

Electron Transfer between Thionine dye and ZnO Semiconductor in Variety Solvents.

Shakir D.S. Al-Saeedi

Department of physics, College of Science, Thi-Qar University.

Abstract

Electron transfer rate constant for dye / semiconductor system has been calculated using Fermi golden rule. The reorganization energy (λ eV) is calculated for this system at three solvents and compared with the theoretical and experimental values. The effective free energy (ΔG_0 eV) is calculated depending on the spectrum of thionine dye. The transfer is treated according to the weak coupling between semiconductor and acceptor molecule state. Our calculations show good agreement with the theoretical and experimental observed results.

Introduction

Since the late 1940s, the field of electron transfer was grown enormously, both in chemistry and biology applications. The development of the field, experimentally and theoretically, as well as its relation to the study of other kinds of chemical reactions, represents an intriguing history [1]. Electron transfer reactions represent an elementary process which occurs in a large variety of molecules, ranging from small ion pairs up to biological systems [2], and plays an important role in a huge number of chemical and biochemistry reactions [2]. Electron transfer usually refers to the transfer of an electron from a localized initial donor state $|D\rangle$ to a localized final acceptor state $|A\rangle$ [3]. The dynamics of electron transfer processes is a subject of the experimental and theoretical work. This work deals with intramolecular and intermolecular electron transfer in donor-acceptor [4]. The dynamics of electron transfer across semiconductor and dye

molecule interfaces were promising for application in solar photo-conversion processes [4].

Electron transfer processes occurring at the dye-sensitized heterojunction, the electron injection was depending on a variety of parameters, such as the length between electron donor and acceptor, the density of acceptor states, and the electronic coupling between the dye and semiconductor [5]. Electron transfer at semiconductor - molecule or metal- molecule interfaces is of interest to many research fields [6]. Examples include among others, photocatalysis, surface photochemistry, dye-sensitized solar cells, organic semiconductor based on photovoltaic and nanoscale optoelectronics based on a single molecule or a small group of molecules [6].

The photo-induced electron transfer from the sensitized dye to the semiconductor nanoparticle on which it is chemisorbed is the first key factor of

the overall efficiency of dye sensitized solar cells [7]. The photo-sensitization of electron transfer across semiconductor-solution interface plays an important role in silver halide photography and photovoltaic. Sensitization is achieved by adsorption of dye molecule at the semiconductor surface [8]. Consider an organic light emitting device or field effect transistor (FET), a molecular switch contacted by two metal electrodes, substrate mediated surface photochemistry, and solar energy conversion on dye-sensitized semiconductors. All these processes involve electron transfer between a molecule and

$$K_{et} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} H_{DA}^2 FC \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

Where FC is Franck-Condon factor, H_{DA} is the electronic coupling matrix element, which is depending on the electronic wave functions localized on the sites D and A

$$FC = (4\pi\lambda_{reo} K_B T)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda_{reo} + \Delta G_o)^2}{4\lambda_{reo} K_B T}\right) \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

With ΔG_o is the free energy, and λ_{reo} is the reorganization energy.

In the case of the electron transfer between the excited dye and semiconductor an expression for nonadiabatic electron transfer rate constant is given by [13].

$$K_{et} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{\nu}{\beta} H_{DA}^2 (4\pi\lambda_{reo} K_B T)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(\lambda_{reo} + \Delta G_o)^2}{4\lambda_{reo} K_B T}\right) \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Here ν is the volume of the unit cell of the semiconductor, β is the electronic attenuation

semiconductor surface or a metal [9]. In this work the continuum solvent model can be used to calculate the reorganization energy for thionine dye-ZnO semiconductor system.

Theory

The model used is based upon the use of Fermi golden rule to evaluate the rate of process in terms of the value of the matrix element that couples the reactant and product states of the system [10]. In this model the spherical distribution is assumed. The rate constant for nonadiabatic electron transfer between two electronic states D and A is given by [11].

, and \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant(

$$\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}).$$

The Franck-Condon factor which describes the effects associated with nuclear tunneling and thermal active barrier, this factor can be expressed as [12].

factor, K_B is the Boltzmann's constant, and T is the temperature

The reorganization energy λ_{reo} is the energy required to reorganize the system to the optimum configuration for electron transfer and consists of a component associated with donor and acceptor molecules (intramolecular

reorganization energy) and a solvent component (solvent reorganization energy λ_s) [14]. The reorganization energy λ_{reo} for a redox active ions at semiconducting electrode is given by [15].

$$\lambda_{reo} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{1}{D} \left(\frac{1}{n_{so}^2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{so}} \right) - \frac{1}{2R} \left\{ \frac{n_{sem}^2 - n_{so}^2}{n_{sem}^2 + n_{so}^2} \frac{1}{n_{so}^2} \right\} - \left(\frac{\epsilon_{sem}^2 - \epsilon_{so}^2}{\epsilon_{sem}^2 + \epsilon_{so}^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{so}^2} \right) \right] \dots (4)$$

Where n_{sem} and n_{so} are the refractive indices of ZnO semiconductor and solvent respectively, ϵ_{sem} and ϵ_{so} are the static dielectric constants of ZnO semiconductor and solvent respectively, R is the

distance from the acceptor to the semiconductor electrode, D is the radius of the dye molecule, e is the electron charge, and ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum.

The radius of the dye molecule can be calculated using the following relation [16].

$$\frac{4}{3} \pi D^3 = \frac{M}{N \rho} \dots (5)$$

Where M is the molecular weight of the dye, N is the Avogadro's number, ρ is the density of the dye, and D is the radius of the dye molecule.

The free energy ΔG_0 is given by [17].

$$h\nu^{abs} = \lambda - \Delta G_0 \dots (6)$$

Where $h\nu^{abs}$ is the absorption energy which is taken from the absorption spectrum of thionine dye [18].

Results

To determine the electron transfer rate constant theoretically using the expression (3), the calculations are related by many parameters such as the reorganization energy. The reorganization energy for the system thionine dye- ZnO semiconductor has been calculated

theoretically by using equation (4), one must first calculate the value

of the radius of thionine dye from equation (5).

Inserting the molecular weight of thionine dye $M_{Thi} = 263.75$ gm/mol [20] and density $\rho = 1.48$ gm / cm³ [20], the value of the radius is $D_{Thi} = 4.1$

A° . Inserting the values of refractive index for semiconductor $n_{\text{sem}} = 1.9$ [21], and the static dielectric constant $\epsilon_{\text{sem}} = 8.65$ [21], the refractive index and the dielectric constant for solvents are listed in Table(1). These values are inserted in the expression(4), with the value of the dye radius D , and the distance between the molecule dye and the semiconductor R , where $R = D + 1$ [15], the results have been summarized in the Table(1).

Note that $\frac{e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0} = 7.2 \text{ eV}$. The free energies G_0

for thionine dye and ZnO semiconductor system are calculated for different solvents by taking the difference between the reorganization energy and the absorption energy h^{abs} , where h^{abs} is the absorption energy, the wavelength is taken from the absorption spectrum (400-800)nm of thionine

Discussion

The goal of the present work is to calculate the reorganization energy λ_{reo} , the driving force G_0 , and the electron transfer rate constant K_{et} for dye molecules / semiconductor system. The reorganization energy is dependent on the dielectric of the solvents, and increases with increasing its dielectric constant. The reorganization energy is large for more polar solvent and small for less polar solvent, this indicate that the reorganization energy is dependent on the polarity of the solvent. The reorganization energy for formamide solvent, is one of the polar solvents ($\epsilon_0 = 111$) gives small reorganization energy for thionine dye – semiconductor dye as compared with water solvent ($\epsilon_0 = 80$), this indicate that the formamide has large refractive index ($n_{\text{so}} = 1.4475$). The

dye [18]. The important factor that controlling the electron transfer rate constant is the electronic coupling term, H_{DA} , in this work the value of H_{DA} is ($3 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) has been taken from the Milliken-Hush equation [22]. Other parameter is used in this calculations is the volume of the unit cell of ZnO semiconductor, which is evaluated from the relation $v = abc$ for ZnO semiconductor, with $a=b=3.2469 \text{ \AA}$, and $c = 5.2069 \text{ \AA}$ [23]. The value of the volume of unit cell is $v_{\text{ZnO}} = 5.4893 \times 10^{-23} \text{ cm}^3$, and the value of the electronic attenuation factor is $\beta = 1(\text{ \AA}^{-1})$ [24]. Finally we can calculated the electron transfer constant for thionine dye-ZnO semiconductor theoretically in different solvents by substituting the results of λ_{reo} (eV), G_0 (eV), H_{DA} (eV), v_{ZnO} (cm^3), and (cm^{-1}) in the equation (3), the results are listed in Table(3).

values of the reorganization energies are fitting with the experimental values of $\lambda_{\text{reo}} = 0.7 \text{ eV}$, $\lambda_{\text{reo}} = 0.8 \text{ eV}$ [25], $\lambda_{\text{reo}} = 0.77 \text{ eV}$, $\lambda_{\text{reo}} = 0.83 \text{ eV}$ [15] and the theoretical value is $\lambda_{\text{reo}} = 0.53 \text{ eV}$ [21]. Table(2) shows the results of the driving force (effective free energy), G_0 (eV), and all these results are negative, this indicate that the effective free energy, G_0 , is a part of work which can be broken to reorient the donor-acceptor system [26]. The values of $G_0 = -1.43 \text{ eV}$ for water, $G_0 = -1.53 \text{ eV}$ for formamide, and $G_0 = -1.53 \text{ eV}$ for 2-propanol solvent at 550 nm are fitting with experimental value of $G_0 = -1.45 \text{ eV}$, and $G_0 = -1.52 \text{ eV}$ [15]. The electron transfer rate constant increases when the solvent is more polar and high dielectric constant, and it increases with increasing the reorganization energy, also

the electron transfer increases with decreasing

Conclusions

This work represents the application of the electron transfer theory to calculate the electron transfer rate constant and other parameters for thionine dye- ZnO semiconductor interface system. Electron transfer reaction is studied for interfacial electron transfer from the excited thionine dye molecules to the conduction band of

G_0 (eV) for the solvents.

ZnO semiconductor. In this system, the reorganization energy, the effective free energy, and the electron transfer rate constant are calculated for this system at water, formamide, and 2-propanol solvents. The results of electron transfer rate constant increases when the solvent possesses high dielectric constant, also the electron transfer rate constant increases with decreasing G_0 (eV) for the solvents.

Table (1) : The solvent properties and the reorganization energies (G_{re} eV) for Thionine dye-ZnO semiconductor system in variety solvents.

Solvent	Refractive index n_{so} [19]	Dielectric constant ϵ_{so} [19]	Reorganization energy G_{re} (eV)
Water	1.333	80	0.831144
Formamide	1.4475	111	0.732807
2-Propanol	1.3772	18.3	0.712787

Table (2): The effective free energies G_0 (eV) for Thionine dye-ZnO

semiconductor system with variety solvents at wavelength (400-800)nm.

Solvent	G_0 (eV) =400nm	G_0 (eV) =500nm	G_0 (eV) =600nm	G_0 (eV) =700nm	G_0 (eV) =800nm
Water	-2.27667	-1.65511	-1.24073	-0.94475	-0.72276
Formamide	-2.37501	-1.75344	-1.33907	-1.04309	-0.82110
2-Propanol	-2.39503	-1.77346	-1.35909	-1.06311	-0.84112

Table(3): Electron transfer rate constant for Thionine dye-ZnO semiconductor system in variety solvents at wavelength(400-800)nm.

Wavelength nm	Water K_{et} (cm ⁴ /sec)	Formamide K_{et} (cm ⁴ /sec)	2-Propanol K_{et} (cm ⁴ /sec)
400	1.71×10^{-26}	1.6×10^{-31}	8.75×10^{-33}
500	4.01×10^{-19}	1.01×10^{-21}	2.14×10^{-22}
600	1.9×10^{-16}	1.00×10^{-17}	5.05×10^{-18}

700	1.21×10^{-15}	4.05×10^{-16}	2.73×10^{-16}
800	1.23×10^{-15}	1.22×10^{-15}	1.21×10^{-15}

References

- Marcus. R.A., Electron transfer -1 reactions in chemistry: Theory and Experiment Nobel lecture December, 1992, Vol. 8, 69-92.
- 2- Wachsmann H., Vibronic coupling and ultrafast electron transfer studied by picosecond time resolved resonance Raman and cars spectroscopy Ph. D. thesis, University at Humboldt, Berlin, 2000.
- Berne. B. J., Ciccoti. G., and Coker. D. F., -3 Classical and Quantum dynamic in condensed phase simulation World Scientific, Singapore 1998, 25-49.
- 4- Rosenwaks T., Thacker B. R., and Nozik A. J., J.Phys.Chem.1994, 98, 2739-2741.
- 5- Jessica K., . Interface engineering in solid-state dye-sensitized solar cells , Ph.D, thesis , Universität Heidelberg, Allemaghe, 2003.
- 6- Lindstrom C.D., and Zhu X.-Y., Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4281-4300.
- 7- Fain B., Lin S.H., and Hamer N., J. Chem. Phys.1989, 91(8), 4485-4494.
- 8- Moser J., and Gratzel M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6557-6564.
- 9- Zhu X.-Y., Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2002, 53, 221-247.
- 10- William J. R., Fajardo A. M., and Lewis N. S., J. Phys. Chem. 1997,101, 11152-11159.
- 11- Stählerj J., Meyer M., Zhu X. Y., Bovensiepeu U., and Wolf M., New J. Phys. 2007, 9, 394.
- 12- Jong C. L., Rajiv R. P. S., and Daniel L. C., Biophysical J. 2008, 95(7), 3259-
- 13- Goa Y. G., Yuri G., and Marcus R. A., J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 112(7),3358-3369.
- 14- Shozo T. K., Pure Appl. Chem. 2001, 3, 519-523.
- 15- Darius K., Michael S. F., Harry B. G., and Jay R. W., J. phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 392-403.
- 16- Rene M. W., .Introduction to electron transfer , adapted from Fullerense as accepting components in supermolecular and covalently linked electron transfer systems , Ph.D, thesis , Amesterdam University, Amestrdam,1996.
- 17- Wibren D. O., Electron Transfer in Donor–Bridge–Acceptor Systems , Ph.D., thesis, Beiden verbonden aan het Debye Instituut van de Universiteit Utrecht, 2002.
- 18- Stefen J., Denis L., Nicholas J. T., and Edward f. L., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1996, 93, 7446-7451.
- 19- Ian M. S., Handbook of organic solvent properties , Arnold press, 1996.
- 20- [http:// www. Guidechem.com/dictionary/492-22-8.html](http://www.Guidechem.com/dictionary/492-22-8.html)
- 21- Thomas W. H., Bruce S. B., and Nathan S. L., J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 25514-25520.

22- David J. F., Henrik J., Jacques E. M., and Hubert H. G., Chem. Phys. Chem.2003, 1439(1), 85-89.

Zhiyong. F., and Jia. G., Zinc Oxide -23 Nanostructures: Synthesis and Properties University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA, 2005, 1-25.

24- Lewis N. S., J. Phys. Chem. B 1998, 102(25), 4843-4855.

25- Goa Y. G., Yuri G., and Marcus R. A., J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 113(15),6351-3360.

26- Fajardo A. M., and Lewis N. S., J. Phys. Chem. B 1997. 101, 11136-11151.

الانتقال الالكتروني بين صبغة الثايونين وشبه ZnO في مذيبات مختلفة

شاكر ديوان سروسح قسم الفيزياء ، كلية العلوم ،

/ شبه موصل

باستخدام قاعدة فيرمي الذهبية. إعادة الترتيب (eV) لهذه المنظومة حسبت باستخدام ثلاث مذيبات و ورننت مع القيم النظرية والعملية. اعتمادا على طيف صبغة الثايونين (Go eV) عوامل وفقا للزوج الضعيف بين شبه الموصل وحالة الجزيئات المستقبلية. حساباتنا أظهرت تطابقا جيدا مع النتائج النظرية والعملية .

