

## Centralizing Higher Left Centralizers On Prime Rings

Received :1/11/2015

Accepted :5/1/2016

Salah M. SalihMazen O. Karim

Department Of mathematics

Department Of mathematics

College of Educations

College Of Educations

Al-Mustansiriya University

Al-Qadisiyah University

Dr.salahms2014@gmail.commazin792002@yahoo.com

### Abstract :

In this paper we study the commutativity of prime rings satisfying certain identities involving higher left centralizer on it .

**Math. Classification** QAISO -27205

**Key words:** prime rings , higher left centralizer

### 1.Introduction :

Throughout this paper  $R$  is denote to an associative ring and it is center will denoted by  $Z(R)$  which equal to the set of all elements  $x \in R$  such that  $xy = yx$  for all  $y \in R$  .

Now for any  $x, y \in R$  , the symbols  $[x, y]$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle$  will denoted to  $xy - yx$  and  $xy + yx$  respectively which are called commutator(Lie product) and anti- commutator (Jordan product) respectively .[ 1 ],[2] . A ring  $R$  is called commutative if  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$  .

The above commutator and anti- commutator

satisfies the following[1] ,[2] :

- 1)  $[xy, z] = [x, z] y + x[y, z]$
- 2)  $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y] z$
- 3)  $\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle z - y[x, z]$   
 $= y\langle x, y \rangle + [x, y] z$

$$\begin{aligned} 3) \quad \langle xy, z \rangle &= x\langle y, z \rangle - [x, z] y \\ &= \langle x, z \rangle y + x[y, z] \end{aligned}$$

A ring  $R$  is called prime if  $xRy = \{0\}$  implies that  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$  and it is called semi-prime if  $xRx = \{0\}$  implies that  $x = 0$ [3] .

An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called centralizing on a subset  $S$  of ring  $R$  if  $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$  and it is called commuting if  $[F(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ [ 4 ], [5].

An additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called left(right) centralizer on a ring  $R$  if  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  (  $T(xy) = xT(y)$  ) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ [ 6 ] .

Many authors covers the concept of left centralizer and study the relation between the commutativity of ring and left centralizers .

K.K.Dey and A.C. Paul in [7] study the commutativity of  $\Gamma$ - ring in which satisfying certain identities involving left centralizers .

In this paper , we obtain the commutativity of a ring satisfying certain identities involving higher left centralizers on ring  $R$  , this work motivated from the work of K.K.Dey and A.C.Paul [ 7 ] .

We generalized the definition of higher  $k$ - left centralizer on a  $\Gamma$ - ring [8] into a higher left centralizer on a ring  $R$  by taking  $k$  as the identity automorphism as the following

**Definition 1.1** :let  $R$  be a ring and let  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of left centralizers on  $R$  . then  $T_n: R \rightarrow R$  is called higher left centralizer on  $R$  if

$$T_n(xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y$$

holds for all  $x, y \in R$  .

**2. Commutativity of prime gamma rings ::** in this section we study the commutativity of the ring  $R$  by using higher left centralizer on it .

**Theorem 2.1** : let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a non -zero ideal of  $R$ . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non - zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  . if  $T_n([x, y] - [x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  then  $R$  is commutative .

**Proof:** Given that  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of left centralizers of  $R$  such that.

$$T_n([x, y]) - [x, y] = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$ .

$$\text{Then } T_n(xy - yx) - (xy - yx) = 0$$

So that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)x) - (xy - yx) = 0$$

Which leads to

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)y - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) - y)x = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Replace  $x$  by  $xr$  in (2) we get

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(xr) - xr)y - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) - y)xr = 0$$

Hence

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)ry - (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) - y)xr = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Using (2) in (3) to simplify , we obtain

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)[r, y] = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$  .

Again replacing  $r$  by  $rs$  in (4)

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)r[s, y] = 0$$

For all  $x, y \in I$  and  $r, s \in R$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)R[s, y] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I, s \in R$

by primness' of  $R$  and since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i(x) - x) \neq 0$

hence  $[s, y] = 0$  for all  $y \in I, s \in R$

there fore  $I \subset Z(R)$  and hence  $R$  is commutative . ■

**Corollary 2.2** : In theorem 2.1 , if the family  $T$  of higher left centralizers is zero then  $R$  is commutative

**proof:** suppose that  $T_n([x, y]) - [x, y] = 0$   
for any  $x, y \in I$

if  $T_n = 0$  then  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  
 $x, y \in I$

There fore  $I$  is commutative hence  $R$  is  
commutative . ■

**Theorem 2.3:** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a  
non – zero ideal of  $R$  suppose that  $R$  admits a  
family  $T$  of non – zero higher left centralizer

$T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq -x$  for all  
 $x \in I$  and  $i \in N$ , for ther if  $T_n([x, y]) +$   
 $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is  
commutative .

**Proof:** Given that  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  is a family of  
higher left centralizers of  $R$  such that .

$$T_n([x, y]) + [x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

Then

$$T_n(xy - yx) + (xy - yx) = 0$$

So that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)x) + (xy - yx) = 0$$

Which leads to

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)y - (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) + y)x = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

In (2) replace  $x$  by  $xr$  to get

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(xr) + xr)y - (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) + y)xr = 0$$

Hence

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)ry - (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) + y)xr = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Using (2) in (3) to simplify , we obtain

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x) \beta [r, y]_\alpha = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Replace  $r$  by  $rs$  in (4)

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x) r [s, y] = 0$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r, s \in R$

in other words

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x) R[s, y] = 0$$

For all  $x, y \in I, s \in R$

By primness of  $R$  and since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i(x) + x) \neq 0$

we get  $[s, y] = 0$  for all  $y \in I, s \in R$

Therefore  $I \subset Z(R)$  and hence  $R$  is commutative . ■

**Theorem 2.4 :** - let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be  
anor – zero ideal of  $R$  . suppose that  $R$  admits  
afamily of non – zero higher left centralizers .  
 $T = (T_i)_{i \in n}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  
 $x \in I$  and  $i \in N$ , further if

$$T_n(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

For all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative .

**Proof:** - Given that

$$T_n(\langle x, y \rangle) - \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

For all  $x, y \in I$

This implies that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)y + (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) - y)x = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Replace  $x$  by  $xr$  in (2) we obtain .

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(xr) - xr)y + (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) - y)xr = 0$$

Hence

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)ry - (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) - y)xr = 0 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Using (2) in (3) we get

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)ry + (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)yr = 0 \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

That is

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)[r, y] = 0 \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Replace  $r$  by  $rs$  in (5) we get .

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)r[s, y] = 0 \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r, s \in R$

$$\text{i.e: } (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)R[s, y] = 0$$

By primness of  $R$  and since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i(x) - x) \neq 0$

Then  $[s, y] = 0$  for all  $y \in I$

Hence  $I \subset Z(R)$  there for  $R$  is commutative ■

**Theorem 2.5 :-** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be an non – zero ideal of  $R$  . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non – zero higher left centralizers .

$T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq -x$  for all  $x \in I$  and  $i \in N$  , further if

$$T_n(\langle x, y \rangle) + \langle x, y \rangle = 0$$

For all  $x, y \in I$  , then  $R$  is commutative

**Proof :-** Given that  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of non – zero higher left centralizers of  $R$  such that

$$T_n(\langle x, y \rangle) + \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

For all  $x, y \in I$  .

Then

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(xy + yx)) + (xy + yx) = 0$$

H hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y + \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y)x + (xy + yx) &= 0 \\ (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)y + (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) + y)x &= 0 \dots\dots\dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

In the above relation replace  $x$  by  $xr$  we obtain .

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(xr) + xr)y + (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) + y)xr = 0$$

So we get

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)ry + (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(y) + y)xr = 0 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Substitute (2) in (3) to get

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)[r, y] = 0 \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

For all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

Now again replace  $r$  by  $rs$  in (4) we have

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)r[s, y] = 0$$

.....(5)

For all  $x, y \in I$  and  $r, s \in R$

$$\text{i.e: } (\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) + x)R[s, y] = 0$$

By primness of  $R$  and since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (T_i(x) + x) \neq 0$

We have  $[s, y] = 0$  for all  $y \in I, s \in R$ .

Hence  $I \subset Z(R)$  there for  $R$  is commutative ■

**Corollary 2.6 :** In theorem 2.4 and 2.5 if a higher left centralizers  $T_n$  is zero . then  $R$  is commutative .

**Proof :** For any  $x, y \in I$  , we have

$$T_n(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

if  $T_n = 0$  then  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$

replace  $x$  by  $xz$  and using the fact

$yx = -xy$  we conclude that

$$x[z, y] = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in I$$

In other words we have

$$IR[z, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } y, z \in I.$$

Since  $R$  is prime and  $I \neq \{0\}$

So that  $[z, y] = 0$  for all  $y, z \in I$

then  $I$  is commutative and hence  $R$  is commutative . ■

**Theorem 2.7 :-** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be an non zero ideal of  $R$  . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non -zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  , further if  $T_n(xy) \mp (xy) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  , then  $R$  is commutative .

**proof :-** for any  $x, y \in I$  we have

$$T_n(xy) = (xy)$$

this implies that

$$T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$$

and hance by theorem 2.1 we have  $R$  is commutative

on the other hand if  $R$  is satisfy the condition  $T_n(xy) + (xy) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  .

then for any  $x, y \in I$

$$\text{we have } T_n(xy + yx) = -(xy + yx)$$

So that  $T_n(\langle x, y \rangle) + (\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$  for all

$x, y \in I$  .

Then by theorem 2.5 we have  $R$  is commutative ■

**Corollary 2.8 :-** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be an non zero ideal of  $R$  . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non -zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  , further if  $T_n(xy) \mp (yx) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  then  $R$  is commutative .

**proof :** For any  $x, y \in I$  we have  $T_n(xy) \mp (yx) = 0$

now if  $T_n(xy) = (yx)$  this implies that  $T_n([x, y]) - ([y, x]) = T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$

then by theorem 2.5 we have  $R$  is commutative

Now when  $T_n(xy) + (yx) = 0$  then  $T_n([x, y]) + ([y, x]) = 0$

this implies that  $T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$  and hance by theorem 2.1 we have  $R$  is commutative ■

**3.The main results:** in this section we introduce the main results of this paper

**Theorem 3.1:** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a non zero ideal of  $R$ . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non-zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the following conditions are equivalent :

- (i)  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$
- (ii)  $T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$
- (iii) for all  $x, y \in I$ , either  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$  or  $T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$
- (iv)  $R$  is commutative

**Proof:**

(i)→(iv) suppose that  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$

Then by theorem 2.1 we have  $R$  is commutative

(iv)→(i) suppose that  $R$  is commutative then  $[x, y] = 0$

and hence  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$

(ii) →(iv) suppose that

$$T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I$

Then by theorem 2.3 we have  $R$  is commutative

(iv)→(ii) suppose that  $R$  is commutative then  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$

And hence  $-[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$

Which implies that  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$

(iii) →(iv) suppose that for all  $x, y \in I$  either  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$  or

$$T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$$

Then by theorem 2.1 or theorem 2.3 we have  $R$  is commutative

(iv)→(iii) suppose that  $R$  is commutative

For each fixed  $y \in I$  we set

$$I_1 = \{x \in I \mid T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0\}$$

$$I_2 = \{x \in I \mid T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0\}$$

Then  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are additive subgroups of  $I$  such that  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ .

But a group cannot be the set theoretic union of two proper subgroups, hence we have either

$$I_1 = I \text{ or } I_2 = I.$$

Further, using a similar argument, we obtain

$$I = \{y \in I \mid I_1 = I\} \text{ or } I = \{y \in I \mid I_2 = I\}$$

Thus we obtain that either  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$

or  $T_n([x, y]) + ([x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$

Hence  $R$  is commutative in both cases by theorem 2.1 ( respectively theorem 2.3) ■

**Theorem 3.2:** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a non zero ideal of  $R$ . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non-zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$  and for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , further if  $T_n(xy) - (xy) \in Z(M)$  for all  $x, y \in I$  then  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof:** for any  $x, y \in I$  we have

$$T_n(xy) - (xy) \in Z(R) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

This can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - xy \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$  .....(2)

That is  $[(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)y, r] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$  .....(3)

Which implies that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)[y, r] + [\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x, r] y = 0 \quad \text{.....(4)}$$

for all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

in (4) replace  $r$  by  $xz$ , we have

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)z[y, r] + [(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)z, r] y = 0 \quad \text{.....(5)}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I, r \in R$

from (3) we get that (5) becomes

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)z[y, r] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in I, r \in R.$$

This yields that

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x)RI[y, r] = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I, r \in R$$

By primness of  $R$  implies that

$$I[y, r] = \{0\} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) - x = 0$$

and since  $I \neq \{0\}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$

we get that  $I$  is central and hence  $R$  is commutative ■

**Theorem 3.3:** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a non zero ideal of  $R$ . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non-zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq -x$  for all  $x \in I$  and for all  $i \in N$ , further if  $T_n(xy) - (xy) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

**proof:** suppose that  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of non-zero higher left centralizers satisfying the

property  $T_n(xy) - (xy) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$

then the non-zero higher left centralizers  $(-T)$  satisfies the condition

$$(-T_n)(xy) - (xy) \in Z(R) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I$$

Hence by theorem 3.2 we have  $R$  is commutative. ■

**Remark 3.4:** in theorem 3.2 if the higher left centralizer is zero, then  $R$  is commutative.

**Theorem 3.5:** let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  be a non zero ideal of  $R$ . suppose that  $R$  admits a family of non-zero higher left centralizers  $T = (T_i)_{i \in N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \neq x$  for all  $x \in I$  and for all  $i \in N$ , further if  $T_n(xy) - (yx) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x, y \in I$  then  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof:** we are given that a higher left centralizer of  $R$  such that

$$T_n(xy) - (yx) \in Z(R)$$

for all  $x, y \in I$

this implies that

$$[T_n(xy) - (yx), r] = 0 \quad \text{.....(1)}$$

holds for all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

which implies that

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx, r \right] = 0 \quad \text{.....(2)}$$

for all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

replacing  $y$  by  $yx$  in the above relation and use it hence

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)yx - yx^2, r \right] = 0$$

.....(3)

for all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

we find that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx \right) [x, r] = 0$$

.....(4)

for all  $x, y \in I, r \in R$

again replace  $r$  by  $rs$  in (4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx \right) r[x, s] \\ & + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx \right) [x, r] s = 0 \end{aligned}$$

.....(5)

for all  $x, y \in I, r, s \in R$

From (4) the relation (5) becomes

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx \right) r[x, s] = 0$$

.....(6)

for all  $x, y \in I, r, s \in R$

i.e.

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx \right) R[x, s] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in I, s \in R$

the primness of  $R$  implies that either  $[x, s] = 0$  or  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx = 0$

for all  $x, y \in I, s \in R$

now put

$I_1 = \{x \in I \mid [x, s] = 0 \text{ for all } s \in R\}$

$$I_2 = \left\{ x \in I \mid \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I \right\}$$

Then clearly that  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are additive subgroups of  $R$ . moreover by the discussion given  $I$  is the set- theoretic union of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  but can not be the set- theoretic of two proper subgroups.

Hence  $I_1 = I$  or  $I_2 = I$ .

If  $I_1 = I$ , then  $[x, s] = 0$  for all  $x \in I, s \in R$  and hence  $R$  is commutative.

On the other hand if  $I_2 = I$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y = yx$  for all for all  $x, y \in I$ .

That is  $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i(x)y - yx = 0$  for all for all  $x, y \in I$

This implies that  $T_n([x, y]) - ([x, y]) = 0$  for all for all  $x, y \in I$ .

Hence apply theorem 2.1 yields the required result. ■

### References :

- 1) Ali S., Basudeb D. and Khan M. S. ,2014, " On Prime and Semiprime Rings with Additive Mappings and Derivations ", Universal Journal of Computational Mathematics ,Vol.2, No.3 ,48 -55 .
- 2) Ur-Rehman N. , 2002,"On Commutativity of Rings with Generalized Derivations ", Math. J Okayama Univ. , Vol.44, 43-49.
- 3) Vukman J. ,1997,"Centralizers on Prime and Semiprime Rings " , Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline ,Vol.38 , No.2 , 231- 240.



- 4) Braser M. ,1993," Centralizing Mappings and Derivations in Prime Rings " ,Journal of Algebra ,Vol.156 ,385 -394 .
- 5) Vukman J.,1990,"Commuting and Centralizing Mappings in Prime Rings", Proceeding of the American Math. Society , Vol. 109 ,No.1 , 47 -52.
- 6) Ali S. and Dar N. A. ,2014," On Left Centralizers of Prime Rings with Involution " ,Palestine Journal of Mathematics,Vol.3,No.1,505-511.
- 7) Dey K.K. and Paul A.C ,2014,"commutativity of prime gamma rings with left centralizers " , J. Sci.Res. ,Vol.6 ,No.1 , 69-77.
- 8) Salih S.M.,kamal A.M. and hamad B. M. ,2013, "Jordan higher K-centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -rings ,ISOR Joral of Mathematics ,Vol.7 No.1 ,6-14.

### التمركزات العليا اليسرى على الحلقات الأولية

تاريخ القبول 2016/1/5

تاريخ الاستلام 2015/11/1

مازن عمران كريم

قسم الرياضيات

كلية التربية

جامعة القادسية

د. صلاح مهدي صالح

قسم الرياضيات

كلية التربية

الجامعة المستنصرية

[Dr.salahms2014@gmail.com](mailto:Dr.salahms2014@gmail.com) [mazin792002@yahoo.com](mailto:mazin792002@yahoo.com)

الملخص :

في هذا البحث ندرس ابدالية الحلقات الاولى التي تحقق شروط معينة تتضمن تمركزات يسرى من الدرجات العليا معرفة على تلك الحلقات الاولى.

الكلمات المفتاحية الحلقات الأولية , تمركزات يسرى