# STUDIES OF SOME SEED CHARACTERISTIC OF SUNFLOWER UNDER HARDENING TO DROUGHT TOLERANCE

Kamil M. M. AL-Jobori Emad K. Aziz College of Science ,Univ.of Baghdad-Iraq College of Agric.,Univ. of Dialah-Iraq

#### **ABSTRACT**

was conducted during spring season of 2000 and 2001atExperimental Station of Soil and Water Department, Iraqi Atomic Energy Commission. To evaluate the tolerance of tow hybrids (Euroflor and Flame) to drought stress:control(full irrigation) 800, and 600 Kpa. by hardening the seeds befor sowing: control (unsoaked), soaking in water, paclobutrazol solution (250ppm) and pix solution(500ppm). The soaking continued for 24 hours then the seeds were dried at room temperature until they regained their original weight. Asplitsplit plot design was used with three replication. The main-plots included the irrigation treatments, the sub-plots were the hybrids and the sub-sub-plots represented soaking treatments. The weight of the mean achene, the weight of husk and kernel, percetage of kernel and husk, oil, protein and carbohydrate contents of dried achenes were determined. The results indicated that water stress 800 Kpa decreased carbohydrate content by 4.8% than control in season 2000. Euroflor cultivar was superior over Flame in kernel weight by 5.8%, kernel percentage by 3.7% and oil content by 6.7%. Soaking in water and paclobutrazol solution increased seed content of oil by 4.1 and 4.3% and decreased carbohydrate content by 6.1 and 4.6%, respectively than control as amean of seasons. Soaking in paclobutrazol solution decreased protein content by 4.7% than control in season 2000. The interaction between irrigation, cultivars and soaking showed anegative association between oil, protein and carbohydrate in sunflower achenes. Therfore, this study suggest that soaking the seeds presowing in water or plant growth regulators is convenient practice to increase drought tolerance.

### INTRODUCTION

Sunflower is a crop grown mainly in the Mediterranean and some other tropical and subtropical regions characteristed by semi-arid environments, where (D' Amato and Giordano,1988; Quaglietta,1991,1992)The seed weight and

seed number per head are considered together because they are strictly dependent as yield components, on water deficit, infact their adjustment follows acompensation mechanism well known in sunflower (Vannozzi etal,1999 and Merrien,1986). Seed weight is acharacteristic which is often strongly influenced by water availability(Fereres etal,1986 and Gimenez and Fereres,1986),so seed growth rate (SGR)(Baldini and Vannozzi,1999)and husk:kernel ratio(Tomar etal,1997) were higher under wet conditions than under drought .The oil content in sunflower achenes mainly depends on proportions of husk and kernel (Fick,1978),the water and high temperatures (Murieal and Downes,1974 and Fereres etal,1986),plant growth regulators (Aboushoba etal,1984). Fernandez-Martinez and Dominguez-Gimenez(1985) in astudy of the variability of the characteristic of sunflower seeds, found values between 23 and 56% of oil in the achenes. According to Tripathi and Sawhuey (1989); Kumar etal (1991) and Tomar etal(1996) wet conditions strongly related to dry matter production as well as to oil supply.

It is now certain that plant growth regulators effects seeds weight (Pande and Srivestavce, 1988 and Attiya and AL-Mubarak, 1999). However seeds weight were also significantly more in plants derived from treated seeds (Basu and opadey, 1983). Reports on effect of plant growth regulators on seed quality are conflicting, cycocle had an insignificant effect on carbohydrate, oil and protein in sunflower seeds (Abous-hoba etal, 1984), also paclobutrazol had an insignificant effect on seed oil content (Attiya, 1996). Inhibitory effects of diverse groups of growth retardants on protein synthesis is well documented (Berry and Smith, 1970). Dublijanskaja and Suprunova (1969) and Giordanic and cuichini (1970) found anegative association between oil as protein content in sunflower seeds. The objectives of this experiments were to study some seed characteristics of sunflower under hardening conditions

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment were conducted at Experimental Station of Soil and Water Department, Iraqi Atomic Energy Commission .during the spring season of 2000 and 2001. The seeds were hill planted apart 75cm ridges and 25cm between hills on the 15<sup>th</sup> of March 2000 and 13<sup>th</sup> of March 2001. The seedling were thinned after about 3 weeks from sowing to one plant per hill. Asplit- split plots design was used with three replicati-

ons, the main plot included the irrigation treatment:irrigation to 100% (full irrigation), 75%(600 Kpa) and 50%(800 Kpa) of available water. The sub plots were the cultivars were (Euroflor and Flame). The sub-sub plots represented four soaking treatments: control (unsoaked), soaking in water, paclobutrazol solution (250ppm) and pix solution (500ppm). The soaking continued for 24 hours then seeds were dried at room temperature until they regained their original weight. Soil water content was measured with a neutron probe. Agricultural practices were carried out as usual. The following characters were studied: mean achene weight (m.a.w.), the value of m.a.w. was determined as the arithmetical average of the mean weight of three samples containing 100 chene

randomly chosen, kernel weight (m.a.k.c.)and husk weight (m.a.h.c.)of the mean achene ,kernel and husk percentage(%). The achenes quality oil (o.c.d.a.%), protein (p.c.d.a.%) and carbohydrate (c.c.d.a.%) were estimated by using Infrared Analyzer. All

the data were subjected to the statistical analysis using Mstat program, and the mean values were compared by (L.S.D.) test.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Although the experimental data (table.1) related to the weight distribution between the tow main parts of sunflower achenes (kernel and husk), and macrocomposition of the achenes. Only the achenes sample coming from water stress 800 Kpa portion at season 2000 seem to show the existence of significant decrease in carbohydrate content by 4.8% than control. The oil, protein and carbohydrate content in sunflower achenes mainly dep- ends on the proportions of husk and kernel (Fick,1978) the water stress and high temper- atures (Muriel and Downes,1974; Fereres etal , 1986 and Fernandez-Martinez and Dominguez-Gimenez,1985).

The cultivars tested appear to be differences in kernel and husk weight ,kernel %, husk % and seed content of oil in season 2001 and as amean of seasons (table .2). Euro- flor hybrid was superior over Flame in kernel weight by 5.8 %, kernel % by 3.7 % and oil content by 6.7 % as amean of seasons. This may be due to the differences between genot- ypes in ability to interaction

with environmental conditions, and the difference in oil content probably due to the weight distribution between kernel and husk. These results agreed with those reported by (Dorrel, 1978; Merrien et al, 1981; Andrich et al, 1996 and Attiya, 1996).

Itis clear from the data that soaking in water and paclobutrazol solution had asignificant effect on seed content of oil in season 2000 and as amean of seasons (table.3). The increased in oil content were 4.1 and 4.3% than control as amean of seasons,respectively. In contrast, decreased the carbohydrate content by 6.1 and 4.6% than control as amean of seasons,respectively. Soaking in paclobutrazol solution decreased protein content significantly by 4.7% than control in season 2000. It was noticed that the treatments which had highest oil content associated with the lowest protein and carbohydrate content. This results agreed with those reported by (Dublijanskaja and Supranova, 1969; Giordani and Cichini, 1970; Naggpa, 1983 and Aboushoba et al, 1984).

Table.1, Effect of water stress on weight of the mean achene (m.a.w.),kernel and husk weight of mean achene (m.a.k.c. and m.a.h.c.), kernel%, husk%, percentage of oil (%o.c.d.a.), protein (%p.c.d.a.) and carbohydrate(%c.c.d.a.) in the achenes during season 2000,2001 and as amean of tow seasons.

Irr. treat.(kpa		I.a.w. mg)	m.a.k.c. (mg)	m.a.h.c (mg)	kernel (%)	husk (%)	o.c.d.a. (%)	p.c.d.a. (%)	c.c.d.a. (%)	
conti	rol	52.70	40.36	6	12.34	76.61	23.39	43.46	season 2 20.78	000 18.60
21.38	17.75	5 60	00 54.8	42.18 800	12.71 51.59	76.88 2 39.34	23.13 44.4 12.25		18.01 23.86 43.	42
		L.	S.D.0.05		I.S. N.S	. N.S.			.S. 0.5	

### season 2001

control	58.01	42./1	15.30	73.59	26.41	44.38	22.40	17.66
	600	56.05	41.60	14.45	74.18 25	5.83 46.11	1 22.49	<b>17.46</b>
	800	55.88	41.63	14.25	74.33	25.68 44.6	5 22.61	16.83
	L.S.D.	0.05 N	S. N	.S. N.S.	N.S.	N.S. N	I.S. N.S.	. N.S.

### Mean seasons

control	55.36	41.54	13.82	75.10	24.9	43.92	21.59	18.13
600	55.47	41.89	13.58	75.53 2	4.48	45.27	21.92	17.74
800	53.74	40.49	13.25	75.24	24.77	43.95	22.00	17.29
L.S.D.0.0	5 N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

This data from tab.4, showed that the interaction between irrigation, cultivars and soaking had significant effect on oil, protein and carbohydrate content of achenes. Aclear trend increased oil content decreasing potein and carbohydrate content were obtained. Steer etal (1984) found that most protein accumulation in sunflower achenes occurs early in the first anthesis period, While in contrast, accumulation of oil commences 7-14 days after anthesis, reaching amaximum on week befor physiological maturity (Goffner etal., 1988). This asynchrony and

continuing oil accumulation has the effect of diluting protein and may be the major cause of the inverse relationship between oil and protein concentration in achenes(Conner and Sadras,1992). Therfore, this study suggest that soaking the seeds presowing in water or plant growth regulators is convenient practice to increase drought tolerance.

Table.2, weight of the mean achene (m.a.w.),kernel and husk weight of mean achene (m.a.k.c. and m.a.h.c.), kernel%, husk%, percentage of oil (%o.c.d.a.), protein (%p.c.d.a.) and carbohydrate(%c.c.d.a.) in the achenes of cultivars during season 2000,2001 and as amean of tow seasons.

•	,	c.c.d.a.) in the	acifeties 0	seasoi	ns.	C	,			
cultivar	s N	I.a.w. m.a	a.k.c.	m.a.h.c	. kei	rnel	husk	o.c.d.a.	p.c.d.a.	c.c.d.a.
	(mg	g) (mg)	(m	g)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%	(%)	)
Eur	oflor	51.98	<b>0.80</b>	12.10	)	76.69	23.3	1 45.22		n 2000 5 18.20
N.S.	N.S.	Flame	54.13 L.S.	41.37 D.0.05	12.77 N.S.	76.39 N.S.	9 23.6 N.S.	1 42.20 N.S.	21.48 18 N.S.	8.04 N.S.
						sea	ason 20	01		
	Euroflor		4.38 38		15.62	71 23. 71.35 .04 (		43.48		6.66 17.98 0.85
				Mean s	easons					
		Euroflor Flame L.S.D.0.	55.45 54.26 05 N.S	42.54 40.08 5. 2.1	14.	20 73	5.70 23 3.87 26 0.98 0	.13 42.4	8 22.18	17.43 18.04 N.S.

Table.3, Effect of soaking treatments on weight of the mean achene (m.a.w.),kernel and husk weight of mean achene (m.a.k.c. and m.a.h.c.), kernel%, husk%, percentage of oil (%o.c.d.a.), protein (%p.c.d.a.) andcarbohydrate (%c.c.d.a.) in the achene during season 2000,2001 and as amean of tow seasons.

soaking treat.	M. (m	.a.w.	m.a.k.c. (mg)	m.a (m	ı.h.c.	kernel (%)	husk (%)	o.c.d (%	_	.c.d.a. (%)	c.c.d. (%		
ti cut.	(111	<i>5)</i>	(III <sub>S</sub> )	(11)	5)	(70)	(70)	( / 0	,	( / 0 )		n 2000	_
control		52.13	40.02		12.12	76.65	23.	35 42	.70	21.48		.25	
			water 5	53.00	40.62	12.38	76.60	) 23	3.40 44	1.98 2	21.20	17.45	
paclobutra		53.23			12.4		76.68			4.97			17.8
pi	X	53.87 L.S.I	41.03 0.0.05 N		12.83 N.S.	76.23 N.S.	23. N.S.	.77 4: N.S.		21.4 0.5		8.97 N.S.	
							sea	ason 20	01				
contro		55.67	41.	02	14.6	55	73.77	26.	23 4	4.35	22.4	7 17	7.90
			water	59.77	44.4	2 15.3	5 74	.27 25	<b>5.73</b> 4	5.75	22.58	16.62	
paclobuti pix		54.6 56.53	2 40	0.27	1	4.35	73.	_	26.3 6.73	8 40	6.05	22.8	15
_			42.22		14.32	74.	47	25.53	44.03	3 22.	10 1	8.02	
		L	S.D.0.05	N.S.	N.S.	. N.S.	N.S	. N.S	S. N.S	S. N	N.S.	1.06	
					Mean s	seasons							
control		53.90	40.52	1	3.39	75.2	1 2	4.79	43.53	3 21	<b>.98</b> 1	18.08	
			water	56.39	42.52	2 13.8	7 75.	.44 2	4.57	45.37	21.89	17.04	
paclobuti		53.39	40.5		13.3		75.15		1.85	45.51	21.		1
pix 7	.28	55.20	41.63		3.58	<b>75.3</b> :		24.65				18.50	
		$\mathbf{L}$	S.D.0.05	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S	. N.S	S. 1.5	3	N.S.	0.75	

Tab.4,Effect of the interaction between irrigation,cultivars and soaking treatments on percentage of oil, protein and carbohydrate in the achene during season 2000,2001 and as amean of two seasons.

Irr.	Cultiv.	Soak.	%o.c.d.a.	%o.c.d.a.	%o.c.d.a.	%p.c.d.a.%
		p.c.d	.a. %p.c.d.a.%c.	c.d.a.%c.c.d.a.%	c.c.d.a.	•

	<u>200</u>	(1)سنة 9	يلد (9) العدد	المج					لوم الزراعية	امعة تكريت للعا	مجلة ج
	treat	trea	t. 2	000 20	)01 m	ean	2000	2001	mean mean	2000 2	001
				control			45 21.90		21.75 20.30		
	,		48.40	49.70	49.05	19.70	22.20	20.95	18.00		5.95
	Eur.	_		41.70	45.20	17.60	24.70	21.15	17.70		3.35
		pix	45.80	44.50	45.15	19.20	20.50	19.85	21.60	17.80 19	<b>9.70</b>
control	$\leq$	contro	1 39.30	38.50	38.90	21.70	22.10	21.90	17.30	19.30 18	3.30
		water		40.60	39.10	2 3.20	22.60	22.90			.20
	Fla	, Paclo.	39.00	44.10	41.55	21.10	23.70	22.40	17.60	17.80 17	.70
		pix	44.20	47.70	45.95	21.80	21.80	21.80	17.70	15.80 16	5.75
		control	46.90	48.10	47.50	22.00	22.30	22.15	16.60	15.10 1	5.85
	Eur.	water	44.90	47.10	46.00	21.80	22.50	22.15	16.10	16.50	16.30
		paclo	42.10	47.90	45.00	22.80	21.80	22.30	19.50	15.70	17.60
		pix	42.10	49.40	45.75	21.40	21.80	21.60	17.70	15.80	16.75
600 -	<	control	41 20	40.80	41.00	20.20	23.10	21.65	2 0.20	21.50 2	n 95
	W		47.10	44.80	45.95	20.20	23.10 24.10	22.20	18.40		0.63 18.10
	و	Paclo						20.60	17.30	<b>17.30</b> 1	
		pix	42.30	41.80	42.05	22.30	23.00	22.65	18.30	20.00 1	19.15
		control	44.80	45.70	45.25	21.00	22.00	21.50	18.00	16.70 1	17.35
		water	4 7.40	48.90	48.15	20.60	21.50	21.05	15.60	14.30 1	4.95
	Eur.	paclo.	46.90	47.10	47.00	20.50	2 1.90	21.20	17.20	15.20 1	16.20
		pix 3	39.90	41.10	40.50	21.70	22.70	22.20	20.10	20.00 2	20.05
800 -	$\leq$	control	30 30	44.80	42.05	22.10	23.70	22.90	1 7.10	16.90 1	17 00
			39.30 14.50	43.40	43.95	21.60	22.60	22.10	18.00		17.70
		44.30	46.5					22.45	17.60	15.40	
	Fla .										•0
	_	Pix 3	8.80	39.70	39.25	22.30	22.80	22.55	18.40	18.70	18.55
	L.S.D	.0.05	1.84	N.S.	1.53	0.57	N.S.	0.48	N.S.	1.06	0.75

### **REFERENCES**

- Aboushoba,L.M.; Shahin,N, and EL-Afry,M.M.1984. Physiological response of sunflo- wer plant to foliar application of ccc and boron. Tropenlandwirt,85-86:32-40.
  - Andrich,G.; Balzini,S.; Zinnai,A.; Silvestri,S., and Galoppini,C.1996. The effect of drought stress on some characteristics of sunflower seeds. Agric. Med., 126:285-291.
  - Attiya,H.j.1996. The effect of plant growth regulator cultar (paclobutrazol) and cycocel on  $\circ$  sunflower (*Helianthus annuus L.*). Iraqi J. of Agric. Sci., 27(1):99-106.
  - Attiya,H.J., and AL-Mubarak, N.F.1999. Role of PGR and date of sowing on growth and yield of maize.Iraqi J. Agric. Sci.,30(2):353-364.
  - Baldini,M., and Vannozzi, G.P.1999. Yield relationships under drought in sunflower genotypes obtained from awild population and cultivated sunflowers in rain-out shelter in larg pots and field experiments.HELIA,22(30):81-96.
  - Basu, R.N., and Gopadey, D.1983. Soaking and drying of stored sunflower seeds for maintaining viability, vigour of seedling and yield potential. Indian J.Agric. Sci.,53(7):563-569.
  - Berry, D.R., and Smith, H. 1970. The inhibition by high concentration of chlorophyll and protein synthesis in excised barley leaf sections. Planta, 91:80-86.
    - Connor, D.J., and Sadras, V.O.1992. Physiology of yield expression in sunflower. Field Crop Res., 30:333-389.
      - D' Amato ,A., and Giordano, I. 1988 .Risposta produttiva del girasole (*Helianthus annuus* L.) avolumi irrigui crescenti , in funzione dell'epoca di semia. Irrigazione e Drenaggio, 2:19-24.
- Dorrel, D.G. 1978. Processing and utilization of oil seed sunflower. In: CARTER J.F. (ed) "Sunflower Science and Technology". N.19 in the series "Agronomy". Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.pp. 407-440.
  - Dublijanskaja,N.F., and Suprunova,L.V.1969. Protein content in the seeds of sunflower varieties with high oil content. Vestn.Seliskohoz. Nauk (New Agric. Sci.), 9:34-37.
  - Fernandez-Martinez,J.M., and Dominguez-Gimenez,J.1985.Evaluacion de la variabili- dad en earacteres de la semilla de una coleccion mundial de girasol. Proceeding of the 11<sup>th</sup> International sunflower conference . Mar del Plata , Argentina , pp. 535-540.
  - Fereres, E., Gimenez, C., and Fernandez, J.M.1986. Genetic variability in sunflower cultivars under drought. I.Yield relationships.Aust.J. Agric. Res.,37:573-582.
  - Fick, G.N.1978. Breeding and genetic. In: Jack, F. Carter(ed)'Sunflower Science and Technology''.Series Agronomy, N.19,pp.279-338. American Soc. Agronomy. Gimenez, C., and Fereres, E. 1986. Genetic variability in sunflower cultivars under drought.II.Growth and water Relations. Aust.J.Agric.Res.,30:1001-1020.
  - Giordani, C., and Cichini, M.1970. Comparative analysis of the seed sunflower cultivars in three years of trials in the Tuscan Fenland and acomparsion with the original seed from Kramodor, Crimea and from umania. Rev. Agric. Subtrop. 98-110. (C.F. Plant Breed Abstr., 41(1):1529, 1971).

- Goffner,D.,;Cazalis,R.,Percie du sert,C.,Calmes,J., and Cavalie,G.1988.C14 photoass- imilate partitioning in developing sunflower seeds. J Exp. Bot., 39:1411-1420.
- Kumar,S.;Dixit, R.S., and Tripathi,H.P.1991.Effects of nitrogen on nutrient uptake and oil content of sunflower ( *Helianthus annuus* L.) under different moisture regims. Indian J. Agric.Sci.,61(10):766-768.
- Merrien, A., Blanchet, R., and Geif, N.1981. Relationships between water supply, leaf area development and survival, and production in sunflower. Agronomie,1(10): 917-922(C.F.Irri. and Drain.Abstr. 9(1): 331, 1983).
- Merrien, A.1986. Comportement hydryque du tournesol synthese ssays''Irrigation'' 1983-1988, In: Le tournesol Et I'eau. Adaptation a la secheresse. Reponse al' irrigation. CETIOM, Paris, pp.7-90.
- Muriel, J.L., and Downes, R.W.1974.Effect of periods of moisture stress during various phases of growth of sunflower in the greenhouse . Proceedings of the  $6^{\rm th}$  International sunflower conference . Bucharest , Romania , pp. 127-131.
- Naggpa, D.1983. Studies on pre-sowing seed hardening in sunflower: Effect on growth and productivity. Mysore J.Agric. Sci.,17 (1): 94.
- Pande, S. B., and Srivestance, G. C.1988. Influence of cycocel on seed yield and oil content in seed of sunflower ( *Helianthus annuus* L.).(C.F.Field Crop Abstr. 41:858 ).
- Quaglietta, C.F., D'Andria, R., Monotti, M., Attene, G., D'Amato, G., Vannozzi, G.P., and Morell, G.1991. Irrigazione del gir asole a semina primaverile. L'Informatore Agrario, 47(8):55-67.
- Quaglietta, C.F.; D'Andria, R.; Monotti, M.; Cosentino, S.L.; Guiducci, M.; Morelli, G.; Salera, E., and Leoni, O.1992. Irrigazione del girasole in secondo raccolto. L' informatore Agrario, 48(8):65-7.
- Steer, B.T., Hocking, P.J., Kort,A.A., and Roxburugh, C.M.1984. Nitrogen nutrition of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) yield components, the time and their establishment and seed characteristics response to nitrogen supply. Field Crop Res.,9:219-236.
  - Tomar , H.P.S., Dadhwal , K.S., and Singh , H.P.1996. Oil content and cake yield and protein content of sunflower as influenced by irrigation , nitrogen and phosphorus level.Indian J.Soil conservation,24(3):215-220.
- Tomar, H.P.S., Dadhwal, K.S., and Singh, H.P.1997.Root characteristics and moisture-use pattern of spring sunflower influenced by irrigation, nitrogen and phosphorus. Indian J.Agron., 42(3):515-519.
- Tripathi, H.P., and Sawheney, J.S. 1989. Nutrient uptake and quality of sunflower as influenced by irrigation and nitrogen levels. Narendradeva J. Agric. Res., 4(1):83-87.
- Vannozzi, G.P., Baldini, M., and Gomez-Sanchez, D.1999. Agronomic traits useful in sunflower breeding for drought resistance. HELIA, 22(30): 97-124.

الملخص ألعربي

دراسة بعض صفات بذور زهرة ألشمس تحت ظروف ألتطويع لتحمل ألجفاف كامل مطشر مالح ألجبوري/كلية العلوم-جامعة بغداد-ألعراق عماد خلف عزيز / كلية الزراعة – جامعة ديالي – العراق

نفذت الدراسة خلال الموسمين الربيعيين 2000و 2001 في محطة أبحاث قسم التربة والمياه التابع لمنظمة الطاقة الذرية العراقية (الملغاة) لتقدير تحمل صنفين من زهرة الشمس (يوروفلور وفلامي) للشد المائي : المقارنة (ألري الكامل) والشد 600 و800 كيلوباسكال ، وذلك بتقسية البذور قبل الزراعة بمعاملات النقع:المقارنة (من دون نقع) والنقع في الماء والنقع في محلول الكتار (250 جزء من المليون) والنقع في محلول البكس (500 جزء من المليون) ، لمدة 24 ساعة ثم تجفيف البذور هوائيا حتى تستعيد وزنها الأصلي قبل النقع . استعمل ترتيب الألواح المنشقة بتصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة (RCBD) ويثلاث مكررات . قدر متوسط أوزان البذور وأوزان اللب والقشور ونسبة اللب % ونسبة القشور % ومحتوى البذور من الزيت والكربوهيدرات . أنخفض محتوى البذور من الكربوهيدرات بنسبة 4.8 % عند مستوى الشد 800 كيلوياسكال مقارنة مع ومحتوى البذور من الزيت المسبة المقارنة مع ومحتوى البذور من الزيت المسبة 1.8 و 1.4 و 1.3 و 1.4 و 1.4 و 1.4 و 1.4 و 1.5 و 1.4 و 1.