A comparative study of prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus between urban and rural women in kut city

Riyadh Hussein Wally - Assist lecturer/kut-Technical Institute

دراسة مقارنة لأنتشار داء السكري ألحملي بين نساء المدينة والريف في مدينة الكوت

رياض حسين والي- مدرس مساعد- المعهد التقني /كوت

الخلاصة:

الدراسة أجريت لبيان نسبة انتشار داء السكر ألحملي بين النساء الحوامل في المدينة والريف في مدينة الكوت العراق

وشملت الدراسة مجموعتان المجموعة الأولى تتكون من 500 امرأة حامل تسكن في داخل المدينة ويراجعن احد مراكز الرعاية الصحية الأولية داخل المدينة والمجموعة الثانية تتكون من 500 أمرآة حامل تسكن في المناطق الريفية ويعملن في الحقول الزراعية ويراجعن احد مراكز الرعاية الصحية الأراعية عن المناطق الأولية خارج المدينة.

للمجموعتان من النساء تم التحري عن الإصابة بداء السكر ألحملي عن طريق تناول محلول 50 غم من سكر الكلوكوز وقياس مستوى السكر بعد مرور ساعة وثلاث ساعات بعد تناول100غم للنتائج الغير طبيعية في المرة الأولى. أظهرت نتائج الدراسة بان نسبة انتشار السكر ألحملي في نساء المدينة (3,8%) أعلى مما هو عليه في

أظهرت نتائج الدراسة بان نسبة انتشار السكر ألحملي في نساء المدينة (3,8%) أعلى مما هو عليه في نساء الريف(2,6%)

Abstract

This study was carried out to show the prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus(GDM) between urban and rural pregnant women in kut city of Iraq. A total of one thousand pregnant women (divided into 500 from urban areas and 500 rural areas), who had attended various antenatal clinics were screened for GDM by (one hour post 50 gm glucose load) oral glucose challenge test. Women who had an abnormal screening test proceeded to oral glucose tolerance testing by had a 3 hr. 100 gram oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and National diabetes data group criteria applied for diagnosis of GDM. This study showed the overall prevalence of GDM to be 3.2% (3.8% in urban women versus 2.6% in suburban women)

Introduction

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus is the most common metabolic disorder affecting glucose homeostasis[1]. It appears at ≥ 20 weeks gestation and disappears immediately or up to 6 weeks after delivery [2]. The definition of GDM, which has been described as carbohydrate intolerance of varying degree of severity with onset or first recognition during pregnancy[3,4]. GDM affects ~7% of all pregnancies, resulting in > 200,000 cases per year [5].

Depending on the population sample and diagnostic criteria, the prevalence may range from 1 to 14% of all pregnancies complicated by diabetes, GDM accounts for ~90%[5,6].

Recent data shows that gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) prevalence has increased by ~10–100% in several race/ethnicity groups during the past 20 yearsGDM has been found to be more common in women living in urban areas than in women living in rural areas [2]. The trend toward older maternal age [7], the epidemic of obesity [8], diabetes [9], the decrease in physical activity [10] and the adoption of modern lifestyles in developed countries [11] may all contribute to an increase in the prevalence of GDM. Moreover, GDM is associated with several perinatal complications, also because women with GDM and their offspring are at increased risk of developing diabetes later in life [12],

Material and Methods:-

A total of 1000 pregnant women (divided into 500 from urban areas and 500 from rural areas working in agriculture)who had attended antenatal clinic in urban and rural areas in kut city of Iraq, were tested between 24 -36 weeks of gestation. All these women were counseled and booked before enrolling them into the study. Explanation were given about the risk of GDM and the importance of its detection and treatment. After taking patient's consent, they were given 50gm oral glucose load, dissolved in 250ml of tap water. A sample of blood drawn one hour after glucose ingestion was sent to the laboratory for blood glucose estimation by glucose oxidase hexokinase method. A blood glucose level of 130mg/dl was taken as cut off value for further evaluation of the patient by 100gm oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and confirmation of the diagnoses of GDM or impaired glucose tolerance IGT, before the OGTT, patients were advised to have rich carbohydrate diet for at least three days and present at the morning of the test with an overnight 12 hours fast. Patients who had two or more abnormal glucose values equal to or exceeding the defined national diabetes data group criteria were labeled to have gestational diabetes and those with only one abnormal value impaired glucose tolerance. Patients

who were screen positive before 28 weeks but OGTT negative or screen negative but had historic high risk factors for GDM were re-screened at 28 weeks. The re-screened positive were subjected to repeat OGTT and those with positive results were reclassified to have GDM or IGT according to the National diabetes data group criteria, while the rest were declared normal and followed in low risk antenatal clinic. Those diagnosed to have GDM or IGT were followed in high risk clinic for maternal blood glucose control in normal range of 80 - 120 mg/dl by diet alone, diet and exercise or insulin therapy and for strict fetal monitoring throughout the pregnancy.

RESULTS

Among the 500 urban pregnancies women, the study identified 401 (80.2%) women with normal glucose tolerance and 80 (16%) with impaired glucose tolerance, including 19 (3.8%) with GDM. Compared with women who had normal glucose tolerance, women with GDM or abnormal glucose tolerance were more likely to be older(Table 1).

Table-1 prevalent of G.D.M. between urban pregnant women

age	Number of women participate	%	Normal G.T.T	%	Impaired G.T.T	%	G.D.M	%
14 – 24 25 - 34 35 - 39	52 310 118	10.4 62 23.6	43 260 90	8.6 52 18	8 45 23	1.6 9 4.6	1 10 5	0.2 2.0 1.0
40 - 45	15	3	8	1.6	4	0.8	3	0.6
total	500	100	401	80.2	80	16	19	3.8

Among the 500 rural pregnancies women, the study identified 436 (87.2%) women with normal glucose tolerance and 51 (10.2%) with impaired glucose tolerance, including 13 (2.6%) with GDM. Compared with women who had normal glucose tolerance, women with GDM or abnormal glucose tolerance were more likely to be older(Table 2).

Table-2 prevalent of G.D.M. between rural pregnant women

Tuble 2 prevalent of Gibiliti between ratar								
200	Number of	%	Normal	%	Impaired	%	G.D.M	%
age	women				_			
	WOIIICH							
			G.T.T		G.T.T			
	participate							
	participate							
14 –	138	27.6	125	25	10	2	2	0.4
24								
4								
	223	44.6	191	38.2	25	5	6	1.2
25 -								
34	129	25.8	113	22.6	12	2.4	4	0.8
		•	_					
35 -	10	2	7	1.4	4	0.8	1	0.2
39								
3)								
40 -								
45								
total	500	100	436	87.2	51	10.2	13	2.6
total	200	100	150	37.2		10.2	10	2.0

Discussion

This study found that pregnant women living in rural area and work in agriculture exposed to moderate to vigorous physical exercise during pregnancy will have reduced risk of developing gestational diabetes mellitus and abnormal glucose tolerance. This could be attributed to that physical exercise increases insulin sensitivity of muscle glucose transport and also enhances insulin action in extra muscular tissues. It has been found that the three most important endocrine responses to exercise include:-

- 1. a decrease in plasma insulin.
- 2. an increase in sympathetic nervous activity causing changes in insulin counter-regulatory hormones.
- 3. hormones affecting sodium and water balance[13].

References

- 1. Sunsanee Vitha Yakul P, Singkiratana D, Bunya want Chkuls." Risk factor based selective screening program for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus." Siriraj Hospital: result from clinical practice guideline". *J Med Assoc Thai*, No. 86,P(708-14),2003.
- 2.Zargar AH, Sheikh MI, Basher MI, Masoodi SR, Lawry BA, Wani AI, Bhat MH, Dar FA: "Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Kashmiri women from the Indian subcontinent". *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, No. 66, P(139–145), 2004
- 3. Dempsey JC, Butler CL, Sorensen TK, Lee IM, Thompson ML, Miller RS, et al. "A case-control study of maternal recreational physical activity and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus" *Diabetes ResClinPract*, No.66, P(203–15), 2004.

 4. Richter EA, Deraye
- W, Wojtaszewski JF. "Glucose, exercise and insulin: emerging-concepts", *JPhysiol*, No.535, P(313–22), 2005.
- 5. American **Diabetes** Association" **Gestational diabetes** mellitus (Position Statement" *Diabetes-Care* No.27, P(88-90), 2004.
- 6. Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of **diabetes** mellitus ."Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of **Diabetes** Mellitus" *Diabetes-CareNo*.26P(5-20),2003.
- 7. *Births: Final Data for 2002*. Atlanta, GA, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, (DHHS publ. No. PHS 2004-1120) December 2003
- 8. Mokdad AH, Serdula MK, Dietz WH, Bowman BA, Marks JS, Koplan JP: "The spread of the obesity epidemic in the United States, 1991–1998" *JAMA*, No.282,P(1519–1522),1999.
- 9. Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Nelson DE, Engelgau MM, Vinicor F, Marks JS: "Diabetes trends in the U.S.: 1990–1998". *Diabetes Care*, No.23,P(1278–1283),2000
- 10. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System: Accessed 25 October 2005
- 11. Pan XR, Yang WY, Li GW, Liu J: "Prevalence of diabetes and its risk factors in China", 1994. National Diabetes Prevention and Control Cooperative Group. *Diabetes-Care*, No. 20, P(1664–1669), 1997.
- 12. Jovanovic L, Pettitt DJ. Gestational diabetes mellitus [review]. JAMA;286:2516–8.2001.
- 13. Richter EA, Galbo H:" Diabetes and exercise". *Int Diabetes Monitor,No.*16, P (1-9),2004.

Recived	(11/5/2008)
Accepted	(10/9/2008)