# The Solution of Diffusion And Exothermic Zero Equation By Using Newton – Kantorovich Method

Received: 9\10\2013 Accepted: 4\12\2013

Eman Ali Hussan AL-Mustansiriyah university College of science Muntaha K. Abbas Foundation Technical Education Technical College Management

### **Abstract**

This paper aims to a solution of a diffusion and exothermic equation by using Newton- Kantorovich method. The Newton- Kantorovich method is used to convert the nonlinear boundary value problem into linear boundary value problem, and then using numerical method to solve the linear boundary value problem.

The numerical method is a suitable based on a finite – difference method ( the central-difference ) scheme was considered here in since it gave a good results and thus obtaining small errors . The central- difference method is preferable over backward or forward difference scheme. Finally ,some numerical examples show that in this paper the results of proposed methods have a good agreement compared with that of exact solution . The use of finite- difference method produced a system of linear algebraic equations which are solved by using the Matlab computer software .

Keywords: Newton- Kantorovich method, , solution of nonlinear equation , two-point boundary value problems, central – difference method

### 1- <u>Introduction</u>

A large number of problems in engineering and physics can be described through the use of linear and nonlinear ordinary differential equations. When the boundary conditions which together with the differential equation describe the behavior of a particular physical system, the resulting problem is referred to as a linear or nonlinear boundary value problem [1]. One of the numerical methods will be introduced which is called Newton – Kantorovich method is essentially is generalized Newton – Raphson for functional equations and the Newton – Kantorovich technique not only linearizes the nonlinear equation but also provides a sequence of functions which is in general converges rapidly to the solution of the original nonlinear equation [1].

This work is devoted to study the solution of nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations numerically by Newton - Kantorovich method and generalized this method to solve some nonlinear second order partial differential equations . At the last of this method , a system of linear algebraic equations will be obtained which can

be 'solved by matlab computer software which become the tool of nearly all engineers and applied mathematicians, so the users have an easier and more productive time in this matlab computer software [2].

Very few nonlinear systems can be solved explicitly, and so one must typically rely on a numerical scheme to accurately approximate the solution. Basic methods for initial value problems, beginning with the simple Euler scheme, and working up to the extremely popular Runge – Kutta fourth order method [3], Donea, (1982)[4] applies the finite element method on nonlinear equation such as Naiver – Stokes equation, which is an important equation in many branches as physics and engineering which is difficult to find the solutions to it. Kubicek M., (1983)[1] used the boundary conditions may be classified according to various criteria, such as nonlinear boundary conditions separated conditions, mixed conditions and two point, multipoint conditions and so on.

Burden R. , (1985)[5] used the shooting method and the difference method to solve linear and nonlinear second order differential equation . Al – Juburee , Amina (2005)[8] solved some of nonlinear equations by Newton – Kantorovich method .The nonlinear equations were solved using the finite –difference method , she found that the central different scheme gave a good results comparing with exact solution .

Al-Robeay, Bushra (2006) [9] used numerical solution of two points boundary value problem and solved both linear and nonlinear two points ordinary value problem by using some numerical and approximate methods.

### 2- Newton – Kantorovich Method

The Newton – Kantorovich method, some times also called the quasi – linearization technique, is worth while. The quasi – linearization technique was developed by Bellman and Kalaba in (1965). Kantorovich and McGill studied the convergence properties of the generalized Newton – Raphson method, and gave algorithm for the numerical solution of nonlinear ordinary differential equation,[1,3]. Newton – Kantorovich – Raphson method is paid to explain the technique of Newton – Kantorovich and its implementation.

#### 2-1 Derivation of Newton – Kantorovich Method

New steps can be applied for an operator equation

$$F(y) = 0$$
 ...(1)

The development of this method will be given for a single nonlinear second- order differential equation [5] .

$$F(y) = y'' + f(x, y, y') = 0$$
 ;  $x\varepsilon(a, b)$  ...(2)

Subject to the linear homogeneous two – points boundary condition

$$\alpha_0 y(a) + \beta_0 y'(a) = 0$$
  
 $\alpha_1 y(b) + \beta_1 y'(b) = 0$  ...(3)

Where  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  are constants

After expanding the function  $\ f(x\ ,y\ ,y'\ )$  in a Taylor series expansion around the solution  $y_k$  we get

$$f(x, y_{k+1}, y'_{k+1'}) = f(x, y_k, y'_k) + \frac{\partial f(x, y_k, y'_k)}{\partial y} (y_{k+1} - y_k) + \frac{\partial f(x, y_k, y'_k)}{\partial y'} (y'_{k+1} - y'_k) + \dots (4) A$$

nd substituting the equation(4) in the following equation

$$y'' + f(x, y, y') = 0$$
  
We get

$$y_{k+1}'' + f(x, y_k, y_k') + \frac{\partial f(x, y_k, y_k')}{\partial y}(y_{k+1} - y_k) + \frac{\partial f(x, y_k, y_k')}{\partial y'}(y_{k+1}' - y_k') = 0...(5)$$

Add the term y'' on both sides of equation (5) we get

$$(y_{k+1} - y_k)'' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} (\delta_y)' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \delta_y = -y_k'' - f(x, y, y_k') \qquad \dots (6)$$

The main formula of Newton method on the operator equation(2) is given by the following:

$$F'_{y}(y_{k})\delta_{y} = -F(y_{k})$$
Where ...(7)

$$\delta_{y} = y_{k+1} - y_{k}$$

$$F'_{y}(y)\delta_{y} = (\delta_{y})'' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'}(\delta_{y})' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\delta_{y}$$
...(8)

Now, equation (2) could be written as:

$$(\delta_{y})'' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'}(\delta_{y})' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\delta_{y} = -y_{k}'' - f(x, y, y_{k}') \qquad \dots (9)$$

Now the boundary conditions can be rewrite to the following forms.

$$\alpha_{0}\delta_{y}(a) + \beta_{0}\delta_{y'}(a) = 0,$$

$$\alpha_{0}y_{k+1}(a) + \beta_{0}y'_{k+1}(a) = 0$$

$$\alpha_{1}\delta_{y}(b) + \beta_{1}\delta_{y'}(b) = 0$$

$$\alpha_{1}y_{k+1}(b) + \beta_{1}y'_{k+1}(b) = 0$$
...(10)

Since  $\delta_{v} = y_{k+1} - y_{k}$  and by equation (3) ,we have

$$\alpha_0[y_{k+1}(a) - \delta_y(a)] + \beta_0[y_{k+1}(a) - \delta_{y'}(a)] = 0$$
 ...(11)

$$\alpha_1[y_{k+1}(b) - \delta_{y}(b)] + \beta_{11}[y'_{k+1}(b) - \delta_{y}(b)] = 0$$

...(12)

For equation(11), We can obtain

$$\alpha_1 y_{k+1}(a) + \beta_{01} y'_{k+1}(a) = \alpha_0 \delta_{y}(a) + \beta_0 \delta_{y}(a) = 0$$
 ...(13)

Also, from equation (12), We can obtain

$$\alpha_1 y_{k+1}(b) + \beta_{11} y'_{k+1}(b) = \alpha_1 \delta_{y}(b) + \beta_1 \delta_{y}(b) = 0.$$
 (14)

Hence the linearized equation and boundary conditions became in the following form:

$$\alpha_{0}\delta_{y}(a) + \beta_{0}\delta_{y'}(a) = 0 \qquad \alpha_{0}\delta_{y}(a) + \beta_{0}\delta_{y'}(a) = 0$$

$$\alpha_{0}y_{k+1}(b) + \beta_{0}y'_{k+1}(b) = 0 \qquad \alpha_{0}y_{k+1}(b) + \beta_{0}y'_{k+1}(b) = 0 \qquad \dots (15)$$

# 3- The Application (Diffusion and Exothermic Zero) of Newton-Kantorovich method [1,6]

Consider boundary value problem

$$y'' = \delta e^{Y}$$
 ,  $0 = \delta 0 < \delta < 1$  ...(16)

Subject to the boundary condition

$$y(0) = 0$$
 ,  $y(1) = 0$  ...(17)

Since 
$$f(x, y, y') = -\delta e^y$$
 ...(18)

Now, apply the Newton – Kantorovich method on equation (16) yields:

1- 
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\delta e^y, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y'} = 0$$

2- By using equation (9) we get the form

$$(\delta_{y})'' + \delta e^{y} \delta y = -y_{k}'' + \delta e^{y_{k}} \qquad \dots (19)$$

3- Subject to homogenous linear boundary conditions

$$\delta(0) = 0$$
 ,  $\delta(1) = 0$  ...(20)

where  $y_k$  is a pervious iteration which is considered to be known function and ( $\delta y = y_{k+1} - y_k$ )

4- Let us choose a zero iteration  $(y_0 = 0)$  so equation (19) and equation (20) are in the form :

$$\delta'' = \delta$$
 ...(21)  
 $\delta(0) = 0$  ,  $\delta(1) = 0$  ...(22)

and use the central- finite – difference approximate yield for, [1,7]. h=0.1, [ $\delta i=\delta(xi)=\delta(0+ih)$ ], we get the form

$$\frac{\delta_{i+1} - 2\delta_i + \delta_{i-1}}{h^2} = \delta \qquad , \quad \delta = 0.3$$
  
$$\therefore \delta_{i+1} - 2\delta_i + \delta_{i-1} = h^2 \delta \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\therefore \quad \delta_{i+1} - 2\delta_i + \delta_{i-1} = 0.003 \qquad i=1,2,...,9$$
 (23)

5- The boundary conditions after discretization became:

for equation (22) a system of nine linear algebraic equation will be obtained [2,8].

$$\delta_{0} - 2\delta_{1} + \delta_{2} = 0.003$$

$$\delta_{1} - 2\delta_{2} + \delta_{3} = 0.003$$

$$\delta_{2} - 2\delta_{3} + \delta_{4} = 0.003$$

$$\delta_{3} - 2\delta_{4} + \delta_{5} = 0,003$$

$$\delta_{4} - 2\delta_{5} + \delta_{6} = 0,003$$

$$\delta_{5} - 2\delta_{6} + \delta_{7} = 0,003$$

$$\delta_{6} - 2\delta_{7} + \delta_{8} = 0,003$$

$$\delta_{7} - 2\delta_{8} + \delta_{9} = 0,003$$

$$\delta_{8} - 2\delta_{9} + \delta_{10} = 0,003$$

And we can write the above system in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \\ \delta_6 \\ \delta_7 \\ \delta_8 \\ \delta_9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.003 \\ 0.003$$

The resulting set of linear algebraic equations can be easily solved by direct method. It is convenient to write equation (25) in the following matrix form:

Where A represent the tridiagonal matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

X and B represent the following column vectors

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \\ \delta_6 \\ \delta_7 \\ \delta_8 \\ \delta_9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad ....(27) \qquad , \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.003 \\ 0$$

Since the matrix A and B are completely known into equation (29) can be solve as follows, provided that the matrix A is non singular

$$X = A^{-1}B \qquad \dots (29)$$

Thus the problem of solving linear differential boundary type is reduced the problem of evaluating the inverse of the matrix A [5,9].

Since equation (25) is linear, the resulting difference equations are also linear. Thus, equation (26) can be solve d by using MATLAB computer software and obtain the following results:

The inverse of the matrix  $A (A^{-1})$  is:

```
-0.2000 0.3000- 0.4000- 0.5000- 0.6000- 7000.0- 8000.0- -0.9000
 -0.1000
          - 0.4000 0.6000- 8000.0- 1.0000- 1.2000- 1.4000- 1.6000- -0.8000
 -2000.0
-0.3000
         - 0.6000 0.9000- 1.2000- 1.5000- 1.8000- 2.1000- 1.4000- -0.7000-
-0.4000
        - 0.8000 1.2000- 1.6000- 2.0000- 2.4000- 1.8000- 1.2000- -0.6000
-5000.0
         - 1.0000
                  1.5000- 2.0000- 2.5000- 0000.2- 1.5000- 1.0000- 0.5000
 -0.6000 - 1.2000 1.8000- 2.4000- 0000.2- 6000.1- 2000.1- 8000.0- 0.4000-
         - 1.4000 1000.2- 1.8000- 1.5000- 1.2000- 9000.0- 6000.0- 3000.0-
-0.7000
         - 1.6000 1.4000- 1.2000- 1.0000- 0.8000- 0.6000- 4000.0- 0.2000-
-0.8000
         - 0.8000 0.7000- 0.6000- 0.5000- 0.4000- 0.3000- 0.2000- 1000.0-
-0.9000
```

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\delta_{1} \\
\delta_{2} \\
\delta_{3} \\
\delta_{4} \\
X = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.0135 \\
-0.0240 \\
-0.0315 \\
-0.0360 \\
-0.0375 \\
-0.0360 \\
-0.0315 \\
-0.0340 \\
-0.0315 \\
-0.0240 \\
-0.0135
\end{bmatrix}$$

Now ,  $\delta i {=} \; y_{k+1}(x_i)$  -  $y_k(x_i)$  to find  $\; y_1(x_i) \;$  ,  $i {=} 1, 2, \ldots, 9$  and in this case  $\; k {=} 0$ 

So ,  $\delta_i=y_1(x_i)$  -  $y_0(x_i)$  , from pervious equation we can be obtain  $y_1(x_1)$  , ...,  $y_1(x_9)$  . such that  $y_0(x_i)$  are all zero . To find the second approximation , let k=1 then equation(18) take the form :

$$(\delta_{y})'' + \delta e^{y_1} \delta y = -y_1'' + \delta e^{y_1}$$
 ...(30)

And after substituting  $y_1(x_1)$ , ...,  $y_1(x_9)$  and by using the finite-difference method in equation (30), we obtain the same a system of linear algebraic equations as follow in system (25) , except the vector B is:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \\ 0.0296 \end{bmatrix}$$
...(31)

And solving the above matrix by the method in MATLAB computer software and obtain the following results:

.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \\ \delta_6 \\ \delta_7 \\ \delta_8 \\ \delta_9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0133 \\ -0.0237 \\ -0.0311 \\ -0.0355 \\ -0.0370 \\ -0.0355 \\ -0.0311 \\ -0.0237 \\ -0.0133 \end{bmatrix} \dots (32)$$

After this we can easily find  $y_2(x_1)$ , ...,  $y_2(x_9)$ .,  $\delta_i = y_2(x_i) - y_1(x_i)$ 

And the results record in the Table 1:

$$y_2(x_1) = -0.0268$$
  $y_2(x_6) = -0.0775$   
 $y_2(x_2) = -0.0477$   $y_2(x_7) = -0.06266$   
 $y_2(x_3) = -0.0626$   $y_2(x_8) = -0.0477$   
 $y_2(x_4) = -0.0775$   $y_2(x_9) = -0.0268$   
 $y_2(x_5) = -0.0745$ 

Finally to find third approximation at k=2, then the equation (19) take the form:

$$(\delta_y)'' + \delta e^{y_2} \delta y = -y_2'' + \delta e^{y_2} \qquad \dots (33)$$

And equation(20) becomes  $\delta(0)=0$ ,  $\delta(2)=0$  And after substituting  $y_2(x_1)$ , ...,  $y_2(x_9)$  and using the finite- difference method in equation (23)

The following system of linear algebraic equations could be obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta_{1} \\ \delta_{2} \\ \delta_{3} \\ \delta_{4} \\ \delta_{5} \\ \delta_{6} \\ \delta_{7} \\ \delta_{8} \\ \delta_{9} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0109 \\ -0.0194 \\ -0.0254 \\ -0.0290 \\ -0.0302 \\ -0.0290 \\ -0.0254 \\ -0.0194 \\ -0.0109 \end{bmatrix} \dots (34)$$

The MATAB computer software will be use to solve matrix and we obtain the following results

$$\delta 1$$
 =-0,0109 ,  $\delta 2$ =-0.0194 ,  $\delta 3$  = -0.0254 ,  $\delta 4$  = -0.0290 ,  $\delta 5$  = -0.0302  $\delta 6$  = -0.0290 ,  $\delta 7$ = -0.0254 ,  $\delta 8$  = -0.0194 ,  $\delta 9$  =-0,0109

The results of the first, second and third approximation with its

$$\delta_i = y_3(x_i) - y_2(x_i)$$

And the results record in the Table 1:

$$y_3(x_1) = -0.0377$$
  $y_3(x_6) = -0.1065$   
 $y_3(x_2) = -0.0671$   $y_3(x_7) = -0.0880$   
 $y_3(x_3) = -0.088$   $y_3(x_8) = -0.0671$   
 $y_3(x_9) = -0.0377$   
 $y_3(x_5) = -0.1047$ 

## Table(1) The values of $Y_n(x)$ to the problem and their absolute error

X	Y0	Y1	Y2	Y3	Rate of error	Absolute error
0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.1	0.000	-0.0135	-0.0268	-0.0377	-0.0109	0.0109
0.2	0.000	-0.0240	-0.0477	-0.0671	-0.0194	0.0194
0.3	0.000	-0.0315	-0.0626	-0.0880	-0.0254	0.0254
0.4	0.000	-0.0360	-0.0775	-0.1065	-0.0290	0.0290
0.5	0.000	-0.0375	-0.0754	-0.1047	-0.0293	0.0293
0.6	0.000	-0.0360	-0.0775	-0.1065	-0.0290	0.0290
0.7	0.000	-0.0315	-0.0626	-0.0880	-0.0254	0.0254
0.8	0.000	-0.0240	-0.0477	-0.0671	-0.0194	0.0194
0.9	0.000	-0.0135	-0.0268	-0.0377	-0.0109	0.0109
1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

#### 4- Conclusions

- Newton Kantorovich method transforms the nonlinear ordinary differential equation into linear differential equation .
- If the problem is simple it will be solved analytic or it can be solved in numerical methods.
- In is paper finite- difference method was used to solve the obtained linear differential equation after transformed the nonlinear ordinary differential equation to linear differential equation .
- The use of central difference scheme, as a type of the finite –difference method, gave good results into table (1). Thus, the central difference method is preferable over forward and backward difference schemes.

### 5- References

- [1] Kubicek M. and Hlavacek V., (1983), "Numerical Solution of Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems with Applications", Prentice Hall, Inc.
- [2] Mathews J. H. and Fink K., (1999), "Numerical Methods Using MATAB", Prentice Hall, Inc. .
- [3] Peter J. Olver, (2010), "Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations", <a href="http://www.mat.umn.edu//olver/am\_/odz.pdf">http://www.mat.umn.edu//olver/am\_/odz.pdf</a>.
- [4] Donea J., (1982), "Finite Element Solution of the Unsteady Navier-Stokes Equation by a Fractional Step Method", App, Mech., Eng., Vol,30, pp.53-73
- [5] Burdea R. and Faires J. , (1985) , "Numerical Analysis ", Prindle Weber and Schmidit Publishers .
- [6] Keller H., (1968), "Numerical For Two- Points Boundary Value Problems", Blaisdell Publishing Company.
- [7] Frank Kamentskll, D. A., (1989), "Diffusion And Heat Transfer in Chemical Kinetics", 2nd ed. Plenum Press, New, 1989.
- [8] AL- Juburee , Amina k. H. , (2005) ," The Solution of Some Nonlinear Problems By Newton- Kantorovich Method ", MSc. Thesis , University of Al- Mustansiriyah, Baghdad .
- [9] AL- Robeay Bushra , (2006) , "Numerical Solution of Two Points Boundary Value Problem", MSc. Thesis , University of Technology ,Baghdad, Iraq .

### حل معادلة الانتشار والباعثة للحرارة (صفر) باستخدام طريقة نيوتن - كانتروفيتش

تاريخ الاستلام: 9\10\2013 2013 2013 تاريخ القبول: 4\12\2013

إيمان علي حسين منتهى خضير عباس كلية العلوم \ الجامعة المستنصرية

#### الخلاصة

يهدف هذا البحث الى حل معادلة الانتشار والباعثة للحرارة (الحرارة صفر) باستخدام طريقة نيوتن – كانتروفيتش وتستند هذه الطريقة الى تحويل مسألة القيم الحدودية اللاخطية الى مسالة قيم حدودية خطية ومن ثم استخدام طريقة عددية للحل مسالة القيم الحدودية الخطية والطريقة مناسبة وتعتمد على طريقة الفروقات المركزية) التي استخدمت لانه اعطت حلول جيدة واخطاء صغيرة وطريقة الفروقات المركزية مفضلة على طريقة الفروقات الامامية والخلفية ،واخيرا وبعض الامثلة العددية المطروحة في هذا البحث اظهرت ان الطريقة المقترحة كان لها نتائج مطابقة مع الحل المضبوط وطريقة الفروقات الستخدام (Matlab) .