

On Moduli of Smoothness of Bernstein's Polynomials in $L_p(\mu)$

Habeeb A. Kadhim

Email: hak_math77@yahoo.com

*Department of mathematics, College of computer and mathematics sciences
University of Thi-Qar*

Abstract.

Let f be bounded μ -measurable function, that is $f \in L_p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. The main results of this paper describes some properties that defined on the weighted Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness on f by using Bernstein's polynomial.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $L_p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ consists of all μ -measurable function f for which $\|f\|_{p,\mu} < \infty$, where

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{p,\mu} = \left(\int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and let $f \in L_p(X)$, $X=[0,1]$, then we denote

$$(1.2) \quad L_p(X) = \left\{ f : \|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}$$

and f defined on X (a.e.).

Also, let f be bounded μ -measurable function, we denoted the Bernstein's polynomials of f by

$$(1.3) \quad B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{k,n}(x), \text{ where } P_{k,n}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

for all positive integers n , and μ be Lebesgue measure on X , see [9].

Key words :moduli of smoothness ,Bernstein's polynomial , locally global norms

Let us consider the family of locally global norms in the forms for $\delta > 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{\delta,p} = \left\{ \int_X \left(\sup \{ |f(u)| : u \in N(x, \delta) \} \right)^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

also, if $f \in L_p(\mu)$, then we define the locally global norms of f by

Let ϕ be a function such that $\phi(u, \delta) = \delta\phi(x) + \delta^2$

$$(1.5) \quad \|f\|_{\delta,p,\mu} = \left\{ \int_X \left(\sup \{ |f(u)| : u \in N(x, \delta) \} \right)^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_{\delta,p,\mu}^\phi = \left\{ \int_X \left(\sup \{ |f(u)| : u \in N(x, \phi(x, \delta)) \} \right)^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where, $N(x, \delta) = \{u \in X : |x - u| \leq \delta\}$, $\delta \in R^+$.

We will use the moduli of smoothness which are connected with difference of higher order, that is the r th symmetric difference of f is given by

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta_h^k(f, x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} f\left(x - \frac{kh}{2} + ih\right), & x \mp \frac{kh}{2} \in X \\ 0 & , \text{ o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Then the r th usual modulus of smoothness of $f \in L_p(\mu)$ is defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \omega_k(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} = \sup_{0 < p \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_h^k(f, x) \right\|_{p,\mu},$$

and the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness of f is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \omega_k^\phi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} = \sup_{0 < p \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{h\phi(\cdot)}^k(f, x) \right\|_{p,\mu},$$

where, in this applications the ϕ usually used $\phi(\cdot) = \phi(x) = (x(1-x))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.

The weighted Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness of f is defined by

$$(1.10) \quad \omega_{k,r}^\phi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} = \sup_{0 < p \leq \delta} \left\| \phi^r(x) \Delta_{h\phi(\cdot)}^k(f, x) \right\|_{p,\mu},$$

where, k, r denoted nonnegative integers and $k + r > 0$.

By [5] For a function $f \in L_p(X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then we have

$$(1.11) \quad \omega_{k,r}^\phi(f, \delta)_p \approx \tilde{K}_{k,r,\phi}(f, \delta)_p$$

where, $\tilde{K}_{k,r,\phi}$ is the weighted Ditzian-Totik \tilde{K} -functional defined by

$$\tilde{K}_{k,r,\phi}(f, \delta)_p = \inf_{\substack{P_n \in \Pi_n \\ n = \left[\frac{1}{\delta} \right]}} \left\{ \left\| \phi^r(x) (f - P_n) \right\|_p + \delta^k \left\| \phi^k P_n^{(k)} \right\|_p \right\}.$$

Let $C^\ell(X)$ denoted the set of ℓ -times continuously differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$.

Also , for $1 \leq p < \infty$,then the Sobolev space W_p^ℓ is a collection of all functions f denoted on X ,such that , $f^{(\ell-1)}$ is absolutely continuous and $f^{(\ell-1)} \in L_p(X)$.

Our main results are the following:

Theorem 1.1

Let f be bounded μ – measurable function defined on X ,and $k + r > 0$.then we have

$$(1.12) \quad \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} \leq C \delta^k \|f^{(k)}\|_{p,\mu},$$

with the equivalence constants depending only on r and p .

Theorem 1.2

Let $f \in L_p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\delta > 0$,then we have

$$(1.13) \quad \|B_n(f) - f\|_{\delta,p,\mu} \leq C \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu},$$

with the constants depending only on r and p .

Theorem 1.3

For every $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in L_p(\mu) \cap C^\ell(X)$,with $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \ell < r$ and $k \geq 1$, $\delta > 0$,we have

$$(1.14) \quad \omega_{k+r-\ell,\ell}^\varphi(f^{(\ell)}, \delta)_{p,\mu} \leq C 2^{-\ell} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu},$$

with the constants depending only on r, k and p .

Theorem 1.4

Let $k \geq 1, n \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1/n$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$,for any Bernstien's polynomials $B_n(f)$,then we have

$$(1.15) \quad \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(B_n(f), \delta)_{p,\mu} \leq C \delta^k \|\varphi^k P_{k,n}(x)\|_{p,\mu},$$

with the constants depending only on r, k and p .

2.Basic Results

In this section we mention some basic results ,which will be used to prove the main results.

Lemma 2.1 [6]

Let $f \in L_p(X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$,then we have

- i. $\Delta_h^\alpha(\Delta_h^\beta(f, x)) = \Delta_h^{\alpha+\beta}(f, x)$ for almost every x ,
- ii. $\|\Delta_h^{\alpha+\beta}(f, x)\|_p \leq C(\alpha) \|\Delta_h^\beta(f, x)\|_p$.

Lemma 2.2 [1]

Let f be a bounded μ – measurable function and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_p \leq C(p) \|f\|_{p,\mu}.$$

Lemma 2.3 [2]

For $k \in N$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, there exists constant C with depends only on k , so that, for any $f \in L_p[-1,1]$ and $n \geq k-1$ there exists a polynomial $P_n \in \Pi_n$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|f - P_n\|_p \leq C(k) \omega_k^\varphi(f, n^{-1})_p,$$

Lemma 2.4 [7]

Let $n, r \in N$, $n \in N_0$, $0 < \ell \leq r$ and let $f \in C^{\ell-1}[-1,1]$, then for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_{k+r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_p \leq C(\ell, k, p) \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(\ell)}, \delta)_p,$$

in particular, in case $k = 0$, then

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_\ell^\varphi(f, \delta)_p \leq C(\ell, p) \delta^\ell \left\| \varphi^\ell f^{(\ell)} \right\|_{p,\mu}.$$

Lemma 2.5 [1]

Let f be a bounded μ -measurable function then for $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have:

$$(i) \quad \|f\|_{\delta,p} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{\delta,p,\mu},$$

$$(ii) \quad \|f\|_{\delta,p,\mu} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{\delta,p}.$$

Lemma 2.6 [2]

Let $B_n(f)$ be a Bernstein's polynomial and $k \geq 0$, then

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta_h^k(B_n(f), x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{P_{k,n}}{(2i)!} h^{k+ih} \alpha_{k+2i}^{2i},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(B_n(f), x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\varphi^{k+2i}(x) P_{k,n}(x)}{(2i)!} h^{k+ih} \alpha_{k+2i}^{2i}.$$

Lemma 2.7 [3]

For $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha_i > 0$ then we have

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \beta_i \right|^p \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i |\beta_i|^p, \text{ where } \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1.$$

Lemma 2.8 [1]

Let μ be a non-decreasing function on R , satisfying: $\mu(y) - \mu(x) = \text{constant}$, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, We put: $\omega_\mu(\delta) = \sup_{0 < y-x \leq \delta} (\mu(y) - \mu(x))$, $\delta > 0$, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \max_{x \in I_k} |P_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C(p) \|P_n\|_p, \text{ where } P_n \text{ is algebraic polynomials of degree at most } n$$

and $I_k = \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right]$. then:

$$(2.8) \quad \|P_n\|_{p,\mu} \leq C(p) \left(n \omega_\mu \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_p$$

Lemma 2.9 (Minkowsk's Inequality) [8]

If $p \geq 1$ and $f, g \in L_p(\mu)$, then $f + g \in L_p(\mu)$ and

$$(2.9) \quad \left[\int_X |f + g|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p} \leq \left[\int_X |f|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p} + \left[\int_X |g|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}.$$

Lemma 2.10 [4]

For $f \in L_p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then we have

$$(i) \quad \|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{\delta,p} \leq \|f\|_{\delta,\infty} \leq \|f\|_\infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_{\delta,p}^\Phi \leq \|f\|_{\delta,\infty}^\Phi \leq \|f\|_\infty.$$

Lemma 2.11 [10]

For $k, n \in N, 0 \leq k \leq n$ then we have

$$(2.10) \quad \|P_{k,n}\|_{1/n,1}^\varphi \leq \frac{C}{n}, \text{ where } P_{k,n} = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad x \in X.$$

Lemma 2.12 [1]

For $f \in L_p(\mu), 1 \leq p < \infty$ and $n \in N$, then we have

$$(i) \quad \|f\|_{1/n,p,\mu} \leq \|f\|_{1/\sqrt{n},p,\mu}^\Phi,$$

$$(ii) \quad \|f\|_{1/n,p,\mu}^\Phi \leq \|f\|_{1/\sqrt{n},p,\mu}^\Phi.$$

Lemma 2.13

Let f be a bounded μ -measurable function on X , and $g_n \in \Pi_n \cap L_p(\mu)$, then we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|f - g_{k,n}\|_{\delta,p,\mu} \leq C(r, k, p) n^{1/p} 2^r \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu}.$$

Proof:

By using Lemma 2.5(ii) and equations (2.8), (2.2), (1.9) and since $\varphi^r(x) < \frac{1}{2^r}$, and

for $g_{k,n} \in W_p^k(X)$, then we have

$$\|f - g_{k,n}\|_{\delta,p,\mu} \leq \|f - g_{k,n}\|_{p,\mu} \leq C(p) n^{1/p} \|f - g_{k,n}\|_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C(k, p)n^{1/p} \omega_k^\varphi(f, \delta)_p \\
&= C(k, p)n^{1/p} \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f, x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq C(k, p)n^{1/p} 2^r \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \varphi^r \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f, x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq C(r, k, p)n^{1/p} 2^r \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \varphi^r \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f, x) \right\|_{p, \mu} \\
&\leq C(r, k, p)n^{1/p} 2^r \omega_{k, r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p, \mu} \clubsuit
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.14

Let f be a bounded μ -measurable function on X , for $1 \leq p < \infty$, then we have

$$(2.12) \quad \|B_n(f)\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \leq C \|f\|_{\delta, p, \mu}$$

Proof:

From using (1.5), Lemma 2.11(i), (2.9), (2.7), (1.4), (2.10) and Lemma 2.5(i), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_n(f)\|_{\delta, p, \mu} &\leq \left[\int_X \left(\sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{k, n}(t) \right| : t \in N(x, \delta) \right\} \right)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left[\int_X \left(\sup \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right| P_{k, n}(t) : t \in N(x, \delta) \right\} \right)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_X \left(\sup \{ P_{k, n}(t) : t \in N(x, \delta) \} \right)^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \|P_{k, n}\|_{1/\sqrt{n}, p, \mu}^\varphi \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \|P_{k, n}\|_{1/n, p, \mu}^\varphi \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \|P_{k, n}\|_{1/n, p, \mu}^\varphi \leq \frac{c(p)}{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C(p) \|f\|_{\delta, p} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \clubsuit
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.15

Let f and g be bounded μ -measurable functions for $1 \leq p < \infty$, then we have

$$(2.13) \quad \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} \leq \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f - g, \delta)_{p,\mu} + \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(g, \delta)_{p,\mu}.$$

Proof:

By using (1.10), (1.1), (1.7) and (2.9), then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} &= \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f - g + g, \delta)_{p,\mu} \\ &= \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f - g + g, x) \right\|_{p,\mu} \\ &= \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_X \left| \varphi^r(\cdot) \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} (f - g + g) \left(x - \frac{kh\varphi}{2} + ih\varphi \right) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_X \left| \varphi^r(\cdot) \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} (f - g) \left(x - \frac{kh\varphi}{2} + ih\varphi \right) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_X \left| \varphi^r(\cdot) \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} g \left(x - \frac{kh\varphi}{2} + ih\varphi \right) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_X \left| \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f - g, x) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{1/p} + \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_X \left| \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(g, x) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f - g, \delta)_{p,\mu} + \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(g, \delta)_{p,\mu} \clubsuit \end{aligned}$$

3.Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1

We may assume the Bernstein's polynomial $B_n(f) \in W_p^k(X)$, and we introduce a $\tilde{K}_{k,r,\varphi}$ -functional, by using (1.11) then

$$\tilde{K}_{k,r,\varphi}(f, \delta)_p = \inf_{\substack{P_n \in \Pi_n \\ n = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\delta} \right\rceil}} \left\{ \left\| \varphi^r(x)(f - P_n) \right\|_p + \delta^k \left\| \varphi^k P_n^{(k)} \right\|_p \right\}$$

also, since $\varphi^k(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$, $\forall x \in X$, and by (1.10)

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f, \delta)_p \approx \tilde{K}_{k,r,\varphi}(f, \delta)_p$$

then we have

$$\omega_{k,r}^{\varphi}(f, \delta)_p \leq C(r) \left(\| (f - B_n(f)) \|_p + \delta^k \left\| \varphi^k(\cdot) B_n^{(k)}(f) \right\|_p \right)$$

also, by (2.2) and (2.4) , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - B_n(f)\|_p &\leq C(k) \omega_k^{\varphi}(f, \delta)_p \\ &\leq C(k, p) \delta^k \left\| \varphi^k f^{(k)} \right\|_p \\ &\leq C(k, p) 2^{-r} \delta^k \left\| f^{(k)} \right\|_p \end{aligned}$$

hence by using (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k,r}^{\varphi}(f, \delta)_p &\leq C(k, p) 2^{-r} \delta^k \left\| f^{(k)} \right\|_p \\ &\leq C(k, p) 2^{-r} \delta^k \left\| f^{(k)} \right\|_{p, \mu} \end{aligned}$$

so that ,by using (2.8),then we have

$$\omega_{k,r}^{\varphi}(f, \delta)_{p, \mu} \leq \omega_{k,r}^{\varphi}(f, \delta)_p$$

hence, we complete proof of Theorem 1.1 ♣

Proof of Theorem 1.2

Suppose that any polynomial $g_n \in \Pi_n \cap L_p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\delta > 0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n(f) - f\|_{\delta, p, \mu} &= \|B_n(f) - f - B_n(g_n) + B_n(g_n) - g_n + g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \\ &\leq \|B_n(f) - B_n(g_n)\|_{\delta, p, \mu} + \|B_n(g_n) - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} + \|f - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \\ &\leq \|B_n(f - g_n)\|_{\delta, p, \mu} + \|B_n(g_n) - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} + \|f - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \end{aligned}$$

hence , by using (2,12), then we have

$$\|B_n(f) - f\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \leq C(p) \|f - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} + \|B_n(g_n) - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n(g_n) - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} &= \|B_n(g_n) - f + f - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \\ &\leq \|B_n(g_n) - f\|_{\delta, p, \mu} + \|f - g_n\|_{\delta, p, \mu} \end{aligned}$$

also , by using (2.11) and Lemma 2.5(ii) ,then

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n(f) - f\|_{\delta, p, \mu} &\leq C(p) \left(\|B_n(g_n) - f\|_{p, \mu} + \|f - g_n\|_{p, \mu} \right) \\ &\leq C(r, k, p) 2^{-r} n^{1/p} \omega_{k,r}^{\varphi}(f, \delta)_{p, \mu} \clubsuit \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since $f \in L_p(\mu) \cap C^{\ell}(X)$ and $B_n(f)$ is Bernstein's polynomial defined on X , $0 < \ell < r$ and since $\varphi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for all $x \in X$, also by using Lemma 2.1(ii), (2.8) and (1.10), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{k+r-\ell,\ell}^\varphi(f^{(\ell)},\delta)_{p,\mu} &\leq \omega_{k+r-\ell,\ell}^\varphi(f^{(\ell)},\delta)_p \\
&= \sup_{0 < p \leq \delta} \left\| \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^{k+r-\ell}(f^{(\ell)},x) \right\|_p \\
&= \sup_{0 < p \leq \delta} \left\| \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^{k+r-\ell}(f^{(\ell)} - B_n(f),x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq C(r,k)2^{-\ell} \left\| f^{(\ell)} - B_n(f) \right\|_p \leq C(r,k)2^{-\ell} \left\| f^{(\ell)} - B_n(f) \right\|_{\delta,p} \\
&\leq C(r,k)2^{-\ell} \left\| f^{(\ell)} - B_n(f) \right\|_{\delta,p,\mu}
\end{aligned}$$

now, by using Theorem 1.2 ,then we will finished the proof of this theorem ♣

Proof of Theorem 1.4

In view (2.5) and (2.6) ,we can assume $0 \leq t \leq t_0 = \min\left(\frac{1}{Dk^2n}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{Dk^2n}}\right)$, where

$D = 3^{1/n} p$,so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{D^{2ip}} = \frac{1}{2}$ and recalling $|\alpha_i| < \frac{k}{2}$ and by (1.3),then for any

$0 \leq h \leq t \leq t_0$ and since $\varphi^r(x) \leq 2^{-r}$,then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\| \varphi^{k+i}(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu} h^{k+i} |\alpha_{k+i}|^i}{(i)!} &\leq Cn(k+i) \frac{\left\| \varphi^{k+i-1}(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu} h^{k+i-1} k |\alpha_{k+i}|^{i-1}}{Dk^2n} \\
&= \frac{\left\| \varphi^{k+i-1}(\cdot) P_{k,n}(\cdot) \right\|_{p,\mu} (k+i) h^{i-1} |\alpha_{k+i}|^{i-1}}{(i-1)! D 2ik} \\
&\leq \frac{\left\| \varphi^{k+i-1}(\cdot) P_{k,n}(\cdot) \right\|_{p,\mu} h^{i-1} |\alpha_{k+i}|^{i-1}}{(i-1)! D} \\
&\leq \frac{\left\| \varphi^k(\cdot) P_{k,n}(\cdot) \right\|_{p,\mu}}{D}
\end{aligned}$$

where, we have used the fact $k+i \leq 2ik$ for $i, k \geq 1$.

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(B_n(f),x) \right\|_{p,\mu} &\leq \frac{h^k}{2^r} \left[\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\left\| \varphi^{k+2i}(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu} h^{k+2i} |\alpha_{k+2i}|^{2i}}{(2i)!} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{h^k}{2^r} \left\| \varphi^k(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{D^{2ip}} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{3h^k}{2^{r+1}} \left\| \varphi^k(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu} \leq C(r,k,p) h^k \left\| \varphi^k(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ,by (1.9),then

$$\sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \varphi^r(x) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(B_n(f),x) \right\|_{p,\mu} \leq C(r,k,p) \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\{ h^k \left\| \varphi^k(x) P_{k,n}(x) \right\|_{p,\mu} \right\}$$

Hence ,

$$(3.1) \quad \omega_{k,r}^p(B_n(f), \delta)_{p,\mu} \leq C \delta^k \|\varphi^k(x) P_{k,n}(x)\|_{p,\mu}$$

where , the constant C dependent on r, k and p

Reference

- [1] A. H. Alwan ,(2005); “On Equivapproximation of Bounded μ – measurable functions in $L_p(\mu)$ – space” A thesis Presented to the college of science University of Bagdad.
- [2] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik ,(1987);”Moduli of Smoothness “;Springer Series in Computation Mathematics ,Vol. 9, Springer –Verlag, New York.
- [3] S. K. Jassim ,(1991) ;”Direct and inverse inequalities for some discrete operators of bounded measurable function”. Serdica Bulgarica Mathematics Publications.
- [4] S. K. Jassim,(1990) ;”Best one-sided approximation with algebraic polynomials”. Serdica, Bulgarica Mathematics Publications ,Vol. 16, pp 263-269 .
- [5] Habeeb A. Kadhim,(2009) ;”On Coconvex Approximation” A thesis Presented to the college of education University of Babylon.
- [6] K. A. Kopotun,(2003) ;”Equivalence of Moduli of Smoothness and Application” .math. comp. (to appear).
- [7] Kirill A. Kopotun ,(1991) ;” On Equivalence of Moduli of Smoothness of Splines in L_p , $p > 0$.
- [8] M. E. Monroe,(1953) ;”Introduction to measure theory and integration“. Addison-Wesky.
- [9] George M. Phillips,(2003) ;”Interpolation Approximation by polynomials”.
- [10] E. V. Wicheren ,(1989);”Direct and inverse theorems for Bernstein’s polynomials in the space of Riemann integration functions”. Constractive Approximation, 2,331-337.

المستخلص:

الهدف من هذا البحث هو دراسة بعض الخواص الأساسية لمقياس النعومة المرجح لكل من ديتزين وتوتك $\omega_{k,r}^p$ للدوال المقيدة والقابلة للمقياس μ في الفضاءات $L_p(\mu)$ ، $1 \leq p < \infty$ باستخدام متعددة حدود برنشتاين الجبرية .