

A note on equality of MINQUE and simple estimator in the multivariate linear model

Abdul-Hussein Saber AL-MOUEL

&

Nadia Abud Habeeb AL-MOUSAWAY

Department of Mathematics, College of Education University of Basrah, Basrah, IRAQ,

Abstract:

Under the multivariate linear model $\{Y, X\beta, \sum \otimes V\}$, equality of MINQUE and so called "simple" estimator $(1/f)Y^TMY$, with $M=I-X(X'X)^+X^T$, $f = rank(X : V) - rank(X)$ for Σ is considered. It is revealed that this equality holds if and only if the quadratic form $\sum^{-1} Y'MY$ admits Wishart-distribution under multivariate normality of Y .

Keywords: multivariate linear model, MINQUE, BLUE, OLSE, Wishart - distribution

1. Introduction:

Consider the general multivariate linear model $\{Y, X\beta, \sum \otimes V\}$

Where, $E(y) = X\beta$, $D(y) = \sum \otimes V$, E and D being expectation and dispersion operators. X and V are known matrices of orders $n \times p$ and $n \times n$ respectively, and \otimes denotes the Kronecker Product of matrices (see Rao 1973 p.29) both possibly deficient in rank. Assume that the model is consistent, i.e.

$$Y \in R(X : V),$$

Where $R(A)$ stands for the range of a matrix A and $(A : B)$ denotes the partitioned matrix with A and B placed next to each other.

Suppose we wish to estimate the unknown positive definite Σ by using the competing estimators

$$(1/f)Y^T M(MVM)^+ MY \quad (1)$$

And

$$(1/f)Y^T MY \quad (2)$$

Where $f = \text{rank}(X : V) - \text{rank}(X)$ is assumed to be positive and

$M = I - X(X^T X)^+$. Here A^+ stands for the unique Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix A (see Rao 1973 p. 26) and A^T denotes the transpose of A .

Formula (1) provides the MINQUE (Minimum Norm Quadrate Unbiased Estimator) for Σ , cf. Theorem 3.4(a) in Rao (1974). It can also be written as

$$(1/f)Y^T (MVM)^+ Y \quad (3)$$

Since we have $(MVM)^+ = M(MVM)^+$ in view of $R[(MVM)^+] \subseteq R(M)$, and then $(MVM)^+ = (MVM)^+ M$ in view of the symmetry of $(MVM)^+$. According to Theorem 3.4 in Rao (1974), the MINQUE can be represented in further different forms. For example under the weakly singular multivariate linear model, i.e. in case $R(X) \subseteq R(V)$, the estimator (1) can be written as

$$(1/f)Y^T (V^+ - V^+ X(X^T V^+ X)^+ X^T V^+) Y \quad (4)$$

Where $f = \text{rank}(V) - \text{rank}(X)$. This may also be derived from the results in Baksalary et al. (1990).

Formula (2) will be called "simple" estimator and of course coincides with (1) when $V=I$. However, in the general case the simple estimator (2) need not even be unbiased since we do not necessarily have $\text{trace}(MV)=f$. On the other hand, we always have $\text{trace} = \text{rank}(MV) = f$, which ensures unbiasedness of (3). (see e.g. Theorem 5 in Marsaglia and Styan (1974) for $\text{rank}(MV)=f$, and note that $\text{trace} [(MVM)^+ V] = \text{rank}[(MVM)^+ V]$ since $[(MVM)^+ V]$ is idempotent. Needless to say that the MINQUE is unbiased by definition.

In the following section we investigate equality of MINQUE and simple estimator when X and V can be deficient in rank.

2. Equality of the estimators:

By using the above mentioned identity

$$(MVM)^+ = M(MVM)^+ = (MVM)^+ M,$$

It is easy to see that (1), which equals (3), and (2) coincide for all values $Y \in R(X : V)$ if and only if

$$Z^T V(MVM)^+ VZ = Z^T VMVZ \quad (5)$$

for all $n \times 1$ vectors z . This is known to be satisfied if and only if the matrix $V(MVM)^+ V - VMV$ is skew-symmetric. But since the matrix is also symmetric it must be zero. Hence (5) is equivalent to

$$V(MVM)^+ V = VMV \quad (6)$$

Let L denote the unique non-negative definite square root of V . Then (6) can be written as

$$LZZ^+ L = LZZ^+ L \quad (7)$$

With $Z = LM$, by using $Z^+ = (Z^T Z)^+ Z^T$ and $LZ = VM$. Since $L^+ L = LL^+$, $L^+ LZZ^+ = ZZ^+ = ZZ^+ LL^+$ and $L^+ LZZ^T = ZZ^T = ZZ^T LL^+$, it is easily seen that (7) is equivalent to

$$ZZ^+ = ZZ^T \quad (8)$$

Obviously (8) is satisfied if and only if ZZ^T is idempotent, which reads

$$LMVML = LML,$$

or equivalently

$$VMVMV = VMV \quad (9)$$

Further, in the special case $\text{rank}(X:V)=n$ we obtain $\text{rank}(MV)=n-\text{rank}(X)$, cf. Theorem 5 in Marsaglia and Styan (1974). Since always $n-\text{rank}(X)=\text{rank}(M)$ we have $\text{rank}(MV)=\text{rank}(M)$, which means $R(MV)=R(M)$. with $K=VM$, Eq. (9) reads

$$KMVMK^T = KMK^T \quad (10)$$

Since we have $K^+KM = MV$ and $K^+KM = M$ when $R(K^T) = R(M)$, (10) is equivalent to $MVM = M$ (11)

when $\text{rank}(X:V) = n$. Observe that (11) may also be obtained directly from the equality of (3) and (2), which holds for all $n \times 1$ vectors if and only if $(MVM)^+ = M$. But since $M = M^+$, this gives (11). Observe on the other hand that (11) implies $\text{rank}(X:V) = n$ in view of $\text{rank}(X:V) = \text{rank}(X) + \text{rank}(MVM)$. Hence $VMVMV = VMV$, $\text{rank}(X : V) = n \Leftrightarrow MVM = M$.

Our derivations may be comprised in the following

Proposition(1)

Under the general multivariate linear model $\{Y, X\beta, \Sigma \otimes V\}$, MINQUE for Σ coincides with simple estimator for Σ for all $Y \in R(X : V)$ if and only if $VMVMV = VMV$, where $M = I - X(X^TX)^+$. Moreover $R(X : V)$ is the whole space together with the above coincidence if and only if $MVM = M$.

Note that when Y in the multivariate linear model is multivariate normally distributed then the condition $VMVMV = VMV$ is necessary and sufficient for $\sum^{-1} y^T M y$ to have a Wishart-distribution i.e $W(k, \delta)$, cf. Theorem 9.2.1 in Rao and Mitra (1971), in which case $k = \text{trace}(MV) = f$ and $\delta = 0$. Hence the question of equality of MINQUE and simple estimator for Σ in the multivariate linear model is equivalent to the question of $\sum^{-1} Y^T M Y$ having a Wishart distribution $W(k, \delta)$ in the multivariate linear model with normally distributed Y . Observe that in general we do not have $k = \text{trace}(MV) = \text{rank}(MV) = f$. However, since (10) is equivalent to $Z^+ = Z^T$, i.e. $LMVM = LM$, we see that the condition $VMVMV = VMV$ is equivalent to $VMVM = VM$, which means that VM and hence MV is idempotent. But for idempotent matrices rank and trace coincide. The former equivalence has also been observed by Bhimasankaram and Majumdar (1980) who trace it back to Mitra (1968).

By adapting a table from Rao and Mitra (1971,p.161) to the situation under model

$\{Y, X\beta, \sum \otimes V\}$ with normally distribution Y one may obtain a general

representation of V being necessary and sufficient for $(1/\sigma^2)Y^TMY$ to have

$\chi^2(\text{trac}(M),0)$ -distribution . From this representation one immediately observes

$MVM = M$, showing that $\text{rank}(X:V)=n$ is implicitly comprised therein.

Complementing the table, for non- singular however , Chikuse (1981)concludes that

$\chi^2(\text{trace}(M),0)$ -ness of $(1/\sigma^2)Y^TMY$ is equivalent to equality of Y^TMY and

$Y^T(MVM)^+MY$. General characterizations of the class of all matrices satisfying the

identity $VMVMV = VMV$ (or $VMVM = VM$) may be derived from theorem 4.4 in

Bhimasankaram and Majumdar(1980) or theorem 2 in Baksalary et al. (1980). A

general non- negative definite solution to $MVM = M$ with respect to V can also be

obtained from Baksalary (1984), whose result is claimed to be advantageous over that derived by Khatri and Mitra(1976, Lemma2.1).

3.Relationship with known results

It is well known that one representation of the BLUE(Best Linear Unbiased Estimator)for $X\beta$ is given by

$$X(X^T T^+ X)^+ X^T T^+ Y \quad (12)$$

with $T=V+XX^T$, whereas the OLSE olse (Ordinary Least Square Estimator) for $X\beta$ reads

$$XX^+Y \quad (13)$$

Conditions for equality of (12) and (13) for all $Y \in R(X:V)$ are well known in the

literature. One of them being $XX^+ V = V XX^+$, c f. Puntanen and Styan (1989).

Trivially the latter condition is equivalent to the symmetry of the matrix MV.

Now taking the results of the previous section into account, we can state that

coincidence of MINQUE and simple estimator for Σ for all $Y \in R(X:V)$ holds

together with coincidence of BLUE and OLSE for $X\beta$ for all $y \in R(X:V)$ if and only

if MV is idempotent and symmetric, or in other words, MV is an orthogonal projector.

As mentioned before we have $R(MV) = R(M)$ when $\text{rank}(X:V)=n$. This means that under $\text{rank}(X:V)=n$ the matrix MV is an orthogonal projector if and only if $MV=M$. On the other hand, $MV=M$ entails $\text{rank}(X:V)=n$. Hence we may state

Proposition2:

Under the multivariate linear model $\{Y, X\beta, \sum \otimes V\}$, Coincides of MINQUE and simple estimator for Σ for all $Y \in R(X:V)$ holds together with Coincidences of BLUE and OLSE for $X\beta$ for all $Y \in R(X:V)$ if and only if MV is an orthogonal projector, where $M=I-X(X^T X)^+X^T$. Moreover $R(X:V)$ is the whole space together with the above coincidences if and only if $MV=M$.

As mentioned above, the condition $MV=M$ as well as the condition $MV=M$ entails $\text{rank}(X:V)=n$, which may equivalently be expressed as

$$\text{rank}(V)=n-\text{rank}(X)+\dim[R(X) \cap R(V)] \quad (14)$$

cf. Marsagli and Styan (1974). Hence under each of these two conditions, V is non-singular if and only if $\text{rank}(X)=\dim[R(X) \cap R(V)]$, which in turn is equivalent to $R(X) \subseteq R(V)$.

Eventually note that when MV is symmetric, i.e. M and V commute, then M and V can be simultaneously diagonalized by some orthogonal matrix, cf. Rao (1973,p.41). Hence we have $V M=M$ if and only if there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that

$$M = U \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \text{ and } V = U \begin{bmatrix} I_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T$$

where $s=n-\text{rank}(X)$ and D is a $(n-s) \times (n-s)$ non-negative definite diagonal matrix.

References:

- [1] Baksalary, J.K.,1984.Nonnegative definite and positive definite solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$.Linear and Multilinear Algebra16,133-139.
- [2] Baksalary, J.K,Hauk, J.,Kala,R.,1980. Nonnegative definite and positive definite solutions to some matrix equations occuring in distribution theory of quadratic forms.Sankhya,ser. A42,283-291.
- [3] Baksalary, J.K.,Puntanen,S.,Styan, G.P.H.,1990.A property of the dispersion matrix of the best linear unbiased estimator in the general Gauss-Markov model. Sankhya,ser.A 52,279-296.
- [4] Bhimasankaram,p.,Majumder,D.,1980.Hermitian and nonnegative definite solutions of some matrix equations connected with distribution of quadratic forms. Sankhya,ser.A 42,272-282.
- [5] Chikuse, Y.,1981.Representations of the covariance matrix for the robustness in the Gauss-Markov model. Comm.Statist. Theory Methods 10,1997-204.
- [6] Groß , J.(1997).A note on equality of MINQUE and simple estimator in the general Gauss-Markov model.Statistics probability letters 35,335-339.
- [7] Khatri,C.G.,Mitra, S.K., 1976.Hermitian and Nonnegative definite solutions of linear matrix equation . SIAM J.Appl. Math.31,579-585.
- [8] Marsaglia, G.,Styan ,G.P.H., 1974.Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices Linear and Multilinear Algebra 2,269-292
- [9] Mitra ,S.K.,1968.On a generalized inverse of a matrix and applications.Sankhya ser.A 30,107-114.
- [10] Puntanen,S.,Styan,G.P.H., 1989.The equality of the ordinary least squares estimator and the best linear unbiased estimator.Amer.Statist.43,153-164.
- [11] Rao,C.R,1973.Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications.2nd ed .Wiley , New York.
- [12] Rao,C.R,1974.Projectors, generalized Inference and the BLUEs' J.Ray.Statist Soc.Ser B36,442-448.
- [13] Rao,C.R.,Mitra, s.k.,1971.Generalized Inverse of Matrices and it Applications.Wiley,NewYork.