

## On Feebly –closed mappings in bitopological spaces

Zahir Dobeas AL-Nafie

Udie Subri

Dept.of Math. College of education , Babylon university

### Abstract

This search discusses the  $\alpha$ -set and feebly –closed sets in bitopological space, and these concepts define the feebly-closed function , semi-closed function and pre-closed function also we defines an  $\alpha$ -closed function and study the relation between these concepts.

### Introduction

let  $S$  be a subset of a bitopological spaces  $(X, t_1, t_2)$ , we denote the closure of  $S$  and the interior of  $S$  with respect to  $t_1, t_2$  by  $cl_{t_1}(S)$ ,  $int_{t_1}(S)$  and  $cl_{t_2}(S)$  ,  $int_{t_2}(S)$  respectively.

[O.Njastad,1965] introduced the concept of  $\alpha$ -set in a topological space  $(X, t)$  . A subset  $S$  of  $(X, t)$  is called an  $\alpha$ -set if  $S \subseteq \text{int}(cl(\text{int}(S)))$  . the notion of semi open set , per -open set were introduced by [N.Levine, 1963]. a subset  $A$  is said to be Feebly-open set [S.N.Maheshwari and U.D.Tapi,1978 ] in  $(X, t)$  if there exist an open set  $U$  such that  $U \subseteq A \subseteq scl(U)$  , the complement of Feebly- open set is called Feebly closed set.

In this search , we shall define the  $\alpha$ -set and Feebly -open set in bitopological space  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  . A subset  $S$  of a bitopological  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -set if  $S$  is  $\alpha$ -set with respect to  $t_1$  or  $t_2$  , that is if  $S \subseteq \text{int}_{t_i}(cl_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(S)))$  ,  $i=1$  or  $2$ , we shall define a Feebly open set in a bitopological space  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  if there exist a open set  $U$  with respect to  $t_1$  or  $t_2$  such that  $U \subseteq A \subseteq scl_{t_i}(U)$  ,  $i=1$  or  $2$ , the complement of Feebly- open set is called Feebly- closed set .

### Feebly closed and $\alpha$ -closed mapping

The concept of  $\alpha$ -closed and Feebly- closed mapping have been introduced by [Mashhour A.S. , et al,1983] and [Maheshwari S.N. and Tapi U.D.,1978] respectively.

**Definition (2-1)** [Greenwood S.G. and Reilly I.L. , 1986]

Let  $(X, t)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are two topological spaces , a function  $f: (X, t) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be:

- 1- Feebly –closed if the image of each closed set in  $X$  is Feebly- closed in  $Y$ .
- 2-  $\alpha$ -closed if the image of each closed set in  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $Y$ .

**Lemma: (2-2)** [Greenwood S.G. and Reilly I.L. , 1986]

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $(X, t)$  then  $\text{sint}(cl(A)) = cl(\text{int}(cl(A)))$ .

**Proposition(2-3)** [Greenwood S.G. and Reilly I.L., 1986]

Let  $(X, t)$  be a topological spaces , a subset  $A$  of  $(X, t)$  is Feebly closed if and only if  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed set.

**Definition (2-4)** [Greenwood S.G. and Reilly I.L. , 1986]

Let  $(X, t)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are two topological spaces , a function  $f: (X, t) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be:

- 1- semi-closed if the image of each closed set in  $X$  is semi -closed set in  $Y$ .
- 2- pre-closed if the image of each closed set in  $X$  is pre- closed in  $Y$ .

**Proposition (2-5)** [Greenwood S.G. and Reilly I.L. , 1986]

Let  $(X, t)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  are two topological spaces , a function  $f: (X, t) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed if and only if it is semi- closed and pre-closed .

### Feebly-closed and $\alpha$ -closed mapping in bitopological space.

#### Definition(3-1)

Let  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are two bitopological spaces , a function

$f: (X, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  said to be:

1- Feebly- closed if the induced maps  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  or  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is Feebly-closed.

2-  $\alpha$ -closed the induced maps  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  or  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is  $\alpha$ - closed.

#### Proposition (3-2):

Let  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  then  $\text{int}_{t_i}(A) = \text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(A))$ ,  $i=1$  or  $2$ .

Proof: since we have  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A)))$  is semi open set with respect to  $t_i$  and  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A))) = \text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(A))))$  and  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A))) \subseteq \text{cl}_{t_i}(A)$  then  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A))) \subseteq \text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A)) \dots (1)$ .

Now if  $V$  is any  $t_i$ -semi-open set contained in  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(A)$  then  $U \subseteq \text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(V)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A)))$  and hence  $\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A))) \dots (2)$ . By (1) and (2) we get the result.

#### Proposition(3-3)

Let  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  be a bitopological space a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is Feebly- closed set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed.

Proof :

It follows from the definition of an  $\alpha$ -set and  $\alpha$ -closed set in bitopological space . That a subset  $A$  of  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed set if and only if  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A))) \subseteq A$ ,  $i=1$  or  $2$  , since  $\text{cl}_{t_i}(\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A))) \subseteq A$  if and only if  $\text{int}_{t_i}(\text{cl}_{t_i}(A)) \subseteq A$  by lemma (2-2) in bitopological space the result exist.

#### Definition(3-4)

Let  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are two bitopological spaces , a function

$f: (X, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  said to be:

1- semi-closed if the induced maps  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  or  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is semi-closed.

2- pre -closed the induced maps  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  or  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  is pre- closed.

#### Proposition (3-5):

Let  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  are two bitopological spaces , a function

$f: (X, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed if and only if it is semi-closed and pre-closed.

Proof: since  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed if and only if it is semi closed and pre-closed [theorem (3), I.I.Reilly and M.R.VamanaMurthy] , similarly  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$

Is  $\alpha$ -closed if and only if it is semi-closed and pre-closed [theorem (3), I.I.Reilly and M.R.VamanaMurthy] and hence the result.

This example show that if  $f: (X, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is pre closed then  $f$  not to be  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Example (3-6):** let  $X=\{1,2,3\}$  and defined  $t_1$  to be the discrete topology and  $t_2=\{X, \emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1,3\}\}$  ,  $\sigma_1=\{X, \emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1,3\}\}$  and  $\sigma_2$  be the discrete topology. Define  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  by  $f(1)=f(2)=f(3)=1$  then  $f$  is pre closed but not  $\alpha$ -closed since  $\{1\}$  is pre closed in  $(Y, \sigma_1)$  but not  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, t_1)$  and define  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  by  $f(1)=f(2)=f(3)=1$  then  $f$  is pre closed but not  $\alpha$ -closed since  $\{1\}$  is pre closed in  $(Y, \sigma_2)$  but not  $\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, t_2)$ .

This example show that if  $f: (X, t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is semi- closed then f not to be  $\alpha$ -closed.

### Example(3-7)

let  $X=\{1,2,3\}$  and defined  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to be the discrete topologies and  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be the indiscrete topology. Define  $f: (X, t_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1)$  by  $f(1)=f(2)=f(3)=1$  then f is semi closed but not  $\alpha$ -closed and  $f: (X, t_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_2)$  defined by  $f(1)=f(2)=f(3)=1$  then f is semi closed but not  $\alpha$ -closed since  $\{1\}$  is

### Conclusion

From this paper we can conclude that the type of a bitopological space  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  is depend on the type of a topological space  $(X, t_1)$  and  $(X, t_2)$  since the subset of these topologies will induce the subset of  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  and because these subset is the same in  $(X, t_1, t_2)$ , hence any definition and proposition which is true in  $(X, t_1)$  and  $(X, t_2)$  will be true in  $(X, t_1, t_2)$  in this search.

### References

- Greenwood S.G. and Reilly I.L.(1986), "semi-pre-open sets" . Indian J.Pure , Math. 17: 1101-1105.
- Maheshwari S.N. and Tapi U.D.(1978). Note on some application on feebly open sets , Madhya Bharatij . Univ. Saugar.16:635-642.
- Mashhour A.S., Hasanien I.A. and Eldeeb S.N.(1983).  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mapping. Acta . Math., Hung .41:13-18.
- Levine N. (1963). Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces. Math. Mthly . 70: 36-41.
- Najastad O.(1965). On some classes of nearly open sets . pa. J. Math. 15: 61-70.
- Reilly I.I. and VamanaMurthy M.R. (1985). A decomposition of continuity . Acta, Math. Hung. 45: 27-32.