

Differential Subordinations for New Classes of ω -Qusi Convex Functions with Respect to Symmetric Points

Received :14/1/2016

Accepted :9/3/2016

Ahmed sallal Joudah
Department of mathematics
College of Computer Science and Information Technology
University of Al-Qadisiya
Diwiniya- Iraq
E-mail: ahmedhiq@yahoo.com , ahmedhiq@gmail.com

Abstract .This paper introduce new classes of meromorphic multivalent ω -quasi convex functions with respect to symmetric points and discuss its differential subordination properties in the punctured unit disk U with applications in fractional calculus .

Keywords and phrases :Differential Subordination, Fractional calculus, Quasi-convex with respect to symmetric points.

Mathematics Classification QA 299.6 - 4.33

1 .Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+$ denote the family of all functions f , of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z-\omega)^{k-\frac{k}{\gamma}} , \gamma \in N \setminus \{1\}, p = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$, and ω is a fixed point in U .

Let $\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-$ denote the family of all functions f , of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z-\omega)^{k-\frac{k}{\gamma}} , \gamma \in N \setminus \{1\}, p = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$, and ω is a fixed

point in U .

For two functions f and g analytic in $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, we say that the function f is subordinate to g in Δ and write $f(z) < g(z)$ or simply $f < g$ if there exists a schwarz function w which is analytic in Δ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w| < 1$ such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)), z \in \Delta.$$

Let $\psi: \mathbb{C}^3 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let h analytic in Δ . Assume that p, ψ are analytic and univalent in Δ and p satisfies the differential superordination

$$h(z) < \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z). \quad (3)$$

Then p is a solution of the differential superordination .An analytic function q is called a subordination if $q < p$, for all p satisfying equation(3).A univalent function q such that $p < q$ for all subordinates p of

equation(3) is said to be the best subordinate. A functions $f \in \Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+(\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-)$ is meromorphic multivalent ω -starlike with respect to symmetric points if $f(z) \neq 0$ and

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{(z-\omega)f'(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)} \right\} > 0, (z-\omega) \in U. \quad (4)$$

A functions $f \in \Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+(\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-)$ is meromorphic multivalent ω -convex with respect to symmetric points if $f(z) \neq 0$ and

$$-Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{((z-\omega)f'(z))'}{(f(z)-f(-z))'} \right\} > 0, (z-\omega) \in U. \quad (5)$$

A functions $f \in \Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+(\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-)$ is meromorphic multivalent ω -Quasi convex function if there exist a meromorphic multivalent ω -convex function g such that $g'(z) \neq 0$ and

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{((z-\omega)f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right\} > 0, (z-\omega) \in U. \quad (6)$$

This study establish some sufficient conditions for the functions belong to the classes $\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+$ and $\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-$ to satisfy

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right\} < q(z), (z-\omega) \in U. \quad (7)$$

and q is the given univalent function in U . Moreover , we give application for these results in fractional calculs.

We need to the following lammas.

Lemma 1.[8] Let q be convex univalent in the unit disk Δ and $\phi, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{\phi}{\alpha} \right) > 0.$$

If p is analytic in Δ and

$$\phi p(z) + \alpha zp' < \phi q(z) + \alpha zq'(z),$$

then $p < q$ is the best dominant

Lemma 2.[3] Let q be univalent in the unit disk Δ and Θ be analytic in adomain D

containing $q(\Delta)$. If $zq'(z)\Theta(z)$ is starlike in Δ and

$$zp'(z)\Theta(p(z)) < zq'(z)\Theta(q(z)),$$

then $p < q$ and q is the best dominant.

2 .Subordination Theorems

This section establish some sufficient conditions for subordination of analytic function in the classes $\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+$ and $\Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-$. The same properties have been found for other classes in [1,2].

Theorem 1. Let the function q be convex univalent in U such that $q'(z) \neq 0$ and

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{(z-\omega)q''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{\phi}{\alpha} \right) > 0, \alpha \neq 0. \quad (8)$$

Suppose that $\frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'}$ is the analytic in U . If $f \in \Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+$ satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \times \left[\phi + \alpha \left(\frac{(z-\omega)((z-\omega)^p f'(z))''}{((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'} - \frac{(z-\omega)(g(z)-g(-z))''}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right) \right]$$

$$< \phi q(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)q'(z),$$

then

$$\frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} < q(z),$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof.Let the function

$$p(z) = \frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'}.$$

Differentiating this function ,with respect to $(z-\omega)$ and performing calculations we get

$$p'(z) =$$

$$\frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))''(g(z)-g(-z))'+2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'(g(z)-g(-z))''}{[(g(z)-g(-z))']^2}$$

It can be observed that

$$\phi p(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)p'(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \left[\phi \right. \\ &+ \alpha \left(\frac{(z-\omega)((z-\omega)^p f'(z))''}{((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'} \right. \\ &\left. \left. - \frac{(z-\omega)(g(z)-g(-z))''}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right) \right] \\ &< \phi q(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)q'(z), \end{aligned}$$

The Using the assumption the theorem the assertion of the theorem follows by an application of Lemma 1.

Corollary 1. Let equation (8) holds. Let the function q be univalent in U . Let $k = 1$, if q satisfies the subordination

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{-2((z-\omega)f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \left[\phi \right. \\ &+ \alpha \left(\frac{(z-\omega)((z-\omega)f'(z))''}{((z-\omega)f'(z))'} \right. \\ &\left. \left. - \frac{(z-\omega)(g(z)-g(-z))''}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right) \right] \\ &< \phi q(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)q'(z), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{-2((z-\omega)f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} < q(z),$$

and q is the best dominant.

Theorem 2. Let the function q be univalent in U such that $q \neq 0$, $(z-\omega) \in U$ and $\frac{(z-\omega)q'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in U . If $f \in \Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-$ satisfies the subordination

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta \left(\frac{(z-\omega)((z-\omega)^p f'(z))''}{((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{(z-\omega)(g(z)-g(-z))''}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right) \\ &< \eta(z-\omega) \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} < q(z),$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Let the function ϕ be defined by

$$\phi(z) = \frac{-2((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'}, \quad (z-\omega) \in U$$

By setting

$$\tau(\zeta) = \eta \backslash \zeta, \zeta \neq 0$$

it can be cleared that τ is analytic in $C - \{0\}$. Then by simply computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta \frac{(z-\omega)\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} \\ &= \eta \left(\frac{(z-\omega)((z-\omega)^p f'(z))''}{((z-\omega)^p f'(z))'} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{(z-\omega)(g(z)-g(-z))''}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right) \\ &< \eta \frac{(z-\omega)q'(z)}{q(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Using the assumption the theorem the assertion of theorem follows by application of Lemma 2.

Corollary 2. Assume that q is convex univalent in U . Let $p = 1$, if $f \in \Sigma_{\omega,p,\gamma}^-$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta \left(\frac{(z-\omega)((z-\omega)f'(z))''}{((z-\omega)f'(z))'} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{(z-\omega)(g(z)-g(-z))''}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} \right) \\ &< \eta \frac{(z-\omega)q'(z)}{q(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{-2((z-\omega)f'(z))'}{(g(z)-g(-z))'} < q(z),$$

and q is the best dominant.

3 .Applications of Fractionl Integral Operator

In this section , we introduce some application of section (2) containing fractional integral operators. Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(z-\omega)^k$ and let us begin with the following definition. Following the ealier work by Srivastava and Owa [7,8] and Miller and Ross [4] and recently Darus and Faisal [1].

Difinition 1. [6] .For afunction f ,the fractional integral of order λ is defined by :

$$I_z^\lambda = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z f(\xi)(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1} d\xi, \lambda > 0 \quad (9)$$

where the function f is analytic in simply connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed be requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $(z-\xi) > 0$.

Note that $I_z^\lambda f(z) = f(z) \times \frac{z^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$, for $z \geq 0$.

Let $a, b, c \in C$ with $C \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The Gaussian hyper geometric function ${}_2F_1$ is defined by:

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (10)$$

where $(x)_n$ is the pochhammer symbol defined , in terms of the Gamma function by:

$$(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (n=0) \\ x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n-1), & n \in N \end{cases}.$$

Now, we introduce a ω -fractional integral operators .

Difinition 2. For $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta, \mu \in R$, the ω -fractional integral operator $I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu}$ is defined by :

$$I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) = \frac{(z-\omega)^{-\lambda-\beta}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{z-\omega} ((z-\omega) -$$

$$\xi)^{\lambda-1} {}_2F_1(\lambda + \beta, -\mu; \lambda; 1 - \frac{\xi}{z-\omega}) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad (11)$$

where the function f is analytic in a simply -connected region of the z -plane containing hte origin with the order

$$f(z) = O(|z-\omega|)^\varepsilon \quad (z-\omega \rightarrow 0), \\ \varepsilon > \max\{0, \beta - \mu\} - 1,$$

and the multiplicity of $((z-\omega) - \xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\omega)$ to be real when $(z-\omega) - \xi > 0$.

From Definition (2)with $\beta < 0$,we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) &= \frac{(z-\omega)^{-\lambda-\beta}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{z-\omega} ((z-\omega) - \xi)^{\lambda-1} {}_2F_1(\lambda + \beta, -\mu; \lambda; 1 - \frac{\xi}{z-\omega}) f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + \beta)_k (-\mu)_k}{(\lambda)_k (1)_k} \frac{(z-\omega)^{-\lambda-\beta}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{z-\omega} ((z-\omega) - \xi)^{\lambda-1} (1 - \frac{\xi}{z-\omega})^k f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{(z-\omega)^{-\lambda-\beta-k}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^{z-\omega} ((z-\omega) - \xi)^{k+\lambda-1} f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{(z-\omega)^{-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} f(\xi) \\ &= \frac{H_k}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(z-\omega)^{k-\beta-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k$. Denote $a_k = \frac{H_k \varphi_k}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$, for all $k = 2, 3, \dots$ and let $\beta = k \setminus \gamma + 1$, thus

$$\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \in \sum_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \in \sum_{\omega,p,\gamma}^- \quad (\varphi_k \geq 0).$$

Note that ,when $\omega = 0$ the ω fractional integral operator $I_{0,z,0}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu}$ was studied by Raina and srivastava [5]

Theorem 3. Let the function q be convex univalent in U such that $q' \neq 0$ and

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{(z-\omega)q''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{\phi}{\alpha} \right) > 0, \alpha \neq 0. \quad (12)$$

Suppose that

$$\frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'}$$

is analytic in U . If $f \in \sum_{\omega,p,\gamma}^+$ satisfies the subordination

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'} \\ & + \alpha \frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'} \times \\ & \left(\frac{(z-\omega) \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)''}{\left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)'} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(z-\omega) \left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)''}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$< \phi q(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)q'(z),$$

then

$$\frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'}$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof.Let the function p be defined by

$$p(z)$$

$$\frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'}$$

$$(z-\omega) \in U.$$

It can easily observed that

$$\phi p(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)p'(z) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'} \\ & + \alpha \frac{-2 \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'} \\ & \times \left(\frac{(z-\omega) \left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)''}{\left((z-\omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)'} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(z-\omega) \left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)''}{\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z-\omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$< \phi q(z) + \alpha(z-\omega)q'(z).$$

Then using the assumption the theorem the assertion of the theorem follows by an application of Lemma 1.

Theorem 4. Let the assumptions of Theorem (2) hold ,then

$$-2 \left((z - \omega)^p \left(\frac{1}{(z - \omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} f(z) \right)' \right)'$$

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{(z - \omega)^p} + I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) - \left(\frac{-1}{(z - \omega)^p} - I_{0,z,\omega}^{\lambda,\beta,\mu} g(z) \right) \right)'$$

$< q(z), \quad (z - \omega) \in U$
and q is the best dominant.

References

- [1] M.Darus and I.Faisal, Differential Subordination for meromorphic multivalent Quasi-convex functions, *Facta universitatis (Nis)*, ser.Math.Inform, 26(2011), 1-7.
- [2] M.Darus and R.W.Ibrahim, Coefficient inequalities for a new class of univalent functions, *Lobachevskii J.Math*, 29(4)(2008) 221-229.
- [3] S.S.Miller and P.T.Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations; Theory and Applications*, pure and Applied Mathematics Dekker, New York (2000)
- [4] K.S.Miller and B.Ross, *An Introduction*

to the Fractional calculus and Fractional differential equations. 1st Edn. John Wiley and sons (1993).

R.K.Raina and H.M.Srivastava, A certain subclass of analytic functions associated with operators of fractional calculus, *Comput.Math.Appl.*, 32(1996) 13-19.

[6] H.M.Srivastava and S.Owa, *univalent functions, Fractional Calculus, and their Applications*. Halsted press, John-Wiley and sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto (1989).

[7] H.M.Srivastava and S.Owa, *Current Topic in Analytic Function Theory*. world scientific publishing company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hongkong (1992).

[8] T.N.Shanmugam, V.Ravichandran and S.Sivasubramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions, *Austral. J.Math. Anal. Appl.* 3(1)(2006), 1-11.

التبعيات التفاضلية لأصناف جديدة من دوال w -Quasi المحدبة بالنسبة لنقاط التناظر

تاريخ القبول 2016/3/9

تاريخ الاستلام 2016/1/14

احمد صلال جوده

قسم الرياضيات

كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات

جامعة القادسية

ديوانية -العراق

E-mail: ahmedhiq@yahoo.com, ahmedhiq@gmail.com

الخلاصة :

يقدم هذا البحث اصناف جديدة من دوال w -quasi المحدبة ميرومورفك متعددة التكافؤ مع نقاط التناظر ومناقشة خصائص التبعية التفاضلية في ثقب قرص الوحدة مع تطبيقات تفاضل والتكامل الكسوري.

الكلمات الافتتاحية:

التبعية التفاضلية ، حساب التفاضل والتكامل الكسوري، شبه محدب مع نقاط التناظر .