A MODULES WITH FUZZY ZARISKI TOPOLOGY

Areeg T. Abou – Dareb

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Girls, University of Kofi

الموديولات مع تبولوجي زارسكي الضبابي

أريج توفيق حميد (أستاذ مساعد) قسم الرياضيات، كليه التربية للبنات، جامعة الكوفة.

<u>المستخلص</u> في هذا البحث قدمنا مفهوم الموديول مع تبولوجي زارسكي الضبابي كتعميم لتبولوجي زارسكي الاعتيادي ، وقد تم دراسة واعطاء العديد من الخواص الاساسية المتعلقة بهذا المفهوم . وايضا دراسة العديد من الخواص الخاصة بالموديولات الضبابية العظمى والتي كان هذا البحث بحاجة اليها .

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the concept module with Zariski topology have been investigated .Also, we give some results and some properties of it. Moreover, some properties of prime fuzzy submodule have been given which are needed in this research.

INTRODUCTION

The present paper introduces and studies module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

In section one, some basic definitions and results are recalled which will be needed later. Several results about prime fuzzy submodules of R-module M and maximal fuzzy submodules of R-module M are given which are necessary in proving some resultes in the following sections.

In section two, we introduce the definition about fuzzy spectrum of R-module M and we give and prove some properties about fuzzy spectrum of R-module M.

Section three is devoted for studying module with fuzzy Zariski topology, where R-module M is called module with fuzzy Zariski topology, if T is closed under finite intersection.

Throughout this paper R is commutative ring with unity and M is an unitary R-module . Finally, A (0) = X (0), for any fuzzy submodule A of fuzzy module X of R-module M <u>.</u>

S. 1 PRELIMINARY:

In this section some basic definitions and resultes which we will be used in the next section.

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative ring with identity. A fuzzy subset of R is a function from R into [0, 1], ([1], [2]).

Let A and B be fuzzy subset of R. We write $A \subseteq B$ if $A(x) \leq B(x)$, for all $x \in R$. If $A \subseteq B$ and there exists $x \in R$ such that A(x) < B(x), then we write $A \subset B$ and we say that A is a proper fuzzy subset of B, [2]. Note that A = B if and only if A(x) = B(x), for all $x \in R$, [1]. Let I be a subset of a set R. The characteristic function of I denoted by X_I which define by $X_I(x) = 1$ if $x \in I$ and $X_I(x) = 0$ otherwise , ([1],[2]).

Let λ_R denote the characteristic function of R defined by $\lambda_R(x) = 1$ if $x \in R$ and $\lambda_R(x) = 0$ if $x \notin R$, ([3], [4]).

Let $f : R \to R'$, A and B be two fuzzy subsets of R and R' respectively, the fuzzy subset f(A) of R' defined by : $f(A)(y) = \sup A(y)$ if $f(y) \neq 0$, $y \in R'$ and f(A)(y) = 0, otherwise .It is called the image of A under f and denoted by f(A). The fuzzy subset $f^{-1}(B)$ of R

defined by : $f^{-1}(B)(y) = B(f(x))$, for all $x \in R$. Is called the inverse image of B and denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$, [2].

Vol.6

Let R, R' be any sets and f: $R \rightarrow R'$ be any function .A fuzzy subset A of R is called finvariant if f(x) = f(y) implies A(x) = A(y), where x, $y \in R$, [3].

For each $t \in [0,1]$, the set $A_t = \{ x \in R \mid A(x) \ge t \}$ is called a level subset of R and the set $A_* = \{ x \in R \mid A(x) = A(0) \}$,

and A=B if and only if $A_t = B_t$ for all $t \in [0,1] ([2], [4])$.

Let $x \in R$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, let x_t denote the fuzzy subset of R defined by $x_t(y) = 0$ if $x \neq y$ and $x_t(y) = t$ if x = y for all $y \in R$. x_t is called a fuzzy singleton, [4].

If x_t and y_s are fuzzy singletons, then $x_t + y_s = (x + y)_{\lambda}$ and $x_t \circ y_s = (x \cdot y)_{\lambda}$, where $\lambda = \min\{t, s\}, ([1], [4]).$

Let $I^R = \{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of fuzzy subset of R. Define the fuzzy subset of R (intersection) by $(\cap i \in \Lambda A_i)(x) = \inf \{A_i(x) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, for all $x \in R,([2],[4])$. Define the fuzzy subset of R (union) by $(U i \in \Lambda A_i)(x) = \sup \{A_i(x) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, for all $x \in R,([3],[4])$.

Let ϕ denote $\phi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the empty fuzzy subset of \mathbb{R} , ([1], [5]).

Note that throughout our work any fuzzy subset is a nonempty fuzzy subset.

Let A and B be a fuzzy subsets of R, the product $A \circ B$ define by : $A \circ B$ (x) = sup{ min{A(y), B(z) } | x = y \cdot z} y, z \in R, for all $x \in R$, [6].

The addition A + B define by $(A + B)(x) = \sup \{\min \{A(y), B(z) \mid x = y + z\} y, z \in R$, for all $x \in R$, [6].

Let A be a fuzzy subset of R, A is called a fuzzy subgroup of R if for all $x, y \in R$, $A(x + y) \ge \min \{A(x), A(y)\}$ and A(x) = A (-x), [6].

Let A be a fuzzy subset of R , A is called a fuzzy ring of R if for all $x, y \in R$,

 $A(x - y) \ge \min \{A(x), A(y)\} \text{ and } A(x \cdot y) \ge \min \{A(x), A(y)\}, ([5], [6]).$

A fuzzy subset A of R is called a fuzzy ideal of R if and only if for all $x, y \in R$, $A(x - y) \ge \min \{A(x), A(y)\}$ and $A(x \cdot y) \ge \max \{A(x), A(y)\}, ([5], [6])$.

Let X be a fuzzy ring of R and A be a fuzzy ideal of R such that $A \subseteq X$. Then A is a fuzzy ideal of the fuzzy ring X [6]. But let X be a fuzzy ring of R and A be a fuzzy subset. A is

called a fuzzy ideal of the fuzzy ring X if $A \subseteq X$ (that is $A(a) \leq X(a)$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$), $A(b-c) \geq \min \{A(b), A(c)\}$, for all $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, [5]. And A is a fuzzy ideal of the fuzzy ring X of R if $A(b-c) \geq \min \{A(b), A(c)\}$ and $A(bc) \geq \min \{\max\{A(b), A(c)\}, X(bc)\}$, ([3],[5]).

Let X be a fuzzy ring of R. A be a fuzzy subset of X is a fuzzy ideal of X if and only if A_t is an ideal of X_t , for all $t \in [0,A(0)]$, [5].

Let A be a fuzzy ideal of R. If for all $t \in [0, A(0)]$, then A_t is an ideal of R is an ideal of R, ([3], [4]).

Let A and B be two fuzzy set of R ,then : (A U B)(x) = max {A(x),B(x)} and (A \cap B)(x) = min {A(x),B(x)}, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In general, if $\{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is a family of fuzzy ideals of R. Then $\bigcap A_i$ is a fuzzy ideal of R,

([5], [6], [7]).

Let A and B are fuzzy ideals of R, then A ° B is a fuzzy ideal of R, [5].

Let A and B are fuzzy ideals of R, then $A \cap B$, A + B are fuzzy ideals of R, ([7],[8]).

A non empty fuzzy subset A of M is called a fuzzy module of M if and only if for all x, y \in M, then A(x - y) \geq min {A(x), A(y)} and A (rx) \geq A(x) and A(0) = 1,(0 is the zero element of M), [10].

A and B are fuzzy modules of an R-module M , B is called a fuzzy submodule in A if and only if $B \subseteq A$, [10].

Let A be a fuzzy subset of an R-module M. A is a fuzzy submodule of M if and only if A_t is a submodule of M, for all $t \in [0, 1], [11]$.

PROPOSITION 1.1 ([8],[9]) :

Let A and B be two fuzzy subsets of R-module M .Then:

- 1- $\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}$.
- 2- $(A \circ B)_t = A_t \cdot B_t, t \in [0, 1].$
- 3- $(A \cap B)_t = A_t \cap B_t, t \in [0, 1].$
- 4- (A UB) $_{t} = A_{t} U B_{t}, t \in [0, 1], by [6].$

<u>**PROPOSITION 1.2 [5] :**</u>

Let $X : R \to [0,1], Y : R' \to [0,1]$ are fuzzy rings $f : R \to R'$ be homomorphism between them and $A : R \to [0,1]$ a fuzzy ideal of X, $B : R' \to [0,1]$ a fuzzy ideal of Y, then :

- 1. f (A) is a fuzzy ideal of Y.
- 2. $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy ideal of X.

PROPOSITION 1.3 [9] :

Let A and B be two fuzzy subsets of R-module M and f is inverse image function of B.Then:

1- f (A)
$$\cap$$
 f (B)= f (A \cap B). 2- f (A) \circ f (B)= f (A \circ B).
3- f (A_t) = (f (A))_t. 4- f⁻¹(A_t) = (f⁻¹(A))_t.

We give concepts of a maximal fuzzy ideal and a prime fuzzy ideal . We give some basic properties of these concepts .

DEFINITION 1.4 [7]:

Let A be a fuzzy ideal of R .Then A is called a maximal fuzzy ideal of R if either

 $A = \lambda_R \text{ or }$

1- A is not constant, and

2- For any fuzzy ideals B and C of R , if $B \circ C \subseteq A$, then either $B \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq A$.

DEFINITION 1.5 [9],[11]:

Let A be a fuzzy ideal of R .Then A is called a prime fuzzy ideal of R if A(xy) = A(0), then A(x)=A(0) or A(y)=A(0).

In [12], the authors explain the suitability of Definition (1.4),(1.5) over the one which requires $A(xy) = \max \{A(x), A(y)\}$.

DEFINITION 1.6 [13]:

Let P be a non constant fuzzy ideal of R . P is said to be a prime fuzzy ideal of R if and only if for all fuzzy ideals A ,B of R , $A \circ B \subseteq P$ implies either $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$, [11].

<u>**PROPOSITION 1.7** [14]:</u>

Definitions (1.5) and (1.6) are equivalent.

<u>PROPOSITION 1.8 [15] :</u>

A fuzzy ideal A is a prime ideal if and only if 0_1 is a maximal fuzzy ideal of A.

<u>PROPOSITION 1.9 [15] :</u>

A fuzzy ideal of prime ring is prime fuzzy ideal.

REMARKS and EXAMPIES 1.10 [15] :

1- Every fuzzy ideal of the Z , Z_n is a maximal fuzzy ideal if and only if n is a prime number.

2- Every fuzzy ideal of Q as a Z is a prime fuzzy Z-module.

3- Every fuzzy ideal of the Z , $M=Z \oplus Z$ is a maximal fuzzy ideal .

PROPOSITION 1.11 [15] :

- (1) A is a maximal fuzzy ideal of R if and only if A_t is a maximal ideal for all $t \in [0,A(0)]$.
- (2) A is a prime fuzzy ideal of R if and only if A_t is a prime ideal for all $t \in [0,A(0)]$

PROPOSITION 1.12 [15] :

Let I be a ideal of R-module M and let A_I be the fuzzy ideal of R. Then I is a prime ideal of R if and only if A_I is a maximal fuzzy ideal of R.

DEFINITION 1.13 [14] :

Let P be a non constant fuzzy ideal of R . P is said to be an L- prime fuzzy ideal of R if and only if for all $x, y \in R$, either $P(x y)=max \{P(x), P(y)\}, [8]$.

PROPOSITION 1.14 ([11],[12]):

1- P is a prime fuzzy ideal of R if and only if $Im(P)=\{t,1\}$ with $0 \le t < 1$ and P_1 is a prime ideal of R.

2- P is an L- prime fuzzy ideal of R if and only if P_t is a prime ideal of R ,for all t $\in [0,P(0)]$

PROPOSITION 1.15 [15] [14] :

Let X be a fuzzy ring of a ring R_1 and Y be a fuzzy ring of R_2 . Let $f: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be an epimorphism such that the fuzzy ideal 0_1 of X is f-invariant. Then Y is a prime fuzzy ideal , if X is a prime fuzzy ideal .

REMARKS 1.16 [15] :

The converse of proposition (1.15) is not true in general, the condition $(0_1$ is f-invariant) is necessary for example:

Let f: $Z \rightarrow Z/\langle 8 \rangle = Z_8$ defined as: f(a) = a, f is an epimorphism.

Let X: $Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by:

$$X(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & ifa \in 2Z \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Thus X is a prime fuzzy ideal . Let $Y : Z_8 \rightarrow [0,1]$ defined by :

$$Y(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then Y is not prime fuzzy ideal since $2_{1/2} \circ 4_{1/2} \subseteq 0_1$. But $4_{1/2} \not\subset 0_1$ and $2_{1/2} \subseteq F$ -Ann Y since $2_{1/2} \circ 2_{1/2} = 4_{1/2} \not\subset 0_1$.

Moreover, note that 0_1 is not f-invariant since f (8) =f(0), but 0_1 (8) = $0_1 \neq 0_1(0) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 1.17 [14],[15]:

Let X be a fuzzy module of R-module M_1 and A and B be two fuzzy submodules in X and Y be a fuzzy module of R-module M_2 and C and D be two fuzzy submodules in Y. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a homomorphism . Then:

1. $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$, where f is a monomorphism.

2.
$$f^{-1}(C \cap D) = f^{-1}(C) \cap f^{-1}(D)$$
.

PROPOSITION 1. 18 [14],[15] :

Let $X : M \rightarrow [0,1], Y : M' \rightarrow [0,1]$ are fuzzy modules . Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be

homomorphism between them and $A:M\to[0,1]$ a fuzzy submodule in $X\;$, $B:M'\to[0,1]$ a fuzzy submodule in Y, then :

- 1. f (A) is a maximal fuzzy submodule in Y.
- 2. $f^{-1}(B)$ is a maximal fuzzy submodule in X.

Now we give the concept of the maximal fuzzy module . We give some basic properties of it .

DEFINITION 1.19 [16] :

A fuzzy module A of a R-module M is called a maximal fuzzy submodule (module)

Vol.6

of an R-module M if and only if A_t is maximal module of M , for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

PROPOSITION 1.20 [16] :

Let N be a submodule of R-module M and let A_N be the fuzzy module of M determined by N. Then N is a maximal submodule of M if and only if A_N is a maximal fuzzy submodule of M.

PROPOSITION 1.21 [16] :

Let A be a maximal fuzzy module of R-module M_1 and B be a maximal fuzzy module of R-module M_2 . Let $f:M_1 \to M_2$ be a R-epimorphism . Then :

1) f(A) is a maximal fuzzy submodule of M_2 if f is f-invariant.

2) $f^{1}(B)$ is a maximal fuzzy submodule of M_{1} .

PROPOSITION 1.22 [16] :

Let X be a fuzzy module of R-module M_1 and Y be a fuzzy module of R-module M_2 . Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an epimorphism. If 0_t is a maximal fuzzy submodule in X, then Y is a maximal fuzzy module, if F-Ann X is a maximal fuzzy module.

REMARKS 1.23 [16] :

The proposition (2.7) is not true in general , the condition (0_t is maximal fuzzy module) is necessary for example:

Let $f:Z\to Z/<\!\!8\!\!>=Z_8\;$ defined as f(x)=x , f is an epimorphism $\;,$ let $X:Z\to [0,1]\;$ defined by :

 $X(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & ifa \in 2Z \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$. Thus X is a maximal fuzzy module of Z.

And let $\mathbf{Y} : \mathbf{Z}_8 \to [0,1]$ defined by : $Y(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & ifa \in \{0,2,4,6\} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$.

Thus Y is not maximal fuzzy module of Z_8 . Moreover, 0_1 is not maximal since f(8) = f(0), but $0_1(8) = 0_1 \neq 0_1(0) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 1.24 [16] :

Let A and B are fuzzy ideals of R, then (A:B) is a fuzzy ideal of R.

PROPOSITION 1.25 [16] :

Let A be maximal fuzzy submodule of a fuzzy module X of R-module M and let I be a fuzzy ideal of R such that I (0) = 1, then $(A:_X I)$ is a maximal fuzzy module of R-module M.

S.2 FUZZY SPECTRUM OF MODULES :

We give the basic concept about fuzzy spectrum of modules and we give and prove new results.

Also, we introduce the definition about fuzzy spectrum of R-module M and we give and prove some properties about fuzzy spectrum of R.

DEFINITION 2.1:

Let R be a ring. The collection of the set of all maximal fuzzy submodules of an R-module M is called the fuzzy spectrum of an R-module M and denoted by F-spec(R). That is : F-spec(R) = { A | A is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M }.

REMARKS 2.2 :

Let R be a ring and M be a module of R.

- X = {A | A is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M } = F-spec(R), (for simplicity).
- 2. The variety of the fuzzy ideal B denoted by V(B) and it is defined by : V(B)= { $A \in X | B \subseteq A$ }.
- 3. $X(B) = X \cdot V(B)$, the complement of V(B) in X.

We shall give in the following some properties of the variety of fuzzy ideals.

PROPOSITION 2.3 :

Let A and B be two fuzzy submodules of an R-module M .Then:

- 1. If $A \subseteq B$, then $V(B) \subseteq V(A)$.
- 2. $V(A) \cup V(B) \subseteq V(A \cap B)$.
- If {A_i | i∈ Λ } is a family of fuzzy submodules of an R-module M , then :
 V (U {A_i | i∈ Λ }) = ∩ V{A_i | i∈ Λ }.
- 4. $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{\phi}) = \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{R}}) = \mathbf{\phi}$.

PROOF:

1. It is easy.

2. Let $C \in V(A) \cup V(B)$. Then $C \in V(A)$ or $C \in V(B)$. If $C \in V(A)$, then C is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and $A \subseteq C$. But $A \cap B \subseteq A$, which implies that $A \cap B \subseteq C$. Thus $C \in V(A \cap B)$.

Similarly, If $C \in V(B)$, which implies that $C \in V(A \cap B)$. Therefore , $V(A) \cup V(B) \subseteq V(A \cap B)$.

3. Let $C \in V(U \{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\})$. Then $U \{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq C$, where C is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M. Thus $A_i \subseteq C$, for all $i \in \Lambda$. Implies that $C \in V(A_i)$, for all $i \in \Lambda$. Therefore $C \in \cap V \{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$.

Similarly ,we prove that $\cap V\{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \subseteq V(U\{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\})$. Therefore, $V(U\{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}) = \cap V\{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$.

4. We must prove that $V(\phi) = X$, where ϕ is an empty fuzzy set of an R-module M. But $V(\phi) = \{A \in X \mid \phi \subseteq A\}$, and $\phi(x) = 0 \le A(x)$, for all $x \in R$ and for all $A \in X$. Then $\phi \subseteq A$, for all $A \in X$. Therefore $V(\phi) = X$.

Also ,we must prove that $V(X_R) = \phi$, where X_R is a characteristic function of R defined by $X_R(x) = 1$, for all $x \in R$. But $V(X_R) = \{A \in X \mid X_R \subseteq A\}$, since $A \subset X_R$, for all $A \in X$. Therefore, $V(X_R) = \phi$.

DEFINITION 2.4 [4] :

Let B be a fuzzy set of an R-module M and $\langle B \rangle$ the intersection of all fuzzy submodules A of an R-module M such that B \subseteq A .Then $\langle B \rangle$ is called the fuzzy submodule of R generated by B. That is : $\langle B \rangle = \{ A \mid B \subseteq A , A \text{ is a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M } \}$.

It's clear that $B \subseteq \langle B \rangle$ and if $B \subseteq C$, then $\langle B \rangle \subseteq C$.

PROPOSITION 2.5:

Let B be a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M .Then : V(B) = V().

PROOF:

We must prove that $V(B) \subseteq V(\langle B \rangle)$ and $V(\langle B \rangle) \subseteq V(B)$. If $C \in V(B)$, then C is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and $B \subseteq C$. Thus $C \in V(\langle B \rangle)$. Since $B \subseteq \langle B \rangle$. Then $V(\langle B \rangle) \subseteq V(B)$ by proposition (2.3(1)). Therefore $V(B) = V(\langle B \rangle)$.

<u>COROLLARY 2.6 [17] :</u>

Let A and B be two fuzzy submodules of an R-module M . Then $V(A \cap B) = V(A) \ U$ V(B) .

PROOF:

It's clear by proposition (2.3(4)).

COROLLARY 2.7 :

Let $\{A_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of fuzzy submodule of an R-module M. Then:

 $U i \in \Lambda X(A_i) = X(\langle U i \in \Lambda A_i \rangle).$

PROOF:

 $\begin{array}{l} U \ i \in \Lambda \ X(A_i \) = U \ i \in \Lambda \ (X - V(A_i \)) = X - \cap \ i \in \Lambda \ V(A_i \)) = X - V \ (U \ i \in \Lambda \ A_i \) \ , \ by \\ proposition \ (2.3) = X - V(<U \ i \in \Lambda \ A_i >) \ , \ by \ proposition \ (2.5) \ U \ i \in \Lambda \ X(A_i \) = X(<U \ i \in \Lambda \ A_i >) \ . \end{array}$

PROPOSITION 2.8 :

Let A be a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and B be a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M. Then $B \in V(A)$ if and only if $B_t \in V(A_t)$, for each $t \in (0,A(0)]$.

PROOF:

Since A is a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and B is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M, then A_t is a prime submodule of an R-module M, for each $t \in (0,A(0)]$ by (proposition (1.11) and proposition (2.3)).

$$\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{A}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$$

 $\leftrightarrow A_t \subseteq B_t, \text{ for each } t \in (0, A(0)].$ $\leftrightarrow B_t \in V(A_t), \text{ for each } t \in (0, A(0)].$

PROPOSITION 2.9 :

Let I be an ideal of R-module M and J be a prime submodule of an R-module M.Then $J \in V(I)$ if and only if $Q_J \in V(A_I)$.where Q_J and A_I are the fuzzy submodules of an Rmodule M determine by I and J respectively .That is :

 $A_I(x) = t x \in I \text{ and } A_I(x) = s \text{ otherwise } and Q_J(x) = t x \in J \text{ and } Q_J(x) = s \text{ otherwise }$,where $t, s \in [0,A(0)]$ and t > s.

PROOF:

If $J \in V(I)$, then $I \subseteq J$. And Q_J is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M by proposition (1.11) and proposition (1.12). We have to show that $A_I \subseteq Q_J$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Let } x \in R, \text{ then either } x \in I \text{ or } x \in I. \text{ If } x \notin I, \text{ then } A_{I}\left(x\right) = t \text{ and } Q_{J}\left(x\right) = t \text{ (since } I \subseteq J \text{) }. \\ \text{If } x \notin I, \text{ then either } x \in J \text{ or } x \notin J \text{ implies that } A_{I}\left(x\right) = s \text{ and } Q_{J}\left(x\right) = t \text{ and } A_{I}\left(x\right) = s \\ \text{and } Q_{J}\left(x\right) = s. \text{ Hence } A_{I}\left(x\right) \leq Q_{J}\left(x\right), \text{ for all } x \in R \text{ .Therefore } A_{I} \subseteq Q_{J} \text{ .Hence } Q_{J} \in V(A_{I}) \text{ .} \\ \end{array}$

Conversely, if $Q_J \in V(A_I)$, then $A_I \subseteq Q_J$. Thus $A_I(x) \le Q_J(x)$, for all $x \in R$. If $x \in I$ implies that $A_I(x) = t = Q_J(x)$. Hence $I \subseteq J$ implies that $J \in V(I)$.

S.3 A MODULE WITH FUZZY ZARISKI TOPOLOGY :

In ([17] ,[18]),the collection of all V(K), K is a submodule of an R-module M is denote by T. M is called a ring with Zariski topology if:

- 1. The empty set and M are in T .
- 2. T closed under arbitrary intersection.
- **3.** T is closed under finite union.

Our concern in this section is to introduce the concept of a ring with fuzzy Zariski topology.

We put $T = \{X (B) \mid B \text{ is a fuzzy submodule of an R-module } M \}$, then each of the empty fuzzy set and X are belong to T. Also T closed under arbitrary intersection and T closed under finite union.

However T need not be closed under finite intersection in general. This lead us to introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1:

R-module M is called a module with fuzzy Zariski topology, if T is closed under finite intersection.

That mean for any fuzzy submodules B and C of an R-module M, there exists a fuzzy submodule D of an R-module M such that $X(B) \cap X(C) = X(D)$.

DEFINITION 3.2 [18] :

1. An submodule I of R-module M is called semiprime if I is an intersection of prime submodule.

2. A prime submodule J of R-module M is called extraordinary if whenever I and K are semiprime submodules of an R-module M with $I \cap K \subseteq J$, then either $I \subseteq J$ or $K \subseteq J$.

In order to get necessary and sufficient conditions for a module to be a module with fuzzy Zariski topology we introduce the following concepts .

DEFINITION 3.3:

A fuzzy submodule A of an R-module M is called semiprime fuzzy submodule of

an R-module M if A is an intersection of prime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M .

PROPOSITION 3.4 :

Let A be a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M .Then A is a semiprime fuzzy submodule if and only if A_t is a semiprime submodule of an R-module M, for all t $\in (0, A(0)]$.

PROOF:

If A is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.A = $\cap i \in \Lambda A_i$, where Ai is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M, for all $i \in \Lambda$.

Since $A_t = (\cap i \in \Lambda A_i)_t$, for all $t \in (0, A(0)]$. But $(\cap i \in \Lambda A_i)_t = \cap i \in \Lambda (A_i)_t$ by proposition (1.1(3)).

Thus $A_t = \bigcap i \in \Lambda$ $(A_i)_t$ and $(A_i)_t$ is a prime submodule of an R-module M, for all t $\in (0, A(0)]$, then A_t is a semiprime submodule of an R-module M by definition (3.2).

Conversely, let $t \in (0, A(0)]$, A_t be a semiprime submodule of an R-module M. Then $A_t = \cap i \in \Lambda(I_i)$, where I_i is a prime submodule of an R-module M, for all $i \in \Lambda$

Now, for all $i \in \Lambda$, define $A_{Ii} : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ by $: A_{Ii} (x) = t$ if $x \in I_i$ and $A_{Ii} (x) = s$ otherwise, where $t, s \in [0,1]$ and t > s.

Then A_{Ii} is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M , for all $i \in \Lambda$ by proposition (1.11).

Clearly $A_{Ii} = I_i$, for all $i \in \Lambda$. Therefore $A_t = \cap i \in \Lambda (A_{Ii})_t = (\cap i \in \Lambda A_{Ii})_t$ implies that $A = (\cap i \in \Lambda A_{Ii})$. Hence A is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.

DEFINITION 3.5:

A maximal fuzzy submodule A of R-module M is called extraordinary if whenever B and C are semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M with $B \cap C \subseteq A$, then either $B \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq A$.

PROPOSITION 3.6 :

Let A be a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.Then A is an extraordinary fuzzy submodule of an R-module M if and only if A_t is an extraordinary submodule of an R-module M ,for all $t \in (0, A(0)]$.

PROOF:

If A is a extraordinary fuzzy ideal of R. Let for all $t \in (0,A(0)]$, suppose that $I \cap J \subseteq A_t$, where I and J are semiprime ideal of R. Let s, $k \in [0,A(0)]$ with $s \neq k$, s < k and k < t.

Define $A_I : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ and $A_J : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ by $: A_I (x) = t$ if $x \in I$ and $A_I (x) = s$ otherwise and $A_J (x) = t$ if $x \in J$ and $A_J (x) = k$ otherwise.

Then A_I and A_J are fuzzy submodules of an R-module M .Clearly $(A_I)_t = I$ and $(A_J)_t = J$. Therefore $(A_I)_t$ and $(A_J)_t$ are semiprime submodules of an R-module M and by proposition (3.4) implies that A_I and A_J are semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M.

Now, $(A_I)_t \cap (A_J)_t \subseteq A_t$. Hence $(A_I \cap A_J)_t \subseteq A_t$ by proposition (1.1(3)). Therefore $A_I \cap A_J \subseteq A$. Since A is a extraordinary fuzzy submodule of an R-module M, then $A_I \subseteq A$ or $A_J \subseteq A$. Hence $(A_I)_t \subseteq A_t$ or $(A_J)_t \subseteq A_t$ which completes the proof.

Conversely, let A be a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M such that A_t is an extraordinary submodule of an R-module M,for all $t \in (0,A(0)]$.

Suppose that $B \cap C \subseteq A$, where B and C are semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M. $(B \cap C)_t \subseteq A_t$ implies that $B_t \cap C_t \subseteq A_t$ by proposition (1.1(3)). And according to proposition (3.4), B_t and C_t are semiprime submodules of an R-module M and since A_t is an extraordinary submodule of an R-module M, for all $t \in (0,A(0)]$ by hypothesis.

Then either $B_t \subseteq A_t$ or $C_t \subseteq A_t$. Hence $B \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq A$ by ([8], [9]).

Thus A is a extraordinary fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.

DEFINITION 3.7:

Let A be a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M. The prime radical fuzzy of A denoted by F-rad (A) is the intersection of all maximal fuzzy submodules of an R-module M which contains A.

PROPOSITION 3.8 :

If A and B are fuzzy submodules of an R-module M, then :

- 1. $A \subseteq F$ -rad (A).
- 2. F-rad (A) is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.
- 3. V(A) = V(F-rad(A)).
- 4. $V(A) \subseteq V(B)$ if and only if F-rad $(B) \subseteq$ F-rad (A).

PROOF:

- 1. It's obvious.
- 2. Since F-rad (A) is the intersection of all maximal fuzzy submodules of an R-module M which contains A .Then F-rad (A) is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M , by definition (3.3) .

Now, let $B \in V(F\text{-rad}(A))$, then B is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and F-rad (A) $\subseteq B$. Since $A \subseteq F\text{-rad}(A)$ by part (1), then $A \subseteq B$. Thus $B \in V$ (A). Therefore V (F-rad (A)) $\subseteq V$ (A) ---(2). From (1) and (2), we have V(A) = V(F\text{-rad}(A)).

4. Suppose V (A) ⊆ V(B), then ∩{C | C ∈ V(B)} ⊆ ∩{C | C ∈ V(A)}. But F-rad (A) = ∩{C | C ∈ V(A)} and F-rad (B) = ∩{C | C ∈ V(B)}. Thus (F-rad (B)) ⊆ (F-rad (A)).

Conversely, Since F-rad (B) \subseteq F-rad (A), then V(F-rad (A)) \subseteq V(F-rad (B)) by proposition (2.3(1)). Therefore V (A) \subseteq V (B) by part (3).

THEOREM 3.9 :

Let R be a ring and M be a module of R. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.
- 2. Every prime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M is a fuzzy extraordinary.
- V (A) U V (B) =V (A ∩B) for any semiprime fuzzy submodules A and B of an R-module M .

PROOF:

 $(1) \rightarrow (2)$, let C be a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and A and B be two semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M such that $A \cap B \subseteq C$. Then by (1), there exists a fuzzy submodule D of an R-module M such that V(A) U V(B) = V(D). Since A is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M, then $A = \cap i \in A$ A_i , for some $\{A_i \mid i \in A\}$ of prime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M by definition (3.3).

Now, for all $i \in \Lambda$, $A_i \in V(A) \subseteq V(D)$. So that $D \subseteq A_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Thus $D \subseteq \cap i \in \Lambda$ Ai = A.

Similarly, $D \subseteq B$. Thus $D \subseteq A \cap B$. Therefore $V(A \cap B) \subseteq V(D)$ by proposition (2.3 (1)) .Since $V(A) \cup V(B) \subseteq V(A \cap B)$, hence $V(A) \cup V(B) \subseteq V(A \cap B)$) $\subseteq V(D) = V(A) \cup V(B)$. Therefore $V(A) \cup V(B) = V(A \cap B)$. But C is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M containing $A \cap B$ therefore $C \in V(A \cap B) = V(A) \cup V(B)$. Hence either $C \in V(A)$ or $C \in V(B)$. That is, either $A \subseteq C$ or $B \subseteq C$. Hence the result follows.

(2) \rightarrow (3), let A and B be two semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M. Clearly V(A) U V(B) \subseteq V(A \cap B) by proposition (2.3 (2)). To prove V(A \cap B) \subseteq V(A) U

V(B) .Let C ∈ V(A ∩B), then C is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M and (A ∩B) ⊆ C. By (2) ,C is extraordinary fuzzy. Hence either A ⊆ C or B ⊆ C. That is either C ∈ V(A) or C ∈ V(B). Therefore, C ∈ V (A) U V(B) which implies (3). (3) → (1), let A and B be two fuzzy submodules of an R-module M. Then F-rad (A) and F-rad (B) are semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M by proposition (3.8 (2)) and V(A) U V(B) = V(F-rad (A)) U V(F-rad (B)) by proposition (3.8 (3)) = V[(Frad (A)) ∩ (F-rad (B))] by (3) which proves (1).

PROPOSITION 3.10 :

Let M_1 and M_2 be two modules of R and f be a homomorphism from M_1 to M_2 . If A is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M₂, then f⁻¹ (A) is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M₁.

PROOF:

Since A is a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M $_2$, then f⁻¹ (A) is a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M $_1$ by proposition (1.2). And since A is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M $_2$, then $A = \cap i \in \Lambda$ A_i, where A_i is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M $_2$, for all $i \in \Lambda$.

 $\begin{array}{l} f^{-1} \ (A) = f^{-1} \ (\cap i \in \Lambda \ A_i) \ = \ \cap i \in \Lambda \ (f^{-1} \ A_i) \ by \ proposition \ (\ 1.17 \) \ . \ But \ f^{-1} \ (A_i) \ is \ a \\ maximal \ fuzzy \ submodule \ of \ an \ R-module \ M \ _2 \ , \ for \ all \ \ i \in \Lambda \ , by \ proposition \ (\ 1.18 \) \ . \\ \end{array}$

COROLLARY 3.11 :

Any homomorphism image of a module with fuzzy Zariski topology is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

PROOF:

Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a homomorphism image such that M_1 is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology . We have to prove that $f(M_1)$ is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

Let A be a maximal fuzzy submodule of $f(M_1)$ and B, C be two semiprime fuzzy submodules of $f(M_1)$ such that $B \cap C \subseteq A$.

Now, $f^{-1}(B) \cap f^{-1}(C) = f^{-1}(B \cap C) \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$ by proposition (1.3,(2)). By proposition (1.18), $f^{-1}(A)$ is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M₁. And by proposition (3.10), $f^{-1}(B)$ and $f^{-1}(C)$ are two semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M₁. Therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$ or $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq f^{-1}(A)$ since R₁ is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

Then $B \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq A$ by [19] ,which proves that $f(M_1)$ is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology by proposition (3.9).

PROPOSITION 3.12 :

Let I be a submodule of an R-module M. Then I is a semiprime i submodule of an

R-module M if and only if A_I is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.

PROOF:

Since I is a submodule of an R-module M, then $I = \cap i \in \Lambda$ I_i , where I_i is a prime submodule of an R-module M, for all $i \in \Lambda$ by definition (3.2). Since A_{Ii} is a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M, for all $i \in \Lambda$ by proposition (1.12). Note that:

 $\cap i \in \Lambda \ A_{Ii}(x) = inf \{ A_{Ii}(x) \mid i \in \Lambda \}, \text{ for each } x \in R .$

$$= \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in Ii \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ for some } i \in \Lambda$$
$$= \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} Ii \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$= \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}} (\mathbf{x}).$$

Therefore, A_I is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M.

Conversely, if A_I is a semiprime fuzzy submodule of an R-module M, then $A_I = \cap i \in \Lambda$ Λ A_i , where A_i is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M for each $i \in \Lambda$. Therefore $(A_i)_t$ is a prime submodule of an R-module M for each $i \in \Lambda$ with $t \in (0, A(0)]$ by proposition (1.11). We claim that :

 $I = \cap i \in \Lambda$ (A_i)_t = ($\cap i \in \Lambda$ A_i)_t = (A_I)_t. Thus I is a semiprime submodule of an R-module M.

<u>THEOREM 3.13 :</u>

Let R be a ring and M be module of R. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is a module with Zariski topology.
- (2) M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

PROOF:

 $(1) \rightarrow (2)$, let A and B be two semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M. Then A_t and B_t are semiprime submodules of an R-module M , for each $t \in (0,A(0)]$ by proposition (3.4). By (1) , M is a module with Zariski topology , therefore $V(A_t) \cup V(B_t)$ = $V(A_t \cap B_t)$ by [18] .But $A_t \cap B_t = (A_t \cap B)_t$, for each $t \in (0,A(0)]$ by proposition (1.1(4)) .Hence $V(A_t) \cup V(B_t) = V(A_t \cap B_t)$ ---(*)

We have to prove that V (A) U V (B) =V (A \cap B). Let C \in V (A) U V (B), then C \in V (A) or C \in V (B).

Therefore, $C_t \in V(A_t)$ or $C_t \in V(B_t)$., for each $t \in (0, A(0)]$ by proposition (2.8). Thus, $C_t \in V(A_t) \cup V(B_t)$., for each $t \in (0, A(0)]$ implies that, $C_t \in V(A \cap B)_t$, for each $t \in (0, A(0)]$ by (*)., Hence $C \in V(A \cap B)$, (1.1(3)). Therefore V(A) $\cup V(B) \subseteq V(A \cap B)$.

Similarly, we prove that $V(A \cap B) \subseteq V(A) \cup V(B)$.

Hence V (A) U V (B) =V (A \cap B) which implies that M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

 $(2) \rightarrow (1)$, let I and J be two semiprime submodules of an R-module M, we have to prove that V (I) U V (J) =V (I \cap J) .

Let A_t and B_t be the fuzzy submodules of an R-module M determined by I and J respectively , such that :

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in I \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in J \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

, for each t, $s \in [0, A(0)]$ and t > s.

By proposition (3.12), A_I and B_J are semiprime fuzzy submodules of an R-module M .

Now , if $C \in V(I) \cup V(J)$, then C is a prime submodule of an R-module M and $C \in V(I)$ or $C \in V(J)$.

Let Q_C be a fuzzy submodule of an R-module M determined by C, then Q_C is a maximal fuzzy submodule of an R-module M by proposition (1.12) and according to proposition (2.9), $Q_C \in V(A_I)$ or $Q_C \in V(B_J)$.

That is $Q_C \in V(A_t) \cup V(B_t) = V(A_t \cap B_t)$ by proposition (3.9). Note that:

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{I}} \cap \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{J}})(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x \in I \cap J \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Which means $(A_I \cap B_J) = (A \cap B)_{I \cap J}$. Therefore $Q_C \in (A \cap B)_{I \cap J}$ and by proposition (3. 8), we get that $C \in V(I \cap J)$. Hence $V(I) \cup V(J) \subseteq V(I \cap J)$.

Similarly, we prove that $V(I \cap J) \subseteq V(I) \cup V(J)$.

Hence V (I) U V (J) =V (I \cap J) which implies that M is a module with Zariski topology by [18].

COROLLARY 3.14 :

Let M be a multiplication module .Then M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology .

PROOF:

Since M a multiplication ring . Then M is a module with Zariski topology by ([18],[20]) .

Therefore, M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology by proposition(3.13).

COROLLARY 3.15 :

Every cyclic ring is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

PROOF:

It's clearly.

COROLLARY 3.16 :

If M is a finitely generated module .Then M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology if and only if for all prime submodule I,J and K of an R-module M with I \cap J \subseteq K either I \subseteq K or J \subseteq K.

PROOF:

Let M be a module with fuzzy Zariski topology. Then M be a module with Zariski topology by theorem (3.13).

Let I ,J and K are prime submodules of an R-module M such that $I \cap J \subseteq K$ either $I \subseteq K$ or $J \subseteq K$ by [18].

Conversely, let I, J and K are prime submodules of an R-module M with $I \cap J \subseteq K$ either $I \subseteq K$ or $J \subseteq K$. Then M is a module with Zariski topology by [19]. Therefore M be a module with fuzzy Zariski topology by theorem (3.13).

COROLLARY 3.17 :

If M is any finitely generated module such that every prime submodule of an Rmodule M is irreducible, then M is a module with fuzzy Zariski topology.

PROOF:

It's clearly.

REFERENCES

- (1) Fuzzy Sets, Zadeh L.A., 1965, Information and Control, vol.8, pp.338 353.
- (2) Fuzzy Invariant Subgroups and Fuzzy Ideal, Liu W.J., 1982, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.8, pp.133 139.
- (3) Fuzzy Ideals and Fuzzy Prime Ideals of a Ring, Dixit V.N.,Kumar R. and Ajmal N.,
 1991, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.44, pp. 127 138.
- (4) Fuzzy Principal Ideals and Simple Field Extensions, AL- Khamees Y. and Mordeson J.N., 1998, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.96, pp.247 253.
- (5) Fuzzy Subgroup of Fuzzy Groups and Fuzzy Ideals of Fuzzy Rings, Martines L., 1995, the journal of fuzzy mathematics, vol.3, No.4, pp.833 849.

(6) On Fuzzy Ideals of a Ring I, Mukherjee T.K. and Sen M.K., 1987, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.21, pp. 99 – 104.

(7) On Almost Quasi – Frobenius Fuzzy Rings, Abou-Draeb A.T., 2000, M.Sc.Thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Education Ibn-AL-Haitham.

(8) P-F Fuzzy Rings and Normal Fuzzy Rings , Hadi I.M.A. and Abou-Draeb A.T. ,
2004 , Ibn-AL-Haitham J.For Pure & Appl. Sci. , vol.17, N. 1, pp. 111 – 120.

(9) P-F Fuzzy Rings and Normal Fuzzy Rings (II), Abou-Draeb A.T., 2011, Journal of the University of Bebel, To appear.

(10) Pan F., 1993 <u>, Finitely Fuzzy Value Distribution of Fuzzy Vector Spaces and Fuzzy</u> <u>Modules</u>, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 55, pp. 319 – 322.

(11) Bhambert S.K., Kumar R. and Kumar P., 1995, <u>Fuzzy Prime Submodules and</u> <u>Radical of a Fuzzy Submodules</u>, Bull. Col. Math. Soc., vol.87, No.4, pp.163-168.

(12) Some Comments On Fuzzifying a Concept, Kumbhojkar H., Kuroki N. and Suzuki Y., 1995, J. Math. Anal .Appl. vol. 101, pp. 489 – 494.

(13) A Note On L-Fuzzy Primary and Semiprime Fuzzy Ideals, Zadehi M .M ., 1992, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 51, pp. 000–000.

(14) Prime Fuzzy Modules, Hadi I. M.A. Salman L. and Jari R., 2002, I .Soc of Sets and Systems, vol.50, pp. 187 – 192.

(15) Fuzzy Spectrum of A Module Over Commutative Ring , Alyass G.A. , 2000 , M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Education Ibn-AL-Haitham .

(16) Maximal Fuzzy Module , Abdullah H.K and Abou-Draeb A.T., 2011 , To appear .

(17) On The Space of Maximal Submodules, Eman Y.H., 2009, M.Sc.Thesis, University of Kufa, College of Education for Girls.

(18) On Zariski Topology on Modules, Abdullah H.K., 1998, M.Sc.Thesis, University of Baghdad, College of Science.

(19) On the Spectrum of a Module Over a Commutative Ring , Mccasland R,L Moore M.E. and Smith P.F. ,1997, I .Communical on Algebra , vol. 25 , pp. 79 – 103 .

(20) Introduction to Commutative Algebra , Atiyah M.F. and Macdonald I.G., 1969, Addison-Wesley Publishing, Company, London.