# The Role of Aflatoxin B1 in the Exacerbation of Type 2 Diabetes and its Effects on Liver and Kidney Functions

Alaa Edan Sirhan<sup>1</sup> and Sami Abd-Redh Al-Jumaily<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>(1,2)</sup> Department Clinical Analysis, College of Applied Medical Sciences, University of Kerbala. Correspondence author E-mail (1): <u>ydanla032@gmail.com</u> E-mail (2): <u>sami.abd.alrida@uokerbala.edu.iq</u>

## Abstract

Diabetes mellitus type 2 (T2DM) is a common metabolic condition characterized by persistently elevated blood sugar levels. Aflatoxia B1 is one of the most hazardous mycotoxins and is the most poisonous and carcinogenic of the aflatoxins, more dangerous than cyanide, arsenic, and organic pesticides.  $AFB_1$  has been classified as a group I human carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). The purpose of this investigation is to look at AFB<sub>1</sub> levels in type 2 diabetics' blood and their impact on their liver and kidney functioning. A case-control investigation was carried out between November 2022 and January 2023. Patients who visited Al-Kindy Hospital, Endocrines, and Diabetes Central/Baghdad provided samples. A total of 177 patients were examined, 93 of whom had T2DM (44 men and 49 women) and 84 of whom were controls (37 men and 47 women). The results showed that both the type 2 diabetics and the control group had higher levels of AST, urea, creatinine, FBS, and HBA1c in the groups that carried the AFB<sub>1</sub>. Elevated levels of HBA1C and FBS in the AFB<sub>1</sub>-bearing groups in both patients and controls indicate an exacerbation of diabetes mellitus in the patients and pre-diabetes in the healthy groups. According to the study's findings, females are more sensitive to  $AFB_1$  than males. An aggravation of diabetes mellitus in the patients and pre-diabetes in the control groups is indicated by elevated levels of HBA1C and FBS in the AFB1-bearing groups of both patients and controls.

*Keywords:* GST, Glutathione S-transferases; AFB1, aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>; OTA, ochratoxin A.

# دور الافلاتوكسين ب1 في تفاقم السكري النوع الثاني وتاثيره على وظائف الكبد والكلى عور الافلاتوكسين ب1 في تفاقم السكري أ. و الكلي

#### الخلاصة

داء السكري من النوع 2 (T2DM) هو حالة استقلابية شائعة تتميز بارتفاع مستمر في مستويات السكر في الدم. يعتبر مركب AFB1واحدًا من أكثر السموم الفطرية خطورة وهو الأكثر سمية ومسرطنًا من الأفلاتوكسين ، وهو أكثر خطورة من السيانيد والزرنيخ والمبيدات العضوية. صنفت الوكالة الدولية لأبحاث السرطان (IARC) مركب AFB1 على أنه مادة مسرطنة بشرية من المجموعة الأولى. الغرض من هذا التحقيق هو فحص مستويات الأفلاتوكسين B1 في دم مرضى السكري من النوع 2 وتأثيره على وظائف الكبد والكلى لديهم. تم إجراء تحقيق في الحالات والشواهد بين تشرين الثاني (نوفمبر) 2022 وكانون الثاني (يناير) 2023. وقدم المرضى الذين زاروا مستشفى الكندي والغدد الصماء والسكري وسط / بغداد عينات. تم فحص ما مجموعه 177 مريضا ، 93 منهم كان لديهم 44 TZDM رجلا و 49 امرأة و 84 منهم من الضوابط 37 رجلا و 47 امرأة. أظهرت النتائج أن كلا من مرضى السكري من النوع 2 ومجموعة التحكم كانت لديهم مستويات أعلى من AST و اليوريا والكرياتينين و FBS و HBA1 في السكري من النوع 2 ومجموعة التحكم كانت لديهم مستويات أعلى من AST و اليوريا والكرياتينين و FBS و HBA1 في المحموعات التي حملت . 45 مرفت المحموعات الحاملة للأفلاتوكسين B1 في المجموعات التي حملت . 45 منوعات المرتفعة من HBA1 و FBS و FBS في المجموعات الحاملة للأفلاتوكسين B1 في كل من المرضى والضوابط إلى تفاقم داء السكري في المرضى ومقدمات السكري في المجموعات الحاملة للأفلاتوكسين B1 في كل من المرضى والضوابط إلى تفاقم داء السكري في المرضى ومقدمات السكري في المجموعات الصحية. وفقًا لنتائج الدراسة ، تكون الإناث أكثر حساسية تجاه AFB1 من الذكور. تشير المستويات المرتفعة من HBA1 و B31 و HBA1 و FBS و FBS و FBS في المجموعات الصحية. وفقًا لنتائج الدراسة ، كل من المرضى والضوابط إلى تفاقم داء السكري في المرضى ومقدمات السكري في المجموعات الصحية. وفقًا لنتائج الدراسة ، تكون الإناث أكثر حساسية تجاه AFB1 من الذكور. تشير المستويات المرتفعة من HBA1 و B31 و B31 و HBA1 و FBS و HBA1 و للأفلاتوكسين ألفلاتوكسين الماتويات المرتفعة من HBA1 و للأفلاتوكسين الما و الخوا الحاملة للأفلاتوكسين ألفلاتوكسين ألفي المرضى ومقدمات السكري في المرضى ومقدمات السكري في المرضى ومقدمات الحاملة الخفير الحاملة الحاملة و الخود بي الأفلاتوكسين ألفلاتوكسين ألفي المحموعات الصحية. الكفلاتوكسين ألفلاتوكسين ألفي المرضى ومقدمات السكري في المحموعات الصحية. الكفلاتوكسين ألفلاتوكسين ألفل المحمو المرضى ومقدمات المحموي وي المحموعات الصحية. الكفلاتوكسين ألفي الموملي ومقدمات المحموي وي المحموي ا

#### Introduction

Type 2 Diabetes mellitus, one of the most prevalent metabolic illnesses, is brought on by the interaction of two main factors: reduced insulin secretion by pancreatic cells and an improper insulin response in tissues with insulin receptors. Because insulin's functions and actions are essential for maintaining glucose homeostasis [1]. One of the many factors that lead to T2DM and insulin resistance is routine exposure to contaminated food, which contains a significant number of toxins [2]. Mycotoxins, which are known as secondary metabolites, have a negative impact on food quality and safety and cause significant economic losses when they are present in food [3]. The fungi Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus primarily create aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, a type of mycotoxin, during their secondary metabolism, which among all the aflatoxins (AFs) is the most frequent and dangerous. AFB<sub>1</sub> is known to occur often in nature, particularly in a number of food products such as groundnuts, maize, rice, sorghum, milk, and oils [4]. The most dangerous mycotoxin, AFB<sub>1</sub>, has negative impacts on people [5]. Nearly 4.5 billion people worldwide are at risk from AF overexposure, and these toxins are to blame for 4.6% to 28.2% of all cases of hepatocellular carcinoma [6].

Aflatoxin  $B_1$  is known to be mutagenic, carcinogenic, and hepatotoxic. Particularly in developing nations in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, AFB<sub>1</sub> is the third-leading cause of liver cancer [7]. The liver, kidney, spleen, bone marrow, gut, testis, and ovary are among the organs that AFB<sub>1</sub> attacks [8]. This study was carried out to determine the role of food contamination in the local markets in the exacerbation of T2DM as well as to look into the likelihood of a connection between AFB<sub>1</sub> and this disease, T2DM, given the prevalence of T2DM in Iraq and its numerous causes.

## Material and Methods

#### Study design

A case-control study conducted from November 2022 to January 2023. Samples were selected from the patients attending Al-Kindy Hospital and Endocrines and Diabetes Central / Baghdad.

## Participant and study sample

A total of 177 subjects were studied, 93 (44 males and 49 females) of whom were T2DM and 84 (37 males and 47 females) of whom were controls. These totals are divided according to table (1). **Table (1):** Division of study groups.

| NO | Study groups | Means                                       | The number of<br>study group<br>participants |
|----|--------------|---|--|
| 1  | M, D-2, TX   | Male, type 2 diabetes with AFB <sub>1</sub> | 20   |
| 2  | M, D-2, NTX  | Male, type 2 diabetes without AFB1 toxin    | 24   |
| 3  | M, C, TX     | Male, control with AFB <sub>1</sub> toxin   | 17   |
| 4  | M, C, NTX    | Male, control without AFB1 toxin            | 20   |
| 5  | F, D-2, TX   | Female, type 2 diabetes with AFB1 toxin     | 26   |
| 6  | F, D-2, NTX  | Female, type 2 diabetes without AFB1 toxin  | 23   |
| 7  | F, C, TX     | Female, control with AFB <sub>1</sub> toxin | 25   |
| 8  | F, C, NTX    | Female, control without AFB1 toxin          | 22   |

#### **Biochemical measurement**

Each participant had 10 ml of blood collected from a vein with a sterile syringe before being transported in gel tubes to the main lab. After the samples had been allowed to settle for 15 minutes, serum was extracted from them by centrifuging them for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm. Each serum sample was split into two pieces and kept in a -20 C refrigerator prior to analysis. The serum was then transferred using a micropipette into an Eppendrof 1.5-ml container for storage.

The parameters listed below were then evaluated using these serum samples: Using an automated instrument in the hospital, measuring fasting blood sugar (FBS), HBA1c, liver function tests (AST, ALT), and renal function tests (urea, creatinine).

**Statistical Analysis:** The groups were compared on various levels using the ANOVA table and Duncan test. A P-value of < 0.05 indicates that there is a statistically significant difference between the groups. The chi-square test was used to compare the observed results with the expected results to reveal the relationship between the variables.

**Ethical approval:** Both the Baghdad Rusafa Health Department and the Ethical Committee of the College of Applied Medical Sciences at the University of Karbala gave their approval to the study protocol. The patients' permission was required in order to collect samples.

#### Results

#### Measurement Qualitative of AFB1 by TLC

The result showed that the number of sample serums collected from patients where contamination with AFB<sub>1</sub> was 46 (49.5%), while the number of sample serums collected from controls was 42 (50.0%), with significant differences between them, as shown in table (2). **Table (2):** Distribution of AFB<sub>1</sub> according to patient and control groups by using TLC.

| Sample   |   | Positive Negative |       | Total  |  |
|--|---|-------------------|-------|--------|--|
| ъ  | F | 46                | 47    | 93     |  |
| Г  | % | 49.5%             | 50.5% | 100.0% |  |
| C  | F | 42                | 42    | 84     |  |
| C  | % | 50.0%             | 50.0% | 100.0% |  |
| Total  | F | 88                | 89    | 177    |  |
| Totai  | % | 49.7%             | 50.3% | 100.0% |  |
| *Chi-Square Tests; F= Frequency; AFB1= AflatoxinB1                       |   |                   |       |        |  |
| $X^2$ Calculate = 41.76, $X^2$ table (0.05) = .005; P=Patient; C=Control |   |                   |       |        |  |

While the distribution of  $AFB_1$  according to sex showed that the number of males whose serum blood was contaminated with AFB1 was 37 (42%), the number of females whose serum blood was contaminated with  $AFB_1$  was 51 (58%). Also, the number of males whose serum blood was without  $AFB_1$  was 44 (49%) while the number of females whose serum blood was without  $AFB_1$  was 45 (50.6%), with significant differences between them, as shown in table (3).

**Table (3):** Distribution of AFB1 according to Sex by using TLC.

| Sex   |   | Positive | Negative | Total |  |
|---|---|----------|----------|-------|--|
| Famala  | F | 51       | 45       | 96    |  |
| remaie  | % | 58.0     | 50.6     | 54.2  |  |
| Mala  | F | 37       | 44       | 81    |  |
| Iviale  | % | 42.0     | 49.9     | 45.8  |  |
| Total   | F | 88       | 89       | 177   |  |
| Totai   | % | 100      | 100      | 100   |  |
| *Chi-Square Tests; TLC=Thin Layer Chromatography; F=                              |   |          |          |       |  |
| Frequency X <sup>2</sup> Calculate = $40.27$ , X <sup>2</sup> table (0.05) = .974 |   |          |          |       |  |

# Measurement Quantitative of the AFB<sub>1</sub> by HPLC

AFB<sub>1</sub> was quantitatively measured by using an HPLC device to measure toxin concentrations in the study groups. The results showed that there was a highly significant difference between the study groups, and the *P*-value was <0.001. The highest concentration of toxin was in male patients (4.6 ng /ml) and female patients (4.5 ng/ml). While the highest concentration of toxin was in the control (0.14

ng/ml) for both males and females. These concentrations are considered high compared to healthy people, as shown table (4).

| Groups   | Mean ng∖ml | Mean ng\ml SD Duncan tes |   | P-value |  |
|--|------------|--------------------------|---|---------|--|
| M,D-2,TX   | 3.985      | .445                     | А |         |  |
| F,D-2,TX   | 4.016      | .401                     | А |         |  |
| M,D-2,NTX  | .005       | .021                     | В |         |  |
| F,D-2,NTX  | .004       | .015                     | В | .001*   |  |
| M,C,TX   | .140       | .006                     | В |         |  |
| F,C,TX   | .140       | .007                     | В |         |  |
| M,C,NTX  | .010       | .011                     | В |         |  |
| F,C,NTX  | .002       | .001                     | В |         |  |
| N=Number ;*= significant p< 0.005; The difference between the letters indicates that there is a significant difference between the study groups. |            |                          |   |         |  |

Table (4): Measurement concentration AFB1 by HPLC in patients and control groups

#### Measurement of biochemical parameters in study groups

The comparisons between study groups were as follows: AFB<sub>1</sub> positivity was distributed among four groups. It included (M, D-2, TX), (F, D-2, TX), (M, C, TX), and (F, C, TX), compared with other groups, which included (M, D-2, NTX), (M, C, NTX), (F, C, NTX), and (F, D-2, NTX), with significant differences between them. As shown in table (5)

|               | Biochemical parameters |           |           |            |           |            |             |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Groups        | AST (D.T)              | ALT(D.T)  | UREA(D.T) | CREAT(D.T) | FBS(D.T)  | HBAIC(D.T) | P-value     |
| M,D-2,TX      | 41.69(a)               | 41.92(a)  | 42.58(a)  | 1.03(a)    | 225.98(a) | 7.645(bc)  |             |
| F,D-2,TX      | 41.76(a)               | 40.20(ab) | 41.32(a)  | 1.00(a)    | 210.53(a) | 7.762(b)   |             |
| M,D-<br>2,NTX | 23.52(c)               | 25.23(c)  | 32.35(b)  | 0.81(b)    | 183.88(a) | 8.596(a)   |             |
| F,D-2,NTX     | 22.34(c)               | 20.02(d)  | 30.64(b)  | 0.75(b)    | 176.20(a) | 7.178(c)   |             |
| М,С,ТХ        | 36.12(b)               | 39.39(ab) | 32.85(b)  | 0.83(b)    | 116.91(a) | 5.576(d)   | $0.001^{*}$ |
| F,C,TX        | 36.24(b)               | 35.90(b)  | 34.56(b)  | 0.82(b)    | 118.44(a) | 5.416(d)   |             |
| M,C,NTX       | 15.62(d)               | 15.45(e)  | 22.32(c)  | 0.60(c)    | 81.25(a)  | 4.665(e)   |             |
| F,C,NTX       | 18.12(d)               | 16.66(de) | 23.61(c)  | 0.59(c)    | 86.89(a)  | 4.668(e)   |             |

Table (5): Distributed AFB<sub>1</sub> positivity in biochemical parameters.

D.T= Duncan test; \*=significant; AST=Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT=Alanine aminotransferase; HBA1C= Hemoglobin A1C; The difference between the letters indicates that there is a significant difference between the study groups; M,D-2,TX: Male Diabetes Type 2 With AFB1; F,D-2,TX: Female Diabetes Type 2 With AFB1; M,D-2,NTX: Male Diabetes Type 2 Without AFB1; F,D-2,NTX: Female Diabetes Type 2 Without AFB1; M,C,TX: Male Control With AFB1;F,C,TX: Female Control With AFB1; M,C,NTX: Male Control Without AFB1; F,C,NTX: Female Control Without AFB1; M,C,NTX: Male Control Without AFB1; F,C,NTX: Female Control Without AFB1

#### Dissection

The results show that AFB<sub>1</sub> was present in the control groups, indicating that the toxin's presence in healthy individuals may cause the development of diabetes mellitus, hepatitis, or kidney disease [9]. Abd AL-Redha *et al.*, who discovered a connection between toxins and patients as well as controls, were used to approach these findings [10]. The effect of AFB<sub>1</sub> on patients may be harmful or aggravate their disease, which is the cause. Kadhum et al. found that T2DM increased as AFB<sub>1</sub> concentrations increased. The results show that both males and females were impacted by AFB<sub>1</sub>, but females were exposed to it to a greater extent. This is in line with several previous studies, including [11] and [9]. The enzyme glutathione S-transferases, whose activity in males differs from that in females, may be the cause. In a study that assessed GST activity in the human colon, Singhal *et al.*, found that males had higher GST activity than females [12].

ALT and AST after ingesting AFB<sub>1</sub>, the body quickly absorbs it and delivers it to the liver through the circulatory system [13]. This result was in agreement with the many studies included in [14-16] which shown during their tests that AFB<sub>1</sub>-containing groups had higher ALT and AST levels than control groups. Blood urea and serum creatinine are two examples of biochemical indicators that represent the severity of a variety of kidney illnesses. Numerous studies have revealed that AFB<sub>1</sub> occasionally results in severe inflammatory cell infiltration and hemorrhage while also clearly damaging renal tissue. Other studies have shown that long-term administration of AFs damages the kidneys and may also result in toxicosis, cell necrosis, and inflammation. These findings showed elevated levels of urea and creatinine and showed that the kidney was one of the main organs that AFs targeted. Additionally, they suggested that certain metabolites, such as proline, which was proved to be a distinct metabolite in the kidney, might be transported, generated, or destroyed there [17-19]. result is in agreement with the many studies included in [14-17] which showed during their studies an increase in the levels of B. Urea and S. Cr compared to the control groups. The etiology of T2DM and its related metabolic problems is ultimately caused by a number of risk factors, including inflammatory reactions and oxidative stress. Alvarez et al. discovered a rise in glucose levels with continuous exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub>, and our research supported their findings. When evaluating the connection between metabolic diseases and AFB<sub>1</sub> [20].

Unbalances in the gut microbial metabolism were observed in a recent rodent research following oral administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> [21]. AFB<sub>1</sub> was found to be able to change the gut microbiota in rats in a dose-response way, according to a similar study [21]. The researchers argued that AFB<sub>1</sub> can negatively alter the community structure of the gut microbiota as well as various metabolic pathways involved in gluconeogenesis, the Krebs cycle, and the formation of lactic acid [22].

## Conclusion

According to the study's findings, females are more sensitive to  $AFB_1$  than males. An aggravation of diabetes mellitus in the patients and pre-diabetes in the control groups is indicated by elevated levels of HBA1C and FBS in the AFB1-bearing groups of both patients and controls.

The effects of AFB<sub>1</sub> on kidney and liver functions included a rise in the levels of the liver enzymes AST and ALT as well as kidney function markers urea and creatinine.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The author declares no conflict of interest.

#### References

**1.**Galicia-Garcia U, Benito-Vicente A, Jebari S, Larrea-Sebal A, Siddiqi H, Uribe KB, et al. Pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus. International journal of molecular sciences. 2020;21(17):6275.

**2.** Firmin S, Bahi-Jaber N, Abdennebi-Najar L. Food contaminants and programming of type 2 diabetes: recent findings from animal studies. Journal of developmental origins of health and disease. 2016;7(5):505-12.

**3**. Iqbal SZ. Mycotoxins in food, recent development in food analysis and future challenges; a review. Current Opinion in Food Science. 2021;42:237-47.

**4.** Dai Y, Huang K, Zhang B, Zhu L, Xu W. Aflatoxin B1-induced epigenetic alterations: An overview. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2017;109:683-9.

**5.**Wang C, Li Y, Zhao Q. A signal-on electrochemical aptasensor for rapid detection of aflatoxin B1 based on competition with complementary DNA. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2019;144:111641.

**6.** Min L, Fink-Gremmels J, Li D, Tong X, Tang J, Nan X, et al. An overview of aflatoxin B1 biotransformation and aflatoxin M1 secretion in lactating dairy cows. Animal Nutrition. 2021;7(1):42-8.

**7.** Fouad AM, Ruan D, El-Senousey HK, Chen W, Jiang S, Zheng C. Harmful Effects and Control Strategies of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> Produced by Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus Strains on Poultry: Review. Toxins (Basel). 2019;11(3).

**8.** Park S, Lee J-Y, You S, Song G, Lim W. Neurotoxic effects of aflatoxin B1 on human astrocytes in vitro and on glial cell development in zebrafish in vivo. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2020;386:121639.

**9.** Kadhum GM, AL\_Jumaili SA, AL\_Hashemi HA. Investigation of Aflatoxin B1 in blood of diabetes mellitus type 2 patients. HIV Nursing. 2022;22(2):3632–4-–4.

**10.** Abd AL-Redha S, Falah Z, Ahmed F, Falah G, Hasson A. Investigation of Ochratoxin A in blood and it's relationship with Cancer diseases. 2017.

**11.** Abdullah HAR, Aljumaili SAR. Investigation of Patulin in samples blood of persons in Karbala province. 2018.

**12.** Singhal SS, Saxena M, Awasthi S, Ahmad H, Sharma R, Awasthi YC. Gender related differences in the expression and characteristics of glutathione S-transferases of human colon. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Structure and Expression. 1992;1171(1):19-26.

**13.** Lalah JO, Omwoma S, Orony D. Aflatoxin B1: Chemistry, environmental and diet sources and potential exposure in human in Kenya. Aflatoxin B1 Occurrence, Detection and Toxicological Effects. 2019.

P-ISSN: 2664-0562 E-ISSN:2664-0554 **14.** Karamkhani M, Asilian-Mahabadi H, Daraei B, Seidkhani-Nahal A, Noori-Zadeh A. Liver and kidney serum profile abnormalities in workers exposed to aflatoxin B1 in urban solid waste management centers. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2020;192:1-12.

**15.** Li C, Liu X, Wu J, Ji X, Xu Q. Research progress in toxicological effects and mechanism of aflatoxin B1 toxin. PeerJ. 2022;10:e13850.

**16.** Navale V, Vamkudoth KR, Ajmera S, Dhuri V. Aspergillus derived mycotoxins in food and the environment: Prevalence, detection, and toxicity. Toxicology reports. 2021;8:1008-30.

**17.** Kareem SA, Hameed RM, Abd AL-Redha S, Al-Khatt H. Aflatoxin B1 as a Threshold Concept of Uncertain Etiology of Chronic Kidney Diseases. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2021;15(3):737-44.

**18**.Li H, Xing L, Zhang M, Wang J, Zheng N. The toxic effects of aflatoxin B1 and aflatoxin M1 on kidney through regulating L-proline and downstream apoptosis. BioMed research international. 2018;2018.

**19**. Matejova I, Svobodova Z, Vakula J, Mares J, Modra H. Impact of mycotoxins on aquaculture fish species: A review. Journal of the world aquaculture society. 2017;48(2):186-200.

**20.** Alvarez CS, Rivera-Andrade A, Kroker-Lobos MF, Florio AA, Smith JW, Egner PA, et al. Associations between aflatoxin B1-albumin adduct levels with metabolic conditions in Guatemala: A cross-sectional study. Health Science Reports. 2022;5(1):e495.

**21.**Wang J, Tang L, Glenn TC, Wang J-S. Aflatoxin B1 induced compositional changes in gut microbial communities of male F344 rats. Toxicological Sciences. 2016;150(1):54-63.

**22.** Zhou J, Tang L, Wang J, Wang J-S. Aflatoxin B1 disrupts gut-microbial metabolisms of shortchain fatty acids, long-chain fatty acids, and bile acids in male F344 rats. Toxicological sciences. 2018;164(2):453-64.