Open Access

Interaction between Kaolin and Urea (organoclay) (FTIR, XRD and thermodynamic studies).

Sattar S. Ibrahim*, Sabri M. Husssein*, Omar H. Shihab**



*University of Anbar – College of Science. ** University of Anbar – College of Women Education .

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 19 / 5 /2022 Accepted: 28 / 5 /2022 Available online: 19/7/2022 DOI: 10.37652/juaps.2014.123913 **Keywords:** Kaolin, Urea, Intercalation, Thermodynamic, Methylene blue and adsorption.

ABSTRACT

Interaction between kaolin (particle size 53 and 106 μ m) and urea was studied by infrared spectroscopy and powder X-ray diffraction. Interaction was found to be dependent on the particle size of kaolin raw material. Nature of interaction achieved through the formation of hydrogen bonds between urea and both AlOH and Si –O surface of kaolinite. Effect of temperature on equilibrium adsorption of methylene blue (MB) from aqueous solution using kaolin also studied, the results were analyzed by Langmuir and frendlich isotherms. Thermodynamic parameters such as Δ G, Δ H and Δ S were calculated. Results suggested that the MB adsorption on kaolin was spontaneous and exothermic process.

1. Introduction

Clays are a powerful and abundant material in nature, presenting several properties which may justify their uses in many industrial applications. They can be used as a raw material in several industry segments, such as civil construction and oil wells, chemical, white ceramic, food, drugs and cosmetics, filler for polymers, and others [1, 2]. For each application the engineering properties of the clays must be carefully designed to obtain the desired result. Clays are usually defined as natural materials presenting fine granulometry. Often, these materials exhibit a lamellar structure as a consequence of the crystalline arrangement formed by the silicon and aluminum oxides, which are the main components of clays. These structures displayed by these materials, tetrahedral for silicon oxide and octahedral for aluminum oxide combine to form a unique structural

* Corresponding author at: University of Anbar – College of Science

arrangement in which sheets of tetrahedral and octahedral overlap each other, leading to structural changes such as 2:1 (one octahedral sheet between two tetrahedral sheets) and 1:1 (one tetrahedral sheet to one octahedral sheet) that characterize the various clay minerals [3, 4].

Kaolinite is a 1:1 tetrahedral aluminosilicate with two distinct basal cleavge faces. One of them consist of tetrahedral siloxane surface formed by very chemically inert Si – O – Si bonds, while the other constituted by an Octahedral sheet Al(OH)₃ can be distributed and broken bands have the ability to accommodate OH group. The layers are bonded by hydrogen bonds. Hydrogen bonds occur between oppositely charged ends of a permanent dipole. [5, 6]

Interaction between clays and Organic compounds have been received increase attention due to the wide ranges of application especially in chromatography separation[7]., to remove organic pollutants from air[8]. and water [9]., and to develop improved formulation for pesticides and as chemical sensor and molecular sieves [10]..

This research was concerned with study the nature of intercalation between kaolinite and urea by FTIR,

[.]E-mail address:

XRD, the influence caused on adsorption capacity due to the interaction, kinetics of adsorption and application to remove dye from aqueous solution.

2. Experimental

kaolinite used in this study was hydrated Aluninum silicate, which was provided from General company for the manufacture of glass and ceramic (ceramic factory) in Ramadi. Chemical analysis of kaolin is shown in table (1). Urea powder with a melting point of 132 – 135 0C, and density of 1.33 g/ml was obtained from sigma Aldrich.

Table (1): Chemical analysis of kaolin

A1203	> 23%
SiO2	45 - 50 %
Fe2O3	< 3%
CaO	3%
MgO	< 2%
L.O.I	12 -13 %

2.1. Preparation of Kaolin – Urea Organoclay (granular size 53 μm and 106 μm).:

- 1-70 gm of grinded kaolin of granular size 53 μ m was weighed and placed in a Beaker (capacity of 500 ml).
- 2-35gm of Urea was weighed and then added to the clay on the same Beaker.
- 3-The mixture was mixed by an electrical mixer in its dry form.
- 4-Suitable amount of water then added to the mixture with keeping continuous stirring, till getting a solution of kaolin- urea.
- 5-The mixture then placed at a porcelain crucible and heat in an oven at 90° C till dryness.
- 6-The products, finally was grinded and became ready to the required tests (FTIR, XRD and Adsorption of methylene blue (MB).
- 7-Same procedure was used on kaolin (partical size $106\mu m$).

2.2. Preparation of Methylen blue solution:

1 gm of MB dye was dissolved in one liter of double distilled water to obtain 1000 ppm MB dye solution. UV-Vis spectra of this solution appeared an absorption band at $\lambda_{max} = 660$ nm>

2.3. Steps of adsorption.

- 0.5 gm of the prepared organoclay was weighed, each alone and placed at 25 ml volumetric flask.
- 10 ml of methylen blue solution dye of the of the required concentration was added an stirred, very well to the clay.
- The flasks were placed at shaker water bath at different temperatures (10, 30, 40 and 50 °C) and stirred for 1 hour each.
- The solutions were filtered.
- The absorption was measured for each filtrate at 660 nm.
- The adsorption required calculation according to (Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms), from which the thermodynamic constant can be obtained (ΔG , ΔH and ΔS)

2.4. Preparation of nano organoclays

- 1- Weigh 5 g of prepared organoclay and placed in a glas Baker 250 ml.
- 2- Added 200 ml of a solution of urea concentration 2 M and Shake well.
- 3- The solution is placed on the ultrasonic (probe ultrasonic) and placed the amount of ice around the beaker for one hour.
- 4- Separating the precipitate from the filtrate using a centrifuge.
- 5- Dried the pricipitate and then grinds it and conducted the tests required.
- 6- Returned the same previous steps for (Thiourea, Acetamide, DMSO and DMF).

3. Results and discussion

3.1.1. FTIR results

Vibrational spectroscopy is a key technique in the study of formation and structural characterization of kaolinite intercalates. [11]

FTIR of kaolin, urea and kaolin – urea complex are shown in figures (1 and 2). From these figures, one could observed that kaolin show two sharp bands at 3694cm⁻¹ and 3625cm⁻¹. The literature however shows conflicting assignment of these bands [12], band at 3694 cm⁻¹ belong to hydroxyl group in specific lattice sites in the layer and resulting from vibrational coupling of three

P-ISSN 1991-8941 E-ISSN 2706-6703 2014,8 (2):00-00

surface of hydroxyl in the primitive cell and the dipole oscillation in perpendicular to the layer, while band at 3625 cm⁻¹ in belong to hydroxyl group lie within lamellae in plane common to both the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets, Upon intercalation with urea, the intensity of these two bands decrease and shifted to lower frequency, Also a new bands at 3503 cm⁻¹ appeared due to the breaking of some hydrogen bonds between the kaolinite layers and formation of new band, which usually involve the inner surface OH group and change are observed in the intensities of bands assigned to vibrations of these groups. [11]

Bands at 3440 and 3444 cm⁻¹ in the figures 1 and 2 (chart C) which appear in the results intercalation of kaolinite 53 and 106 μ m with urea respectively are attributed to formation H – bond between NH₂ group from urea and Oxygen group of tetrahedral sheet for kaolinite.

The newly formed bands at 3384 and 3503 cm⁻¹ in the intercalation of kaolinite 53 μ m with urea confirmed the asymmetric and symmetric NH₂ stretching frequencies involved in weak H – bonding with the inner hydroxyls. [13-16]

Band at 2352 cm⁻¹ in urea chart and kaolinite 53 and 106 μ m started disappear when intercalated urea with kaolinite 106 μ m and happened shifted in this band to the 2356 cm⁻¹ when intercalate urea with kaolinite 53 μ m.

Also same effect appeared for the band at 1673 cm⁻¹, which assigned for the C=O group of urea , upon interaction with kaolin, formation a bond between C=O and OH group in Gibbsite – like layer so it shifted to 1658 and 1666cm⁻¹ whene kaolinite 53 and 106 μ m interactions with urea respectinely (Chart C in figures 1 and 2). CN stretching of free urea appeared at 1461 cm⁻¹, upon interaction with kaolinite shifted to 1457 and 1454 cm⁻¹ in the figures (1 and 2) chart C respectively, and a new band at 1403 cm⁻¹ appeared. This suggest urea in this system would than be considered to exist in two forms anionic and complex (ion dipole) as shown in fig. (3).




Figure 1: FTIR spectra of (**A**) Urea, (**B**) kaolin 53µm and (**C**) Kaolin53µm - urea complex




Figure 2: FTIR spectra of (A) kaolin 106µm, (B) Urea and (C) Kaolin 106µm - urea complex




Fig. 3: Anionic forms in Urea molecule

3.2. XRD results

The XRD curves of raw kaolin chart (A), and kaolin – Urea complexes charts. (B and C) are shown in

Fig. (4).




Figure 4: The XRD pattern of raw kaolinite (A), kaolinite 53µm- urea intercalation (B) and kaolinite 106µm- urea intercalation (C)

From this figure one could observe that the strongest three peaks and their values are recorded in table (2).

Assignment	Kaoline	kaolinite 53µm- Thiourea complex	kaolinite 53µm- Thiourea complex
	12.3044	22.4669	22.3047
20	24.9208	26.8558	29.3554
	26.6345	25.1508	24.6676
d (A ⁰)	7.18765	3.95417	3.98256
	3.57009	3.31709	3.04008
	3.34415	3.53796	3.60616
Intoncity	403	1019	2249
Intensity (counts)	362	247	371
(counts)	286	227	370

Table 2: values of XRD for strong peaks in figure (4) chart A

From this table:

Peaks at 2θ = 12.3044, d (A°) = 7.18765, intensity= 403 and 2θ = 24.9208, d (A°) = 3.57009, intensity= 362 are attributed to kaolinite and 2θ = 26.6345, d(A°) = 3.34415, intensity= 286 is due to SiO₂.

Peak in chart B at 2θ = 22.4669, d(A°) = 3.95417, intensity= 1019 is attributed to urea, and peake at 2θ = 268558, d (A°)= 3.31709, intensity= 247 is due to SiO₂. Band at 2θ = 25.1508, d (A°) = 3.53796, intensity= 227 is due to kaolinite.

These peaks in chart C at 2θ = 22.3047, d(A°) = 3.98256, intensity= 2249, 2θ =29.3554, d(A°) = 3.04008, intensity= 371and 2θ = 24.6676, d(A°) = 3.60616, intensity= 370 are assigned to Urea, SiO₂ and kaolinite respectively.

From the results in table (2) and make comparison between these values, on could concluded that strong intercalation between kaolinite layers and urea as a result of appearance high intensity of peaks are due to urea and in the same time happened shifted and decrease in the intensity of kaolinite and SiO2 when the intercalation is event. The intercalation caused the destruction of the hydrogen bonding between the kaolinite layers [12]. And from results in this table show decreasing in intensity of peaks when the kaolin 53μ m- urea intercalated with urea compared with other complex this indicates that this kaoline a granular size 53μ m is the best.

3.3 Adsorption results

Effects of temperature on the equilibrium adsorption of methylene blue from aqueous solution using kaolin (partical size 53 and 106 μ m) and kaolin – urea complex were studied.

The equilibrium adsorption data were analyzed using two widely applied isotherms; Langmuir and Freundlich the results were shown in tables (3 & 4). Non linear method was used for comparing the best fit of the isotherms. Best fit was found to be Langmuir isotherm.

Table: 3. Langmu	ir constant for	adsorption at conc.

100 ppm of methylene blue

ole	cle Im	icle um nuir ant		npera	ture	K
sample	Particle size um	Langmuir constant	283	303	313	322
		Kf	1000	1000	1000	500
Kaolin	53	а	1	1	1	0.5
		a Kf R ²	0 0.535	0.879 0 0 0.411	0.504	0.957 0 0 0.64 0.5
rea		Kf	0	0	0	0
- U	53	а	0	0	0	0
Kaolin - Urea	5	\mathbf{R}^2	0.758	0.879	0.944 0 0 0.504	0.957
		Kſ	0	250	142.857	250
Kaolin	106	а	0	-1.5	-1.714	-0.5
		\mathbb{R}^2	0.817	0.933	0.933	0.345
ea		$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f}}$	1000	1000	500	500
Kaolin + Urea	kaolin + Ur 106	а	2.0	3.0	1.5	0.5
Kao		${f R}^2$	0.65	0.737	0.808	0.640

 Table: 4 Freundlich constant for adsorption at conc.

100 ppm of methylene blue

le	le m	lich nt	Te	mpera	ature	K
sample	Particle size µm	Freundlich constant	283	303	313	322
Kaolin	53	Kr	419.75	404.57	309.2	285.759
Ka		u	1.315	1.207	1.331	1.360

		\mathbb{R}^2	0.913	0.938	0.897	0.864	
Jrea		Kr	371.53	297.85	229.08	186.638	
Kaolin - Urea	53	u	1.680	1.980	2.624	2.923	
K		\mathbb{R}^2	0.860	0.876	0.867	0.877	
	106	Kr	319.15	1127.19	1879.31	434.51	
Kaolin		106	u	1.751	0.536	0.379	1.360
			${f R}^2$	0.880	0.983	0.970	0.864
rea		Kr	263.02	224.38	207.01	202.301	
Kaolin - Urea 106	u	1.633	1.908	1.754	1.481		
K		\mathbb{R}^2	0.885	0.874	0.924	0.920	

Thermodynamic parameters

Thermodynamic parameters such as ΔG , ΔH and ΔS were calculated using adsorption equilibrium constant obtained from Langmuir isotherm and shown in table (5).Results suggested that methylene blue adsorption on kaolin was spontaneous and exothermic process.Decrease a negative value of ΔG with increase the value of ΔH (- ve) indicate that the adsorption reaction was exothermic. Percentage of adsorption (Q%) for kaolin and kaolin – urea at conc. 100 ppm of methylen blue are shown in table (6).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

TEM is a microscopy technique in which a beam of electrons is transmitted through an ultra-thin specimen, interacting with the specimen as it passes through. An image is formed from the interaction of the electrons transmitted through the specimen; the image is magnified and focused onto an imaging device, such as a fluorescent screen, on a layer of photographic film, or to be detected by a sensor such as a CCD camera.Figures (5 and 6) show the TEM photographs of Kaolin(53 and 106 μ m) – Urea complexes. From this figures show formation of nanotube it is also very clearly in the images. The average sizes of particles are in the range of 20.2 -24.5nm in the figure (5) and from 20.4 - 20.4nm in figure (6).

Table: 5 Thermodynamic parameters at conc. 100 ppm

Methylen blue

sample	Parical size µm AHKJ/mol		ΔS	KJ/mol.k		AG KJ/mol	
Sa	Paric	ΔHD		283 K	303 K	313 K	322 K
Kaolin	53	-9.877	0.01858	-15.0757	-15.7056	-15.644	-15.8984
Kaolin -	53	-6.59965	0.0325576	-15.661	-16.668	-17.119	-18.742
Kaolin	106	-16.9356	-0.006187	-15.3088	-14.8637	-14.8618	-15.1733
Kaolin - 11400	106	-9.935	0.01738	-14.6689	-15.6398	-15.904	-16.2948

 Table: 6 Percentage of adsorption (Q%) for kaolin and

kaolin – urea at conc.100 ppm of methylen blue.

43	size	Q% at different temp.				
sample	Particle size µm	283 K	303 K	313 K	322 K	

			,		
Kaolin	53	£58.6 6	99.803	99.7521	99.726
Kaolin - Urea	53	99.8714	99.8662	99.861	99.803
Kaolin	106	90.8506	99.726	99,699	99.648
Kaolin - Urea	106	99.8039	99.7987	99.7313	99.6639





Figure 5.TEM image of Kaolinite 53µm Urea complexes





References

2014,8 (2):00-00

- [1] H.H. Murray, in Applied clay mineralogyoccurrences, processing and application of kaolins, bentonites, palygorskyte sepiolite, and common clays, in Development and clay science, 2 (Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2007) 85-110.
- [2] A.R.V. Silva and H.C. Ferreira, Argilas bentoníticas: conceitos, estruturas, propriedades, usos industriais, reservas, produção e produtores/fornecedores nacionais e internacionais. Revista Eletrônica de Materiais e Processos, 2 (2008)26-29.
- [3] Grim, R. E. Clay Mineralogy (New York: McGraw Hill, , 1962).
- [4] F.R. Valenzuela-Díaz, P. Souza-Santos and H. Souza-Santos, A importância das argilas industriais brasileiras II. Quimica Industrial, 44 (1992) 31-35.
- [5] Fell, Robin, Patrick MacGregor, David Stapledon, and Graem Bell.Geotechnical Engineering of Dams. Leiden: A.A. Balkema, 2005. Print.
- [6] Mitchell, James K., and Kenichi Soga. Fundamentals of Soil Behavior. 3rd ed. Hoboken: J. Wiley & Sons, 2005. Print.
- [7] Wang, Y.; Chem, F.B and K.C.J, J.Appl. Polym. Sci 2004, 93(1), 100-112.

- [8] Sonawane and Meshram,S, 2011, Photo catalytic dehydration of Phenol using ZnO Nanoclay under UV irradiation in CSTR chemical Engineering Journal 72 (4), 632-637.
- [9] Yupin. Xiang and Ningwang, 2013. J. of Agricultural and Food Chem. 61 (22) 5215-5219.
- [10] Sabri Al-Marsoumi and others, Patent, No. 2143 (2010).
- [11] Farmer, V. C. (2000) Transverse and Longitudinal Crystal modes associated with hydroxyl stretching vibrations in single crystals of kaolinite and dickite. Spectrochim. Acta. A, 56: 927 – 930.
- [12] R. Frost, J. Kristof, L. Rintoul and J. Kloprogge, "Raman Spectroscopy of Urea and Urea– Intercalation Kaolinite at 77 K," Spectrochim.Acta: Part A, 56 [56] 1681–91 (2000).
- [13] K. Orzechowski, T. Stonka, J. Glowinski, J. Phys. Chem. SOLID. 67 (2006) 915 –919.
- [14] R. L. Ledoux, J. L. White, J. Colloid. Interf. Sci. 21 (1966) 127 – 152.
- [15] Xiayan, Y. Chunjie, C. Jieyan, Appl. Clay. Sci. 55 (2012) 114 – 152.
- [16] M. Valaskova, K. Barabaszova. M. Hundakova, M. Ritz, E. Plevova, Appl. Clay. Sci. 54 (2011) 70 – 76.

التداخل بين الكاؤولين واليوريا (الأطيان العضوية) (دراسة ثرموديناميكية، حيود الأشعة السينية وطيف التداخل بين الكاؤولين واليوريا (

صبري محمد حسين عمر حمد شهاب ستار سالم إبراهيم

الخلاصة

تضمن البحث دراسة التداخل بين طين الكاؤولين (حجم حبيبي 53 و 106 مايكرون) مع اليوريا بواسطة طيف الأشعة تحت الحمراء وحيود الأشعة السينية.أظهرت النتائج كون التداخل يعتمد على الحجم الحبيبي وان طبيعة التداخل الحاصل تتم عن طريق تكوين أواصر هيدروجينية بين اليوريا ومجموعتي الهيدروكسيل العائدة للألمنيوم والسليكون. تم دراسة تأثير درجة الحرارة على امتزاز صبغة المثلين الزرقاء على سطوح الاطيان العضوية المحضرة. تم تحليل النتائج عن طريق ايزوثيرمات لانكمير وفريندليش حيث تم دراسة المتغيرات الثرموديناميكية (ΔG, ΔH and ΔS) وبينت النتائج كون المتزاز صبغة المثلين الزرقاء هو تلقائى وباعث النتائج كون التدائي معتولية المتزاز صبغة المثلين الزرقاء على سطوح الاطيان العضوية المحضرة. تم تحليل النتائج عن طريق ايزوثيرمات لانكمير وفريندليش حيث تم دراسة المتغيرات الثرموديناميكية (ΔG, ΔH and ΔS) وبينت النتائج كون امتزاز صبغة المثلين