# On $S_{\beta}$ – Dimension Theory

Raad Aziz Hussain

Sanaa Noor Mohammed

Department of Mathematics College of Computer science and Mathematics University of AL-Qadisiya Sanaa9noor@gmail.com Raad-64@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce and define a new type of  $S_{\beta}$  — dimension theory and the concept of *indX*, *IndX and dimX*, for a topological space *X* have been studied. In this work, these concepts will be extended by using  $S_{\beta}$  — *open* sets.

**Keywords**:  $S_{\beta} - open$ , semi-open , semi-pre-open (= $\beta$ open),  $S_{\beta} - ind$ ,  $S_{\beta} - Ind$ , and  $S_{\beta} - dim$ .

الخلاصة

#### Introduction

Dimension theory starts with "dimension function" which is a function defined on the class of topological spaces such that d(X) is an integer or $\infty$ , with the properties that d(X) = d(Y) if X and Y are homeomorphic and  $d(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$  for each positive integer n. The dimension functions taking topological spaces to the set{-1,0,1,...}. The dimension functions ind, Ind, dim, were investigated by [Pears ,1975]. Actually the dimension functions, S - indX, S - IndX and S - dimX by using S - open sets were studied in [Raad,1992], also the dimension functions, b - indX, b - IndX and b - dimX, by using b - open sets were studied in [Sama Kadhim Gabar,2010], and the dimension functions, f - indX, f - IndX and f - dimX, by using f - open sets were studied in [Nedaa, 2011]. In this chapter we recall the definitions of ind, Ind, dim, from [Pears ,1975], and the dimension functions, N - ind, N - Ind, N - dim are by using N - open sets [Enas,2014]. Then the dimension functions,  $S_{\beta} - ind$ ,  $S_{\beta} - Ind$  and  $S_{\beta} - dim$  are introduced by using  $S_{\beta} - open$  sets. Finally some relations between them are studied and some results relating to these concepts are proved.

#### 1. Preliminaries

**Definition** (2.1): A subset A of a topological space (X,T) is called :

i. Semi-open (s – open ) set in X if  $A \subseteq \overline{A^\circ}$  and semi-closed (s-closed ), if  $\overline{A^\circ} \subseteq A$ . [Das,1973]

ii. Pre-open if  $A \subseteq \overline{A}^{\circ}$  and pre-closed set if  $\overline{A^{\circ}} \subseteq A$ . [Mashhour *et al.*, 1982] iii. Semi-pre-open(= $\beta$  - *open*) if  $A \subseteq \overline{\overline{A}^{\circ}}$  and semi-pre-closed (= $\beta$  - *closed*) if  $\overline{A^{\circ}}^{\circ} \subseteq A$ . [Shareef,2007] iv. Regular open if  $A = \overline{A}^\circ$  and regular closed if  $A = \overline{A^\circ}$ . [Steen, & Seebach, 1970] Definition (2.2)[Alis and Nehmat ,2012]: A semi open subset A of a topological space(X,T) is said to be  $S_\beta - open$  if for each  $x \in A$  there exists a  $\beta$ -closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ . The complement of an  $S_\beta - open$  set is said to be an  $S_\beta - closed$  set The family of  $S_\beta - open$  subset of X is denoted by  $S_\beta O(X)$ .

**Proposition (2.3)** [Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: A subset A of a topological space (X,T) is  $S_{\beta}$  – open set if and only if A is semi open and it is union of  $\beta$  – *closed* sets.

**Example(2.4):** It is clear that every  $S_{\beta}$  - open set is S-open set and every  $S_{\beta}$  - closed set is S-closed, but the converse is not true in general, see the following example. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $T = \{\{a\}, \emptyset, X\}$  the S-open sets are : $\{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \emptyset, X \quad \beta O(X) = \{\{b, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}, \emptyset, X\}$  and  $S_{\beta}$  - open sets are  $\emptyset, X$  thus every  $S_{\beta}$  - open set is S-open but converse is not true since  $\{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}$  is S-open but not  $S_{\beta}$  - open set.

Corollary (2.5)[Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ , if  $A \in S_{\beta}O(X)$  and Y is clopen subset of X, then  $A \cap Y \in S_{\beta}O(Y)$ .

**Definition** (2.6) [Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: Intersection of all  $S_{\beta}$  – *closed sets containing* F is called the  $S_{\beta}$  – *closure* of F and is clenoted by  $\overline{F} \, {}^{S_{\beta}}$ .

**Theorem(2.7)**[ Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: For any subset F of a topological space X, the following statements are true .

- 1-  $\overline{F}^{s_{\beta}}$  is the intersection of all  $s_{\beta}$  *closed sets* in X containing F.
- 2-  $\overline{F} \, {}^{\mathbf{s}_{\beta}}$  is the smallest  $\mathbf{S}_{\beta} closed sets$  in x containing F.
- 3- **F** is smallest  $S_{\beta} closed$  if and only if  $F = \overline{F} S_{\beta}$ .

**Theorem (2.8)** [Alis and Nehmat ,2012]: If F and E are any subsets of a topological space X, If  $F \subseteq E$ , then  $\overline{F} \stackrel{s_{\beta}}{=} \subseteq \overline{E} \stackrel{s_{\beta}}{=}$ .

**Proposition (2.9)**[Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: Let A be any subset of a topological space X. If a point x is in the  $S_{\beta}$ - interior of A, then there exists a semi-closed set F of X containing x such that  $F \subseteq A$ .

**Definition (2.10)** [Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: A a subset A of a topological space X, is called  $S_{\beta}$  -boundary of A if  $b_{S_{\beta}}(A) = \overline{A} {}^{S_{\beta}} \setminus A^{\circ S_{\beta}}$  and denoted by  $b_{S_{\beta}}(A)$ .

**Remark(2.11)** [Alis and Nehmat , 2012]: Let A be a subset of a topological space X, then  $b_{S_{\beta}}(A) = \varphi$  if and only if A is both  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ - closed set.

**Definition (2.12)** [Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: Let A be a subset of a topological space X. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be  $S_{\beta}$ -limit point of A if for each  $S_{\beta}$ -open set U containing  $U \cap (A \setminus \{x\}) \neq \varphi$ . The set of all S $\beta$ -limit point of A is called  $S_{\beta}$ -derived set of A and is denoted by  $A'^{S_{\beta}}$ .

**Theorem (2.13)** [Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: Let A be a subset of a space X, then  $\bar{A}^{S_{\beta}} = A \cup A'^{S_{\beta}}$ .

**Definition** (2.14) : A space X is called  $S_{\beta} - T_1$  space if and only if, for each  $x \neq y$  in X, there exist  $S_{\beta} - open$  sets U and V such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $y \in V, x \notin V$ .

**Proposition (2.15)** [Alis and Nehmat, 2012]: If a space X is  $T_1$ -space, then  $S_\beta O(X) = SO(X)$ .

**Proposition** (2.16) : Let X be a topological space .Then (X, T) is an  $S_{\beta} - T_1$  space if and only if  $\{x\}$  is  $S_{\beta} - closed$  set for each  $x \in X$ .

**Proof**: Let X is  $S_{\beta} - T_1$  space Let  $y \in X$  such that  $y \notin \{x\}$  since X is  $S_{\beta} - T_1$  space then there exist an  $S_{\beta} - open$  set U such that  $y \notin U, x \notin U$ . It is clear that  $(U-y) \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$  and hence  $y \notin \{x\}' \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} \{x\} \cup \{x\}' \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} = \{x\}$  by definition(1.1.40) Then  $\{x\}' \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} \subseteq \{x\}$  for each  $x \in X$ , and hence  $\{\bar{x}\}' \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} = \{x\} \cup \{x\}' \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} = \{x\}$  by theorem (2.13), so that  $\{x\}$  is  $S_{\beta} - closed$  set for each  $x \in X$  by theorem (2.7).

Conversely :Assume that  $\{x\}$  is  $S_{\beta} - closed$  set for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \neq y$  in X, then  $X - \{x\} = U$  is  $S_{\beta} - open$  set contains y not x. Now  $X - \{y\} = V$ , hence V is  $S_{\beta} - open$  set which contains x but not y. Therefore X is  $S_{\beta} - T_1$  space.

**Definition** (2.17): A space X is called  $S_{\beta}$  —regular space iff for each x in X and a closed set F such that  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets U, V such that  $x \in U, F \subseteq V$ .

**Definition** (2.18): A space X is said to be  $S_{\beta}^*$  -regular space if and only if ,for each x  $\in X$  and an  $S_{\beta}$  -closed set F such that  $x \notin F$  ,there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  -open sets and V in X such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

**Proposition(2.19)**[Pervin,1964]: A space X is regular space iff for every  $x \in X$  and each open set U in X such that  $x \in U$  there exist an open set w such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition(2.20):** A space X is  $S_{\beta}$  -regular space iff for every  $x \in X$  and each open set U in X such that  $x \in U$  there exist an open set W such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq U$ .

**Proof:** Let X be an  $S_{\beta}$  – regular space and  $x \in X$ , U is open set in X such that  $x \in U$  thus  $U^{c}$  is closed set in x and  $x \notin U^{c}$  then there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  –open sets W,V such that  $x \in W$ ,  $U^{c} \subseteq V$ . Hence  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{\subseteq} \overline{V^{c}} \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} V^{c} \subseteq U$ .By theorem(2.8)we have  $\overline{W} \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{\subseteq} \overline{V^{c}} \stackrel{S_{\beta}}{=} N^{c}$ 

Conversely: Let  $x \in X$  and F be closed set in X such that  $x \notin F$ , then  $F^c$  is open set and  $x \in F^c$ , thus there exist an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set w such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq F^c$  then  $x \in W, F \subseteq \overline{W}^{cS_{\beta}}$  and W,  $\overline{W}^{cS_{\beta}}$  sre disjoint  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets Hence X is  $S_{\beta}$ -regular space.

**Proposition(2.21):** A topological space X is  $S_{\beta}^*$  –regular topological space if and only if, for every  $x \in X$  and each  $S_{\beta}$  –open set U in X such that  $x \in U$  then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  –open set W such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq U$ .

**.Proof:** Assume that X is  $S_{\beta}^*$ -regular topological space and let  $x \in X$  is an  $S_{\beta}$ -open in X such that  $x \in U$ .then  $U^c$  is an  $S_{\beta}$ -closed in X,  $x \notin U^c$ .since X is  $S_{\beta}^*$ -regular topological space then there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets W and V such

that  $x \in W$  and  $U^c \subseteq V$ .then by proposition (2.20)  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W}^{s_\beta} \subseteq \overline{V^c}^{s_\beta} = V^c \subseteq U.$ 

Conversely: Let  $x \in X$  and C be  $S_{\beta}$  -closed set such that  $x \in C^{c}$ . thus there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  -open set W such that  $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq C^{c}$ . Then  $x \in W$ ,  $C \subseteq (\overline{W}^{S_{\beta}})^{c}$  and  $(\overline{W}^{S_{\beta}})^{c}$  is an  $S_{\beta}$  -open set,  $W \cap (\overline{W}^{S_{\beta}})^{c} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence X is  $S_{\beta}^{*}$  -regular topological space.

**Definition** (2.22): A space X is said to be  $S_{\beta}$  – normal space if and only if for every disjoint  $S_{\beta}$ - closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  – open subsets  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $F_1 \subset V_1, F_2 \subset V_2$ .

**Definition (2.23):** A space X is said to be  $S_{\beta}^*$  – normal space if and only if for every disjoint closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  – open subsets  $V_1, V_2$  such that  $F_1 \subset V_1, F_2 \subset V_2$ .

**Example(2.24):** This example show that normal space is not  $S_{\beta}$  – normal space in general .Let  $x = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $T = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  $S_{\beta}O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}, S_{\beta}C(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{c, d\}, \{d\}, \{c\}\}\}.$ 

It is clear that X is normal space and  $S_{\beta}^* - normal$  space since there exists no disjoint closed sets . but X is not  $S_{\beta} - normal$  space since  $\{d\}, \{c\}$  is disjoint  $S_{\beta} - closed$  sets cannot be separated by  $S_{\beta} - open$  in X.

**Proposition** (2.25): A topological space X is  $S_{\beta}$  – normal topological space if and only if for every  $S_{\beta}$  – closed set  $F \subseteq X$  and each  $S_{\beta}$  – open set U in X such that  $F \subseteq U$  then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  – open set W such that  $F \subset W \subset \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subset U$ .

**Proof:** Let F be an  $S_{\beta}$  - closed set and  $\bigcup S_{\beta}$  - open set such that  $F \subseteq U$ . It's clear that  $F, U^{\complement}$  are disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  - closed set in X. Thus since X is  $S_{\beta}$  - normal topological space then there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  - open sets W, V such that  $F \subseteq W, U^{\complement} \subseteq V$ , by theorem(2.8)we have  $\overline{W} \, {}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq \overline{V^{c}} \, {}^{S_{\beta}}$ , and by theorem (2.7)  $\overline{V^{c}} \, {}^{S_{\beta}} = V^{c}$  then  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \, {}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq \overline{V^{\complement}} \, {}^{S_{\beta}} = V^{\complement} \subseteq U$ .

Conversely

Let  $F_1, F_2$  be disjoint  $S_\beta - \text{closed}$  sets in X then  $F_2^{\ C}$  is an  $S_\beta - \text{open}$  set,  $F_1 \subseteq F_2^{\ C}$ . Thus there exists an  $S_\beta - \text{open}$  set W such that  $F_1 \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_\beta} \subseteq F_2^{\ C}$ . Then  $F_1 \subseteq W, F \subseteq (\overline{W}^{S_\beta})^{\ C}$ ,  $W, \overline{W}^{S_\beta}$  are disjoint  $S_\beta - \text{open}$  sets. Hence X is  $S_\beta - \text{normal topological space}$ .

**Proposition (2.26):** A topological space X is  $S_{\beta}^* - \text{normal}$  if and only if for every closed set  $F \subseteq X$  and each  $S_{\beta} - \text{open}$  set U in X such that  $F \subseteq U$  then there exists an  $S_{\beta} - \text{open}$  set W such that  $F \subseteq W \subset \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subset U$ .

**Proof:** Let F be an **closed** set and U **open** set such that  $F \subseteq U$ . It's clear that  $F, U^{C}$  are disjoint **closed** set in X. Thus since X is  $S_{\beta}^{*}$  – normal topological space then there exist disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  – **open** sets W, V such that  $F \subseteq W, U^{C} \subseteq V$ , by theorem(2.8) we

have  $\overline{W}^{s_{\beta}} \subseteq \overline{V^{c}}^{s_{\beta}}$ , and by theorem

(2.7)  $\overline{V^c}{}^{S_\beta} = V^c \text{ then } F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}{}^{S_\beta} \subseteq \overline{V}{}^{C}{}^{S_\beta} = V^{C} \subseteq U.$ 

Conversely: Let  $F_1, F_2$  be disjoint closed sets in X then  $F_2^{\ C}$  is an open set,  $F_1 \subseteq F_2^{\ C}$ . Thus there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  – open set W such that  $F_1 \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq F_2^{\ C}$ . Then  $F_1 \subseteq W, F \subseteq (\overline{W}^{S_{\beta}})^{\ C}$ ,  $W, \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}}$  are disjoint  $S_{\beta}$  – open sets. Hence X is  $S_{\beta}^*$  – normal topological space.

## 2. On small $S_{\beta}$ – Inductive Dimension Function

**Definition** (3.1)[Raad,1992]: Let X be a topological space, we say that S - indX = -1 iff  $X = \emptyset$  and if n is a positive integer or 0, then we say that  $SindX \le n$  iff the following satisfied:

for each  $x \in X$  and for each open set G containing x, there exists an S-open set V such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $S - \operatorname{ind} b(V) \leq n - 1$ , there exists no integer n for which  $\operatorname{ind} X \leq n$ , then we put  $S - \operatorname{ind} X = \infty$ .

In similar way, we introduce the following :

**Definition** (3.2): The  $S_{\beta}$ -small inductive dimension of a space X,  $S_{\beta}$ -ind X, is defined inductively as follows. topological space X,  $S_{\beta}$  - indX = -1, and only if, X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $X \leq n$  means that for each point  $x \in X$  and each open set G such that  $x \in G$  there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  - open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}$  - ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \leq n - 1$ . We put  $S_{\beta}$  indX = n if it is true that  $S_{\beta}$  - ind $X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $X \leq n - 1$ . If there exists no integer n for which  $S_{\beta}$  ind $X \leq n$ , then we put  $S_{\beta}$  - ind $X = \infty$ .

**Definition (3.3):The**  $S^*_{\beta}$ -small inductive dimension of a space X,  $S^*_{\beta}$  - indX, is defined inductively as follows.

A space X satisfies  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} X = -1$  if and only if,  $X = \emptyset$ . If *n* is a non-negative integer, then  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} X \leq n$  means that for each point  $x \in X$  and each  $S_{\beta}$  -open set *G* such that  $x \in G$  there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  -open set *U* such that  $x \in U \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \leq n - 1$ . We put  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} X = n$  if it is true that  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} X \leq n1$ . If there exists no integer *n* for which  $S_{\beta}^{*}\operatorname{ind} X \leq n$ , then we put  $S_{\beta}^{*} - \operatorname{ind} X = \infty$ .

**Theorem (3.4):** Let X be a topological space, if  $S_{\beta} - \text{ind}X = 0$  then X is  $S_{\beta}$  -regular space.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  and G an open set such that  $x \in G$ , since  $S_{\beta} - \operatorname{ind} X = 0$ , then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  - open set V such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta} - \operatorname{ind} b_{S_{\beta}}(V) = -1$ . Thus  $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) = \emptyset$  by Remark (2.11), hence V is an  $S_{\beta}$  -open and  $S_{\beta}$  -closed set. Therefore  $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq G$  by proposition (2.20), hence X is  $S_{\beta}$  -regular space.

**Theorem (3.5):** Let X be a topological space, if  $S_{\beta}^* - \operatorname{ind} X = 0$  then X is  $S_{\beta}^*$  -regular space.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  and G an  $S_{\beta}$  - open set such that  $x \in G$ , since  $S_{\beta}^* - \operatorname{ind} X = 0$ , then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$  - open set V such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}^* - \operatorname{ind} b_{S_{\beta}}(V) = -1$ . Thus  $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) = \emptyset$  by Remark (2.11), hence V is an  $S_{\beta}$  -open and  $S_{\beta}$  -closed set.

Therefore  $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq G$  by proposition (2.21), hence X is  $S_{\beta}^*$  –regular space.

**Proposition** (3.6): Let X be a topological space, if  $S_{\beta} - indX$  is exists then  $S - indX \le S_{\beta} - indX$ .

**Proof:** By induction on *n*. If n = -1, then  $S_{\beta} - indX = -1$  and  $X = \emptyset$ , so that S - indX = -1. Suppose the statements is true for n - 1.

Now, suppose that  $S_{\beta} - \operatorname{ind} X \leq n$ , to prove  $S - \operatorname{ind} X \leq n$ , let  $x \in X$  and G is an open set in X such that  $x \in G$  since  $S_{\beta} - \operatorname{ind} X \leq n$ , then there exist an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set V in X such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) \leq n-1$  and since each  $S_{\beta}$ -open set is S-open set. Then V is an S-open set such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and S-ind $b(V) \leq n-1$ . Hence S-ind $X \leq n$ .

**Theorem (3.7):** Let X be a topological space, then  $S_{\beta}$ -indX = 0 if and only if S-indX = 0.

**Proof:** By proposition (3.6) if  $S_{\beta}$ -indX = 0, then S-ind $X \leq 0$  and since  $X \neq \emptyset$ 

then  $S \cdot \operatorname{ind} X = 0$ . Now let  $S \cdot \operatorname{ind} X = 0$ , let  $x \in X$  and G an open set in X such that  $x \in G$ , since  $S \cdot \operatorname{ind} X = 0$  then there exists an S-open set V such that  $x \in V \subseteq G$  and  $S \cdot \operatorname{ind} b(V) \leq -1$ , then  $b(V) = \emptyset$ , hence V is both open and closed set. Since every open (closed) set is S-open an (S-closed) set, therefore  $x \in V \subseteq G$  Hence V is an  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set and  $S_{\beta} \cdot \operatorname{ind} b_{S_{\beta}}(V) \leq -1$ . So that  $S_{\beta} \cdot \operatorname{ind} X \leq 0$  and since  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S_{\beta} \cdot \operatorname{ind} X = 0$ .

The following example a space X with  $S_{\beta}$ -indX = S-indX = 0.

**Example (3.8):** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $T = \{\emptyset, X\}$  be a topology on X, then  $S_{\beta}O(X) = \{\emptyset, X\}$ , let  $x \in X$  and only  $S_{\beta}$ -open set is X, then  $x \in X \subseteq X$  and  $b_{S_{\beta}}(X) = \emptyset$ , so  $S_{\beta}$ -ind  $b_{S_{\beta}}(X) = -1$ . Then  $S_{\beta}$ -ind  $X \leq 0$ , since  $X \neq \emptyset$  thus  $S_{\beta}$ -ind  $X \neq -1$ , hence  $S_{\beta}$ -ind X = 0 and since  $S_{\beta}$ -open set is S-open, then by theorem (3.7), we have  $S_{\beta}$ -ind X = S-ind X = 0.

#### 4. On Large $S_{\beta}$ – Inductive Dimension Function

**Definition (4.1)[Raad, 1992]:** Let X be a topological space. It is said that S-IndX = -1 if and only if X is empty. If n is a positive integer or 0, then we say that S-Ind $X \le n$  if and only if the following is satisfied:

for each closed set F and each open set G of X such that  $F \subset G$  there exists an S-open set U such that  $F \subset U \subset G$  and S-Ind $b_S(U) \leq n$ -1. We put S-IndX = n if it is true that S-Ind $X \leq n$ , but it is not true that S-Ind $X \leq n$ -1. If there exists no integer n for which S-Ind $X \leq n$  then we put S-Ind $X = \infty$ .

**Definition** (4.2) : The  $S_{\beta}$ -large inductive dimension of a space  $X, S_{\beta}$ -Ind X, is defined inductively as follows. A space X satisfies  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind X = -1 if and only if X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind  $X \leq n$  means that for each closed set F and

each open set G of X such that  $F \subset G$  there exists an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set U such that  $F \subset U \subset G$  and  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \leq n-1$ . We put  $S_{\beta}$ -IndX = n if it is true that  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq n-1$ . If there exists no integer n for which  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq n$  then we put  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X = \infty$ .

**Definition** (4.3): The  $S_{\beta}^*$ -large inductive dimension of a space  $X, S_{\beta}^*$ -IndX, is defined inductively as follows: A space X satisfies  $S_{\beta}^*$ -IndX = -1 if and only if X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \leq n$  means that for each  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set F and each  $S_{\beta}$ -open set G of X such that  $F \subset G$  there exists  $S_{\beta}$ -open set U such that  $F \subset U \subset G$  and  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \leq n-1$ . We put  $S_{\beta}^*$ -IndX = n if it is true that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \leq n-1$ . If there exists no integer n for which  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \leq n$  then we put  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X = \infty$ .

**Proposition** (4.4): Let X be a topological space, if  $S_{\beta}$ -IndX = 0, then X is  $S_{\beta}^*$ -normal.

**Proof:** Let *F* be a closed set in *X* and *U* is an open set such that  $F \subseteq U$ . Since  $S_{\beta}$ -IndX = 0, then there exist  $S_{\beta}$ -open *W* such that  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(W) = -1$ , hence *W* is  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set therefore  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq U$  by proposition (2.26) *X* is  $S_{\beta}^*$ -normal.

**Proposition** (4.5):Let X be a topological space, if  $S_{\beta}^*$ -IndX = 0, then X is  $S_{\beta}$  -normal space.

**Proof:** Let F be an  $S_{\beta}$  - closed set in X and U is an  $S_{\beta}$  - open set such that  $F \subseteq U$ . Since  $S_{\beta}$ -IndX = 0, then there exist  $S_{\beta}$ -open W such that  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(W) = -1$ , hence W is  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set therefore  $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq U$  by proposition (2.25) X is  $S_{\beta}$  -normal space.

**Proposition** (4.6): Let X be a topological space  $S_{\beta}$  - IndX is exists, then S-IndX  $\leq S_{\beta}$ -IndX.

**Proof:** By induction on n. It is clear that n = -1.

Suppose that it is true for *n*-1. Now, suppose that  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq n$ , to prove that

S-Ind $X \le n$ , let F be a closed set in X and G is an open set in X such that  $F \subseteq G$ , since  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \le n$ , then there is  $S_{\beta}$ -open set U in X such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \le n-1$ , since each  $S_{\beta}$ -open set is S-open set. Then U is S-open set such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and S-Ind $b(U) \le n-1$ . Hence S-Ind $X \le n$ .

**Theorem (4.7)**Let X be a topological space, then  $S_{\beta}$ -IndX = 0 iff S-IndX = 0.

**Proof:** By proposition (4.6) If  $S_{\beta} - \text{Ind}X = 0$ , then  $S - \text{Ind}X \le 0$ , and since  $X \ne \emptyset$ , then S - IndX = 0.

Now,

Let S-IndX = 0 and Let F is closed set in X and each open set G in X such that  $F \subseteq G$ .Since S-IndX = 0 then there exists an S-open set U in X such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and S-Indb(U)  $\leq -1$ . Then  $b(U) = \emptyset$ , therefore U is both open and closed set,

since each open and closed set is S-open and S-closed set. Thus  $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$ , then U is  $S_{\beta}$ -open set and  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq 0$  and since  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S_{\beta}$ -IndX = 0.

#### 5. On $S_{\beta}$ – Covering Dimension Function

**Definition** (5.1): The  $S_{\beta}$ -covering dimension ( $S_{\beta}$ -dimX) of a topological X is the least integer n such that every finite  $S_{\beta}$ -open covering of X has  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement of order not exceeding n or  $\infty$  is if there is no such integer. Thus  $S_{\beta}$ dimX = -1 if and only if X is empty, and  $S_{\beta}$ -dim  $X \leq n$  if each finite  $S_{\beta}$ -open covering of X has  $S_{\beta}$ - open refinement of order not exceeding n that

 $S_{\beta} - \dim X \le n - 1$ . Finally  $S_{\beta}$ -dim  $X = \infty$  if for every integer *n* it is false that  $S_{\beta} - \dim X \le n$ .

**Definition** (5.2): The  $S_{\beta}^*$ -covering dimension ( $S_{\beta}^*$ -dimX) of a topological space X is the least integer n such that every finite open covering of X has  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement of order not exceeding n or  $\infty$  if there is no such integer. Thus  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dimX = -1 if and only if X is empty, and  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim $X \leq n$  if each finite open covering of X has  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement of order not exceeding n. We have  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dimX = n if it is true that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim $X \leq n$ , but it is not true that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim $X \leq n - 1$ . Finally  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim $X = \infty$  if for every integer n it is false that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim $X \leq n$ .

**Definition (5.3) [Raad, 1992]:** Let X be a topological space then S-dimX = -1 if and only if  $X = \emptyset$  and if n is a positive integer or 0, then we say that S-dim $X \le n$  if and only if every finite *S*-open cover of X has *S*-open refinement of order  $\le n$ .

**Remark (5.4) [Raad, 1992]:** Since each open set is *S*-open, then it follows that  $S-\dim X \leq \dim X$ .

**Remark** (5.5): Since each  $S_{\beta}$ -open set is *S*-open, then it follows that S-dim $X \leq S_{\beta}$ -dimX.

**Definition** (5.6):Let (X,T) be a topological space, the family  $\mathbb{C}$  of  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets is called *S*.*B* if and only if each  $S_{\beta}$ -open set in *X* is a union of members of  $\beta$ .

**Theorem (5.7):**Let X be a topological space. If X has a S.B of sets which are both  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed, then  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dimX = 0 for a  $T_1$ -space, the converse is true. **Proof:** By using proposition (2.15) if a space X is a  $T_1$ -space, then  $S_{\beta}O(X) = SO(X)$ , the proof see[**Raad**, 1992].

**Theorem (5.8):**Let X be a topological space. If X has a S.B of sets which are both  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed, then  $S_{\beta}$ -dimX = 0 for an  $S_{\beta}$ - $T_1$ space, the converse is true.

**Proof:** Suppose that X has S.B of sets which are both  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed.

Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a finite  $S_{\beta}$ -open cover of X, it has an  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement  $\mathcal{W}$ , if  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $w \subset U_i$  for some *i*. Let each  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  be associated with one of the  $U_i$  sets containing it and let  $U_i$  be the union of these members of  $\mathcal{W}$ , thus associated with  $U_i$ . Thus  $V_i$  is  $S_{\beta}$ -open set and hence  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$  forms disjoint  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement of  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , then  $S_{\beta}$ -dimX = 0Conversely:

Suppose that X is  $S_{\beta} - T_1$  space such that  $S_{\beta}$ -dimX = 0. Let  $x \in X$  and G be a  $S_{\beta}$ -open set in X such that  $x \in G$ . Then  $\{x\}$  is  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set by using proposition (2.16) and  $\{G, X-\{x\}\}$  is finite  $S_{\beta}$ -open of X. Since  $S_{\beta}$ -dimX = 0, then there exists  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement  $\{V, W\}$  of order 0 such that  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ ,  $V \cup W = X$ ,  $V \subset G$  and  $W \subset X-\{x\}$ . Then V is  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set in X such that  $x \in W^c \subseteq V \subseteq G$  and hence X has a S.B of  $S_{\beta}$ -open and  $S_{\beta}$ -closed.

**Remark (5.9) [Pears, 1975]:** Let X be a topological space with dim X = 0. Then X is normal space.

**Theorem (5.10):** Let X be a topological space. If  $S^*_{\beta}$ -dim X = 0, then X is  $S^*_{\beta}$ -normal space.

**Proof:** Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint closed sets of X. Then  $\{X - F_1, X - F_2\}$  is open cover of X. Since  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim X = 0, then it is has  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement of order 0, hence

So that  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets H and G such that  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ ,  $H \cup G = X$ , therefore

 $H \subset X - F_1$  and  $G \subset X - F_2$ . Thus  $F_1 \subset H^c = G$ ,  $F_2 \subset G^c = H$  and since  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ , then is X is  $S^*_{\beta}$ -normal space.

**Theorem (5.11):** Let X be a topological space. If  $S_{\beta}$ -dim X = 0, then X is  $S_{\beta}$ -normal space.

**Proof:** Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint  $S_\beta$ -closed sets of X. Then  $\{X - F_1, X - F_2\}$  is  $S_\beta$ -open covering of X. Since  $S_\beta$ -dim X = 0, then it is has  $S_\beta$ -open refinement of order 0, hence there exists  $S_\beta$ -open sets H and G such that  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ ,  $H \cup G = X$ , so that  $H \subset X - F_1$  and  $G \subset X - F_2$ . Thus  $F_1 \subset H^c = G$ ,  $F_2 \subset G^c = H$  and since  $H \cap G = \emptyset$ , then is X is  $S_\beta$ -normal space.

**Proposition(5.12)** [Pears,1975]: Let X be a topological space and A closed subset of X then dim  $A \le \dim X$ .

**Theorem (5.13):** If A clopen subset of a topological space X, then  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim  $A \leq S_{\beta}^*$ -dim X.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim  $X \leq n$ , let  $\{U_1, U_2, ..., U_k\}$  be an open cover of A, then for each  $i, U_i = A \cap V_i$ , where  $V_i$  is an open set in X. The finite open covering  $\{V_1, V_2, ..., V_k, X \cdot A\}$  of X has  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement  $\mathcal{W}$  in X of order  $\leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{W \cap A : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$  by corollary (2.5), then  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $S_{\beta}$ -open refinement of  $\{U_1, U_2, ..., U_k\}$  of order  $\leq n$ . Thus  $S_{\beta}^*$ -dim  $A \leq n$ .

#### 6. Relation between the dimensions $S_{\beta}$ -ind and $S_{\beta}$ -Ind

**Proposition (6.1)**[Pears, 1975]: Let X be a topological space, if X is a regular space then  $indX \leq IndX$ .

**Theorem (6.2):** Let X be a topological space, if X is regular, then  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $X \leq S_{\beta}$ -IndX.

**Proof:** By induction on *n* , if n = -1 , then the statement is true.

Suppose that the statement is true for n - 1.

Now,

Suppose that  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq n$ , to prove  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $X \leq n$ . Let  $x \in X$  and G be an open set such that  $x \in G$  since X is regular **space** then there exists an open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq G$  by proposition (2.19).

Also since  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $X \leq n$  and  $\overline{U}$  is closed,  $\overline{U} \subseteq G$  then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set V such that  $\overline{U} \subseteq V \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) \leq n-1$ , then  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) \leq n-1$  [by induction] and  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $X \leq n$ , then  $S_{\beta}$ -ind $X \leq S_{\beta}$ -IndX.

**Proposition (6.3):** Let X be a topological space, if  $X S_{\beta} - T_1$  space, then  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $X \leq S_{\beta}^*$ -IndX.

**Proof:** By induction on *n* , if n = -1 , then the statement is true.

Suppose that the statement is true for n - 1.

Now,

Suppose that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \le n$ , to prove  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $X \le n$ . Let  $x \in X$  and each  $S_{\beta}$  —open set  $G \subset X$  of the point x, since X is  $S_{\beta}$ - $T_1$ space, then  $\{x\} \subseteq G$  such that  $\{x\}$  is

 $S_{\beta}$ -closed set by proposition (2.16). Since  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \le n$ , then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set V in X such that  $\{x\} \subseteq V \subseteq G$  and

 $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) \leq n-1$ . Hence  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(V) \leq n-1$  and  $x \in V \subseteq G$ .

Thus  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $X \leq n$ .

**Proposition (6.4):** Let X be a topological space, if X is  $S_{\beta}^*$ -regular space, then  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $X \leq S_{\beta}^*$ -IndX.

**Proof:** By induction on *n*, if n = -1, then the statement is true. Suppose that the statement is true for n - 1.

Now, Suppose that  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \leq n$ , to prove  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $X \leq n$ . Let  $x \in X$  and each  $S_{\beta}$  -open set  $G \subset X$  of the point x, since X is  $S_{\beta}^*$ -regular space, then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set V in X such that  $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq G$ . Also since  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $X \leq n$  and  $\overline{V}^{S_{\beta}}$  is  $S_{\beta}$ -closed set  $\overline{V}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq G$ , then there exists an  $S_{\beta}$ -open set U in X such that  $\overline{V}^{S_{\beta}} \subseteq U \subseteq G$  and  $S_{\beta}^*$ -Ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \leq n-1$ .

Hence  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $b_{S_{\beta}}(U) \le n-1$  and  $x \in U \subseteq G$  (by induction), thus  $S_{\beta}^*$ -ind $X \le n$ . **Performance** 

### References

Alis B. Khalaf and Nehmat. K. Ahmed "S<sub>β</sub>-open sets and S<sub>β</sub>--continuity in topological space" Int. J. Math. Pure. Sci. Eng. Appl., III(1):1:13,(2012).

- Das, P. "Note on Some Applications on Semi Open sets ", Progress of Mathematics, 7(1973).
- Enas Ridha Ali"On Dimension Theory by Using N-Open Set", M.Sc. thesis university college of mathematics and computer science, (2014).
- Hashmiya Ibrahim Nasser "On Some Topological Spaces by Using **N Open** Set" M.Sc. thesis university college of mathematics and computer science,(2012).
- Mashhour, A.S. ; Abd El-monsef, M.E. ; El.Deeb S.N. "On pre-continuous and week pre-continuous mappings" Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt 53 (1982) 47–53.

#### Journal of Babylon University/Pure and Applied Sciences/ No.(5)/ Vol.(24): 2016

- Nedaa Hasan Hajee "On Dimension Theory by Using Feebly Open Set", M.Sc. thesis university college of mathematics and computer science, (2011).
- Pears. A.P "On Dimension Theory of General Spaces" Cambridge university press, (1975).
- Pervin, N.J. "Foundation General Topology", A cadmic press, New York, (1964).
- Pervin. N.J "Foundation of General Topology" Academic press, New York, (1964).
- Ra'ad Aziz Hussain AL-Abdulla "On Dimension Theory", M.Sc. thesis university of Baghdad, college of science, (1992).
- Ryzard Engelking "Dimension Theory" (1978).
- Ryzard Engelking "General Topology", Berlin Heldermann, (1989).
- Sama Kadhim Gaber "On b-Dimension Theory" M.Sc.thesis university college of mathematics and computer science, (2010).
- Shareef, A.H. Spre-open sets "Spre-continuity and Spre-compactness in topological spaces" M.Sc. Thesis, College of Science, Sulaimani Univ., (2007).
- Steen, L.A.; Seebach Jr., J.A. "Counterexamples in topology", Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, (1970).