A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE BEST AND BEST ONE – SIDED ALGEBRAIC APPROXIMATION IN L_p [0,1] (0 \infty)

Eman Samir Bhaya'a

Babylon University, College of Education, Department of Mathematics.

Abstract

In this paper, I characterized the order of the best one- sided algebraic approximation to bounded measurable Function in terms of the average modulus of smoothness of that function. For obtaining direct statements, I construct smooth functions close to the original function and after that Approximate one-sidedly the smoothing functions. As far as we know there are no simple definitions of operators for one-sided approximation which works for any measurable functions in L_p metric for each ($\sigma) This was one of the reasons Leading me to investigations of operator for one – sided approximation.$

1-Introduction

We shall consider bounded and measurable real valued function f defined on [0.1]. Let $\mathbb{L}_{\infty}[0,1]$ be the space of all functions f such that $\sup\{|f(\chi)|, \chi \in [0,1]\}$ $\in \omega$, and \mathbb{L}_p [0,1] be the space of all bounded measurable functions f for which $\|f\|$

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \langle \infty, \text{ it mean } \left(\int_{0}^{1} |f_{\perp}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} < \infty$$

Let P_n be the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than n.Then the best one \cdots sided approximation in L_p metric for each (0 is

(1.1)
$$\widetilde{E}_{(f)_p} = \inf\{\{\|g^+ - g^-\|_p : g^+ \in P_n : g^- \le f \le g^+\}.$$

For $f \in \mathbf{L}_p$ we define the best approximation of f by the functions from P_n as (1.2) E $(f)_p = \inf \{ \| f - \mathbf{P}_n \|_p : P \in \mathbf{P}_n \}.$

For a characterization of the structural properties for a given function f from $\mathbf{L_p}$ or $\mathbf{L_{\omega}}$ we shall use the following moduli

(1.3)
$$\omega_{k}(f,\chi,\delta) = \sup\{|\Delta_{h}^{k}(f,y)|; y, y+kh \in [\chi - \frac{k\delta}{2}, \chi + \frac{k\delta}{2}]\},$$

(1.4)
$$\gamma_k(f,\delta)_p = \| \boldsymbol{\omega}_k(f,.,\delta) \|_p$$
,

where

$$\Delta_{h}^{k} f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i+k} \left(i^{k} \right) f(y+ih), y, h \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $\delta \ge 0$.

Henceforth k and p are fixed numbers, k natural standing for the order of the moduli and 0 . With C we denote positive constants and with C (A, B, ...)

-- constants depending only on the marked parameters. These constants may differ at each occurrence,

For $\chi \in [0, 1]$ we set

(1.5)
$$h_{\sqrt{n}}(\chi) = \frac{\sqrt{\chi(1-\chi)}}{\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}n}}$$
.

Using Bernstein polynomial $B_n(f; \chi)$, we define our one – sided operator which mapping any bounded measurable function f to on algebraic polynomial in p_n ; as follows

$$(1.6) \ \land \ (f;\chi) = B_n (f;\chi) \pm \sum_{i=0}^n \left(i^n\right) \left| \begin{array}{c} \Delta^k \\ h(y_n) \end{array} \right| f(y_n) \mid \chi'$$

$$(1-\chi)^{n-i} \ , \text{ where } \chi \in [0,1]$$

2. Assertions

Now we shall introduce some results and theorems which we make use of them in our research.

 $Lemma\ L\ (\ \mathbb{E}\ .\ Bhaya\ a,\ [1]\)$

If $f \in \mathbf{L}_p$ and $0 \le \delta \le \delta$, then

$$(2.7) \gamma_k (f, \delta)_p \leq \gamma_k (f, \delta)_p$$
.

Lemma 2. (E . Bhaya a, [1])

Let $f \in L_p$ and δ , λ be two positive real numbers then for any $0 < P \le 1$, we have

$$(2.8) \gamma_k (f, \lambda \delta)_p \le (2 [\chi])^{k+\frac{1}{p}} \gamma_k (f, \delta)_p,$$
wher $[\chi] = \min \{y: y \ge \chi: y \text{ is an integer } \}$

wher $[\chi] = \min \{u: u \ge \chi; u \text{ is an integer } \}$

Lemma 3. (K. Ivanov, [2])

If $\lambda \chi > 0$, then for each χ , $y \in [-1, 1]$ and $|\chi - y| \le \chi \Delta_n(\chi)$ we have

(2.9)
$$(4\lambda \pm 2)^{-1} h_n(\chi) \le h_n(y) (2\lambda \pm \frac{1}{2}) h_n(\chi)$$
.

Lemma 4. (B. Sendov and V. Popov, [3])

Let $f \in \mathbf{L}_p$ and δ, χ be two positive real numbers then for any

 $1 \le p < \infty$. We have

$$(2.10)\,\gamma_k\,(f,\chi\delta)_p\leq (2\,\chi)^{k+1}\gamma_k\,(f,\delta)_p.$$

Lemma 5. (G. Tachev,

[4])For every sequence { a_k } with the property

$$a_k \! \geq \! 0$$
 , $k \equiv 0, \, 1, \, \ldots n$

 $a_k = 0$, otherwise, we have for each 0 , that

$$(2.11) \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} \binom{n}{k} \chi^{k} (1-\chi)^{n-k} \right|^{p} d \mathcal{X} \leq c(p) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}$$

$$_{\max} |a_j|^p$$
;

$$|j/n - k/n| \le 6h_{\sqrt{n}}(k/n)$$

where $\chi \in [0, 1]$ and j = 0, 1, ..., n.

3. The Main Results.

In this article I proved direct inequalities concerning the best one sided approximations and best algebraic approximations of the bounded measurable functions in Lp - spaces (0 .

Theorem I.

For any bounded and measurable function f in [0, 1] and (0 , we have

(3.12)
$$\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(f) \in \mathfrak{p}_{n}$$
;

(3.13)
$$\Lambda^{-}(f) \le f \le \Lambda^{+}(f)$$
 for any $\chi \in [0, 1]$;

(3.14)
$$\|A^+_{(f)} - A^-_{(f)}\|_p \le c(p)\gamma_k(f,\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})_p$$
; and

$$\widetilde{E}_{(f)_p} \le c (p) \gamma_k (f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})_p$$
.

Theorem 11.

If
$$f \in L_p[0, 1]$$
, then for any $0 , we have$

$$E(f)_p \le c(p) \gamma_k (f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})_p$$
.

Proof of theorem L

Since $B_n(f,x)$ and $x^i(1-x)^{n-i}$ are algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than n, so that $A^{\pm} \in P_n$ the positively of $x^i(1-x)^{n-i}$, $x \in [0,1]$ $\left|\Delta_{k,j,\tau}(i/n)f(i/n)\right|$ give (3.13). Then for 0 , using (1.3) and (2.11) we have

$$\|A^{+}(f,x) - A^{-}(f,x)\|_{p}^{p} \le c(p) \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{k}(f,i/n,h_{\sqrt{n}}(i/n)) \binom{n}{i} x^{i} (1-x)^{n-i} \right|^{p} dx$$

$$\le c(p) 1/n \sum_{i=0}^{n} \max \omega_{k}^{p} (f,j/n,6h_{\sqrt{n}}(j/n)), j = 0,...,n.$$

$$\leq c(p)\mathbb{I}/n\sum_{i=0}^n \max_{|i-j|/n|\leq 6h\sqrt{n}(i/n)} \omega_k^p (f.j/n.6h\sqrt{n}(j/n)), j=0,...,n\,.$$

(2.9) implies

$$\|A^{-1}(f,x) - A^{-1}(f,x)\|_{p}^{p} \le c(p)1/n \sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{k}^{p}(f,i/n,ch_{\sqrt{n}}(i/n)), j = 0,...,n.$$

$$\le c(p)\tau_{k}^{p}(f,1/\sqrt{n}),$$

Now whenever 1 , (1.3), Jenssens inequality, (2.9) and (1.4) give

$$||A^{+}(f,x)-A^{-}(f,x)||_{p}^{p} \leq 2\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{k}^{p}(f,i/n,h_{\sqrt{n}}(i/n))\right)\left(\frac{n}{i}x^{i}(1-x)^{n-i}dx\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq 2\tau_{k}\left(f,ch_{\sqrt{n}}(x)\right)_{p}.$$

At the end, from (2.7) and (2.10) we get

$$\|A^+(f,x)-A^-(f,x)\|_p^p \le c(p)\tau_k^p(f,1/\sqrt{n})_p^p.$$

مراة جامعة بالل / العاوم الصرافة والتطبيقية / المجلد ١٠٠ العدد ٣ : ٥٠٠

(1.1) implies
$$\widetilde{E}(f)_p \le c \tau_k (f, 1/\sqrt{n})_p.$$
Proof of theorem II
Using (1.2), (1.1) and theoremI we obtain
$$E(f)_p \le \widetilde{E}(f)_p$$

$$\le ||A^+(f, x) - A^-(f, x)||_p^p$$

$$\le c \tau_k (f, 1/\sqrt{n})_p.$$

References

- [1] E. S. Bhaya, 2000, A study on the approximation of bounded measurable functions with some discrete series in Lp spaces for p<1. M.Sc. Thesis, Baghdad University.
- [2] K. Ivanov, 1982, On a new characteristic of functions, Serdica Bulgarian Mathematics Publications, Vol. 8, Sofia, p262-279.
- [3] B. sendov, V. Popov, 1983, Averaged moduli of smoothness, Sofia.
- [4] G. Tachev 1989, Direct Estimation for approximation by Bernstein polynomial in Lp spaces for p<1Mathematica Balkanica, New Series, 3, p. 51-60.