

On b^* - COMPACTNESS and b - COMPACTNESS

Adea Khaliefa.Al-Obiadi

College of Science . Al-Mustansiriyia Univesity

ABSTRACT

In this paper we use the notions of b - open sets and b^* -open sets to introduce and study new generalizations of compactness, which are termed by b -compactness and b^* - compactness. We show that b - compactness is strictly stronger than b^* - compactness, and this is stronger than compactness. Several characterizations and properties of these spaces are given. Further we introduce and study the notion of b -irresolute functions.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The notion of b -open sets was introduced in 1996, by D. Anderjivic[1]. The class of all b - open (resp. b -closed) sets are denoted by $BO(X)$ (resp. $BC(X)$). The arbitrary union of b -open sets is a b -open set, while the intersection of a finite number of b -open sets is not necessarily a b -open [1]. It was shown in [1] that, $PO(X) \subseteq BO(X) \subseteq \beta O(X)$ where $PO(X)$ is the class of all pre-open sets[4], and $\beta O(X)$ is the class of all β -open sets[2]. The topology generated by $BO(X)$ was introduced in [1] and denoted by τ_b so that, $\tau_b = \{V \subset X : V \cap S \in BO(X), \text{ whenever } S \in BO(X)\}$. We denote by b^* - open the set $S \in \tau_b$, and its complement by b^* -closed.

Throughout this paper X and Y will denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise stated.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Definition 2.1.

A subset S of a space X is called a b –open set [1] if $S \subset \text{int}(cl(S)) \cup cl(\text{int}(S))$ and a b -closed if $cl(\text{int}(S)) \cap \text{int}(cl(S)) \subset S$, where “int” and “cl” stand for interior and closure operators respectively.

Remark 2.2.

For any topological space (X, τ) , we have.
 $\tau \subseteq \tau_b \subseteq BO(X)$ and the converse are not true in general as the following example shows.

Example 2.3.

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $BO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\tau_b = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. If $A = \{a, c\}$, then A is b -open set but not b^* -open.

Now if $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$, then $BO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$, and $\tau_b = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$, then $\{a, b\}$ is b^* -open but not open.

Definition 2.4.

A subset U of a space X is called b^* -neighborhood (resp. b - neighborhood) of a point x of X if there exist $S \in \tau_b$ (resp. $S \in BO(X)$) such that $x \in S \subseteq U$. We denote by \mathcal{U}_x^* (resp. \mathcal{U}_x) the family of all b^* -neighborhood (resp. b -neighborhood) of x .

Remark 2.5.

Every neighborhood is b^* -neighborhood and every b^* -neighborhood is a b -neighborhood but the converse is not true as the following example shows:

Example 2.6.

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $BO(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b\}\}$ and $\tau_b = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b\}\}$. Therefore $\{b\}$ is b^* -neighborhood of b hence b -neighborhood, but not a neighborhood of b , and $\{c, b\}$ is a b -neighborhood of c but not b^* - neighborhood of c .

Definition 2.7.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space, then the smallest b^* -closed (resp. b -closed) set containing a set A is called the b^* -closure (resp. b -closure) of A and denoted by $b^*cl(A)$ (resp. $bcl(A)$).

The following remark is an immediate consequence of Definition 2.7.

Remark 2.8.

For any subset A of a space X , we have.

- (1) $A \subseteq bcl(A) \subseteq b^*cl(A) \subseteq cl(A)$.
- (2) A is b - closed (resp. b^* - closed) if and only if $bcl(A) = A$ (resp. $b^*cl(A) = A$), and A is b^* -closed if and only if $b^*cl(A) = A$.

Lemma 2.9.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space, Let $A \subseteq X$, then $x \in b^*cl(A)$ (resp. $x \in bcl(A)$) if and only if for each b^* -open (resp. b -open) set U containing x , $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Proof.

Since τ_b is a topology on X , so the proof of the first case is obvious. We prove the second case:

Suppose that $x \notin bcl(A)$. Since $bcl(A)$ is a b - closed set, then $U = X - bcl(A)$ is b - open set containing x and $U \cap A = \emptyset$.

Conversely, Let $x \in X$, Suppose that there exist a b -open set U containing x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $X - U$ is b -closed and $A \subset X - U$. Hence $bcl(A) \subset X - U$. Therefore $x \notin bcl(A)$.

Now we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.10.

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on the space X , then the b^* -closure of \mathcal{F} is denoted by $b^*cl(\mathcal{F})$ and defined by $b^*cl(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcap \{b^*cl(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and its elements are called the b^* -cluster points of \mathcal{F} . It is clear that $b^*cl(\mathcal{F})$ is a b^* -closed set.

Recall that a filter \mathcal{F} on a space X is convergent to a point x of X if and only if every neighborhood of x is in \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2.11.

A filter \mathcal{F} on a space X is said to be b^* -convergent to a point x of X if and only if $\mathcal{U}_x^* \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 2.12.

By Remark 2.5, it follows that if a filter \mathcal{F} on a space X is b^* -convergent to x , then it is convergent to x . but the converse may be false as the following example shows.

Example 2.13.

Let (X, τ) be as in example 2.6, let $\mathcal{F} = \{X, \{a, b\}\}$, then \mathcal{F} is a filter on X and \mathcal{F} converges to b , and it does not b^* -converges to b .

Remark 2.14.

Since τ_b is a topology on X , then \mathcal{U}_x^* is a filter on X .

Theorem 2.15.

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter on a space X , and $x \in X$, then x is a b^* -cluster point of \mathcal{F} if and only if there exists a filter finer than \mathcal{F} which b^* -converges to x .

Proof .

Let x be a b^* -cluster point of \mathcal{F} , then $x \in b^*cl(F)$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence by Lemma 2.9, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_x^*$ and for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$, Therefore the family $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{U}_x^*$ of generates some filter \mathcal{F}^* on X . Since $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{U}_x^* \subset \mathcal{F}^*$, then \mathcal{F}^* is finer than \mathcal{F} and it b^* -converges to x .

Conversely, if there is a filter \mathcal{F}^* finer than \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{U}_x^* which is b - convergent to a point x , then every b^* -open set containing x intersects

every $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and hence $x \in b^*cl(F)$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus x is a b^* -cluster point of \mathcal{F} .

3. b^* -COMPACTNESS and b -COMPACTNESS.

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.1.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space, a family \mathcal{C} of subset of X is said to be a b^* -covering (resp. b -covering) of X if \mathcal{C} covers X and $\mathcal{C} \subset \tau_b$ (resp. $\mathcal{C} \subset BO(X)$).

Definition 3.2.

A space X is said to be b -compact (resp. b^* -compact), if and only if every b -covering (resp. b^* -covering) of X has a finite subcover.

Remark 3.3.

By Remark 2.2, it follows that b -compactness is stronger than b^* -compactness and this is stronger than compactness. The converse is not true, as the following example shows.

Example 3.4.

Let $X = \{x\} \cup \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ where the indexed set Δ is uncountable. Let $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{x\}\}$ be the topology on X . It is clear that X is compact space, but it is not b^* -compact and hence it is not b -compact, since $\{\{x, x_\alpha\} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a b^* -covering of X but it has no finite subcover. Hence X is not b -compact.

The next result gives several characterizations of b^* -compact spaces. We notice that property (5) holds for b^* -compact spaces, but in general it fails for compact spaces.

Theorem 3.5.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

1. X is b^* -compact.
2. Every b^* -covering of X has a finite subcover.
3. Every filter over X possesses at least one b^* -cluster point.
4. Any ultrafilter over X is b^* -converges.
5. Each family of b^* -closed sets in X whose intersection is empty contains a finite subfamily whose intersection is empty.

Proof.

(1 \Leftrightarrow 2): Follows directly from Definition 3.2.

(3 \Rightarrow 4): Let (3) holds and \mathcal{U} be any ultrafilter over X . Since \mathcal{U} is a filter, hence by (3) there is a b^* -cluster point x of \mathcal{U} . Therefore by Theorem 2.15,

there is a filter finer than \mathfrak{U} which b^* -converges to x , this is \mathfrak{U} itself, since it is an ultrafilter.

(4 \Rightarrow 3): Let (4) holds and \mathfrak{F} be a filter on X . There is an ultrafilter \mathfrak{U} finer than \mathfrak{F} , which is \mathfrak{U} is b^* -convergent to a point x . Therefore x is b^* -cluster point of \mathfrak{F} .

(3 \Rightarrow 5): Let (3) holds and ξ be a family of b^* -closed sets in X whose intersection is empty. If the intersection of any finite subfamily of ξ is not empty, then ξ will generate a filter on X . Hence by (3), ξ will have a b^* -cluster point. Since each element of ξ is b^* -closed, so by Remark 2.8 this b^* -cluster point belongs to each element of ξ . Thus the intersection of ξ is not empty which contradicts the assumption. Therefore (5) holds.

(5 \Rightarrow 3): Suppose that (5) holds, and \mathfrak{F} is a filter on X which has no b^* -cluster point. Then the family $\{b^*cl(F): F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ is a family of b^* -closed sets in X whose intersection is empty, but there exists no finite subfamily of sets of \mathfrak{F} which does not intersect, and this contradicts (5).

(2 \Leftrightarrow 5): This follows from Demogan's formulae.

Definition 3.6.

A point x of a space X is said to be a b -limit (resp. b^* -limit) point of a set A if every b - open (resp. b^* -open) set containing x contains a point of A distinct from x .

It is clear that, if x is a b -limit point, then it is a b^* - limit point, by Remark 2.2.

Theorem 3.7.

If X is b -compact and A is an infinite subset of X , then A has at least one b -limit point in X .

Proof:

Suppose that A has no b -limit point in X . Then for each $x \in X$, there is a b -open set V_x containing x such that $V_x \cap A = \{x\}$ (or $= \emptyset$), then $V = \{V_x: x \in X\}$ is a b -covering of X . Since X is b -compact, there is a finite subcover of V , say $V^* = \{V_{x_1}, V_{x_2}, \dots, V_{x_n}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since each V_{x_i} , $i=1,2,\dots,n$ contains at most one element of A , so A is a finite set. This is a contradiction.

Similarly we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8.

If X is b^* -compact and A is an infinite subset of X , then A has at least one b^* -limit point in X .

Lemma 3.9.[5]

Let (X, τ) a topological space, Y be a subspace of X , and $A \subset Y$ then,

$$(1) \quad cl_Y(A) = cl(A) \cap Y.$$

$$(2) \quad int(A) = int_Y(y) \cap int(A).$$

Where int_Y (resp. cl_Y) denotes the interior (resp. closure) operator relative to Y .

Lemma 3.10.[3]

Let A be an open set in X , then for every subset B of X we have

$$A \cap cl(B) \subset cl(A \cap B)$$

Now we introduce the following result.

Theorem 3.11.

If Y is an open subspace of a space X and $A \in BO(Y)$, then $A \in BO(X)$.

Proof:

Since $A \in BO(Y)$, Then $A \subset int_Y(cl_Y(A)) \cup cl_Y(int_Y(A))$,. Since $A \subset Y$ and $int(Y) = Y$.

Therefore $A = A \cap Y = A \cap int(Y) \subset [int_Y(cl_Y(A)) \cap int(Y)] \cup [cl_Y(int_Y(A)) \cap int(Y)]$. Now $int_Y(cl_Y(A)) \cap int(Y) = int(cl_Y(A))$, (by Lemma 3.9)

$$= int(cl(A) \cap Y), \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.9})$$

$$\subseteq int(cl(A))$$

and

$$cl_Y(int_Y(A)) \cap int(Y)$$

$$= [cl(int_Y(A)) \cap Y] \cap int(Y)$$

$$= cl(int_Y(A) \cap int_Y(Y)) \cap Y$$

$$= cl(int_Y(A)) \cap Y$$

$$\subset cl(int_Y(A) \cap Y), \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.10})$$

$$= cl(int_Y(A) \cap int(Y))$$

$$= cl(int(A)), \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.9})$$

Therefore, $A \subset int(cl(A)) \cup cl(int(A))$. Thus $A \in BO(X)$.

Theorem 3.12.

If Y is an open, b -closed (resp. b^* -closed) subspace of a b -compact (resp. b^* -compact) space X , then Y is b -compact (resp. b^* -compact).

Proof:

For the case where Y is open, b - closed and X is b - compact.

Let $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a b -covering of Y relative to Y . Since Y is open in X , so by Theorem 3.11, $A_\alpha \in BO(X)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Since Y is a b -closed set, hence $X - Y \in BO(X)$. Therefore the family $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\} \cup \{X - Y\}$ is a b -covering of X . Since X is b -compact, there is a finite subcovering say $\{A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}, \dots, A_{\alpha_n}\} \cup \{X - Y\}$, but $Y \subseteq X$ and $\{X - Y\}$ covers no part of Y , so $\{A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}, \dots, A_{\alpha_n}\}$ covers Y . Hence Y is b -compact.

The second case holds, because τ_b is a topology.

Similarly, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.13.

Every b -closed (resp. b^* closed) subset of a b -compact (resp. b^* -compact) space is compact.

Now we introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.14.

A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be b -irresolute (resp. b -continuous) if the inverse image of every b - open (resp. open) set of Y is a b -open set in X .

Remark 3.15.

Continuous function and b -irresolute functions are independent as the following examples show:

Example 3.16.

Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $Y = \{a, b\}$, let $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{1\}, \{1, 3\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$ be a topologies on X and Y respectively. Then $BO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{1\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ and $BO(Y) = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Define $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as follows $f(1) = f(2) = a$, $f(3) = b$ Then f is b -irresolute but not continuous.

Example 3.17.

Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{c\}\}$, $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{b, c\}\}$, $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ defined by $f(a) = f(b) = a$, and $f(c) = c$, $BO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$, $BO(Y) = \{X, \phi, \{b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{c\}, \{b\}\}$. Then f is continuous, but not b -irresolute since $f^{-1}(\{a, b\}) = \{a, b\}$ which is not b -open in X .

Remark 3.18.

Every continuous function is b -continuous but not conversely, as the following example shows.

Example 3.19.

Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, let $\tau = \{X, \phi\}$ and $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Then $BO(X) = P(X)$, where $P(X)$ is the power set of X . Define $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ to be the identity function, then f is b -continuous, but not continuous

It is easy to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.20.

Every b -irresolute is b -continuous, but not conversely as it is shown by the following example.

Example 3.21.

Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $\sigma = \{Y, \phi, \{b, c\}\}$. Then $BO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$, and

$$BO(Y) = \{Y, \phi, \{b, c\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity map. Then f is b -continuous function but not b -irresolute, since $f^{-1}(\{c\}) = \{c\}$ which is not b -open in X .

It is easy to prove the following:

Theorem 3.22.

Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an open and continuous function, then f is b -irresolute.

Proof:

Let $A \in BO(Y)$, then $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. Hence $f^{-1}(A) \subset f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$. Since f is continuous, so $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq \text{int}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(A)))$. Since f is open, so f^{-1} is continuous and $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(A)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(A))$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A))) \subseteq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(A))) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(f^{-1}(A)))$, and $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(A)))$. Hence $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(A))) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(f^{-1}(A)))$. Hence $f^{-1}(A)$ is b -open set, thus f is b -irresolute.

Theorem 3.23.

Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then the following are equivalent

1. f is b -irresolute.
2. For $x \in X$ and any b -open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in BO(X)$, such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset V$.
3. The inverse image of every b -closed set of Y is a b -closed set of X .

Proof: Obvious.

Theorem 3. 24.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a b -irresolute function of X onto Y . If X is b -compact, then Y is b -compact.

Proof: Obvious.

Corollary 3.25.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an open and continuous function of X onto Y . If X is b -compact, then Y is b -compact.

Proof: This follows from Theorems 3.22 and 3.24.

Corollary 3.26.

b -compactness is a topological property.

Theorem 3.27.

Let $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be any family of spaces if the product space $\prod X_\alpha$ is b -compact, Then X_α is b -compact for each $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Proof :

Let the product space $\prod X_\alpha$ be a b -compact, and $p_\alpha: \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ be the projection function of $\prod X_\alpha$ onto X_α which is continuous and open . Then by Corollary 3.25, each X_α is b -compact.

Note:

In Definition 3.14, if b -open set is replaced by b^* -open set, then we have the definition of the b^* -irresolute and b^* -continuous functions, and the results of the first definition are true for the new one.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Andrijevic, "On b -open sets" МАТЕМАТИЧКИ ВЕШНИК, **48** (1996), 59-64.
- [2] M. E. Abd El- Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud" β -open sets and β -continuous mappings" Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut. Univ. **12**(1983), 77-90.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Elements de Mathematique, Topologie Generale 1, Hermann, Paris (1966).
- [4] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb" On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings" Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47-53.
- [5] W.J. Pervin, "Foundation of General Topology "Academic Press, New York (1964).