

College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Field Performance of Three Rapeseed Genotypes (*Brassica napus* L.) Under Different Levels of Plant Density in Nineveh Governorate

Assist. Prof. Dr. Saad Ahmed Mohamed Ahmed Al-Doori University of Mosul/ College of Basic Education Department of Science

(received in $7\1\2020$, accepted in $16\12\2020$)

Abstract:

Two field experiments were conducted for rapeseed crop (*Brassica* napus L.) during two winter successive seasons 2018-2019, 2019-2020 at AL-Hamdanea location which is far Mosul city about (30km). Each experiment was conducted according to factorial experiment in randomized completely block design with three replications. Its included three plant population (111.110, 166.666 and 333.332 plants. hectar⁻¹) with three genotypes of rapeseed crop (Talayeh, Okapi and Rainbow).

The results could be summarized as:

The plant population of 111.110 plants. hectar⁻¹ gave the highest mean for characters stem diameter, number of primary branches, number of silique/ plant, leaf area, number of seed per silique, weight of thousand seed, and oil, protein percentage in 2018-2019, 2019-2020 seasons, While the plant population of 333.332 plants. hectar⁻¹ gave a high mean for plant height in both growing seasons.

The genotypes differed significantly in all the related characteristics, the Okapi genotype come over the other genotypes in plant height, stem diameter, number of branches/plant, leaf area, number of silique/plant, number of seed/silique, 1000 seeds weight (g.) seed yield (ton.ha⁻¹), oil, protein percentage of seeds and oil, protein yield (ton.ha⁻¹) in both growing seasons. The interaction between plant population and genotypes was significant in plant height in both growing seasons.

College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

الأداء الحقلي لثلاثة تراكيب وراثية من السلجم (.Brassica napus L) تحت مستويات معتويات مختلفة من الكثافة النباتية في محافظة نينوي

أ.م.د. سعد أحمد محمد أحمد الدوري كلية التربية الأساسية/ جامعة الموصل/ قسم العلوم العامة

ملخص البحث:

اجريت تجربتين حقليتين لمحصول السلجم (.Brassica napus L.) لموسمي الشتاء المتعاقبين من العامين 2018–2019 و 2019–2020 في موقع الحمدانية الذي يبعد حوالي (30كم) عن مدينة الموصل.

نفذت كل من التجربتين وفق نظام التجارب العاملية بتصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة بثلاثة مكررات. تضمنت كل تجربة ثلاثة كثافات نباتية (111.110، 166.666 و333.332 نبات/هكتار) وثلاثة تراكيب وراثية من محصول السلجم (تاليه، عقابي ورينبو). وتم التوصل إلى النتائج الآتية:

أعطت الكثافة النباتية الأولى (111.110 نبات/هكتار) أعلى معدل لصفات قطر الساق، عدد الأفرع/نبات، عدد الخردلات/نبات، المساحة الورقية ، عدد البذور /خردله ، وزن الألف بذرة/غم، ونسبة الزيت والبروتين للموسمين 2018–2019 و 2019–2020، في حين أعطت الكثافة النباتية الثالثة (333.332 نبات/هكتار) أعلى معدل لصفة ارتفاع النبات في كلا موسمي الزراعة.

اختلفت الأصناف معنوياً في الصفات المدروسة جميعها، إذ تفوق التركيب الوراثي عقابي في صفات: ارتفاع النبات/سم،قطر الساق، عدد الأفرع/نبات، المساحة الورقية، عدد الخردلات/نبات، عدد البذور/خردله، وزن الألف بذرة/غم، حاصل البذور الكلي والزيت والبروتين (طن /هكتار) ونسبتي الزيت والبروتين في كلا موسمي الزراعة.

كان التداخل بين الكثافة النباتية والتراكيب الوراثية معنوياً لصفة ارتفاع النبات في كلا موسمي الزراعة. 2021 مجلة أبجاث كلية التربية الأساسية ، الجلد 17، العدد (2)، لسنة College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Introduction:

In general, Iraq has a suitable climate for many oilseeds crops. This is not completely true in Mosul with low temperatures and short growing season, where the oilseed crops usually perform poorly except sunflower and rapeseed. Rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) is a new and promising oilseed crop for this region, its seed high oil content ranging from 45-60 percent (AL-Doori and Hasan, 2010). In oilseed rape, plant population varies considerably worldwide, depending on the environment, production system and genotype (Ozer, 2003). Previous studies have shown that plant population is an important factor affecting rapeseed yield. Plant population in rapeseed governs the components of yield, and thus the yield of individual plants. A uniform distribution of plants per area unit is a prerequisite for yield stability (Diepenbrock 2000 and Ozer, 2003). Under Mosul city conditions, AL-Doori and Hasan (2010) investigated the effects of different row spacing (30 - 60 cm) in rapeseed, they concluded that number of silique per plant, seed weights and dry matter per plant, weight of thousand seed and oil yield increases as row spacing increased. Leach et al. (1999), also reported that plants grown at high population had fewer siliques bearing branches per plant but produced more branches, and that with an increase in population, weight of thousand seed increased. The same researchers also observed that there was no effect of population on seed oil content. Rapeseed has generally slight or inconsistent seed yield responses to various row spacing. Therefore, optimum densities for each crop and each environment should be determined by local research. The present study was undertaken to assess the effect of plant population on growth, yield and quality of three rapeseed genotypes (Brassica napus L.).

Materials and Methods:

Two filed experiments were carried out during two winter successive seasons 2018-2019, 2019-2020 at AL-Hamdanea location which is far Mosul city about (30km) to investigate the effect of three levels of plant population (111.110, 166.666 and 333.332 plants.hectar⁻¹) on the growth, yield and quality of three rapeseed genotypes (Talayeh, Okapi and Rainbow). AL- Hamdanea is located in the east region of Mosul city at Nineveh province. Climatically, the region placed in the semiarid temperature zone cold winter and hot summer. Average rainfall is about 375 mm that most rainfall concentrated between winter and spring. Each experiment included twenty seven experimental units comprising the combinations of three plant populations and three rapeseed genotypes with three replications.

بجلة أبجاث كلية التربية الأساسية ، الجحلد 17، العدد (2)، لسنة 2021 College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Seeds of these genotypes were obtained from the industrial crops company, Baghdad. Each plot 18 m² (5*3.6), included six rows 60 cm apart and five meters long and the distance between hills were 15, 10 and 5 cm apart to attain a plant population of 111.110, 166.666 and 333.332 plants per hectar⁻¹, respectively. Super phosphate 60 kg.ha⁻¹ ($45\%P_2O_5$) and 40 kg.ha⁻¹ potassium ($48\%K_2O$) were applied to the soil during the sowing period, nitrogen fertilizers was applied in the form of urea 100 kg.ha⁻¹ (46%N) in two equal doses, immediately after thinning (two weeks from sowing) and 15 days later.

The experimental design was factorial experiment in a Randomized Completely Block Design with three replications according to Snedecor and Cochran, 1982. Then Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) was used to compare among means (SAS, 2001). A representative soil sample (0-30 cm depth) was taken before planting, (table 5) to determine some physical, chemical and nutritional properties using the methods description by Black, 1965, Jackson, 1973, Page *et al.*, 1982 and Tandon, 1999.

Sowing dates were on the first and second of November for 2018-2019, 2019-2020 seasons, respectively. After two weeks from sowing seedlings were thinned to one plant per hill according to populations needed. The plots were weeded twice, the first one after two weeks from sowing and the second after four weeks from sowing. The external two rows were left as border. Two of the remaining rows were devoted for estimating plant growth and some characteristics. Normal cultural practices of growing rapeseed were conducted in the usual manner followed by the farmers of the district.

The studied characters were:

Sample of ten plants except guarded plants each was taken from each treatment, then the following data were record: plant height (cm): The height of the main stem from ground level to the tip of the plant, stem diameter (cm): measured by using a vernier (caliper) at the third node, number of branches/plant: was determined by counting the number of primary reproductive branches and leaf area (cm².plant).

At harvest, (when the color of seed coat presented in the lower zone of the terminal raceme was darkish at 142, 145, 144 and 143, 142, 141 days after sowing for each genotypes Talayeh, Okapi and Rainbow to both seasons 2018-2019, 2019-2020, respectively), ten plants except guarded plants were taken randomly from the two inner rows of each experimental plot, then the following data were measured; number of siliques per plant. Meanwhile, ten siliques were picked at random from

2021 مجلة أبجاث كلية التربية الأساسية ، الجلد 17، العدد (2)، لسنة 2021 College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

these ten plants, and then the following characters were determined: Number of seeds per silique. The ten selected plants, mentioned above, were cut, put in an envelope and dried naturally in the lab. Their seeds were added to their respective seeds of the ten siliques in the small bags and weighed. Then weight of thousand seed (g) was estimated by counting thousand seeds at random from each plot and weighed using a sensitive balance. Oil seed content was determined using Soxhlet method (A.O.A.C., 1980), and seed nitrogen concentration was measured by microkjeldahl method, then, protein percentage was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen percentage by the converting factor 6.25 (Agrawal *et al.*,1980).

Results and Discussion:

1- Effect of plant population:

In the two growing seasons, the attributes of rapeseed exhibited significant differences for the different plant population except seed yield, oil and protein yield in the two growing seasons. Data reported in table (1) indicate the effect of plant population on rapeseed attributes i.e. plant height, stem diameter, number of primary branches, leaf area (cm².plant), number of silique per plant, weight of 1000 seed (g) and oil, protein percentage in two seasons.

The low plant population (111.110 plants. hectar⁻¹) had a larger stem diameter (2.8011, 2.73444cm), higher number of primary branches (13.3611, 13.1067), number of silique per plant (190.819, 189.597), leaf area (2570.67, 2430.89cm².plant), Number of seeds. silique⁻¹(13.4989, 13.2211), weight of 1000 seed (1.99556, 1.91889g) and oil (41.1578, 41.5200), protein (21.8933, 22.0744) percentage than the high plant population (333.332 plants. hectar⁻¹), these results are true in the two growing seasons, respectively (table 2). This is in line with Sovero, (1993); Starner et al., (1996); Raymer, (2002); Lessani and Mojtahedi, (2006); Soleymani et al., (2011); Zhang et al., (2012) who attributed this result to the better soil moisture availability, decreased plant competition and increased light penetration through plant canopy at a lower plant population. These results may be attributed to the competition between plants and between the different parts of the individual plant under high planting population. In the present study, planting population exerts significant effect on plant height. The plant height was positively response with increasing plant population up to 333.332 plants. hectar⁻¹, these results are true in the two growing seasons. Similar results were reported by Ali et al.,(1990); Misra and Rana, (1992); Chauhan et al., (1993); Roy et al., (1993); Siddiqui, (1999); Yousaf and Ahmad, (2002);

بجلة أبجاث كلية التربية الأساسية ، الجحلد 17، العدد (2)، لسنة 2021 College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Alam, (2004); Vujaković *et al.*, (2014) reported that high plant population (low row spacing) had significant effect on plant height. In contrast, Kuchtova and Vasak, (2004) found that high plant population had no significant effect on plant height. Also Fathi *et al.*, (2002) showed that high plant population had decreased number of silique per plant and weight of thousand seed. Increasing plant population up to 333.332 plants. hectar⁻¹ decreased oil and protein percentage at the two growing seasons. Sharma, (1992) and Al-Doori and Hasan, (2010) found that high row spacing had increased oil percentage.

2- Effect of rapeseed genotypes:

The significant variations in some growth characters, yield components and some related traits were presented in table (1). Data in table (3) revealed that Okapi genotype had taller (139.0800, 139.679cm) and thicker plant (2.7178, 2.79556cm), higher number of primary branches per plant (13.0333, 12.8567), leaf area (2477.02, 2366.58cm².plant) than those of Talayeh and Rainbow in both seasons 2018-2019, 2019-2020, respectively. The differences among the three genotypes in the plant height may be attributed to the general varietals differences in the number of internodes per plant (Singh and Kumar, 1990; Ozer, 2003; Sana et al., 2003; Biabani et al., 2008; Kargarzadeh et al., 2008). Moreover, the differences in leaf area among the three genotypes may be attributed to the differences in leaves per plant. In this concern, Al-Doori and Al-Dulaimy, (2011) and Vujaković et al., (2015) showed that taller genotypes had more leaves and leaf primordial than the others rapeseed genotypes. This might explain the consistent differences among the tested genotypes in all growth characters that were measured in this study. It can also note that the number of silique.plant⁻¹, number of seeds per silique (13.1478, 12.9122), weight of thousand seed (2.14111, 1.92333gm), yield and oil, protein yield (ton. hectar⁻¹) of Okapi genotype outweighed Talayeh and Rainbow in a descending order at both seasons, respectively. The superiority of Okapi genotype in the dry matter production may be attributed to having the tallest and thickest plants, and as well the highest area of photosynthetic leaves and this in turn increased the capacity of dry matter accumulation in the different plant parts. However, the differences in oil, protein percent of seeds may be attributed to genetic factors and their interaction with the prevailing environmental conditions. This increase in oil, protein yield (ton.ha⁻¹) from Okapi genotype may be due to their high seed yield. hectar⁻¹ (table 3) rather than differences in seed oil content. Similar conclusion were reported by Singh and Kumar, (1990); Ozer, (2003); Sana et al., (2003); Biabani et al., (2008); Kargarzadeh et al., (2008); AL-Doori, (2011). In

2021 مجلة أبجاث كلية التربية الأساسية ، الجلد 17، العدد (2)، لسنة 2021 College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

this report, AL-Doori and Al-Dulaimy, (2011) reported that Emma genotype had highest plant height, number of primary branches per plant than the Topas and Monty genotypes. The superiority of Okapi genotype in the most seed characters may be due to that Okapi genotype had better vegetative growth and hence photosynthetic area which led to more carbohydrates which was translocated from the leaves and stem to the seeds (Mengel and Kirkby, 1982).

3- The interaction effect between plant population and rapeseed genotypes:

Mean values of interaction between plant population and genotypes are presented in table (4). The interaction between the studying factors showed significant effects on plant height in both growing seasons (table 1). Okapi genotype reflected the greatest response to plant population at 333.332 plants. hectar⁻¹ for plant height in both growing seasons, with this regard, Hassan and El-Hakeem (1996) and Soleymani *et al.*, (2011) found that high plant population produced higher plant height. The interaction between the plant population and genotypes for the other investigated traits were not statistically significant in both seasons, therefore the data were not discus. The insignificant effect between plant population and genotypes on other characteristic showed that each of these two factors acted independently on these traits.



College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Table -1 Analysis of variance for some growth characters, yield and yield components and quality in 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 seasons.

| S.O.VD.fPlant height (cm)stem diameter (cm)no. of primary branches. plant^1no. of silique. Plant^1leaf area (cm^2\plant)number of seeds.silique^-1weight of 1000 seed (g)seed yield (ton.ha^-1)oil (%)protein (%)< | | | M.S. for 2018-2019 season | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| S.O.V D.f height (cm) diameter (cm) primary branches. plant ⁻¹ silique. Plant ⁻¹ (cm ² \plant) seeds.silique ⁻¹ 1000 seed (g) (ton.ha ⁻¹) (%) (ho (ho (ho (h | | | Plant | stem | no. of | no. of | leaf area | number of | weight of | seed yield | oil | oil yield | protein | protein | |
| Image: Market | S.O.V | D.f | height | diameter | primary | silique. | (cm ² \plant) | seeds.silique ⁻¹ | 1000 seed | (ton.ha^{-1}) | (%) | $(ton.ha^{-1})$ | (%) | $y_1 eld$ (top ha^{-1}) | |
| Image: Constraint of the second system of the sys | | | (cm) | (cm) | branches. | Plant ⁻¹ | | | (g) | | | | | (1011.11a) | |
| Replications 2 264.858337 0.581911 5.24173704 879.14814 81220.593 4.71922593 0.62167778 0.4537601 12.2820 0.10225595 15.92558 0.6 P 2 1918.582** 1.571144** 10.935525** 2269.74** 1536166.2** 11.3568259** 0.465344** 0.07185 ^{n.s.} 13.67** 0.00702 ^{n.s.} 19.016** 0.6 G 2 697.2608** 0.848533** 5.9712925** 1047.73** 323771.37** 4.62311481** 0.929477** 0.68244** 45.99** 0.170157** 40.610** 0.6 P × G 4 11.23439* 0.135611 ^{n.s.} 0.240392 ^{n.s.} 89.7234 ^{n.s.} 11310.990 ^{n.s.} 0.81598704 ^{n.s.} 0.015555 ^{n.s.} 0.02395 ^{n.s.} 0.916 ^{n.s.} 0.00393 ^{n.s.} 0.372 ^{n.s.} 0.0 Error 16 3.226562 0.113461 1.1817037 39.85648 8819.259 0.42026759 0.0356361 0.0510724 0.7222 0.0111143 0.56460 0.0 Total 26 M.S. for 2019-2020 season 12.382032 8.96258 0.284590 | | | | | plant ⁻¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| P 2 1918.582** 1.571144** 10.935525** 2269.74** 1536166.2** 11.3568259** 0.465344** 0.07185 ^{n.s.} 13.67** 0.00702 ^{n.s.} 19.016** 0.0 G 2 697.2608** 0.848533** 5.9712925** 1047.73** 323771.37** 4.62311481** 0.929477** 0.68244** 45.99** 0.170157** 40.610** 0.0 P × G 4 11.23439* 0.135611 ^{n.s.} 0.240392 ^{n.s.} 89.7234 ^{n.s.} 11310.990 ^{n.s.} 0.81598704 ^{n.s.} 0.015555 ^{n.s.} 0.02395 ^{n.s.} 0.916 ^{n.s.} 0.00393 ^{n.s.} 0.372 ^{n.s.} 0.0 Error 16 3.226562 0.113461 1.1817037 39.85648 8819.259 0.42026759 0.0356361 0.0510724 0.7222 0.0111143 0.56460 0.0 Total 26 M.S. for 2019-2020 season M.S. for 2019-2020 season 1.5382032 8.96258 0.28459074 17.36351 0.0 Benlications 2 356.509259 0.30472593 2.87477037 898.25925 80829.778 2.75080370 0.20738148 1.5382032 8.96 | Replications | 2 | 264.858337 | 0.581911 | 5.24173704 | 879.14814 | 81220.593 | 4.71922593 | 0.62167778 | 0.4537601 | 12.2820 | 0.10225595 | 15.92558 | 0.0339813 | |
| G 2 697.2608** 0.848533** 5.9712925** 1047.73** 323771.37** 4.62311481** 0.929477** 0.68244** 45.99** 0.170157** 40.610** 0.0 P × G 4 11.23439* 0.135611 ^{n.s.} 0.240392 ^{n.s.} 89.7234 ^{n.s.} 11310.990 ^{n.s.} 0.81598704 ^{n.s.} 0.01555 ^{n.s.} 0.02395 ^{n.s.} 0.916 ^{n.s.} 0.00393 ^{n.s.} 0.372 ^{n.s.} 0.0 Error 16 3.226562 0.113461 1.1817037 39.85648 8819.259 0.42026759 0.0356361 0.0510724 0.7222 0.0111143 0.56460 0.0 Total 26 C M.S. for 2019-2020 season M.S. for 2019-2020 season M.S. for 2019-2020 season 1.5382032 8.96258 0.28459074 17.36351 0.0 | Р | 2 | 1918.582** | 1.571144** | 10.935525** | 2269.74** | 1536166.2** | 11.3568259** | 0.465344** | 0.07185 ^{n.s.} | 13.67** | 0.00702 ^{n.s.} | 19.016** | 0.00175 ^{n.s.} | |
| P×G 4 11.23439* 0.135611 n.s. 0.240392 n.s. 89.7234 n.s. 11310.990 n.s. 0.015555 n.s. 0.02395 n.s. 0.916 n.s. 0.00393 n.s. 0.372 n.s. 0.0 Error 16 3.226562 0.113461 1.1817037 39.85648 8819.259 0.42026759 0.0356361 0.0510724 0.7222 0.0111143 0.56460 0.0 Total 26 D.f M.S. for 2019-2020 season M.S. for 2019-2020 season 1.5382032 8.96258 0.28459074 17.36351 0.0 | G | 2 | 697.2608** | 0.848533** | 5.9712925** | 1047.73** | 323771.37** | 4.62311481** | 0.929477** | 0.68244** | 45.99** | 0.170157** | 40.610** | 0.05977** | |
| Error 16 3.226562 0.113461 1.1817037 39.85648 8819.259 0.42026759 0.0356361 0.0510724 0.7222 0.0111143 0.56460 0.0 Total 26 | $P \times G$ | 4 | 11.23439* | 0.135611 ^{n.s.} | 0.240392 ^{n.s.} | 89.7234 ^{n.s.} | 11310.990 ^{n.s.} | 0.81598704 ^{n.s.} | 0.015555 ^{n.s.} | 0.02395 ^{n.s.} | 0.916 ^{n.s.} | 0.00393 ^{n.s.} | 0.372 ^{n.s.} | 0.00126 ^{n.s.} | |
| Total 26 Image: Marcolar and the system Image: Marco | Error | 16 | 3.226562 | 0.113461 | 1.1817037 | 39.85648 | 8819.259 | 0.42026759 | 0.0356361 | 0.0510724 | 0.7222 | 0.0111143 | 0.56460 | 0.0031219 | |
| S.O.V D.f M.S. for 2019-2020 season Replications 2 356,509259 0.30472593 2.87477037 898,25925 80829,778 2.75080370 0.20738148 1.5382032 8.96258 0.28459074 17.36351 0.0 | Total | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Replications 2 356,509259 0.30472593 2.87477037 898,25925 80829,778 2.75080370 0.20738148 1.5382032 8.96258 0.28459074 17.36351 0.0 | S.O.V | D.f | | M.S. for 2019-2020 season | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replications | 2 | 356.509259 | 0.30472593 | 2.87477037 | 898.25925 | 80829.778 | 2.75080370 | 0.20738148 | 1.5382032 | 8.96258 | 0.28459074 | 17.36351 | 0.0881139 | |
| P 2 1524.451** 0.926825** 12.242492** 1865.04** 957588.2** 11.3421592** 0.411125** 0.07681 ^{n.s.} 25.72** 0.002577 ^{n.s.} 21.570** 0.0 | Р | 2 | 1524.451** | 0.926825** | 12.242492** | 1865.04** | 957588.2** | 11.3421592** | 0.411125** | 0.07681 ^{n.s.} | 25.72** | 0.002577 ^{n.s.} | 21.570** | 0.00016 ^{n.s.} | |
| G 2 555.5480** 1.241348** 7.3529592** 1096.05** 309737.75** 4.97218148** 0.410859** 0.33257** 43.16** 0.099346** 26.959** 0.0 | G | 2 | 555.5480** | 1.241348** | 7.3529592** | 1096.05** | 309737.75** | 4.97218148** | 0.410859** | 0.33257** | 43.16** | 0.099346** | 26.959** | 0.03376** | |
| $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{G} = \frac{4}{16.31110^{**}} 0.015048^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.269375^{\text{ n.s.}} 55.8379^{\text{ n.s.}} 2765.448^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.59250370^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.011209^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.00203^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.449^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.000489^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.3947^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.000489^{\text{ n.s.}} 0.000489^{\text$ | $P \times G$ | 4 | 16.31110** | 0.015048 ^{n.s.} | 0.269375 ^{n.s.} | 55.8379 ^{n.s.} | 2765.448 ^{n.s.} | 0.59250370 ^{n.s.} | 0.011209 ^{n.s.} | 0.00203 ^{n.s.} | 0.449 ^{n.s.} | 0.000489 ^{n.s.} | 0.3947 ^{n.s.} | 0.00009 ^{n.s.} | |
| Error 16 30.738426 0.02749259 0.99370370 66.425926 21098.111 0.43700370 0.01113565 0.0261878 1.09146 0.00516567 0.295144 0.0 | Error | 16 | 30.738426 | 0.02749259 | 0.99370370 | 66.425926 | 21098.111 | 0.43700370 | 0.01113565 | 0.0261878 | 1.09146 | 0.00516567 | 0.295144 | 0.0014056 | |
| Total 26 | Total | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*, ** Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively. and n.s. not Significant.



College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Table -2 Effect of plant population on some growth characters, yield, yield components and quality in both seasons.

| | plant | Plant | stem | no. of | no. of | leaf area | Number | weight of | seed yield | oil | oil yield | protein (%) | protein yield $(ton ha^{-1})$ |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| seasons | (plants.ha ⁻¹) | (cm) | (cm) | branches. Plant ⁻¹ | silique. Plant ⁻¹ | (cm ⁻ \plant) | of seeds. silique ⁻¹ | (g) | (ton.ha ⁻) | (%) | (ton.ha ⁻) | | (ton.na) |
| | 111.110 | 117.176c | 2.8011a | 13.3611a | 190.819a | 2570.67a | 13.4989a | 1.99556a | 0.9713 | 41.1578a | 0.4062 | 21.8933a | 0.21876 |
| 2018-2019 | 166.666 | 126.335b | 2.3733b | 12.2367b | 170.948b | 2483.88a | 12.2556b | 1.78333b | 1.1433 | 39.6933b | 0.4617 | 20.3122b | 0.23908 |
| | 333.332 | 145.768a | 1.9656c | 11.1567b | 159.426c | 1815.65b | 11.2567c | 1.54111c | 1.0993 | 38.7078c | 0.4289 | 18.9900c | 0.21233 |
| | 111.110 | 119.802c | 2.73444a | 13.1067a | 189.597a | 2430.89a | 13.2211a | 1.91889a | 1.08632 | 41.5200a | 0.45748 | 22.0744a | 0.24613 |
| 2019-2020 | 83333 | 128.113b | 2.35333b | 11.9033b | 171.837b | 2297.66a | 12.0200b | 1.65000b | 1.15233 | 39.6922b | 0.46269 | 20.2689b | 0.23848 |
| | 166666 | 145.320a | 2.09667c | 10.7744c | 161.092c | 1811.21b | 10.9778c | 1.49667c | 1.26878 | 38.1422c | 0.48905 | 18.9933c | 0.24558 |

* The means values within column followed by the different letter are significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively.

| | Effect of rapeseed genotypes on some growth characters, yield, yield components and quality in both seasons. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| | | Plant | stem | no. of | no. of | leaf area | Number | weight of | seed yield | oil | oil yield | protein | protein yield |
| | | height | diameter | primary | silique. | (cm ² \plant) | of seeds. | 1000 seed | (ton.ha^{-1}) | (%) | (ton.ha ⁻¹) | (%) | (ton.ha ⁻¹) |
| seasons | genotypes | (cm) | (cm) | branches. | Plant ⁻¹ | | silique ⁻¹ | (g) | | | | | |
| | | | | Plant ⁻¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018-2019 | Talayeh | 128.6122b | 2.3044b | 12.3133ab | 174.491b | 2295.38b | 12.0756b | 1.63222b | 0.8757b | 39.2444b | 0.34436b | 19.8000b | 0.17463b |
| | Okapi | 139.0800a | . 2.7178a | 13.0333a | 184.120a | 2477.02a | 13.1478a | 2.14111a | 1.3862a | 42.3556a | 0.59078a | 22.7578a | 0.31748a |
| | Rainbow | 121.5889c | 2.1178b | 11.4078b | 162.581c | 2097.79c | 11.7878b | 1.54667b | 0.9521b | 37.9589c | 0.36185b | 18.6378c | 0.17806b |
| 2019-2020 | Talayeh | 129.279b | 2.32667b | 11.8767ab | 172.047b | 2177.60b | 11.8089b | 1.63667b | 1.05743b | 39.0400b | 0.41318b | 19.9000b | 0.21339b |
| | Okapi | 139.679a | 2.79556a | 12.8567a | 186.120a | 2366.58a | 12.9122a | 1.92333a | 1.39111a | 42.2500a | 0.59096a | 22.3833a | 0.31387a |
| | Rainbow | 124.278b | 2.06222c | 11.0511b | 164.359b | 1995.57c | 11.4978b | 1.50556c | 1.05889b | 38.0644b | 0.40506b | 19.0533c | 0.20293b |

Table -3-Effect of rapeseed genotypes on some growth characters, yield, yield components and quality in both seasons.

* The means values within column followed by the different letter are significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively.



College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Table -4-Effect of interaction between genotypes and plant population on some growth characters, yield and yield components and quality in 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 seasons respectively.

| | 2018-2019 season | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| plant | | Plant | stem | no. of | no. of | leaf area | number of | weight of | seed yield | oil | oil yield | protein | protein |
| population | genotypes | height | diameter | primary | silique. | (cm ² \plant) | seeds.silique ⁻¹ | 1000 seed | $(ton.ha^{-1})$ | (%) | $(ton.ha^{-1})$ | (%) | $(ton ha^{-1})$ |
| (plants.ha ⁻¹) | | (cm) | (cm) | branches. | Plant ⁻¹ | | | (g) | | | | | (tomina) |
| | | | | Plant ⁻¹ | | | | | | | | | |
| | Talayeh | 116.600e | 2.7100 | 13.2033 | 188.077 | 2602.67 | 12.9400 | 1.8833 | 0.8513 | 40.2400 | 0.34428 | 21.4200 | 0.18546 |
| 111.110 | Okapi | 126.963d | 2.9800 | 14.4700 | 207.303 | 2803.33 | 14.8567 | 2.4133 | 1.1920 | 44.0133 | 0.52982 | 24.3967 | 0.29554 |
| | Rainbow | 107.967f | 2.7133 | 12.4100 | 177.077 | 2306.00 | 12.7000 | 1.6900 | 0.8707 | 39.2200 | 0.34456 | 19.8633 | 0.17530 |
| | Talayeh | 124.087d | 2.4400 | 12.4167 | 174.967 | 2506.70 | 12.1000 | 1.6733 | 0.8690 | 38.8300 | 0.33811 | 19.3267 | 0.16915 |
| 166.666 | Okapi | 137.453c | 2.6200 | 12.9833 | 180.210 | 2626.20 | 13.1333 | 2.0967 | 1.5593 | 41.9133 | 0.66268 | 22.6967 | 0.35760 |
| | Rainbow | 117.467e | 2.0600 | 11.3100 | 157.667 | 2318.73 | 11.5333 | 1.5800 | 1.0017 | 38.3367 | 0.38455 | 18.9133 | 0.19049 |
| | Talayeh | 145.150b | 1.7633 | 11.3200 | 160.430 | 1776.77 | 11.1867 | 1.3400 | 0.9067 | 38.6633 | 0.35070 | 18.6533 | 0.16929 |
| 333.332 | Okapi | 152.823a | 2.5533 | 11.6467 | 164.847 | 2001.53 | 11.4533 | 1.9133 | 1.4073 | 41.1400 | 0.57984 | 21.1800 | 0.29929 |
| | Rainbow | 139.333c | 1.5800 | 10.5033 | 153.000 | 1668.65 | 11.1300 | 1.3700 | 0.9840 | 36.3200 | 0.35644 | 17.1367 | 0.16840 |
| | | - | | - | - | 2019-20 | 020 season | | - | - | | | |
| | Talayeh | 118.600ef | 2.7100 | 13.0500 | 184.743 | 2412.7 | 12.5733 | 1.86333 | 1.0046 | 40.9600 | 0.41509 | 21.5867 | 0.22165 |
| 111.110 | Okapi | 129.640cd | 3.0467 | 14.2600 | 206.970 | 2634.0 | 14.3233 | 2.21333 | 1.3020 | 43.8467 | 0.57361 | 23.8400 | 0.31477 |
| | Rainbow | 111.167f | 2.4467 | 12.0100 | 177.077 | 2246.0 | 12.7667 | 1.68000 | 0.9523 | 39.7533 | 0.38374 | 20.7967 | 0.20195 |
| 166.666 | Talayeh | 126.087de | 2.2467 | 11.9367 | 170.967 | 2333.4 | 12.1333 | 1.64000 | 1.0300 | 38.7300 | 0.39899 | 19.3267 | 0.20266 |
| | Okapi | 137.920bc | 2.7867 | 12.8967 | 182.543 | 2454.2 | 12.9267 | 1.83000 | 1.3653 | 41.9467 | 0.57961 | 22.3633 | 0.30887 |
| | Rainbow | 120.333def | 2.0267 | 10.8767 | 162.000 | 2105.4 | 11.0000 | 1.48000 | 1.0617 | 38.4000 | 0.40945 | 19.1167 | 0.20392 |
| | Talayeh | 143.150ab | 2.0233 | 10.6433 | 160.430 | 1786.8 | 10.7200 | 1.40667 | 1.1377 | 37.4300 | 0.42547 | 18.7867 | 0.21588 |
| 333.332 | Okapi | 151.477a | 2.5533 | 11.4133 | 168.847 | 2011.5 | 11.4867 | 1.72667 | 1.5060 | 40.9567 | 0.61967 | 20.9467 | 0.31796 |
| | Rainbow | 141.333b | 1.7133 | 10.2667 | 154.000 | 1635.3 | 10.7267 | 1.35667 | 1.1627 | 36.0400 | 0.42201 | 17.2467 | 0.20291 |

* The means values within column followed by the different letter are significant at 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively.



College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

Table -5 The physical and chemical characters of soil filed experiments in both seasons.

| seasons | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| physical characters | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sand (%) | 27.00 | 22.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Silt (%) | 33.00 | 35.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay (%) | 40.00 | 43.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Texture | Clay Loom | Clay Loom | | | | | | | | | |
| Chemical characters | | | | | | | | | | | |
| O.M. $(g.kg^{-1})$ | 10.23 | 11.54 | | | | | | | | | |
| Available N (ppm) | 46.72 | 40.17 | | | | | | | | | |
| Available P (ppm) | 10.12 | 12.66 | | | | | | | | | |
| Available K (ppm) | 162.00 | 144.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total CaCo ₃ (g.kg ⁻¹) | 8.44 | 9.22 | | | | | | | | | |
| pH | 7.40 | 7.20 | | | | | | | | | |
| E.C. mmhos/cm | 0.86 | 0.62 | | | | | | | | | |

REFRANCES

- Agrawal, S.C.; M.S., Jolly and A.M., Sinha 1980. Foliar constituents of secondary food plants of tasar silk Antheraea mylitta. Indian Forester, 106 (12): 847 851.
- Alam, M. M. 2004. Effect of variety and row spacing on the yield and yield contributing characters of rapeseed and mustard. M. S. Thesis. Dept. of Agron., Bangladesh Agril. Univ., Mymensingh.
- Al-Doori, S.A.M. and M.Y., Hasan 2010. Effect of row spacing and nitrogen fertilization on growth, yield and quality of some rapeseed genotypes. J. Res. 9 (4): 531-550.
- Al-Doori, S.A.M. 2011 A Study of the Importance of Sowing Dates and Plant Density Affecting Some Rapeseed Cultivars (*Brassica napus* L.) J. Res. 11 (1): 615-633.
- Al-Doori, S.A.M. and M.Y.H., Al-Dulaimy 2011. Effect of sowing dates on growth, yield and quality of three canola cultivars (*Brassica napus L.*). J. Res. 10 (4): 550-569.
- Ali, M. H.; A. M. M. D, Rahman and M. J., Ullah 1990. Effect of plant population and nitrogen on yield and oil content of rapeseed (*Brassica napus*). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 60(9): 627-630.
- A.O.A.C. 1980. Official methods of analysis. 14th edition Association of official analytical chemists Washington, D.C, USA.



College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

- Biabani, A.R; H. Pakniyat, and R. Naderikharaji 2008. Effect of drought stress on photosynthetic rate of four rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars. J. Appl. Sci. 8 (23): 4460-4463.
- Black, C.A. 1965. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. Amer. Soc. of Agronomy. Inc. publisher Madison. USA.
- Chauhan, A. K.; M., Singh and K. S., Dadhwal 1993. Effect of nitrogen level and row spacing on the performance of rape (*Brassica napus*). Indian J. Agro. 37(4): 851-853.
- Diepenbrock, W. 2000. Yield analysis of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica* napus L.). a review. field crops res., 67: 35–49.
- Duncan, B.O. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics 11: 1–42.
- Fathi, G., A. Banisaeidi, S.A. Siadat and F. Ebrahimpour, 2002. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer and plant density on seed yield of rapeseed cv. PF 7045 under Khuzestan province conditions. Sci. J. Agric. Shahid Chamran Univ., 25: 43-58.
- Hassan, K. H. and M. S. El-Hakeem. 1996. Response of some rapeseed cultivars to nitrogen rates and plant population under saline conditions. Annual Agric. Sci., Ain-Shams Univ. Egypt. 41(1): 229-242.
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil chemical Analysis . Prentice Hall of India Pvt., New Delhi.
- Kargarzadeh, D. F. Jabbari; A.M, Shiranirad and S.A.R, Valadabadi 2008. Effect of drought stress in reproductive stages on yield and yield components of rapeseed cultivars. 10th Agrobreed Congress, Iran p. 519.
- Kuchtova, P. and J. Vasak, 2004. The effect of rapeseed to plant density on the formation of generative organs. Plant Soil Environ., 50: 78-83.
- Leach, J.E., Stevenson, H.J.; Rainbow, A.J. and L.A., Mullen 1999. Effects of high plant populations on the growth and yield of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus L.*). J. Agric. Sci., 132: 173–180.
- Lessani, H. and M. Mojtahedi 2006. Introduction to Plant Physiology. 6th Ed., Tehran University Press, Tehran, Iran, ISBN: 964-03-3568-1.
- Mengel, K. and E.A., Kirkby 1982. Principles of plant nutrition . 3rd Ed. Int. Institute Bern , Switzerland.
- Misra, B. K. and N. S., Rana 1992. Response of yellow rapeseed (*Brassica napus* var. glauca) to row spacing and nitrogen fertilization under late sown condition. Indian J. Agron. 37(4): 847-848.

College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

- Ozer, H., 2003. Sowing date and nitrogen rates effects on growth, yield and yield components of two summer rapeseed cultivars. Eur. J. Agron., 19: 453-463.
- Page, A.L.; R.H., Miller and D.R., Kenney 1982. Methods of soil analysis . Part (2) Agronomy number 9 Madison . USA.
- Raymer, P.L., 2002. Rapeseed: An Emerging Oilseed Crop. In: Trends in New Crops and New Uses, Janick, J. and A. Whipkey (Eds.). ASHS Press, Alexandrina, VA., pp: 122-126.
- Roy, S. K.; M., Akteruzzaman and A. B. M., Salahuddin 1993. Effect of sowing date and seed rate on growth, harvest index and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 63(6): 345-350.
- Sana, M.; A., Ali; M. A., Malik; M. F., Saleem and M., Rafiq 2003. Comparative yield potential and oil contents of different canola cultivars (*Brassica napus* L.). Pak. J. Agro. 2(1): 1-7.
- SAS, Institute 2001. Statistics Analysis System user's guide: Statistics. SAS Inst., Cary, NC. USA.
- Sharma, M. L. 1992. Response of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties to row spacing. Indian J. Agro. 27(3): 593-594.
- Siddiqui, S. A. 1999. Population density and source-sink manipulation effects on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). M. S. Thesis. Dept. of Agro., Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agril. Univ., Gazipur, Bangladesh.
- Singh, R. P. and A. Kumar 1990. Effects of varieties and planting geometry levels on late sown Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 60(6): 392-395.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran 1982. Statistical methods Applied to Experiments in Agriculture and Biology: 54-68. 7th Ed. Seventh Reprinting. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Soleymani, A., Shahrajabia, M. H., and Naranjani, L. (2011). Changes of yield and yield components of canola cultivars under different plant densities and application of sulfur fertilization. Proceedings 13 Intl Rapeseed Congress, June 5-9, Prague, 139-142.
- Sovero, M., 1993. Rapeseed, a New Oilseed Crop for the United States. In. Advances in New Crops, Janick, J. and J.E. Simon (Eds.). Timber Press, Portland, OR, pp: 302-307.
- Starner, E.D., H.L. Bhardwaj, A. Hamama and M. Rangappa, 1996. Rapeseed Production in Virginia. In: Progress in New Crops, Janick, J. (Eds.). ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA., pp: 287-290.
- Tandon, H. 1999. Methods of analysis of soil, plants, water and fertilizers. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi, India, pp : 144.



College of Basic Education Researchers Journal ISSN: 7452-1992 Vol. (17), No.(2), (2021)

- Vujaković, M., Marjanović-Jeromela, A., Jovičić, D., Lečić, N., Marinković, R., Jakovljević, N., and Mehandžić-Stanišić, S. (2014).
 Effect of plant density on seed quality and yield of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Journal on Processing and Energy in Agriculture 18(2), 73-76.
- Vujaković, M., Marjanović-Jeromela, A., Jovičić, D., Lečić, N. and Marinković, R. (2015). Dependence of Rapeseed Quality and Yield on Density, Variety and Year of Production. Ratar. Povrt. Journal, 52(2), 61-66.
- Yousaf, N. and A. Ahmad 2002. Effect of different planting densities on the grain yield of canola varieties. Asian J. Plant Sci., 4: 322-333.
- Zhang, S., Liao, X., Zhang ,C., and Xu, H. (2012). Influences of plant density on the seed yield and oil content of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Industrial Crops and Products, 40, 27-32.