (G.C.V.) for silking characteristics, plant height, number of ears, number of rows per ear, number of grains per row, weight of 500 grains, and plant yield, they were close to the values of the (PCV) for both seasons. This indicates that the plants were identical genetically and phenotypically; in other words, genetic variation is the ruling factor in the variations of these traits, indicating that these traits are more genetically stable and not affected by environmental factors. From the above, it can be found that the plants were highly homogeneous phenotypically and genetically, and genetic variation played a significant role in the phenotypic variation of these traits. This indicates the possibility of studying the genotype by taking phenotypic data, and such traits can be considered a selection index for improving maize yield. The results are consistent with the findings of each of the researchers (15). The broad-sense heritability values were high for all traits, as in (Table 2). The highest was for the number of grains per ear and plant yield, which reached 91.95% and 90.21% for the spring season, respectively. For the fall season, they reached 95.52% and 86.08%, respectively, due to the high values of genetic variation relative to the environmental variation, where the heritability rate can be considered a selection criterion in improving the trait. This was found by (16).

	-	-								
Characteristics	Mean	CV%	SE	V <sub>G</sub>	$V_E$	$V_G/V_E$	V <sub>P</sub>	P.C.V	G.C.V	h²b.s%
Silking	62.3	4.49	0.61	5.81	1.21	4.8	7.02	4.25	3.87	82.76
	59.2	2.90	0.38	4.03	0.97	4.15	5.00	3.77	3.39	80.60
Plant height	164.3	4.63	1.70	133.66	32.51	4.11	166.17	7.85	7.04	80.43
	168.9	8.05	2.96	161.37	37.16	4.34	198.53	8.34	3.61	81.28
Leaf area	0.423	15.60	0.014	0.006	0.004	1.5	0.01	23.64	18.31	60.00
	0.457	8.53	0.008	0.004	0.002	2.0	0.006	16.95	13.84	66.66
Number of ears	1.14	4.82	0.12	0.009	0.005	1.800	0.014	9.741	7.873	64.29
	1.19	5.04	0.01	0.006	0.003	2.0	0.009	7.97	6.51	66.65
Number of rows	15.1	5.23	0.17	1.432	0.625	2.29	2.057	9.50	7.92	69.61
	15.5	6.19	0.21	1.709	0.443	3.86	2.152	9.46	8.43	79.41
Number of	29.6	9.79	0.63	71.05	6.22	11.42	77.27	29.69	28.47	91.95
grains	31.2	5.73	0.39	64.13	3.01	21.31	67.14	26.26	25.66	95.52
Weight of 500	85.8	8.75	1.64	109.87	40.769	2.694	150.64	14.451	12.342	72.93
grains	83.2	11.21	2.03	177.23	64.32	2.75	241.55	18.68	16.0	73.37
Plant yield	129.8	8.09	2.20	1048.8	113.86	9.21	1162.66	26.27	24.92	90.21
	143.4	5.92	1.85	937.2	151.6	6.18	1088.8	23.01	21.35	86.08

Table 2: Genetic and phenotypic variations and degree of heritability for thespring and fall seasons 2022 for the studied traits in maize.

Genetic, phenotypic, and environmental correlations: Silking: The results in Table 3 showed that the genetic correlation coefficient (rG) for this trait was significant in the negative direction in the spring season with all traits except the number of ears and grain weight, where the genetic correlation with them was in the negative direction and was non-significant, amounting to -0.011 and -0.99. This negative correlation confirms that the behavior of genotypes is unstable under all environmental conditions due to the influence of yield components. In the fall season, the genetic correlation coefficient was positive and highly significant with the two characteristics of the number of rows per ear and plant yield 0.278 and 0.366. These results indicate that the yield increases whenever the flowering period is shorter. That is, the varieties that gave the shortest duration for Silking led to an increase in yield compared to the varieties with an increased number of Silking days. The phenotypic correlation coefficient for Silking was associated with a positive, significant phenotypic correlation with the plant yield trait, reaching 0.301 and 0.256 for both seasons, respectively. At the same time, there was a significant, negative correlation with the plant height trait in the fall season and no significant correlation with the rest of the traits. As for the environmental correlation coefficient for silking, it was significantly positively correlated with plant height in the fall season, amounting to 0.277, and there was a non-significant environmental correlation with the rest of the traits; the results are consistent with (6).

Plant height: The results in Table 3 indicate that the genetic correlation coefficient for plant height in the spring season was significant in the positive direction with all traits, and in the fall season, the genetic correlation was highly significant in the positive direction with the traits of leaf area and plant yield, reaching 0.511 and 0.311 for two traits, respectively. There was a significant genetic correlation with the trait of the number of ears, amounting to 0.276. The phenotypic correlation in the spring season was highly positive and significant with the traits of leaf area and the number of grains, reaching 0.414 and 0.626 for the two traits, respectively. The plant height

trait was significantly correlated with the number of ears and plant yield only phenotypically, amounting to 0.299 and 0.289. At the same time, there was no significant environmental correlation of plant height with other traits. In the fall season, the plant height trait had a positive and highly significant phenotypic correlation with the characteristics of leaf area, amounting to 0.577, and a positive and significant correlation with the characteristics of the number of ears, the number of grains per row, grain weight, and plant yield, amounting to 0.301, 0.255, 0.308, and 0.233, similar results were obtained by (7 and 11).

Leaf area: The results in Table 3 showed that the genetic correlation coefficient for the leaf area trait in the spring season was highly significant and positive with the traits of the number of rows per ear and plant yield, amounting to 0.417 and 0.463, a significant genetic correlation with the grain weight of 0.310. In the fall season, the leaf area trait was positively genetically correlated to the traits of the number of rows per ear, grain weight, and plant yield, reaching 0.345, 0.511, and 0.489 for the above traits, respectively. While the phenotypic correlation of the leaf area trait was highly significant with the two traits of grain number and plant yield for both seasons, it reached 0.369 and 0.356 for the spring season and 0.388 and 0.456 for the fall season, respectively. While the environmental correlation of the leaf area trait in the spring season was non-significant with other traits, in the fall season, the environmental correlation was highly significant with the two traits of the number of grains per row and grain weight, reaching 0.401 and 0.511. A significant environmental correlation between the two traits of the number of rows per ear and plant yield reached 0.255 and 0.259. This was found by (12).

Number of ears: The results in Table 3 show that the number of ears in the spring season was highly significantly genetically correlated to the number of grains per row and plant yield 0.485 and 0.548. It was also negatively significantly genetically correlated to grain weight -0.366. In the fall season, the number of ears was highly genetically correlated with plant yield, amounting to 0.874, and a significant genetic correlation with the number of grains per row, amounting to 0.287. The phenotypic correlation of this trait with the traits of grain number and plant yield was highly significant and positive for both seasons, reaching 0.322 and 0.568 for the spring season, respectively, and 0.408, 0.825 for the fall season, respectively, and a negative, significant correlation with grain weight, reaching -0.297 and -0.255 for two seasons respectively. As for the environmental correlation for the trait of the number of ears, it was highly significant with the characteristic of the number of grains per row, amounting to 0.369, and a non-significant environmental correlation with the rest of the traits, as shown in Table 3. In the fall season, it was found that the environmental correlation for the number of ears was highly significant with the two traits of the number of grains per row and plant yield, reaching 0.426 and 0.332; similar results were obtained by (14).

Number of rows per ear: The results of Table 3 indicated that the trait of the number of rows per ear was correlated with a positive and significant genetic correlation with the two characteristics of the number of grains per row and the plant yield, reaching 0.404 and 0.819 for the spring season, respectively, and 0.266 and

0.604. While the genetic correlation was non-significant in the negative direction with grain weight, reaching -0.036 and -0.256. The phenotypic correlation of the number of rows per ear was highly significant with plant yield, reaching 0.707 and 0.603 for both seasons, respectively. No environmental correlation was mentioned for the number of rows per ear with the other traits in Table 3. This is what was obtained (11).

Number of grains per row: The results presented in Table 3 showed that this trait has positive and highly significant genetic correlations with plant yield, amounting to 0.915 and 0.502 for both seasons, respectively, and significant genetic correlations in the negative direction with grain weight, amounting to -0.265 and -0.622. There was a highly significant and positive phenotypic correlation with plant yield of 0.748 and 0.809 for the spring and fall seasons, respectively, and a negative, significant phenotypic correlation with grain weight of -0.346 and -0.307 for both seasons, respectively. At the same time, there was no significant environmental correlation for this trait with other traits in Table 3. This is confirmed by (13).

Weight of 500 grains: In the weight of the grain, it was shown from Table 3 that there was a positive, significant genetic correlation in the fall season with the plant yield, amounting to 0.264, and a non-significant positive genetic correlation in the spring season, with the yield, amounting to 0.123. While the phenotypic correlation was positive, a highly significant correlation with plant yield in the fall season reached 0.318, and a positive, non-significant phenotypic correlation with plant yield in the spring season amounted to 0.105. Regarding the environmental correlation with plant yield, it was positive and non-significant. The highest values of the genetic and phenotypic correlation coefficient were between the number of rows per ear and plant yield, reaching 0.833 and 0.716, respectively. Similar results were obtained by (20). These correlations indicate that the multiple genes involved in quantitative traits cooperate by affecting each of the two traits that are synergistically linked (18). Therefore, selecting one trait will affect the other in the same direction. From the previous, it can be concluded that the number of rows, the number of grains per row, and the number of ears can be used as a selection index to improve grain yield in maize, where the genetic correlation values reached 0.819 and 0.915 and 0.548 for the spring season and 0.604, 0.502 and 0.874 for the fall season. The phenotypic correlation values for these traits are 0.707, 0.748, and 0.568 for the spring season and 0.603, 0.809, and 0.825 for the fall season, respectively.

Silking	Plant	Leaf	Number	Number	Number	Weight	Plant
U	height	area	of ears	of rows	of	of 500	yield
					grains	grains	
1.00	-0.269	-0.503	-0.011	-0.332	-0.303	-0.199	-0.461
	0.166	0.207	-0.102	0.278	0.097	-0.206	0.548
-0.202	1.00	0.414	0.494	0.691	0.811	0.422	0.502
-0.314		0.511	0.276	0.117	0.208	0.118	0.311
-0.104	0.414	1.00	0.233	0.417	0.184	0.310	0.463
0.099	0.577		0.112	0.354	0.261	0.511	0.489
0.106	0.299	0.109	1.00	0.044	0.485	-0.366	0.604
0.096	0.301	0.253		0.222	0.287*	0.165	0.874
-0.227	0.098	0.256	0.185	1.00	0.404	-0.036	0.819
-0.116	-0.243	0.194	0.208		0.266	-0.265	0.366
-0.202	0.626	0.263	0.322	0.205	1.00	-0.572	0.915
0.133	0.255	0.388	0.408	0.277		-0.622	0.277
-0.207	-0.292	0.369	-0.297	-0.039	-0.346	1.00	0.123
0.122	0.308	0.278	-0.255	-0.112	-0.307		0.264
0.301	0.289	0.356	0.568	0.707	0.748	0.105	1.00
0.256	0.223	0.456	0.825	0.603	0.809	0.318	
	1.00         -0.202         -0.314         -0.104         0.099         0.106         0.096         -0.227         -0.116         -0.202         0.133         -0.207         0.122         0.301	height           1.00         -0.269           0.166           -0.202         1.00           -0.314         -0.104           -0.104         0.414           0.099         0.577           0.106         0.299           0.096         0.301           -0.227         0.098           -0.116         -0.243           -0.202         0.626           0.133         0.255           -0.207         -0.292           0.122         0.308           0.301         0.289	height         area           1.00         -0.269         -0.503           0.166         0.207           -0.202         1.00         0.414           -0.314         0.511           -0.104         0.414         1.00           0.099         0.577         0.106           0.106         0.299         0.109           0.096         0.301         0.253           -0.227         0.098         0.256           -0.116         -0.243         0.194           -0.202         0.626         0.263           0.133         0.255         0.388           -0.207         -0.292         0.369           0.122         0.308         0.278	height         area         of ears           1.00         -0.269         -0.503         -0.011           0.166         0.207         -0.102           -0.202         1.00         0.414         0.494           -0.314         0.511         0.276           -0.104         0.414         1.00         0.233           0.099         0.577         0.112         0.112           0.106         0.299         0.109         1.00           0.096         0.301         0.253         0.112           0.106         0.299         0.109         1.00           0.096         0.301         0.253         0.112           0.106         0.299         0.109         1.00           0.096         0.301         0.253         0.185           -0.116         -0.243         0.194         0.208           -0.202         0.626         0.263         0.322           0.133         0.255         0.388         0.408           -0.207         -0.292         0.369         -0.297           0.122         0.308         0.278         -0.255           0.301         0.289         0.356         0.568	height         area         of ears         of rows           1.00         -0.269         -0.503         -0.011         -0.332           0.166         0.207         -0.102         0.278           -0.202         1.00         0.414         0.494         0.691           -0.314         0.511         0.276         0.117           -0.104         0.414         1.00         0.233         0.417           0.099         0.577         0.112         0.354           0.106         0.299         0.109         1.00         0.044           0.096         0.301         0.253         0.222         0.222           -0.227         0.098         0.256         0.185         1.00           -0.116         -0.243         0.194         0.208         0.217           -0.202         0.626         0.263         0.322         0.205           0.133         0.255         0.388         0.408         0.277           -0.207         -0.292         0.369         -0.297         -0.039           0.122         0.308         0.278         -0.255         -0.112           0.301         0.289         0.356         0.568	heightareaof earsof rowsof grains $1.00$ $-0.269$ $-0.503$ $-0.011$ $-0.332$ $-0.303$ $0.166$ $0.207$ $-0.102$ $0.278$ $0.097$ $-0.202$ $1.00$ $0.414$ $0.494$ $0.691$ $0.811$ $-0.314$ $0.511$ $0.276$ $0.117$ $0.208$ $-0.104$ $0.414$ $1.00$ $0.233$ $0.417$ $0.184$ $0.099$ $0.577$ $0.112$ $0.354$ $0.261$ $0.106$ $0.299$ $0.109$ $1.00$ $0.044$ $0.485$ $0.096$ $0.301$ $0.253$ $0.185$ $1.00$ $0.404$ $0.116$ $-0.243$ $0.194$ $0.208$ $0.222$ $0.287*$ $-0.202$ $0.626$ $0.263$ $0.322$ $0.205$ $1.00$ $0.113$ $0.255$ $0.388$ $0.408$ $0.277$ $0.266$ $-0.207$ $-0.292$ $0.369$ $-0.297$ $-0.309$ $-0.346$ $0.122$ $0.308$ $0.278$ $-0.255$ $-0.112$ $-0.307$ $0.301$ $0.289$ $0.356$ $0.568$ $0.707$ $0.748$	heightareaof earsof rowsof grainsof 500 grains $1.00$ $-0.269$ $-0.503$ $-0.011$ $-0.332$ $-0.303$ $-0.199$ $0.166$ $0.207$ $-0.102$ $0.278$ $0.097$ $-0.206$ $-0.202$ $1.00$ $0.414$ $0.494$ $0.691$ $0.811$ $0.422$ $-0.314$ $0.511$ $0.276$ $0.117$ $0.208$ $0.118$ $-0.104$ $0.414$ $1.00$ $0.233$ $0.417$ $0.184$ $0.310$ $0.099$ $0.577$ $0.112$ $0.354$ $0.261$ $0.511$ $0.106$ $0.299$ $0.109$ $1.00$ $0.044$ $0.485$ $-0.366$ $0.096$ $0.301$ $0.253$ $0.185$ $1.00$ $0.404$ $-0.036$ $-0.227$ $0.098$ $0.256$ $0.185$ $1.00$ $0.404$ $-0.036$ $-0.116$ $-0.243$ $0.194$ $0.208$ $0.205$ $1.00$ $-0.572$ $-0.133$ $0.255$ $0.388$ $0.408$ $0.277$ $-0.622$ $-0.207$ $-0.292$ $0.369$ $-0.297$ $-0.039$ $-0.346$ $1.00$ $0.289$ $0.356$ $0.568$ $0.707$ $0.748$ $0.105$

Table 3: Genetic correlation, direct effects, phenotypic correlation, and indirecteffects for the spring and fall seasons of 2022 in maize.

Values: 0.325 = (1%) r Values: 0.250 = (5%) r \* and \*\* Significant at the 5% and 1% levels.

Path coefficient: Estimating the correlation only indicates the extent and nature of the relationship between yield and its traits but does not show the direct and indirect effects of different crops on yield itself. Grain yield depends on several traits and is mutually linked. This, in turn, will weaken the relationship between the component and grain yield. A change in any one component is likely to change the outcome. Thus, each component has two paths of action, i.e., a direct effect on grain yield and an indirect effect through components that are not revealed from correlation studies. Path analysis allows partitioning of the direct and indirect effects of the correlation. Table 4 showed that the direct effects on grain yield of other traits were directly beneficial to crop development. However, the indirect effects of some traits showed that they indirectly affected grains. Moreover, path coefficient analysis showed that the Silking characteristic 0.691 had the highest direct effect on plant yield for the spring season of 2020, followed by the number of rows per ear 0.671. The number of ears 0.498, the number of grains per row 0.308, and the weight of 500 grains 0.299. Whereas in the fall season, the highest direct effect on plant yield was the Silking trait 0.701, followed by the number of rows per ear 0.689, the number of ears 0.516, the weight of 500 seeds 0.316, and the number of grains per row 0.274. Plant height showed a direct effect in the negative direction, amounting to -0.214 -0.199 for the spring and fall seasons, respectively. As for the indirect effect, it was through the number of ears, the number of rows, and the number of grains per row. The overall effect of plant height was positive, reaching 0.886 and 0.605 for the spring and fall seasons, respectively. The leaf area showed a direct effect in the negative direction, amounting to -0.459 -0.412 for the two seasons, respectively. As for the indirect effect, it was through the number of ears, the number of rows, and the number of grains, despite the presence of a significant positive genetic and phenotypic

correlation for the rest of the traits. It was found that the total effect of leaf area was positive, amounting to 0.454 and 0.464 for the spring and fall seasons, respectively. The results are consistent with what was obtained by (3) for the number of ears per plant and the number of rows per ear, (1) for Silking and grain weight, (17) for the number of grains per row, plant height, and grain weight, and (9) for the number of grains per row.

Characteristics	Silking	Plant	Leaf	Number	Number	Number	Weight of
		height	area	of ears	of rows	of grains	500 grains
Silking	0.691	-0.219	-0.323	-0.014	-0.239	-0.222	-0.148
	0.701	-0.118	-0.329	-0.106	-0.250	-0.199	-0.161
Plant height	0.058	-0.214	-0.080	-0.090	-0.151	-0.161	0.089
	-0.033	-0.199	-0.102	-0.066	-0.179	-0.094	0.105
Leaf area	0.218	-0.193	-0.459	-0.121	-0.178	-0.102	0.023
	0.307	-0.205	-0.412	-0.084	-0.192	-0.097	0.068
Number of ears	-0.214	0.261	0.153	0.498	0.101	0.071	-0.204
	0.106	-0.281	0.149	0.516	0.150	0.036	-0.216
Number of rows	-0.414	0.433	0.266	0.124	0.671	0.562	-0.066
	-0.422	0.401	0.304	0.119	0.689	0.591	-0.107
Number of	-0.066	0.192	0.035	0.133	0.226	0.308	-0.129
grains	0.009	0.204	0.011	0.013	0.275	0.274	-0.099
Weight of 500	-0.148	-0.131	-0.015	-0.115	-0.105	-0.201	0.299
grains	-0.099	-0.144	-0.021	0.152	-0.098	-0.197	0.316
Total effect	0.967	0.886	0.454	0.755	0.998	0.941	0.411
	1.123	0.605	0.464	0.800	1.114	0.901	0.489
Residual Effect	0.0593						
	0.0634						

 Table 4: Path coefficient analysis of the direct effects and indirect effects for the spring and fall seasons of 2022 for the studied traits on maize yield.

## Conclusions

Plant yield was associated by significant statistical significance with each of the characteristics of the number of ears, the number of rows per ear, and the number of grains per row. This indicates the possibility of using these traits as selection criteria in breeding programs aimed at indirectly obtaining high maize production. It is expected that traits with high positive correlation, along with high direct effects, will be useful as selection criteria in an improvement program, as the results obtained from this research on trait correlation and path coefficient analysis showed the contribution of each of the traits: number of ears, number of rows per ear, and number of grains per row in the positive direction has a significant impact on the grain yield per plant in maize. Therefore, selecting these traits can be considered essential selection criteria for improving maize grain yield.

#### **Supplementary Materials:**

No Supplementary Materials.

## **Author Contributions:**

Author 1: methodology, writing—original draft preparation, Author 2, 3 and Author 4 writing—review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Funding:**

This research received no external funding.

#### **Institutional Review Board Statement:**

The study was conducted in accordance with the protocol of The College of Agriculture, University of Anbar, Iraq.

### **Informed Consent Statement:**

No Informed Consent Statement.

#### **Data Availability Statement:**

No Data Availability Statement.

## **Conflicts of Interest:**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments:

The authors are thankful for the help of the Department of Field Crops, The College Dean, and the Head of the Department of Field Crops. The College of Agriculture, University of Anbar, Iraq.

#### **Disclaimer/Journal's Note:**

The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of AJAS and/or the editor(s). AJAS and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

## References

- Abd, H. S., Abdulhamed, Z. A., and Ghadir, M. A. (2021). Estimation of genetic parameter by using full diallel cross in maize under different irrigation interval. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 904(1): p. 012054. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/904/1/012054.
- Abdulhamed, Z. A., Abood, N. M., and Noaman, A. H. (2024). Recurrent selection for general and specific combining ability in maize. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 55(Special): 99-110.
- Abdulhamed, Z. A., Hwaidi, M. I., and Alqaisi, M. R. M. (2023). Determination of maize genotypes performance under water deficit using ISSR molecular index. Plant Science Today, 10(1): 30-37. <u>https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.1728</u>.
- 4. Abdul-Hamed, Z. A., Sarhan, I. A., and Abbas, S. A. (2017). Combining ability, heterosis and gene action using (line× tester) analysis in corn. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science, 48(1): 294-301.
- Abebe, B., Ali, W. M., and Chere, A. T. (2020). Heterosis and character association of mid altitude adapted quality protein maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids at Bako, Western Ethiopia. Open J. Plant Sci, 5(1): 13-25. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.17352/ojps.000018</u>.

- 6. Al-Najjar, R., S. Shehab, and M, Ali. (2020). Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Phenological, Morphological and Yield Components Traits in Maize (Zea mays L.). Syrian Journal of Agricultural Research, 7(5): 229-242.
- Al-Naggar, A. A., Shafik, M. M., Musa, R. Y., Younis, A. S. M., and Anany, A. H. (2020). Genetic variability of maize hybrids and populations and interrelationships among grain yield and its related traits under drought and low n using multivariate analysis. Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology, 4(2): 26-44. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/ajbgmb/2020/v4i230102</u>.
- Bhadru, D., Swarnalatha, V., Mallaiah, B., Sreelatha, D., Kumar, M. V., and Reddy, M. L. (2020). Study of genetic variability and diversity in maize (Zea mays L.) inbred lines. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 39(38): 31-39. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2020/v39i3831093</u>.
- Dash, A. P., Lenka, D., Tripathy, S. K., Swain, D., and Lenka, D. (2020). Character association and path analysis of grain yield and its components in Maize (Zea mays L.) under heat stress. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 9(3): 2750-2758. <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.315</u>.
- Griffing, B. R. U. C. E. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. Australian journal of biological sciences, 9(4): 463-493. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/BI9560463</u>.
- Hamad, H., and Abdulhamed, Z. (2022). Role of Salicylic Acid in stay green, Growth and Yield of Two Purpose Maize Hybrid. Revis Bionatura, 7(4): 33. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2022.07.04.33</u>.
- Hussain, M. A., and Mohamad, M. O. (2017). Estimation of some genetic parameters, correlation and heritability in various maize traits. Science Journal of University of Zakho, 5(1): 70-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.25271/2017.5.1.304</u>.
- Ibrahim, M. M., and Abdulhamed, Z. A. (2023). Efficiency of Selection in Inducing Genetic-Molecular Variations in Sunflower. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1158(6): p. 062032. DOI:10.1088/1755-1315/1158/6/062032.
- Islam, S., Ferdausi, A., Sweety, A. Y., Das, A., Ferdoush, A., and Haque, M. A. (2020). Morphological characterization and genetic diversity analyses of plant traits contributed to grain yield in maize (Zea mays L.). J. Biosci. Agric. Res, 25(1): 2047-2059. <u>https://doi.org/10.18801/jbar.250120.</u>
- Jakhar, D. S., Singh, R., and Kumar, A. (2017). Studies on path coefficient analysis in maize (Zea mays L.) for grain yield and its attributes. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6(4): 2851-2856. <u>https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.604.327</u>.
- 16. Johnson, H. W., Comstock, R. E., and Harvey, P. H. (1951). Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in corn and their implications in selection. Agronomy Journal, 43(6): 282-287.
- 17. Mohmmed, S., I., & M. Al-Freeh, L. (2024). The Impact Of Various Mixing Ratios And Microelement Spraying On The Growth, Yield, And Quality Of Fodder Mixes Of Egyptian Clover (Trifolium Alexandrinum L.) And Oats

(Avena Sativa L.). Anbar Journal Of Agricultural Sciences, 22(1), 637–651. https://doi.org/10.32649/ajas.2024.183771.

- Olawamide, D. O., and Fayeun, L. S. (2020). Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in late maturing provitamin a synthetic maize (Zea mays L.) breeding lines. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International, 42(1): 64-72.
- 19. Reeve, E. C. (1955). The variance of the genetic correlation coefficient. Biometrics, 11(3): 357-374. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3001774</u>.
- Roy, P. R., Haque, M. A., Ferdausi, A., and Al Bari, M. A. (2018). Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficients analyses of selected maize (Zea mays L.) genotypes. Fundamental and Applied Agriculture, 3(1): 382-389. https://doi.org/10.5455/faa.284502.
- Sarhan, A., I. (2024). Effect Of Potassium Fertilizer On The Growth And Yield Of Three Wheat Varieties. Anbar Journal Of Agricultural Sciences, 22(1), 652– 664. <u>https://doi.org/10.32649/ajas.2024.183774</u>.
- 22. Singh, R. K., and Chaudhary, B. D. (1981). Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. 304.
- 23. Wright, S. (1921). Correlation and causation. Journal of agricultural research, 20(7): 557.