# On Uncertain Martingale

By

Noori .F.A.AL-Mayahi

#### And

#### Zainab .H.A.AL-Zaubaydi

Department of Mathematics

College of Computer and Mathematics Science

AL-Qadisiyah University

#### <u>Abstract</u>

We introduce some a new properties of uncertain conditional expectation, also we give a new kind of martingale and study some theorems related with it.

#### Key words

uncertain measure, uncertain variable, conditional uncertain measure, uncertain conditional expectation and uncertain martingale.

## **<u>1-Introduction</u>**

Probability theory often profitable to interpret results in terms of a gambling situation . for example  $if X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of random variables, we may think of  $X_n$  as our total winnings after n trials in a succession of games. Having survived the first n trial, our expected fortune after trial n+1 is  $E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . If equals  $X_n$ , the game is "fair" since expected gain on trial the n+1is  $E(X_{n+1} - X_n | X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_n - X_n = 0$ . If  $E(X_{n+1} - X_n | X_1, \dots, X_n) \ge X_n$  the game is "favorable" and  $E(X_{n+1} - X_n | X_1, \dots, X_n) \le X_n$ , the game is "unfavorable "[2].Uncertainty theory was founded by Liu [3] in 2007 and refined by Liu [5] in 2010. Let  $(\Omega, F)$  be a measurable space, where  $\Omega$  is a set and F is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\Omega$ . A subset A of  $\Omega$  is called measurable (measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -field F), if  $A \in F$ , i.e., any member of F is called a measurable set [2]. A set function  $\mu$  from F to [0,1] is a real-valued set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\sigma$ -field F is called an uncertain measure , if it satisfies the following four axioms:

**Axiom 1.**(Normality Axiom)  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ .

Axiom 2.( Self-duality Axiom)  $\mu(A) + \mu(A^c) = 1$  for any event A.

Axiom 3.( Countable subadditivity Axiom) For every countable sequence of events  $\{A_i\}$ , we have  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$  (1)

Axiom 4.(Product measure Axiom) Let  $\Omega_k$  be a nonempty sets on which  $\mu_k$  are uncertain measures, k = 1, 2, ..., n, respectively. Then the product of uncertain measures  $\mu_k$  is an uncertain measure  $\mu$  on the product  $\sigma$  – field  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times ... \times \Omega_n$  satisfying

$$\mu(\prod_{k=1}^{n} A_k) = \min_{1 \le k \le n} \mu_k(A_k)$$
(2)

That is, for each  $A \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \sup_{\substack{A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \subset A}} \min_{\substack{\forall \le k \le n \\ 1 - \sup_{A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \subset A^c}} \min_{\substack{\forall \le k \le n \\ 1 \le k \le n \\ 0.5, \\ 0.5, \\ 0.5}} \min_{\substack{if \\ A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \subset A^c}} \sup_{\substack{\forall \le k \le n \\ 1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le k \le n \\ 0.5}} \min_{\substack{\mu_k(A_k) \\ 1 \le k \le n \\ 0.5}} \mu_k(A_k) \end{cases} > 0.5$$
(3)

Then the triplet  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$  is called an uncertainty space.

#### <u>Remark (1-1)</u>

The probability measure is not uncertain measure as shown by the following example:

#### *Example* (1-2)[4]

Let  $g: R \to R$  is a nonnegative and integrable function such that  $\int_{R} g(x)dx = 1$ . Define  $P: \beta(R) \to R$  by  $P(A) = \int_{A} g(x)dx$ , is a probability measure but not uncertain measure.

Ans:

**Step1**: we prove the normality .i.e., P(R) = 1.

$$P(R) = \int_{R} g(x) dx = 1$$

**Step2**: we prove the self-duality .i.e.,  $P(A) + P(A^c) = 1$ .

Since 
$$P(A \cup A^c) = \int_{A \cup A^c} g(x) dx = \int_R g(x) dx = 1$$
, and  $P(A) + (A^c) = P(A \cup A^c)$ 

Thus,  $P(A) + (A^c) = P(A \cup A^c) = 1$ 

**Step3**: Let  $\{A_i\}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\beta(R)$ , then  $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \int_{\substack{x \\ i=1 \\ i \neq i}} g(x) dx = \int_R g(x) dx = 1$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = 1$ 

Then  $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$ , and then *P* is not uncertain measure.

#### *Example*(1-3)[3,4,5,6]:

Suppose that  $g: R \to R$  is nonnegative satisfying  $\sup\{g(x) + g(y) : x \neq y\} = 1$ , define  $\mu: \beta(R) \to R$ , the set function

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} \sup\{g(x) : x \in A\}, & \sup\{g(x) : x \in A\} < 0.5\\ 1 - \sup\{g(x) : x \in A^c\}, & \sup\{g(x) : x \in A\} \ge 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(4)

for all  $A \in \beta(R)$  is an uncertain measure.

## **Definition** (1-4) [2]

Let  $(\Omega, F)$  and  $(\Omega', F')$  be two measurable spaces. A function  $g: \Omega \to \Omega'$  is said to be a measurable with respect to F and F', if  $g^{-1}(A) \in F$  for all  $A \in F'$ .

#### Definition (1-5) [5,6]

an uncertain variable is a measurable function from an uncertainty space  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$  to the set of real numbers, i.e., for any Borel set *B* of real numbers. The set  $\{X \in B\} = \{x \in \Omega \setminus X(x) \in B\}$  is an event.

## **Definition** (1-6) [2]

Let  $A \subseteq \Omega$ . A function  $I_A : \Omega \to R$  defined by :

$$I_{A}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \omega \in A \\ 0 & , \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$
(5)

Is called indicator function or (characteristic function) of A.

#### Definition (1-7)

Let  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  be two uncertain measures on measurable space  $(\Omega, F)$ . We say that  $\lambda$  is absolute continuous with respect to  $\mu$  (written  $\lambda \ll \mu$ ) if  $\lambda(A) = 0$  for every  $A \in F$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ , i.e., for all  $A \in F$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ , we have  $\lambda(A) = 0$ .

#### **Definition** (1-9)[1]

A filtration  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$  is a sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -fields of F such that for all  $n \in N, F_n \subset F_{n+1}$ .

#### **Definition** (1-10)[6]

Let X be an uncertain variable. Then the expected value of X is defined by

$$E(X) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mu(X \ge r) dr - \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mu(X \le r) dr$$
(6)

Provided that at least one of the two integrals is finite.

#### Lemma (1-11) (Jensen's Inequality) [5,6]

Let *X* be an uncertain variable and  $f : R \to R$  a convex function. If E(X) and E(f(X)) are finite, then

$$f(E(X)) \le E(f(X)) \tag{7}$$

Especially, when  $f(X) = |x|^p$  and  $p \ge 1$ , we have  $|E(X)|^p \le E(|X|^p)$ .

# Proof:

Since f is a convex function, for each y, there exists a number K such that  $f(x) - f(y) \ge K \cdot (x - y)$ . Replacing x with X and y with E(X), we obtain

$$f(X) - f(E(X)) \ge K.(X - E(X)).$$

Taking the expected value on both sides, we have

 $E(f(X)) - f(E(X)) \ge K.(E(X) - E(X)) = 0.$ 

Which proves the inequality.

## 2- Radon-Nikodym theorem on uncertain measure

Let  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  be two uncertain measure on the  $\sigma$ -field F of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $\lambda \ll \mu$ , then there is a Borel measurable function  $g: \Omega \to R$  such that  $\lambda(A) = \int_{A} g d\mu$ , for all  $A \in F$ . g is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mu$ . It is sometimes denoted by  $\left[\frac{d\lambda}{d\mu}\right]$ , i.e.  $g = \left[\frac{d\lambda}{d\mu}\right]$ .

## Proof:

Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a bounded increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable function in F.

Since every monotonic and bounded sequence is converge.

Then there exists a unique function g in F such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = g$ 

Now, we must to show that  $\lambda(A) = \int_{A} g d\mu$  for all  $A \in F$ .

Define  $\lambda_1(A) = \lambda(A) - \int_A g d\mu$  for all  $A \in F$ , and  $\lambda_1 \ll \mu$ 

Since  $\mu(A) = 0$  for all  $A \in F$ , then  $\lambda_1(A) = 0$ . By absolute continuity thus

$$\lambda(A) = \int_A g d\mu$$
 for all  $A \in F$ .

#### 3- The conditional uncertain measure

## **Definition** (3-1)

Let  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$  be an uncertainty space and  $A, B \in F$ , then the conditional uncertain measure of *A* given *B* is defined by

$$\mu_{B}(A) = \mu(A \mid B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(B)}, & \text{if } \frac{\mu(A \cap B)}{\mu(B)} < 0.5\\ 1 - \frac{\mu(A^{c} \cap B)}{\mu(B)}, & \text{if } \frac{\mu(A^{c} \cap B)}{\mu(B)} < 0.5\\ 0.5, & o.w \end{cases}$$
(8)

Provided that  $\mu(B) > 0$ .

## **Definition** (3-2)

Let  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$  be an uncertainty space and let *G* be a sub  $\sigma$ -field of *F*. Then the conditional expectation of *X* given *G* is any uncertain variable *Z* which satisfies the following two properties:

(a) Z is G – measurable.

(b) if 
$$A \in G$$
, then  $\int_{A} Xd\mu = \int_{A} Zd\mu$ .

We denote Z by E(X | G).

## Theorem (3-3)

If X,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , .....be an uncertain variables on  $(\Omega, F, \mu)$ , a and b real numbers. Then

1- If 
$$X = a$$
 a.e., then  $E(X | G) = a$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]  
2- If X is G-measurable, then  $E(X | G) = X$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]  
3-  $E(E(X | G)) = E(X) .[\mu]$   
4-  $E(aX_1 + bX_2 | G) = aE(X_1 | G) + bE(X_2 | G)$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]  
5- If  $G = \{\phi, \Omega\}$ , then  $E(X | G) = E(X)$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]  
6- If  $X \ge 0$  a.e., then  $E(X | G) \ge 0$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]  
7- If  $X_1 \le X_2$  a.e.,  $E(X_1 | G) \le E(X_2 | G)$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]  
8-  $|E(X | G)| \le E(|X||G)$  a.e.[ $\mu$ ]

- 9- If Y is G measurable and XY is integrable then E(XY | G) = YE(X | G) a.e.[μ]
- 10- If  $X_n$  and X are integrable, and if either  $X_n \uparrow X$  or  $X_n \downarrow X$ , then

$$E(X_n \mid G) \to E(X \mid G) \text{ a.e.}[\mu]$$

#### **Proof:**

1-If X = a a.e., then it is G – measurable and  $\int_{A} X d\mu = \int_{A} a d\mu$  for all  $A \in G$ and then E(X | G) = a a.e.  $[\mu]$ 

2- If X is G-measurable and  $\int_{A} Xd\mu = \int_{A} E(X \mid G)d\mu$  for all  $A \in G$ , then  $E(X \mid G) = X$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ 

3- Take  $A = \Omega$  in condition (b) of definition (3-2), we have that  $\int_{\Omega} X d\mu = \int_{\Omega} E(X \mid G) d\mu$  and this implies that  $E(E(X \mid G)) = E(X)$ . a.e.  $[\mu]$ 

4- It's clearly that  $E(aX_1 + bX_2 | G)$  is *G*-measurable and if  $A \in G$ , apply condition (b) of definition (3-2) to  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  to see that

$$\int_{A} (aE(X_1 \mid G) + bE(X_2 \mid G))d\mu = a \int_{A} X_1 d\mu + b \int_{A} X_2 d\mu = \int_{A} (aX_1 + bX_2)d\mu.$$

5- Since  $\int_{A} X d\mu = \int_{A} E(X) d\mu$  for all  $A = \phi$  or  $A = \Omega$ , we have E(X | G) = E(X)a.e.  $[\mu]$ 

6- Take  $A = \{E(X | G) < 0\} \in G$ . then by condition (b) of definition (3-2), we have,  $0 \ge \int_{A} E(X | G) d\mu = \int_{A} X d\mu \ge 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A) = 0$ .

7- Since  $X_1 \le X_2$  a.e., then  $Z = X_2 - X_1 \ge 0$  a.e., by (6) we have  $E(X_2 \mid G) - E(X_1 \mid G) \ge 0$  a.e., thus  $E(X_1 \mid G) \le E(X_2 \mid G)$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ 

8- Since  $-|X| \le X \le |X|$ , it follows from (7), that  $|E(X | G)| \le E(|X| | G)$  a.e. [  $\mu$ ]

9- Let 
$$Y = I_B$$
 ( $I_B$  is indicator function ) for some  $B \in G$ . Then  

$$\int_A YE(X \mid G)d\mu = \int_A I_B(X \mid G)d\mu = \int_{A \cap B} E(X \mid G)d\mu = \int_{A \cap B} Xd\mu = \int_A YXd\mu$$

Thus the condition (b) of definition (3-2), holds in this case.

10- It follows from definition (3-2), by letting Z = E(X | G) and for all  $A \in G$ . We have  $\int_{A} Zd\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{A} Z_n d\mu = \int_{A} Zd\mu$  .a.e[ $\mu$ ]

Thus *Z* satisfies both (a) and (b) of definition (3-2), and therefore equals E(X | G)

## 4-Uncertain martingale

## Definition (4-1)

An uncertain stochastic process is a family of uncertain variables defined on the same uncertainty space.

## Definition (4-2)

An uncertain stochastic process  $X = \{X_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain adapted to the filtration  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$  if for all n, X is  $F_n$  –measurable.

## Definition (4-3)

An uncertain stochastic process  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is said to be an uncertain martingale if it is satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) X is an uncertain adapted to filtration  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$ .
- (2)  $X_n$  is integrable for all  $n \in N$ .

(3) 
$$E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} = X_n \text{ a.e.}[\mu], \text{ for all } n \in N$$
 (9)

## Definition (4-4)

An uncertain stochastic process  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is said to be an uncertain sub-martingale (resp, uncertain super-martingale) with respect to the filtration  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$  if it is satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) X is an uncertain adapted to filtration  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$ .
- (2)  $X_n$  is integrable for all  $n \in N$ .
- (3)  $E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} \ge X_n \text{ a.e.}[\mu], (\text{ resp}, E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} \le X_n \text{ a.e.}[\mu]) \text{ for all } n \in N$

## *Example* ( 4-5 )

An uncertain stochastic process  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain submartingale iff  $-X = \{-X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain super-martingale.

#### Ans:

Since  $E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} \ge X_n$  a.e., iff  $E\{-X_{n+1} | F_n\} \le -X_n$  a.e. for all  $n \in N$ .

 $\Rightarrow X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\} \text{ is an uncertain sub-martingale iff}$  $-X = \{-X_n, F_n, n \in N\} \text{ is an uncertain super-martingale.}$ 

#### *Example* ( 4-6 )

If  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale and K a constant, then  $\max\{X, k\} = \{\max\{X_n, K\}, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale.

#### Ans:

$$\max\{X_{n+1}, K\} \ge X_{n+1} \implies E\{\max\{X_{n+1}, K\} \mid F_n\} \ge E\{X_{n+1}ZF_n\}$$

Since  $E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} \ge X_n \implies E\{\max\{X_{n+1}, K\} | F_n\} \ge X_n$ 

And similarly

$$E\{\max\{X_{n+1}, K\} | F_n\} \ge K \Longrightarrow E\{\max\{X_{n+1}, K\} | F_n\} \ge \max\{X_n, K\}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \max\{X, K\} = \{\max\{X_n, K\}, F_n, n \in N\}$$

is an uncertain sub-martingale.

#### **Example** ( 4-7 )

If  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain super-martingale and K a constant, then  $\min\{X, k\} = \{\min\{X_n, K\}, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain super-martingale.

#### Ans:

By the same way we get the answer.

## **Theorem** (4-8)

Let  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain martingale and  $f : R \to R$  a convex function, such that  $f(X_n)$  is integrable for all n, then  $f(X) = \{f(X_n), F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale.

# **Proof:**

By Jensen's inequality for uncertain conditional expectations we have,

 $E\{f(X_{n+1} | F_n\} \ge f\{E(X_{n+1} \setminus F_n)\}$ . Since  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain martingale, it follows that  $E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} = X_n$ 

then  $E\{f(X_{n+1} | F_n)\} \ge f\{E(X_{n+1} | F_n)\} = f(X_n)$ 

thus  $f(X) = \{f(X_n), F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale.

# **Theorem** ( 4-9 )

Let  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale and  $f: R \to R$  a convex increasing function, such that  $f(X_n)$  is integrable for all n, then  $f(X) = \{f(X_n), F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale.

# Proof:

By Jensen's inequality for uncertain conditional expectations we have,

$$E\{f(X_{n+1} | F_n)\} \ge f\{E(X_{n+1} | F_n)\}$$

Since  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain

sub-martingale, it follows that  $E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} \ge X_n$  a.e.,

since is increasing function, then  $f\{E(X_{n+1} | F_n)\} \ge f(X_n)$  and then

$$E\{f(X_{n+1} \mid F_n)\} \ge f(X_n)$$

Thus  $f(X) = \{f(X_n), F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale.

#### <u>Theorem (4-10) (Doop Decomposition)</u>

Let  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub- martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$ . Then there exists an uncertain martingale  $M = \{M_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  and an uncertain process  $A = \{A_n, n \in N\}$  such that

- 1- *M* is an uncertain martingale relative to  $\{F_n, n \in N\}$ .
- 2- *A* is an increasing uncertain process:  $A_n \leq A_{n+1}$  a.e.
- 3-  $A_n$  is  $F_{n-1}$ -measurable for all  $n \in N$ .

$$4-X_n = M_n + A_n$$

#### **Proof:**

1- Set  $A_0 = 0$ , and  $A_n = A_{n-1} - (X_{n-1} - E(X_n | F_{n-1}))$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (E(X_{k+1} | F_n) - X_k) \text{ for all } n \ge 0 \text{ and } A_{n+1} - A_n = E(X_{n+1} | F_n) - X_n \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

Since  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale

$$A_{n+1} - A_n = E(X_{n+1} | F_n) - X_n \Longrightarrow E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} \ge X_n$$
$$\Longrightarrow E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} - X_n \ge 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow A_{n+1} - A_n \ge 0$$

 $\Rightarrow \{A_n\}$  is increasing sequence of uncertain variables.

Take,  $M_n = X_n - A_n$  for all n $\Rightarrow M_{n+1} - M_n = (X_{n+1} - A_{n+1}) - (X_n - A_n)$   $= X_{n+1} - X_n - (A_{n+1} - A_n)$   $= X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1} | F_n)$   $\Rightarrow E(M_{n+1} - M_n | F_n) = E(X_{n+1} | F_n) - E(E(X_{n+1} | F_n) | F_n)$   $\Rightarrow E(M_{n+1} | F_n) - E(M_n | F_n) = E(X_{n+1} | F_n) - E(X_{n+1} | F_n)$ 

$$\Rightarrow E(M_{n+1} | F_n) - M_n = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow E(M_{n+1} | F_n) = \mu_n$$
  

$$\Rightarrow M = \{M_n, F_n, n \in N\} \text{ is an uncertain martingale.}$$
  
2- Set  $A_0 = 0$ , and  $A_n = A_{n-1} - (X_{n-1} - E(X_n | F_{n-1}) \text{ for all } n \ge 0.$ 

 $\Rightarrow A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (E(X_{k+1} | F_n) - X_k) \text{ for all } n \ge 0 \text{ and } A_{n+1} - A_n = E(X_{n+1} | F_n) - X_n \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$ 

Since  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale

$$A_{n+1} - A_n = E(X_{n+1} | F_n) - X_n \Longrightarrow E\{X_{n+1} \setminus F_n\} \ge X_n$$
$$\Longrightarrow E\{X_{n+1} | F_n\} - X_n \ge 0$$
$$\Longrightarrow A_{n+1} - A_n \ge 0$$

 $\Rightarrow$  {*A<sub>n</sub>*} is increasing sequence of uncertain variables.

3- since  $A_n$  is  $F_n$  -measurable and  $F_n \subseteq F_{n-1}$ , then  $A_n$  is  $F_{n-1}$ -measurable for all  $n \in N$ .

4- Let  $X = \{X_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain sub-martingale

Set  $A_0 = 0$ , and  $A_n = A_{n-1} - (X_{n-1} - E(X_n | F_{n-1}))$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  {*A<sub>n</sub>*} is increasing sequence of uncertain variables.

Take,  $M_n = X_n - A_n$  for all n

 $\Rightarrow M = \{M_n, F_n, n \in N\}$  is an uncertain martingale.

## Reference

[1] Kannan .D. "An introduction to stochastic process", Elsevier North Holland, Inc,1979.

[2] R.B. Ash," Real analysis and probability ", Academic process, New York, 1992.

[3] Liu .B. "Uncertainty theory ", 2 nd ed ., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[4] Liu .B. "Theory and practice of uncertain programming", 3ed., UTLAB, 2009.

[5] Liu .B. " Uncertainty theory: A Branch of Mathematics for Modeling Human Uncertainty, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[6] Liu .B. "Uncertainty theory", 4th ed ., UTLAB, 2011.