

. 1 - (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

*

*

. - - *

/

وحاصل نبات الحنطة (تموز-1) من

خلال إجراء تجربتين. زرعت بذور نبات الحنطة في التجربة

(0 0.5 1 3 5 %)

()

(45)

(15)

(45)

(%5)

(%5).

(0.5 1 3 %)

(100)

(100) /

(100)

(*Triticum aestivum* L.)

)

)

.(1987

.(1995

. 2011 / 4 / 10

. 2011 / 6 / 21

(2002) .

(1984) .
 (Organic acid)
 (Aromatic acid) (Aldehydes)
 (Courmains) (Simple unsaturated lactos)
 (Alkaloids) (Tanins) (Flavonoids) (Quinones)
 Putna) (Terpenoids and Steroids)
 Myrtaceae . (1987

(2002) Gleadow Woodrow . (1976 Chakravarty)
 cyanogenic capacity

(2000) Padhy

(1976 AL-Mousawi AL-Naib)

(1-)

/

:

(20) (1984) Harborne
 (20-25)
 (Horizontal shaker) (200)
 . (% 5 3 1 0.5 0) (5)

. (100)

.(%98)

-2

(1)

- 3

(45)

(15)

(10)
(20)

(10)
(Wathmann No.1)

(20)

(1995)

(25)

-1

:

10

$$\frac{100 \times \dots}{10} =$$

-2

$$\frac{100 \times \dots + {}^4\text{ن}(\text{د} + \text{ج}) + {}^3\text{ن}(\text{ب} + \text{ا}) + {}^2\text{ن}(\text{ب} + \text{ا}) + {}^1\text{ن}(\text{ا})}{\dots \text{د} + \text{ج} + \text{ب} + \text{ا}} =$$

$$= \dots = 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 1$$

()

3

:

(20)

2010-2009

(3.5)

(1)
(1)

(3.5)

(1)

(P2O5)%46

(20)
(10)

(15)

2009\ 11\9

(10)

(0.5)

(7)

(0.25)

(% 5 3 1 0.5 0)

(15) (5) (20)

(2) () () ()

.2010\3\21 (100)

(1960 Torrie Steel) (% 5) (L .S . D)
.1

7.8	PH
4.2	\ E.C
22.2	(\)CEC
8.4	(\)
3.57	(\)
0,06	(\)
3.32	(\)
81	(\)
10.1	(\)

-1

(2)

(%0.5) (%73.3) (%0.14) (%83.3)
 (% 5 3 1) (% 53.3 63.3 80)

()
 (1996)Weston (1997) Boydston Vaugh (2000)

(1993)

(%1) (%100)
 (%5) (%30)

. 2

								%	
490.3	483.3	487.5	500	73.3	70	70	80	0	
559.3	612.5	487.5	577.8	83.3	90	70	90	0.5	
542.6	533.3	544.4	550	80	60	80	100	1	
510.6	525	590	416.7	63.3	40	90	60	3	
488.9	466.7	500	500	53.3	30	80	50	5	
518.34	524.2	521.9	508.9	70.65	58	78	76		
								L.S.D.	
56.748*		25.37**		21.037*		9.408**		12.145*	0.05

= *
= **
= .

-2

(2)

(%0.5)

(%14.7)

(%559.3)

(%5 3 1)

(%490.3)

(%448.9 510.6 542.6)

(%524.2)

(% 508.9 521.9)

(2004)

(%0.5)

(%612.5)

(%416.7)

(%3)

3

(2)				()				()				%	
5.08	7.50	3.48	4.26	2.9	2.7	2.3	3.7	1.15	1.46	0.5	1.5	0	
7.9	13.59	4.26	5.85	3.7	3.1	3.8	4.2	1.57	1.70	1.0	2.0	0.5	
14.42	13.65	14.10	15.5	3.0	1.8	4.3	2.9	1.05	1.0	1.4	0.8	1	
14.98	17.75	16.92	10.26	2.2	1.3	3.9	1.4	0.73	0.7	0.9	0.6	3	
14.7	15.18	21.82	7.1	0.97	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.43	0.3	0.6	0.4	5	
11.42	13.53	12.12	8.59	2.55	2	3.0	2.6	0.99	1.032	0.88	1.06		
												L.S.D	
4.382*		1.959**	2.530**	1.44*		0.644*	0.832**	.		.		0.518*	0.05

=*
=**
= .

(4) .(1993,)

(32.9)

(%3)

(11.2)

(%0)

.4

								%
0.14	0.130	0.16	0.13	13.17	11.2	12.3	16.0	0
0.22	0.33	0.16	0.16	21.93	23.6	13.0	29.2	0.5
0.39	0.46	0.20	0.50	25.87	29.3	30.8	17.5	1
0.33	0.26	0.50	0.23	26.23	27.5	18.3	32.9	3
0.17	0.16	0.26	0.10	25.73	24.1	24.1	29.0	5
0.25	0.27	0.26	0.22	22.59	23.14	19.7	24.92	
								L.S.D
0.192*		.	0.111*	4.429**		1.981**	4.997**	0.05

= *

= **

= .

-7

(4)

(0.14)

(0.39)

(%1)

.(%178.57)

(%1)

22.27)

(0.27)

(%3.84

(0.50)

(%1)

(%5)

(0.10)

(1993) .

-8

(5)

(%1) (0.021) (0.086)
(%309.5)

(2000 ,) .

(2000) (0.039) (%5)

(%50 20) (0.06)

(%1)

(%0.5) (0.126)

(0.023)

.5

								%
1.67	3.0	1.0	1.0	0.021	0.026	0.013	0.023	0
3.5	5.5	1.5	3.5	0.035	0.030	0.023	0.053	0.5
2.67	4.5	1.5	2.0	0.086	0.076	0.056	0.126	1
2.83	4.0	2.5	2.0	0.065	0.023	0.130	0.043	3
2.5	3.0	3.0	1.5	0.039	0.026	0.073	0.020	5
2.634	4	1.9	2	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	
								L.S.D
		1.324*			0.0509*	0.0228*	0.0244*	0.05

= *

= **

= .

-9

(5)

(3.5) (%0.5)
 .(%109.58) (1.62)
 (2.50 , 2.83 2.67) (%5 3 1)

(%110.5 100) (4)

. (1993)

(5.5) (%0.5)

-10

(6)

(1.4) (% 3)
 .(%27.27) (1.1)
 (1.0) (%3)
 / . (%5)

-11

(6)

(%5)
 (%129.77) (13.1) (30.1)

(32.9)

(%112.3 47.5)

(6) .(1993,)

(%5)
 (%0) (42.0)
 .(7.0)

(100)	(6)	(100)	-12
(%1)			
(4.20)	(50.9)		
	ABA		
Peptidase ,Protease		(2000)

.6

	(100)			\				\				%
4.3	5.36	3.23	4.20	13.1	23.0	7.0	9.3	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.0	0
4.8	5.46	4.14	4.88	25	31.0	11.3	32.7	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.6	0.5
5.7	6.46	4.62	5.90	24.4	32.6	12.0	28.7	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.0	1
6.7	6.90	9.54	3.76	25.2	36.0	17.3	22.3	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.0	3
3.7	3.28	5.24	2.54	30.1	42.0	30.0	18.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5
5.04	5.5	5.4	4.26	23.56	32.9	15.5	22.3	1.2	1.24	1.18	1.12	
L.S.D												
0.994*	0.444*	0.574**	9.461*	4.2309**	5.462**	0.319*	0.05	

=*

=**

= .

- (5.5) (29.10%)
(1993) .
- (%3) (9.54) (2.54) .
- (%5)
- 2003 .
- Ipomoea Cairica L . Convolvulus arvensis L.*
- Graminae
- 2000 .
- (*Hordeum*) *vulgar* (*Triticum aestivum L.*)
(*Lolium Persicum Bioss et Hob.*)
1996 .
- 2002 .
- 53-56 :(164)
2000
- Rassica nigra* *Triticum aestivum L.* L.
- 2004 .
- (*Lolium temulentu L.*) (*Avena fatua L.*)
(*Silybum marianum L.*)
1984 .
- Brassica oleracea var bolrusti*
- 1993 .
- 7-28:(2) 200
- 1999 .
- 1995 .
- 1987 .

- An ,M , J.E Partly , and T. Haigh . 1997. Phytotoxicity of *Vulpia* sp. Residues : 1- Investigation of aqueous extract. *J. chem. Ecol* .Vol. 23,No:8:1974-1988
- Bhatt,B. P. and N. P. Todaria . 1990. Studies on allelopathic effect of some agro forestry tree crops of Garhwal Himalaya.*Agro forestry systems* .12: 251-255.
- Chakravarty,H. L. 1976. Plant wealth of Iraq (Dictionary of economic plant). Ministry of Agriculture an AgrarianReform .Botany Directorate .Baghdad Iraq.Vol.1:PP.505.
- Gleadow, R.M. and I. E. Woodrow. 2002. Defence Chemistry of cyanogenic seedlings is affected by water supply. *Tree Physiology Eucalyptus* 22:939-945
- Goodwin, T. W. and E. I. Mercer. 1985. Introduction to plant biochemical 2nd ed.Pegramon Pess. U. K.
- Harborne, I.B. 1984.Phytochemical methods . Auid to modern technology of plant analysis.2nd ed. Chpma Hall,London,Newyork:282.
- Hu, F.D. and R.J. Jones. 1997 . Effect of plant extract of *B othriochloapertusa* and *Urochloa mosambicensis* on seed germination and seeding growth of *Stylosanthes hamata* c.v. Verano and *Stylosanthes sscarba* c.v. *seca.Aust.J.Agric. Res.*,48:125-126.
- AL- Naib,F.A.G.and A. H. AL- Mousawi. 1976.Alellopathic effect of *Eucalyptus microtheca* . *J. Univ . Kuwait (Sci)*,3 : 83-87.
- padhy,B.;Patnaik ,and A. Tripathy. 2000 . Allelopathic potential of E growth of ucalyptus leaf litter leachates on germination and seedling fingermillet.*Allelopathy.J*.7(1):69-78.
- Putnan,A.R.1987.Alellopathic chemical natures herbicides action .*Chem .Eng*.4:34-35.
- Steel,R.G . D . and J . H . Tourie. 1960 . principles and procedures of statistics- Msgraw Hill , book comp .Inc .New-York.
- Vaugh,S. F. and R. A. Boydston. 1997 . Volatil allelochemical released by crvcifer green manutes.*J.Chem.Ecol*.23 (9):2104-2116.
- Weston,L. A. 1996 . Utilization of allelopathy forweed management In agroecosystems .*Agron .J* . 88(6):860-866.

ALCOHOLIC AND WATERY EXTRACT EFFECT OF EUCALYPTUS IN GERMINATING, GROWTH, AND PRODUCT OF WHEAT (*Triticum aestivum L.*) TAMOOZ-1

Najim Abdullah Jumaa

Naghham Saddon Abraham

*Biology Dept.- Al-Razi College of Education- Diyala Univ.

ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of alcoholic and watery extract of Eucalyptus globules in germinating, growth, and yield component of wheat (Tamooz-1) was investigated by two experiments were carried in laboratory and green house of department of biology in education College \ Al-Razi. Seeds of wheat were planted in plats and treated with extraction of (cold water , hot water, and alcohol) with concentration of (0 ,0.5 ,1 ,3 ,5%).The number of treatments were about (15) and with three replications. By this we had (45)treatment plants. While the experiment in green-house including a watering the plants after with germination with the same plant extract and with same concentration same used in lab-experiment There were three replications for each treatment . So we had also (45) observations in this experiment. The used concentrations for alcoholic and watery extractions in laboratory experiment showed significant effect in each percentage for germination. Percentage for speed of germination, and the length of both radicle and plumule .it was noticed that significance increase of these aspects occurred When adding extraction with (0.5%) concentrations, it was also noticed that there was frustrating effect for these extraction in the mentioned aspects which is acceptable Expelling with the increase of concentration for these extraction to the amount(5%).

As for the green-house experiment it showed that adding plant extraction with (%3. 1. 0.5) concentration led to significant increase in each of plant height, soft weight of total Greenness dry weight of total greenness , total leaf area index , number of seeds in each Earhead and weight of 100 seeds, this could by followed by abbreviating in the Mentioned aspects when increasing the concentrations of these extractions.

The results showed that the extraction method also had its effect on the studied aspects .the extraction method with cold water led to significant increase in percentage for germination, dry Weight and the length of the plumule .also extraction Method with boiling water showed significant increase in percentage for speed of germination ,soft weight of total greenness ,number of branched ,number of earhead ,number of seed in each earhead, leaf area and Weight of 100 seeds. As for extraction method with cold alcoholic significantly effected On each of plant height and length of redicle.