

Pre Open Sets In Topological Spaces

Raad Aziz Hussain Al- Abdulla
Al- Qadisiya University
College of Science
Dept. of Mathematics
Email : raadhussain@yahoo.com
Iraq, Al-Qadisiya

Abstract

This work consists of three sections. In section one we will study the properties of P-connected spaces [3] and we will show that the product of two P-connected spaces is P-connected. In section two we recall the definition of I- space [2] and we will introduce similar definition PI-space using pre open sets and also we will study some properties of this definition. In [8],[2] T_D -space and MI- space are studied respectively. In section three we introduce similar definition T_P - space using pre open sets. In particular we will prove that in MI-space the T_P - space and T_D -space are equivalent.

Introduction

The concept of pre open set in topological spaces was introduced in 1982[7]. This set was also considered in [6] and [4]. We recall the definition of connected spaces [5]. In section one we study similar definition using pre open sets which is called P-connected space [3] and we give several properties of this definition. Recall that a space is an I-space if each open subset is connected [2]. In section two of this paper we study similar definition PI-space using pre open sets and we study some properties of this definition. Also we recall that a space is T_D -space iff the set of limit points of any singleton is closed [8]. In section three we study similar definition T_P - space using pre open sets and we show that T_D -space and T_P - space are equivalent if the space is MI- space.

Section 1 : P-connected Spaces

Definition 1.1 [7]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. A is called pre open (P-open) in X iff $A \subseteq \overline{A^\circ}$. A is called p – closed iff A^c is P-open and it is easy to see that A is P-closed set iff $\overline{A^\circ} \subseteq A$. It is clear that every open set is P-open and every closed set is P-closed, but the converse is not true in general. The intersection of two P-open sets is not in general P-open, but the intersection of P-open set with open set is P-open. Also the union of any P-open sets is P-open.

Definition 1.2 [3, Definition 1.1.21]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. The P-closure of A is defined as the intersection of all P-closed sets in X containing A , and is denoted by \bar{A}^P . It is clear that \bar{A}^P is P-closed set for any subset A of X .

Proposition 1.3 [3, Proposition 1.1.23]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$.
Then:

- (i) $\bar{A}^P \subseteq \bar{B}^P$
- (ii) $A \subseteq \bar{A}^P$
- (iii) A is P-closed iff $A = \bar{A}^P$

Definition 1.4

Let X be a topological space and $x \in X, A \subseteq X$. The Point x is called a P-limit point of A if each P-open set containing x , contains a point of A distinct from x . We shall call the set of all P-limit points of A the P-derived set of A and denoted it by A'^P . Therefore $x \in A'^P$ iff for every P-open set G in X such that $x \in G$ implies that $(G \cap A) - \{x\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 1.5

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$.
Then:

- (i) $\bar{A}^P = A \cup A'^P$
- (ii) A is P-closed set iff $A'^P \subseteq A$
- (iii) $A'^P \subseteq B'^P$
- (iv) $A'^P \subseteq A'$
- (v) $\bar{A}^P \subseteq \bar{A}$

Proof

- (i) If $x \notin \bar{A}^P$, then there exists a P-closed set F in X such that $A \subseteq F$ and $x \notin F$. Hence $G = X - F$ is a P-open set such that $x \in G$ and $G \cap A = \emptyset$.
Therefore $x \notin A$ and $x \notin A'^P$, then $x \notin A \cup A'^P$. Thus $A \cup A'^P \subseteq \bar{A}^P$. On the other hand, $x \in A \cup A'^P$ implies that there exists a P-open set G in X such that $x \in G$ and $G \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Hence $F = X - G$ is a P-closed set in X

such that $A \subseteq F$ and $x \notin F$. Hence $x \notin \bar{A}^P$. Thus $\bar{A}^P \subseteq A \cup A'^P$.

Therefore $\bar{A}^P \subseteq A \cup A'^P$.

For (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) the proof is easy.

Definition 1.6

Let X be a topological space. Two non- empty subsets A and B of X are called P-separated iff $\bar{A}^P \cap B = A \cap \bar{B}^P = \phi$.

Definition 1.7 [3]

Let X be a topological space. Then X is called P-connected space iff X can not be expressed as the union of two disjoint, P-open, non- empty subsets of X .

Remark 1.8 [3]

A set A is called P-clopen iff it is P-open and P-closed.

Theorem 1.9 [3]

Let X be a topological space, then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) X is a P-connected space.
- (ii) X can not be expressed as the union of two disjoint, non- empty and P-closed sets.
- (iii) The only P-clopen sets in the space are X and ϕ .

Theorem 1.10

Let X be a topological space, then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) X is a P-connected space.
- (ii) X is not the union of any two P-separated sets.

Proof

(\longrightarrow)

Let A and B are two P-separated sets such that $X = A \cup B$. Therefore $\bar{A}^P \cap B = A \cap \bar{B}^P = \phi$. Since $A \subseteq \bar{A}^P$ and $B \subseteq \bar{B}^P$, then $A \cap B = \phi$. Now $\bar{A}^P \subseteq X - B = A$. Hence $\bar{A}^P = A$. Then A is P-closed set. By the same way we can show that B is P-closed set which is a contradicts with Theorem 1.9 (ii).

(\longleftarrow)

Let A and B are two disjoint non-empty and P-closed sets such that $X = A \cup B$. Then $\bar{A}^P \cap B = A \cap \bar{B}^P = A \cap B = \phi$ which is a contradicts with the hypothesis.

Remark 1.11 [3]

Every P-connected space is a connected space. But the converse is not true in general. For the example see[3].

Remark 1.12

If $\phi \neq A \subseteq (X, T)$, we call A a P-connected set in X whenever (A, T_A) is a P-connected space.

Example 1.13

In this example we show that P-connectivity is not a hereditary property. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $T_x = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \phi, X\}$ be a topology on X . The P-open sets are: $\{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \phi, X$. It is clear that X is P-connected space since the only P-clopen sets are X and ϕ . Let $Y = \{b, c\}$, then $T_y = \{\{b\}, \{c\}, Y, \phi\}$. It is clear that Y is not P-connected space since $\{b\} \neq \phi, \{b\} \neq Y$ and $\{b\}$ is P-clopen set in Y . Thus a P-connectivity is not a hereditary property.

Proposition 1.14

Let A be a P-connected set and H, K are P-separated sets. If $A \subseteq H \cup K$ then either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq K$.

Proof

Suppose A is P-connected set and H, K are P-separated sets such that $A \subseteq H \cup K$. Let $A \not\subseteq H$ and $A \not\subseteq K$. Suppose $A_1 = H \cap A \neq \phi$ and $A_2 = K \cap A \neq \phi$. Then $A = A_1 \cup A_2$. Since $A_1 \subseteq H$, hence $\overline{A_1}^P \subseteq \overline{H}^P$. Since $\overline{H}^P \cap K = \phi$, then $\overline{A_1}^P \cap A_2 = \phi$. Since $A_2 \subseteq K$, hence $\overline{A_2}^P \subseteq \overline{K}^P$. Since $\overline{K}^P \cap H = \phi$, then $\overline{A_2}^P \cap A_1 = \phi$. But $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, therefore A is not P-connected space which is a contradiction. Then either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq K$.

Proposition 1.15

If H is P-connected set and $H \subseteq E \subseteq \overline{H}^P$ then E is P-connected.

Proof

If E is not P-connected, then there exists two sets A, B such that $\overline{A}^P \cap B = A \cap \overline{B}^P = \phi$ and $E = A \cup B$. Since $H \subseteq E$, thus either $H \subseteq A$ or $H \subseteq B$. Suppose $H \subseteq A$, then $\overline{H}^P \subseteq \overline{A}^P$, thus $\overline{H}^P \cap B = \overline{A}^P \cap B = \phi$. But $B \subseteq E \subseteq \overline{H}^P$, thus $\overline{H}^P \cap B = B$. Therefore $B = \phi$ which is a contradiction. Thus E is P-connected set. If $H \subseteq E$, then by the same way we can prove that $A = \phi$ which is a contradiction. Then E is P-connected.

Corollary 1.16

If a space X contains a P-connected subspace A such that $\overline{A}^P = X$, then X is P-connected.

Proof

Suppose A is a P-connected subspace of X such that $\overline{A}^P = X$. Since $A \subseteq X = \overline{A}^P$, then by proposition 1.15, X is P-connected.

Proposition 1.17

If A is P-connected set then \overline{A}^P is P-connected.

Proof

Suppose A is P-connected set and \overline{A}^P is not. Then there exist two P-separated sets H, K such that $\overline{A}^P = H \cup K$. But $A \subseteq \overline{A}^P$, then $A \subseteq H \cup K$ and since A is P-connected set then either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq K$ (by proposition 1.14)

(1) If $A \subseteq H$, then $\overline{A}^P \subseteq \overline{H}^P$. But $\overline{H}^P \cap K = \emptyset$, hence $\overline{A}^P \cap K = \emptyset$. Since

$K \subseteq \overline{A}^P$, then $K = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction.

(2) If $A \subseteq K$, then the same way we can prove that $H = \emptyset$ which is a contradiction.

Therefore \overline{A}^P is P-connected set.

Proposition 1.18

Let X be a topological space such that any two elements a and b of X are contained in some P-connected subspace of X . Then X is P-connected.

Proof

Suppose X is not P-connected space. Then X is the union of two P-separated sets A, B . Since A, B are non-empty sets, thus there exist a, b such that $a \in A$, $b \in B$. Let H be a P-connected subspace of X which contains a and b . Therefore by proposition 1.14 either $H \subseteq A$ or $H \subseteq B$ which is a contradiction since $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then X is P-connected space.

Proposition 1.19

If A and B are P-connected subspace of a space X such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cup B$ is P-connected subspace.

Proof

Suppose that $A \cup B$ is not P-connected. Then there exist two P-separated sets H and K such that $A \cup B = H \cup K$. Since $A \subseteq A \cup B = H \cup K$ and A is P-connected, then either $A \subseteq H$ or $A \subseteq K$. Since $B \subseteq A \cup B = H \cup K$ and B is P-connected, then either $B \subseteq H$ or $B \subseteq K$.

(1) If $A \subseteq H$ and $B \subseteq H$, then $A \cup B \subseteq H$. Hence $K = \phi$ which is a contradiction.

(2) If $A \subseteq H$ and $B \subseteq K$, then $A \cap B \subseteq H \cap K = \phi$. Therefore $A \cap B = \phi$ which is a contradiction.

By the same way we can get a contradiction if $A \subseteq K$ and $B \subseteq H$ or if $A \subseteq K$ and $B \subseteq K$. Therefore $A \cup B$ is P-connected subspace of a space X .

Theorem 1.20

If X and Y are P-connected spaces, then $X \times Y$ is P-connected space.

Proof

For any points (x_1, y_1) and (x_2, y_2) of the space $X \times Y$, the subspace $X \times \{y_1\} \cup \{x_2\} \times Y$ contains the two points and this subspace is P-connected since it is the union of two P-connected subspaces with a point in common (by proposition 1.19). Thus $X \times Y$ is P-connected (by proposition 1.18).

Section 2 : PI- spaces

Definition 2.1 [2]

A topological space (X, T) is called I- space iff each open subset of X is connected.

Proposition 2. 2 [2]

The following statements are equivalent in any topological space (X, T) :

- (i) (X, T) is an I- space.
- (ii) Every pair of non- empty open subsets of X has non- empty intersection.

Definition 2.3

A topological space (X, T) is called PI- space iff each P-open subset of X is P-connected.

It is clear that every PI- space is I- space, but the converse is not true in general. In example 2.7 we will show that not every I-space is PI-space.

Theorem 2.4 [1, Theorem 1.8]

Let (Y, γ) be a subspace of a space (X, T) . If $A \subset Y$ and $A \in PO(X, T)$, then $A \in PO(Y, \gamma)$.

Theorem 2.5 [1, Theorem 1.9]

Let (Y, T_y) is a subspace of (X, T) , $y \in PO(X, T)$ and $A \in PO(y, T_y)$, then $A \in PO(X, T)$.

Theorem 2.6

The following statements are equivalent in any topological space (X, T) :

- (i) (X, T) is PI- space.
- (ii) Every pair of non-empty P-open subsets of X has a non-empty intersection.

Proof

(i) \longrightarrow (ii)

Let A, B be a non-empty P-open subsets of X such that $A \cap B = \phi$. It is clear that $A \cup B$ is P-open subset in X and A, B are P-open subset in $A \cup B$ (by Theorem 2.4). Then $A \cup B$ is not P-connected set . Therefore $A \cap B \neq \phi$.

(ii) \longrightarrow (i)

Let A be a P-open subset of X such that $A = U \cup V$ where U, V are non-empty P-open subsets in A and $U \cap V = \phi$. Then U, V are P-open subset in X (by Theorem 2.5) which contradicts with the hypothesis. Thus A is P-connected set.

Example 2.7

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $T = \{\phi, X, \{1, 2\}\}$. The P-open sets are : $\phi, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}$ It is clear that (X, T) is I- space, but it is not PI-space, since $\{1\}, \{2\}$ are non-empty P-open subsets of X with an empty intersection.

Proposition 2. 8

Let (X, T) be a PI- space. If a non-empty subset A of X is P-open , then

$$\overline{A}^P = X .$$

Proof

Let A be a P-open set such that $\overline{A}^P \neq X$. Then there exist a point $x \notin \overline{A}^P = A \cup A'^P$. Hence there exist a P-open set G contain x such that $G \cap A = \phi$ which is a contradicts with Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.9

Let (X, T) be a PI- space . Then the P-closure of the intersection of all non-empty P-open subsets of X is equal to X .

Proof

Let $C = \cap \{U : U \text{ is P-open in } X \text{ and } U \neq \phi\}$. If C is non-empty, then C has a non-empty intersection with each non-empty P-open set in X . Therefore $\overline{C}^P = X$.

Section 3 : T_p - spaces

Definition 3.1 [8]

A topological space (X, T) is called T_D -space iff the set of limit points of any singleton is closed.

In a similar way we introduce the following:

Definition 3.2

A topological space (X, T) is called T_P - space iff the set of P-limit points of any singleton is P-closed.

We shall prove later that T_P -space and T_D -space are equivalent if the space is MI-space . The following example shows that the T_D -space the T_P -space are not equivalent in general.

Example 3.3

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ and $T = \{\{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \phi, X\}$ be a topology on X . The P-open sets are: $\{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, \phi, X$. It is clear that X is T_P - space. But X is not T_D - space since $\{b\}' = \{e\}$ and $\{e\}$ is not closed.

Definition 3.4

Let (X, T) be a topological space, then (X, T) is called PT_0 (resp., PT_1) iff for every $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, there exists a P-open set containing x but not y or (resp., and) a P-open set containing y but not x .

Proposition 3. 5

Let (X, T) be a topological space. If for every $x \in X$, $\{x\}'^P$ is P-closed set , then (X, T) is a PT_0 - space.

Proof

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then either $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}^P$, in which case $N_y = \overline{\{x\}}^P$ is a P-open set contain y which does not contain x . Or $y \in \overline{\{x\}}^P$, then $y \in \{x\}'^P$. Hence $N_x = \{x\}'^P$ is a P-open set which does not contain y . If $x \notin N_x$, then $x \in \{x\}'^P$. Hence for each G_x P-open set contain x , $(G_x \cap \{x\}) - \{x\} \neq \phi$ which is a contradiction. Then N_x contain x . Therefore (X, T) is PT_0 - space.

Proposition 3. 6

Every T_p -space is PT_o -space.

Proof

This follows immediately from proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3. 7

A topological space (X, T) is PT_1 -space iff $\{x\} = \overline{\{x\}}^P$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a PT_1 -space and $x \in X$. If $y \in X - \{x\}$, then there exist P-open set V such that $y \in V$ and $x \in X - V$. Hence $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}^P$ and $\overline{\{x\}}^P = \{x\}$. Conversely, suppose that $\overline{\{x\}}^P = \{x\}$, for each $x \in X$. Let $y, z \in X$ with $y \neq z$. Then $\overline{\{y\}}^P = \{y\}$ implies that $\overline{\{y\}}^c$ is P-open set contain z but not y . Also, $\overline{\{z\}}^P = \{z\}$ implies that $\overline{\{z\}}^c$ is P-open set contain y but not z . Thus (X, T) is PT_1 -space.

Proposition 3. 8

Every PT_1 -space is a T_p -space.

Proof

In a PT_1 -space X , $\overline{\{x\}}^P = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$; hence $\{x\}'^P \subseteq \{x\}$. Therefore $\{x\}'^{P^P} \subseteq \{x\}'^P$. Then $\{x\}'^P$ is P-closed. Hence the space is T_p -space.

Definition 3.9 [2]

An I-space (X, T) is called a maximal I-space if for any topology U on X such that $T \subset U$, then (X, U) is not an I-space. We will denote a maximal I-space briefly by MI-Space.

Proposition 3. 10 [2]

Let (X, T) be a MI-space. If (X, T) is not T_1 -space then :
 $(X, T) = \{A : x_0 \in A, \text{ for some } x_0 \in X\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \langle X, x_0 \rangle$ for some $x_0 \in X$.

Proposition 3. 11

Let (X, T) be a T_1 -space. Then (X, T) is T_p -space iff it is T_D -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a T_1 -space. Then $\{x\}' = \{x\}'^P = \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$. Then for each $x \in X$, $\{x\}'$ and $\{x\}'^P$ are closed and hence they are P-closed sets. Therefore (X, T) is T_p -space iff it is T_D -space.

Proposition 3. 12

Let (X, T) be a MI-space, and is not T_1 -space. Then (X, T) is T_p -space iff it is T_D -space.

Proof

Let (X, T) be a MI-space which is not T_1 -space. Then $(X, T) = \langle X, x_0 \rangle$ for some $x_0 \in X$ (by Proposition 3.10). Thus $\{x\}' = \emptyset$ for each $x \neq x_0$ and $\{x_0\}' = X - \{x_0\}$. Therefore $\{x\}'$ is closed for each $x \in X$. Since in this space the P-open sets are the same as the open sets, then $\{x\}'$ is closed iff $\{x\}'^P$ is P-closed for each $x \in X$. Therefore (X, T) is T_p -space iff it is T_D -space.

Theorem 3. 13

Let (X, T) be a MI-space. Then (X, T) is T_p -space iff it is T_D -space.

Proof

The Theorem follows immediately from Propositions 3.11 and 3.12.

References

- [1] Nehmat Khder Ahmed , “On some applications of special subsets of topological space”, M. Sc. Thesis , University of Salahaddin, College of Science, (1990).
- [2] Nabiha Al- Amili and Adil.G. Naoum, “ On maximal irreducible spaces”, Bull. Coll. Sci., Vol. 17, No.2, (1976).
- [3] Najla Jabbar Kaisam Al- Malaki, “ Some kinds of weakly connected and pairwise connected space”, M. Sc. Thesis, Baghdad University, College of Education/ Ibn Al-Haitham , (2005).
- [4] Julian Dontchev, “Survey on pre open sets”, arXiv: math. GN/ 9810177, Vol. 30, Oct., (1998).
- [5] Michael C. Gemignani , “ Elementary Topology “, Reading, Mass : Addison – Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., (1967).
- [6] M. K. R. S. Veera Kumar , “ Pre semi – closed sets”, Indian Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 44, No.2 , (2002), 165- 181.
- [7] A. S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd- El- Monsef and S.N. El- Deeb, “ On Pre- continuous and weak Pre- continuous Mappings”, Proc. Math. and phys. Soc. Egypt 53(1982), 47-53.
- [8] J.Throne, “ Topological structures “, Holt Reinhart and Winston Book Co., New York, (1966)

المجموعات الـ Pre – مفتوحة في الفضاءات التوبولوجية

رعد عزيز حسين العبد الله
جامعة القادسية – كلية العلوم – قسم الرياضيات

الخلاصة

ان هذا البحث يتكون من ثلاثة فصول . في الفصل الاول سوف ندرس خواص الفضاءات الـ P – متصلة وسنبين ان حاصل ضرب الديكارتية لفضائين P- متصلين هو فضاء P – متصل . في الفصل الثاني نتذكر تعريف الفضاء الغير قابل للتجزئة (فضاء – I) وسوف ندرس تعريف مشابه لهذا التعريف باستعمال المجموعات الـ P- مفتوحة والذي نسميه بالفضاء- PI وكذلك سوف ندرس بعض الخواص لهذا التعريف . في الفصل الثالث نتذكر التعريفيين الفضاء T_D - والفضاء غير قابل للتجزئة اعظم (فضاء – MI) وسوف ندرس تعريف مشابه لتعريف فضاء T_D - باستعمال المجموعات الـ P – مفتوحة والذي نسميه بالفضاء T_P . بصورة خاصة سوف نبرهن انه اذا كان الفضاء – MI فان الفضاءين T_P - و T_D يكونان متكافئين.