ON CENTRALIZERS ON SOME GAMMA RING

Rajaa C .Shaheen
Department of Mathematics, College of
Education,

University of Al-Qadisiya, Al-Qadisiya, Iraq. الخلاصة العربية: قدمنا في هذا البحث دراسة حول تطبيق جوردان المركزي على بعض الحلقات

ABSTRACT

Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfies the condition $x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z$ for all $x,y,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$. In section one, we prove if M be a completely prime Γ -ring and $T:M \rightarrow M$ an additive mapping such that $T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a$ (resp., $T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a)$)holds for all $a \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then T is a left centralizer or M is commutative (res.,a right centralizer or M is commutative) and so every Jordan centralizer on completely prime Γ -ring M is a centralizer. In section two, we prove this problem but by another way. In section three we prove that every Jordan left centralizer(resp., every Jordan right centralizer) on Γ -ring has a commutator right non-zero divisor(resp., on Γ -ring has a right centralizer) and so we prove that every Jordan centralizer on Γ -ring has a commutator non –zero divisor is a centralizer.

<u>Key wards</u>: Γ -ring, prime Γ -ring, semi-prime Γ -ring, left centralizer, Right centralizer, centralizer, Jordan centralizer.

1-INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, M will represent Γ -ring with center Z. In [7] B. Zalar proved that any left (resp., right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semi-prime ring is a left (resp., right) Centralizer. In [3] authors prove the same question on the condition that R has a commutator right (resp., left) non-zero divisor. And J. Vukman in [6] proved that if R is 2-torsion free semi-prime ring and $T:R \rightarrow R$ be an additive

mapping such that $2T(x^2)=T(x)x+xT(x)$ holds for all $x,y\in R$. Then T is left and right centralizer. In this paper we define Jordan centralizer on Γ -ring and we show that the existence of a non-zero Jordan centralizer T on a 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring M which satisfies the condition $x \alpha y \beta z=x \beta y \alpha z$ for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\Gamma$ implies either T is centralizer or M is commutative Γ -ring.

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups, M is called a Γ -ring if for any $x,y,z \in M$ and α , $\beta \in \Gamma$, the following conditions are satisfied

(1)
$$x \alpha y \in M$$

(2) $(x+y) \alpha z = x \alpha z + y \alpha z$
 $x(\alpha+\beta)z = x \alpha z + x \beta z$
 $x \alpha (y+z) = x \alpha y + x \alpha z$
(3) $(x \alpha y) \beta z = x \alpha (y \beta z)$

The notion of Γ -ring was introduced by Nobusawa[5] and generalized by Barnes[1], many properties of Γ -ring were obtained by many research such as [2]

Let A,B be subsets of a Γ -ringM and Λ a subset of Γ we denote $A \Lambda B$ the subset of M consisting of all finite sum of the form $\sum a_i \lambda_i b_i$ where $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ and $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$. Aright ideal(resp.,left ideal) of a Γ -ring M is an additive subgroup I of M such that $I \Gamma M \subset I$ (resp., $M \Gamma I \subset I$). If I is a right and left ideal inM, then we say that I is an ideal .M is called a 2-torsion free if 2x=0 implies x=0 for all $x \in M$. A Γ -ringM is called prime if a $\Gamma M \Gamma b=0$ implies a=0 or b=0 and M is called completely prime if a $\Gamma b=0$ implies a=0 or b=0 ($a,b \in M$), Since a $\Gamma b \Gamma a \Gamma b$ $\subset a \Gamma M \Gamma b$, then every completely prime Γ -ring is prime. A Γ -ring M is called semi-prime if a $\Gamma M \Gamma a=0$ implies a=0 and M is called completely semi-prime if a $\Gamma a=0$ implies a=0 ($a \in M$)

Let R be a ring,an additive mapping $D:R \to R$ is called derivation if D(xy)=D(x)y+xD(y) holds for all $x,y \in R.A$ left(right) centralizer of R is an additive mapping $T:R \to R$ which satisfies T(xy)=T(x)y(T(xy)=xT(y)) for all $x,y \in R.A$ Jordan centralizer be an additive mapping T which satisfies $T(x \circ y)=T(x) \circ y=x \circ T(y)$.

A Centralizer of R is an additive which is both left and right centralizer. An easy computation shows that every centralizer is also a Jordan centralizer. Many Papers work about the problem every Jordan centralizer be centralizer such as in [7]. In this paper, we work this problem on some kind of Γ -ring.

Now, we shall give the following definition which are basic in this paper.

<u>Definition 1.1</u>:-Let M be a Γ -ring and let $D:M \to M$ be an additive map,D is called a Derivation if for any $a,b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, if the following condition satisfy

 $D(a \alpha b) = D(a) \alpha b + a \alpha D(b)$

<u>Definition 1.2</u>:- Let M be a Γ -ring and let $T:M \to M$ be an additive map, T is called <u>Left centralizer</u> of M, if for any a, $b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the following condition satisfy $T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b$, <u>Right centralizer</u> of M, if for any a, $b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the following condition satisfy

 $T(a \alpha b)=a \alpha T(b),$

<u>Jordan left centralizer</u> if for all $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the following condition satisfy

 $T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a$

Jordan Right centralizer if for all $a \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the following condition satisfy

 $T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a)$

Jordan centralizer of M,if for any $a,b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the following condition satisfy $T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha b+b \alpha T(a)=a$ $\alpha T(b)+T(b) \alpha a$

A centralizer of M is an additive mapping which is both left and right centralizer. An easy computation shows that every centralizer is also a Jordan centralizer but the converse is not true. In this paper we prove this problem when M is 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring. Now we shall prove the following Lemmas which are necessarily to prove our main result in this paper.

<u>Lemma 1.3</u>:-Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring and let $T:M \to M$ be an additive mapping which satisfies $T(a \alpha a) = T(a)$

```
مجلة القادسية للعلوم الصرفة المجلد (12) العدد (2) لسنة 2007
```

```
\alpha a, (resp., T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a)) for all a \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma, then the
following statement holds for all a,b,c \in M and \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma,
            T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha b+T(b) \alpha a
    (i)
                (resp., T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=a \alpha T(b)+b \alpha T(a))
            Especially if M is 2-torsion free and a \alpha b \beta c = a \beta b \alpha c
    (ii)
            for all a,b,c \in M and \alpha,\beta \in \Gamma then
               T(a \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta a (resp., T(a \alpha b \beta a) = a \alpha b \beta
    T(a)
    (iii) T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta c + T(c) \alpha b \beta a.
              (resp., T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = a \alpha b \beta T(c) + c \alpha b \beta T(a)
    Proof:-(i) Since T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a for all a \in M and
    \alpha \in \Gamma,....(1)
    Replace a by a+b in (1), we get
     T(a \alpha b+b \alpha a)=T(a) \alpha b+T(b)
(ii) by replacing b by a \beta b+b \beta a, \beta \in \Gamma
W=T(a \alpha (a \beta b+b \beta a)+(a \beta b+b \beta a) \alpha a)
   =T(a) \alpha (a \beta b+b \beta a)+T(a \beta b+b \beta a) \alpha a
   = T(a) \alpha (a \beta b) + T(a) \alpha (b \beta a) + (T(a) \beta b + T(b) \beta a) \alpha a
    = T(a) \alpha (a \beta b) + T(a) \alpha (b \beta a) + T(a) \beta b \alpha a + T(b) \beta a \alpha a
Since a \alpha b \beta c = a \beta b \alpha c, then
W = T(a) \alpha (a \beta b) + 2T(a) \alpha (b \beta a) + T(b) \beta a \alpha a
On the other hand
W = T(a \alpha (a \beta b + b \beta a) + (a \beta b + b \beta a) \alpha a)
   =T(a \alpha (a \beta b)+a \alpha (b \beta a)+(a \beta b) \alpha a+(b \beta a) \alpha a
    = (a \alpha a \beta b + b \beta a \alpha a) + 2T(a \alpha b \beta a)
By comparing these two expression of W, we get
2T(a \alpha b \beta a) = 2T(a) \alpha b \beta a
Since M is 2-torsion free ,then
T(a \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta a \dots (3)
(iii)In (3) replace a by a+c,to get
T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta c + T(c) \alpha b \beta a \dots (4)
Theorem 1.4:- Let M be a 2-torsion free completely prime \Gamma-
ring which satisfy the condition x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z for all
```

```
x,y,z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, and let T:M \to M be an additive mapping
which satisfies T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha a, for all a \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma, then
T(a \alpha b)=T(a) \alpha b, for all a,b \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma or M is
commutative \Gamma -ring.
Proof:-By [Lemma 1.3,iii], we have
T(a \alpha b \beta c + c \alpha b \beta a) = T(a) \alpha b \beta c + T(c) \alpha b \beta a
Replace c by a \alpha b
W=T(a \alpha b \beta (a \alpha b)+(a \alpha b) \alpha b \beta a)
   =T(a) \alpha b \beta a \alpha b + T(a \alpha b) \alpha b \beta a
On the other hand
W = T((a \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b) + a \alpha (b \alpha b) \beta a)
  = T(a \alpha b) \beta a \alpha b + T(a) \alpha b \alpha b \beta a
By comparing these two expression of W, we get
T(a \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b-b \alpha a) + T(a) \alpha b \beta (b \alpha a-a \alpha b)=0
T(a \alpha b) \beta (a \alpha b-b \alpha a) - T(a) \alpha b \beta (a \alpha b-b \alpha a)=0
Since M is completely prime \Gamma-ring, then
either T(a \alpha b)- T(a) \alpha b=0 or a \alpha b-b \alpha a=0
if T(a \alpha b)- T(a) \alpha b = 0then T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b
and if a \alpha b-b \alpha a=0 for all a, b \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma, then M is
commutative Γ -ring ©
Theorem 1.5:- Let M be a 2-torsion free completely prime \Gamma-
ring which satisfy the condition x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z for all
x,y,z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, and and let T:M \to M be an additive
mapping which satisfies T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a) for all a \in M and
\alpha \in \Gamma, then T(a \alpha b) = a \alpha T(b) for all a, b \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma or M is
commutative \Gamma -ring.
Proof:- From[Lemma 1.3,iii], we have for all a,b,c \in M and \alpha,
\beta \in \Gamma,
T(a \ \alpha b \ \beta c+c \ \alpha b \ \beta a)=a \ \alpha b \ \beta T(c)+c \ \alpha b \ \beta T(a).....(6)
In (6) replace c by b \alpha a, then
W = T(a \ \alpha b \ \beta (b \ \alpha a) + (b \ \alpha a) \ \alpha b \ \beta a)
   =a \alpha b \beta T(b \alpha a) + b \alpha a \beta b \alpha T(a)
on the other hand
W = T(a \alpha (b \beta b) \alpha a + (b \alpha a) \alpha (b \beta a))
    =a \alpha b \beta b \alpha T(a)+b \alpha a \beta T(b \alpha a)
```

by comparing these two expression of W, we get $a \alpha b \beta (T(b \alpha a)-b \alpha T(a))-b \alpha a \beta (T(b \alpha a)-b \alpha T(a))=0$ $(a \alpha b-b \alpha a) \beta (T(b \alpha a)-b \alpha T(a))=0$(7) $b \alpha T(a)=0$(7) since M is completely prime Γ -ring, then either $(T(b \alpha a)-b \alpha T(a))=0 \Rightarrow T(b \alpha a)=b \alpha T(a)$ or $a \alpha b-b \alpha a=0 \Rightarrow a \alpha b=b \alpha a \Rightarrow M$ is commutative Γ -ring \square Corrolary 1.6:- Every Jordan centralizer of 2-torsion free completely prime Γ -ring M which satisfy the condition $x \alpha y \beta z=x \beta y \alpha z$ for all $x,y,z \in M$, α , $\beta \in \Gamma$, is a centralizer on M.

2-The second result

In this section we again divided the proof in few lemmas. <u>Lemma 2.1</u>:- Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and D a derivation of M and $a \in M$ some fixed element.

- (i) $D(x) \alpha D(y)=0$ for all $x,y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ implies that D=0 on M (ii) $a \alpha x-x \alpha a \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ implies that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. **Proof:**-
- (i) since $D(x) \alpha D(y)=0$ for all $x,y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

and $D(y \alpha x) = D(y) \alpha x + y \alpha D(x)$

and so $D(x) \alpha D(y \alpha x) = 0$, then

 $D(x) \alpha D(y) \alpha x + D(x) \alpha y \alpha D(x) = 0$

since $D(x) \alpha D(y) = 0$, then

 $D(x) \alpha y \alpha D(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$

And since M be a semi-prime Γ -ring ,then

D(x)=0 for all $x \in M$.

(ii) define $D(x) = a \alpha x - x \alpha a$

it is easy to see that D is derivation on M

since $D(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in M$, we have

 $D(y) \alpha x = x \alpha D(y)$(8)

Replace y by $y \alpha z$ in (8)

 $D(y \alpha z) \alpha x = x \alpha D(y \alpha z)$

 $D(y) \alpha z \alpha x + y \alpha D(z) \alpha x = x \alpha D(y) \alpha z + x \alpha y \alpha D(z)$

 $D(y) \alpha(z \alpha x-x \alpha z)=D(z) \alpha(x \alpha y-y \alpha x)$

Now, take z=a, then it is easy to see that D(a)=0, so

 $D(y) \alpha (a \alpha x-x \alpha a)=0$

 $D(y) \alpha D(x) = 0$, then from (i), we get D=0 and hence $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

```
<u>Lemma 2.2</u>:- Let M be a semi-prime \Gamma-ring and a \in M some
fixed element.
```

If $T(x) = a \alpha x + x \alpha a$, for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is a Jordan centralizer, then $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proof:-from [definition 1.2]

 $T(x \alpha y+y \alpha x)=T(x) \alpha y+y \alpha T(x)$

Gives us

 $T(x \alpha y) + T(y \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha y + y \alpha T(x)$

 $a \alpha x \alpha y + a \alpha y \alpha x + x \alpha y \alpha a + y \alpha x \alpha a =$ $=(a \alpha x + x \alpha a) \alpha y + y \alpha (a \alpha x + x \alpha a)$

 $= a \alpha x \alpha y + x \alpha a \alpha y + y \alpha a \alpha x + y \alpha x \alpha a$

Then

 $a \alpha y \alpha x - x \alpha a \alpha y + x \alpha y \alpha a - y \alpha a \alpha x = 0$

 $(a \ \alpha y-y \ \alpha a) \ \alpha x-x \ \alpha (a \ \alpha y-y \ \alpha a)=0 \ for \ all \ x,y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$

Then a α y-y α a \in Z and so by [Lemma 2.1,ii], we get a \in Z.

Lemma 2.3:- Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring ,then every Jordan centralizers of M maps from Z into Z.

Proof:-take any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and denote* a=t(c)

 $2T(c \alpha x) = T(c \alpha x + x \alpha c)$

 $= T(c) \alpha x + x \alpha T(c) = a \alpha x + x \alpha a$

Then $S(x)=2T(c \alpha x)$ is also a Jordan centralizer, by [lemma 2.2], we get $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $T(c) \in \mathbb{Z}$

Lemma 2.4:- Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and a $,b \in M$ two fixed elements.

If $a \alpha x = x \alpha b$ for all $x \in M$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ then $a = b \in Z$.

Proof:-Since $x \alpha b = a \alpha x$

Replace x by $x \alpha y$

 $x \alpha y \alpha b = a \alpha x \alpha y$

 $x \alpha y \alpha b = x \alpha b \alpha y$

 $x \alpha (y \alpha b-b \alpha y)=0$, and so

 $(y \alpha b-b \alpha y)x \alpha (y \alpha b-b \alpha y)=0$

Since M is semi-prime Γ -ring, then

 $(\mathbf{y} \ \alpha \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \ \alpha \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0}$

 $y \alpha b=b \alpha y \text{ for all } y \in M, \text{ then } b \in Z$

since $a \alpha x = x \alpha b = b \alpha x$

it is easy to see that

```
(a-b) \alpha x=0 for all x \in M
and(a-b) \alpha x \alpha (a-b)=0 for all x \in M
again since M is semi-prime \Gamma-ring then a-b=0 \Rightarrow a=b \in \mathbb{Z}
Proposition 2.5:-everyJordan centeralizer of 2-torsion free
completely prime \Gamma-ringM is a centralizer.
Proof:-Let T be a Jordan centeralizer,i.e
T(x \alpha y+y \alpha x)=T(x) \alpha y+y \alpha T(x)=x \alpha T(y)+T(y) \alpha x
If we replace y by x \alpha y+y \alpha x, then the left side
W = T(x \alpha (x \alpha y + y \alpha x) + (x \alpha y + y \alpha x) \alpha x)
  =T(x) \alpha(x \alpha y+y \alpha x)+(x \alpha y+y \alpha x) \alpha T(x)
  = T(x) \alpha (x \alpha y) + T(x) \alpha y \alpha x + x \alpha y \alpha T(x) + y \alpha x \alpha T(x)
and the right side
W=x \alpha T(x \alpha y+y \alpha x)+T(x \alpha y+y \alpha x) \alpha x
  =x \alpha T(x) \alpha y + x \alpha y \alpha T(x) + T(x) \alpha y \alpha x + y \alpha T(x) \alpha x
Then
T(x) \alpha x \alpha y + y \alpha x \alpha T(x) - x \alpha T(x) \alpha y - y \alpha T(x) \alpha x = 0
(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha y + y \alpha (x \alpha T(x) - T(x) \alpha x) = 0
Then
(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha y = y \alpha (T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) for all x,y
\in M, \alpha \in \Gamma.
And so (T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}
then we must prove that
T(x) \alpha x -x \alpha T(x) = 0
Take any c \in \mathbb{Z}
2T(c \alpha x) = T(c \alpha x + x \alpha c)
              = T(c) \alpha x + x \alpha T(c)
              =2T(x) \alpha c
Using [Lemma 2.3] and since M is 2-torsion free \Gamma - ring
T(c \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha c = T(c) \alpha x
(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha c = T(x) \alpha x \alpha c - x \alpha T(x) \alpha c
                                    =T(c) \alpha x \alpha x - x \alpha T(c) \alpha x = 0
then(T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) \alpha c \alpha (T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x)) = 0
since M is semi-prime \Gamma - ring, then T(x) \alpha x - x \alpha T(x) = 0
2T(x \alpha x) = T(x \alpha x + x \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha x + x \alpha T(x)
             =2T(x) \alpha x = 2x \alpha T(x)
Since M is 2-torsion free ,then
T(x \alpha x) = T(x) \alpha x = x \alpha T(x)
```

And so by [Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.5], we get the result.

```
3-JORDAN CENTRALIZERS ON SOME GAMMA RING
Theorem 3.1:- Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ-ring which satisfy
the condition x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z for all x,y,z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma and has
a commutator right non-zero divisor and let T:M \rightarrow M be an
additive mapping which satisfies
T(a \alpha a) = T(a) \alpha \text{ a for all } a \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma, \text{then } T(a \alpha b) = T(a)
\alpha b for all a,b \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma.
Proof:- from (5), we have
(T(a \alpha b)-T(a) \alpha b)\beta(a \alpha b-b \alpha a)=0
if we suppose that
\delta(a,b) = T(a \alpha b) - T(a) \alpha b and [a,b] = a \alpha b - b \alpha a
then \delta(a,b) \beta [a,b]=0 for all a,b \in M and \alpha,\beta \in \Gamma.....(9)
Since M has a commutator right non-zero divisor, then
\exists x, y \in M, \ \alpha \in \Gamma \ such \ that \ if for \ every \ c \in M, \ \beta \in \Gamma
c \beta[x,y]=0 \Rightarrow c=0
by (9),we have \delta(x,y) \beta [x,y]=0 and so
\delta(x,y)=0....(10)
replace a by a+x
\delta(a+x,b) \beta [a+x,b]=0 and so by (9) and (10)
\delta(x,b) \beta [a,b] + \delta(a,b) \beta [x,b] = 0....(11)
Now replace b by b+y
\delta(x,b+y) \beta [a,b+y]+\delta(a,b+y) \beta [x,b+y]=0
and so by (10) and (11), we get
\delta(x,b) \beta [a,y] + \delta(a,y) \beta [x,b] + \delta(a,b) \beta [x,y] + \delta(a,y) \beta [x,y] = 0
\delta(a,b) \beta [x,y] + \delta(a,y) \beta [x,y] = 0
by (11), we get
\delta(a,b) \beta [x,y] - \delta(x,y) \beta [a,y] = 0
then
\delta(a,b) \beta [x,y]=0, and so \delta(a,b)=0 for all a,b \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma
T(a \alpha b) = T(a) \alpha b \Rightarrow T is left centralizer of M.
Theorem 3.2:- Let M be a 2-torsion free \Gamma-ring which satisfy
the condition x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z for all x,y,z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma and has
additive mapping which satisfies
```

```
T(a \alpha a) = a \alpha T(a) for all a \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma, then T(a \alpha b) = a \alpha
T(b) for all a,b \in M and \alpha \in \Gamma.
Proof:- From[Lemma 1.3,iii],we have
T(a \alpha b \beta c+c \alpha b \beta a)=a \alpha b \beta T(c)+c \alpha b
\beta T(a).....(12)
In (12) replace c by b \alpha a, then
W = T(a \ \alpha b \ \beta (b \ \alpha a) + (b \ \alpha a) \ \alpha b \ \beta a)
=a \alpha b \beta T(b \alpha a) + b \alpha a \beta b \alpha T(a)
on the other hand
W = T(a \alpha(b \beta b) \alpha a + (b \alpha a) \alpha(b \beta a))
=a \alpha b \beta b \alpha T(a) + b \alpha a \beta T(b \alpha a)
by comparing these two expression of W, we get
a \alpha b \beta (T(b \alpha a)-b \alpha T(a)) -b \alpha a \beta (T(b \alpha a)-b \alpha T(a))=0
then if we suppose B(b,a) = (T(b \alpha a) - b \alpha T(a))
[a,b] \beta B(b,a)=[a,b]\beta B(a,b)=0 for all a,b\in M, \alpha,
\beta \in \Gamma .....(13)
Since M has a commutator left non-zero divisor then \exists x, y \in M,
\alpha \in \Gamma such that if for every c \in M, \beta \in \Gamma, [x,y] \beta c=0 \Rightarrow c=0
then by (13), we have
[x,y] \beta B(x,y)=0 \Rightarrow B(x,y)=0....(14)
in (13) replace a by a+x
[a+x,b] \beta B(a+x,b)=0
then by (13)
[x,y] \beta B(a,b)+[a,b] \beta B(x,b)=0.....(15)
Now replace b by b+y
[x,b+y] \beta B(a,b+y)+[a,b+y] \beta B(x,b+y)=0
then by using (14) and (15), we get
[x,y] \beta B(a,b)=0
and since [x,y] is a commutator left non-zero divisor then
B(a,b)=0 \Rightarrow T(a \alpha b)=a \alpha T(b) which is mean that T is right
centralizer
Corrolary 3.7:- Let M be a 2-torsion free \Gamma-ring which satisfy
the condition x \alpha y \beta z = x \beta y \alpha z for all x,y,z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, has a
commutator non-zero divisor and let T:M \rightarrow M be a Jordan
centralizer then T is centralizer
```

<u>Acknowledgment</u>:-the authors grateful to the referee for several suggestions that helped to improved the final version of this paper and especially Prof. Haetinger.

References

[1]W.E.Barnes"On the Γ -ring of

Nabusawa", Pacific J. Math., 18(1966), 411-422.

[2]Y.Ceven, "Jordan left derivations on completely prime Gamma rings", Fenbilimleri Dergisi (2002) cilt 23 say 12.

[3]W.Cortes and C.Haetinger"On Lie ideal and Jordan left centralizers of 2-torsion free

rings", URL: http://ensino.univates.br/~Chaet.

- [4]I.N.Herstein"Topics in ring theory "University of Chicago press,1969.
- [5]N. Nabusawa"On a generalization of the ring theory",OsakaJ.Math.,1(1964).
- [6] J.Vukam"An identity related to centralizers in semi-prime rings", Comment .Math.Univ.Carolinae,40,3(1999)447-456.
- [7]B.Zalar"On centralizers of semi-prime rings" Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 32(1991)609-614.