



## INTRODUCTION

Functional food notion has gained traction over the past ten years, alongside highlighting food safety prioritization within the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (12, 17, 44, 48). As a result, agricultural experts have shifted towards implementing farming methods that minimize harmful build-ups in food and are eco-friendly, aiming to create safe food while protecting the environment, particularly in light of global warming, climate change, and limited water resources (37, 38). Potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*) represent a widely consumed crop around the world and have made significant inroads into the industrial sector (15, 33, 51). This has led stakeholders to explore more lucrative methods of altering starch properties to meet industrial needs. Consequently, practices such as developing zero-amylose potato varieties (42, 47, 45), high-yield production using intensive chemical fertilizers and pesticides (8, 35, 36, 39, 50), and other related techniques have surfaced. Amylose potato starch improves its nutritional value, since it is resistant starch and does not spike blood sugar (14, 22, 52), however; it is not appropriate for the crop's processing uses. Conversely, when there is a high concentration of amylopectin, potato starch industrial properties such as gelatinous and stable texture find it more enticing (21, 34, 49). Simulating the Iraqi environment is crucial. Researches undertaken under drought conditions offer a future scenario on how to deal with such conditions by merging many sustainable technologies to combat drought (7, 32), for using them to determine the best possible scenario (27). Titanium dioxide is one of the most common and effective substances for drought resistance. In an attempt to mitigate the effects of water and salt stress, the aforementioned chemical has been the subject of multiple research (31). For various crops, TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs have been demonstrated to increase growth metrics including shoot biomass and chlorophyll concentration under stress (18, 24, 25). TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs have been associated with a reduction in oxidative stress markers in plants under stress (3, 23). Additionally, by increasing the activity of its essential enzymes, such as Rubisco, TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs increase the

efficiency of photosynthesis (30, 40). Since biofertilizers gradually increase the soil microbial community, which in turn affects crops yield (6, 11, 20, 46). It is clear that they produce sustained improvements for soil resources. By making nutrients more soluble (4), encouraging the biodegradation of organic matter (10), and releasing vigorous hormones (5), Khosravifar et al (26) found that mycorrhizal fertilization for potatoes had noteworthy results in terms of leaf area index by 60%, shoot dry weight by 40%, and tuber production by 36%. It has been shown that mannitol influences photosynthetic capacity (19). Under drought conditions, Mahdy et al. (29) discovered that applying mannitol and mycorrhiza biofertilizers to maize plants resulted in notable increases in dry matter, chlorophyll concentration, and N concentration. Using xanthan gum, which increases soil water retention, is an environmentally acceptable and biodegradable method of combating drought (13). According to (41); xanthan gum is classified as a polysaccharide (biopolymer) with physical and microbiological properties that make it resistant to drought. Adding xanthan gum to soil improved its water retention and made lawns more resistant to water shortages (43). Consequently; this study seeks to mitigate the lack of water by biofertilizers, biopolymers, mannitol and titanium dioxide, and enhancing potato drought tolerance by improving nutrient absorption, and physiological resilience, and promote environmentally sustainable strategies for potato cultivation and simulate arid regions in Iraq.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Field preparation, planting, harvest

This experimental study is part of a project deals with sustainable potato production under prolonged irrigation conditions (7, 20). It took place at the research unite (A) / College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad (Al-Jadiryah), during the spring of 2023. Table (1) presents the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil. Santana hybrid class (Elite) potato tubers were planted in a single row in the center of the furrows on January 28, 2023. There was drip irrigation installed in the field. Plants were spaced 0.25 meters apart from one another and 0.75m

among rows. Planting density was 53333 plant.ha<sup>-1</sup>. After 120 days of planting, every plot was harvested.

### Experimental design

The experiment was implemented by using split plot arrangement within Randomized Complete Block Design with three replicates (2X6X3), in which titanium dioxide represented the main factor with two concentrations (0, and 10 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) which symbolized (T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>), six treatments were included to represent subplots (drought mitigation strategies DMS) (regular irrigation interval (I) (as control) (4 days), prolonged irrigation interval (D) (8 days) according to Al-Rubaie recommendation (9), fungal biofertilizers under (D), (D<sub>B</sub>), fungal biofertilizers + mannitol under (D) (D<sub>BM</sub>), fungal biofertilizers + xanthan under (D) (D<sub>BZ</sub>), fungal biofertilizers + mannitol+ xanthan under (D) (D<sub>BMZ</sub>). Titanium dioxide (nano-anatase) was applied three times following the growth cycle of the potato plant; specifically, the initial application occurred during the vegetative growth phase, the second application took place at the tuber initiation stage, and the final application was done during the tuber enlargement stage. Fungal biofertilizers (mycorrhizae *Glomus intraradices* + trichoderma *Trichoderma asperellum*) mixed with corn cobs residues (50 spores.1g<sup>-1</sup>) and positioned as a pad beneath the tuber during planting in the soil at a dosage of 20g for each tuber. Xanthan gum mixed with the soil at 1% percent before planting. Mannitol injected three times at a concentration (30mM.L<sup>-1</sup>) according to the mentioned potato growth cycle in rhizosphere zone.

**The studied traits:** Vegetative growth traits were determined as follows: leaves number, vegetative branches number, magnesium leaves concentrations (16), starch traits were fixed as follows; tuber starch percent (%), tubers amylose and amylopectin percents (%) (2). Starch traits were determined in the laboratories of Agricultural Researches center/ Scientific Research Commission. The yield traits that determined were tubers number.plant<sup>-1</sup> and plant yield (g). The data were analyzed through analyses of variance

and the means were compared using L.S.D. test under 5% probability.

**Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the soil**

Character	Value
pH	6.16
EC <sub>1:1</sub> (ds.m <sup>-1</sup> )	390.8
Total N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	53.8
P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	12.5
K (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	168.8
Ca (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	185.8
Mg (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	168.8
Fe (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.4
Na (Meq L <sup>-1</sup> )	59.8
Cl <sup>-</sup> (Meq L <sup>-1</sup> )	49.8
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-2</sup> (Meq L <sup>-1</sup> )	205.8
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (Meq L <sup>-1</sup> )	475.8
O.M. (%)	9.1
Gypsum (%)	318.8
Sand (%)	10.8
Silt (%)	38.8
Clay (%)	46.8
Texture	Clay loam

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Vegetative growth traits

As illustrated in table (2); there is a significant impact on spraying titanium dioxide (nano-anatase) on Magnesium concentration of potato plants leaves (17254<sub>ppm</sub>) contrasted with T<sub>0</sub> (15720<sub>ppm</sub>). However; spraying TiO<sub>2</sub> doesn't have any significant results to the other traits (Table 2). The statistical analysis of Table 2 confirms that drought mitigation treatments have a potent impact on the entire potato vegetative growth traits, (I) treatment produced the highest (66 leaves number.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 5.5 branches number.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 18349<sub>ppm</sub>) respectively. However; D<sub>BMX</sub> treatment doesn't show any significant differences in comparing with (I) treatment (64.3 leaves number.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 5.5 branches number.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 18314<sub>ppm</sub>). While a notable decline could be observed in all vegetative growth traits at (D) treatment (45.5 leaves number.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 3.5 branches number.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 13411<sub>ppm</sub>) respectively. The data documented in Table 2 also approved that the interaction has an impact on leaves number and magnesium concentration, the highest leaves number found in T<sub>1</sub>I treatment (68.3), while the highest Magnesium concentration found in T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>BMX</sub> (18609<sub>ppm</sub>). In compare with the lowest traits that found in T<sub>0</sub>D (43 leaves.plant<sup>-1</sup>, 11533<sub>ppm</sub>) respectively.

**Starch components traits**

Regarding starch percent in potato tubers (Table 3); T<sub>1</sub> reveals superiority (17.7%) over T<sub>0</sub> (16%). As for drought mitigation treatment; (D<sub>BMX</sub>) treatment produced the highest starch percent (18.9%) opposed to (D) treatment (14.2%). However; interaction treatments demonstrated non-significant results. Concerning amylose and amylopectin percents; TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs spraying exhibit no considerable variation. Regarding drought mitigation treatments; the entire plants that grew under water deficit conditions displayed superiority in favor of amylose in compare with irrigated treatment (I), similarly, the inverse is valid for amylopectin. i.e. (I) treatment reveals superiority over all drought treatments. The interaction between TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs and DMS treatments reveals the superiority of the plants that grew under prolonged irrigation

periods in amylose percent over regular irrigated plants. In contrast, amylopectin exhibits the opposite behavior. i.e., plant that grew under regular water conditions revealed superiority in amylopectin percent.

**Yield traits**

Table (4) presents the superiority of T<sub>1</sub> in producing the highest plant yield (1592 g) over T<sub>0</sub> (1357 g). Regarding DMS treatments; D<sub>BMX</sub> produced the highest plant yield (1688 g) comparing to (D) treatment that produced the lowest (1128 g). The interaction between TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs and DMS treatments exhibit significant findings in the favor of T<sub>1</sub>I (1877 g) in compare with the lowest plant yield that found in T<sub>0</sub>D treatment (930 g). However; T<sub>1</sub>D<sub>BMX</sub> produced plant yield (1788 g) doesn't significantly differ from T<sub>1</sub>I treatment. Tubers number trait doesn't reach to the significant level in all sole factors and the interaction.

**Table 2. Effect of titanium dioxide (T), and drought mitigation strategies (DMS): biofertilizers (B), mannitol (M), and xanthan gum (X) on vegetative growth traits of potato plant under drought conditions**

traits treatments	leaves number.plant <sup>-1</sup>	Branches number.plant <sup>-1</sup>	Magnesium conc. (ppm)
<b>T</b>			
T <sub>0</sub> (control)	53.8	4.33	15720
T <sub>1</sub>	55.9	4.72	17254
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	N.S.	N.S.	628.4
<b>DMS</b>			
I [irrigated (control)]	66.0	5.50	18349
D [drought]	45.5	3.50	13411
D <sub>B</sub>	45.8	3.83	15261
D <sub>BM</sub>	51.3	4.33	16266
D <sub>BX</sub>	56.2	4.50	17321
D <sub>BMX</sub>	64.3	5.50	18314
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	3.485	0.756	922.5
<b>T x DMS</b>			
T <sub>0</sub> I (control)	63.7	5.00	18424
T <sub>0</sub> D	43.0	3.33	11533
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>B</sub>	43.7	3.67	13900
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BM</sub>	51.3	4.00	15822
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BX</sub>	53.0	4.33	16622
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BMX</sub>	68.0	5.67	18019
T <sub>1</sub> I	68.3	6.00	18274
T <sub>1</sub> D	48.0	3.67	15289
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>B</sub>	48.0	4.00	16622
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BM</sub>	51.3	4.66	16709
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BX</sub>	59.3	4.67	18019
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BMX</sub>	60.7	5.34	18609
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	4.737	N.S.	934.2

**Table 3. Effect of titanium dioxide (T), and drought mitigation strategies (DMS): biofertilizers (B), mannitol (M), and xanthan gum (X) on starch traits of potato tubers under drought conditions**

traits treatments	Starch (%)	Amylose (%)	Amylopectin (%)
<b>T</b>			
T <sub>0</sub> (control)	16.0	27.1	72.9
T <sub>1</sub>	17.7	27.2	72.8
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	0.769	N.S.	N.S.
<b>DMS</b>			
I [irrigated (control)]	18.7	26.3	73.7
D [drought]	14.2	27.4	72.6
D <sub>B</sub>	15.8	27.4	72.6
D <sub>BM</sub>	16.3	27.4	72.6
D <sub>BX</sub>	17.2	27.4	72.6
D <sub>BMX</sub>	18.9	27.3	72.7
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	0.864	0.358	0.358
<b>T x DMS</b>			
T <sub>0</sub> I (control)	19.3	26.2	73.7
T <sub>0</sub> D	13.3	27.0	73.0
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>B</sub>	15.0	27.7	72.3
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BM</sub>	15.3	27.2	72.8
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BX</sub>	16.0	27.6	72.4
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BMX</sub>	18.3	27.2	72.8
T <sub>1</sub> I	18.0	26.3	73.7
T <sub>1</sub> D	15.0	27.7	72.3
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>B</sub>	16.7	27.2	72.8
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BM</sub>	17.3	27.6	72.4
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BX</sub>	18.3	27.3	72.7
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BMX</sub>	19.5	27.3	72.7
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	N.S.	0.504	0.504

**Table 4. Effect of titanium dioxide (T), and drought mitigation strategies (DMS): biofertilizers (B), mannitol (M), and xanthan gum (X) on yield traits of potato plant under drought conditions**

Traits Treatments	Tubers number.plant <sup>-1</sup>	plant yield (g)
<b>T</b>		
T <sub>0</sub> (control)	9.28	1357
T <sub>1</sub>	9.56	1592
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	N.S.	31.9
<b>DMS</b>		
I [irrigated (control)]	8.67	1680
D [drought]	9.50	1128
D <sub>B</sub>	8.50	1229
D <sub>BM</sub>	10.0	1481
D <sub>BX</sub>	10.5	1641
D <sub>BMX</sub>	9.33	1688
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	N.S.	149.6
<b>T x DMS</b>		
T <sub>0</sub> I (control)	8.67	1483
T <sub>0</sub> D	8.67	930
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>B</sub>	8.00	1108
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BM</sub>	10.3	1480
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BX</sub>	10.6	1493
T <sub>0</sub> D <sub>BMX</sub>	9.33	1648
T <sub>1</sub> I	8.67	1877
T <sub>1</sub> D	10.3	1327
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>B</sub>	9.00	1350
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BM</sub>	9.67	1482
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BX</sub>	10.3	1729
T <sub>1</sub> D <sub>BMX</sub>	9.33	1788
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	N.S.	193.7

From noticing results in tables 2, 3, and 4; it's obvious that prolonged irrigation statistically affected plant growth, productivity, and starch components percents in compare with regular irrigation. However; drought mitigation treatments reduced this effect, which improved outcomes that frequently came close to the outcomes of standard irrigation. In fact; biofertilizers enhance nutrients availability in soil. Biofertilizers aid in the solubilization of nutrients that plants would not otherwise be able to access. They improve nutritional bioavailability by decomposing complicated molecules into simpler forms. This procedure makes it possible for essential elements, which are essential for plant growth, to be absorbed more effectively and that aids in maintain growth and physiological functions (27). Moreover; Biofertilizers (mycorrhizae and trichoderma) showed resilience and excellent performance in whole plant traits under water stress situations. This could be because they help plants obtain water and nutrients in soil pores that are inaccessible to plant roots alone by expanding the root system through their hyphal networks. This feature greatly enhances the plant's capacity to absorb moisture, especially when water supplies are few (10). When xanthan gum is added to stressed soil, it strengthens the bonds between the particles. When water content is decreased to specific levels, xanthan gum can dramatically improve soil cohesiveness, up to three times that of untreated soils. This rise is explained by xanthan gum's gel-like qualities, which form a network that holds soil particles together (41). Mannitol's important results seemed to be brought on by its function as an osmotic agent, which simulates drought stress by causing a water deficit. Plants' physiological and biochemical reactions are triggered by this system, which encourages the buildup of suitable solutes that sustain cellular function under stressful situations. Actually, mannitol can increase the synthesis of osmolytes, which are essential for plants to survive when there is a shortage of water (19). Plant traits were clearly improved by the use of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. Its ability to modify the expression of numerous proteins linked to photosynthesis, energy metabolism, and antioxidant systems may be the cause of this.

Furthermore, TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles improve the absorption of vital nutrients like iron, magnesium, and nitrogen. This promotes the production of chlorophyll and the general health of plants (43). In conclusion; this research provides insights into optimizing water management strategies for sustainable potato production.

## REFERENCES

1. A . O . A . C , 1980 . Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 13<sup>th</sup> ed, Washington .USA. pp: 666.
2. Abeyesundara A, S. Navaratne, I. Wickramasinghe, and D. Ekanayake. 2015. Determination of changes of amylose and amylopectin content of paddy during early Storage. Inter J Sci Res. 6:2094-2097.
3. Aghdam, M. T. B., H. Mohammadi, , and M. Ghorbanpour. 2016. Effects of anatase titanium dioxide on physiological and biochemical performance of *Linum usitatissimum* (Linaceae) under well-watered and drought stress conditions. Brazilian Journal of Botany, 39, 139-146. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-015-0227-x>
4. Aldolaimy, O. M. S., H. A. Abdul- Ratha, and B. K. Abduljabar. 2024. Effect of bio-organic and mineral fertilization, on the growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceae* var.botrytis). Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences –55(5):1667-1675. <https://doi.org/10.36103/pt592r56>
5. Ali, F., A. Bano, and A. Fazal. 2017. Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants. Plant Growth Regulation, 82, 363-375. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-017-0267-2>
6. Al-Khafaji, A. M. H. H., and K. D. H. Al-jubouri. 2024. Individual and interactive utility of biological and physical invigoration for various carrots seeds orders and study their field performance. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 55(4) :1566-1573. <https://doi.org/10.36103/66873c67>
7. Al-Khafaji, A. M.H. H., K. D. H. Al-jubouri, F. Y. Baktash, I. J. Abdul Rasool, and Z. J. Al-Mousawi. 2024. Amelioration potato plant performance under drought conditions in Iraq by using titanium dioxide, and biodegrading, biodegradable treatments. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 55(6), 1885-1893. <https://doi.org/10.36103/03fway21>

8. Al-Mashhadany, A.H. and M. Z. K. Al-Mharib. Effect of fertilizers starter solutions on growth and production of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *Research on Crops*, 24(1): 119–122. DOI: 10.31830/2348-7542.2023.ROC-11155
9. Al-Rubaie; A. H. S. and K. D. H. Al-Jubouri. 2023. Effect of tocopherol, trehalose and soil improvement in water productivity and industrial potatoes under water stress. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 54(4):979-995. <https://doi.org/10.36103/ijas.v54i4.1787>
10. Asoegwu, C. R., C. G. Awuchi, K. C. T. Nelson, C. G. Orji, O. U. Nwosu, U. C. Egbufor, and C. G. Awuchi. 2020. A review on the role of biofertilizers in reducing soil pollution and increasing soil nutrients. *Himalayan Journal of Agriculture*, 1(1), 34-38. DOI : 10.47310/hja.2020.v01i01.006
11. Baqir, H. A., M.F.H. AL-hassan, and J. W. Mahmood. 2024. Role of bio health extract on wheat growth according to Zadoks decimal scale. *Res. Crop.* 25 (4): 547-552 DOI: 10.31830/2348-7542.2024.ROC-1130
12. Bassaganya-Riera, J, E. M. Berry, E E. Blaak, B Burlingame, J. Le Coutre, W. V Eden, A. El-Sohemy et al. 2021. Goals In Nutrition Science 2020-2025. *Frontiers in nutrition*, 7, 606378. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.606378>
13. Berninger, T, N. Dietz1 and O.G. Lopez. 2021. Water-soluble polymers in agriculture: xanthan gum as eco-friendly alternative to synthetics. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 14, 1881–1896. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13867>
14. Blennow, A, K Skryhan, V Tanackovic, S L. Krunic, Sh. S. Shaik, M. S. Andersen, H Kirk, and K L. Nielsen. 2020. Non-GMO potato lines, synthesizing increased amylose and resistant starch, are mainly deficient in isoamylase debranching enzyme. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 18(10), 2096-2108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13367>
15. Bolotova, Y. V. 2017. Recent price developments in the United States potato industry. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 94, 567-571. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12230-017-9590-4>
16. Black, C. A. 1965. *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Am. Soc. Agron. No. 9 Part 1. Madison, Wisconsin. USA. pp. 390.
17. Chaurasia, Sh., R. K. Pati, S. S. Padhi, J. MK Jensen, and N. Gavirneni. 2022. Achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals-2030 through the nutraceutical industry: A review of managerial research and the role of operations management. *Decision Sciences*, 53(4), 630-645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dec.12515>
18. Daler, Selda, O. Kaya, N. Korkmaz, T. Kılıç, A. Karadağ, and H. Hatterman-Valenti. 2024. Titanium Nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs) as Catalysts for Enhancing Drought Tolerance in Grapevine Saplings. *Horticulturae*, 10(10), 1103. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10101103>
19. Davey, M., W.M.V. D. Montagu. Inze, M. Sanmartin, A. N. Kanellis, I.J.J Smirnoff. J. J. Benzie. D. Strain. F. Favell, and J. Fletcher. 2000. Plant l-ascorbic acid: chemistry, function, metabolism, bioavailability and effects of processing. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 80: 825–860.
20. Dheyab S., N., A M.H. H. Al-Khafaji, I. J. Abdul Rasool, K. D. H. Al-jubouri, F. Y. Baktash, Z. J. Al-Mousawi, and D. A. Hanoon. 2025. Reducing water consumption and improving soil, root quality of potato via environmentally sustainable treatments. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 55(special):1-9. <https://doi.org/10.36103/przef771>
21. Dupuis, J. H., and Liu, Q. 2019. Potato starch: a review of physicochemical, functional and nutritional properties. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 96(2), 127-138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12230-018-09696-2>
22. Ek, K. L., S. Wang, J Brand-Miller, and L Copeland. Properties of starch from potatoes differing in glycemic index. *Food & Function*, 5(10), 2509-2515. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4FO00354C>
23. Farahi, S. M. M., M. E. T. Yazdi, E. Einafshar, M. Akhondi, M. Ebadi, S. Azimipour, H. Mahmoodzadeh, and A. Iranbakhsh. 2023. The effects of titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles on physiological, biochemical, and antioxidant properties of Vitex plant (*Vitex agnus-Castus* L). *Heliyon*, 9(11). doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon
24. Javan, M., A. Ameri, Y. Selahvarzi, and P. S. Amin. 2024. TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs as a Promising Strategy for Crop Conservation Resulting from

- Deficit Irrigation in *Fragaria* × *ananas* Cv. Camarosa. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2024.2405980>
25. Karvar, M., A. Azari, A. Rahimi, S. Maddah-Hosseini, and M. J. A. Lahijani. 2022. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs) enhance drought tolerance and grain yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) under deficit irrigation regimes. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 44(2), 14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-021-03349-4>
26. Khosravifar, S., F. Farahvash, N. Aliasghar zad, M. Yarnia, and F. R. Khoei. 2020. Effects of different irrigation regimes and two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on some physiological characteristics and yield of potato under field conditions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 43(13), 2067-2079. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2020.1758133>
27. Liao, Z, H. Boubakri, B. Chen, M. Farooq, Z. Lai, H. Kou, and J. Fan. 2025. Biofertilizers as an eco-friendly approach to combat drought stress in plants. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 103510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2025.103510>
28. Lynch, D. R., Q. Liu, T. R. Tarn, B. Bizimungu, Q. Chen, P. Harris, C. L. Chik, and N. M. Skjodt. 2007. Glycemic index—a review and implications for the potato industry. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 84, 179-190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02987141>
29. Mahdy, A, N. O Fathi, M M Kandil, and A. E Elnamas. 2012. Synergistic effects of biofertilizers and antioxidants on growth and nutrients content of corn under salinity and water-deficit stresses. *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal*, 33(October-December), 292-304. <http://10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2012.3167>
30. Mohajjel S., H. L. Ahmadi, M. Kolahi, and E. M Kazemi. 2021. Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs on the growth, anatomic features and biochemistry parameters of Baby sun rose (*Aptenia cordifolia*). *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 27, 2071-2081. doi: 10.1007/s12298-021-01050-x
31. Mohammadi, H., M. Esmailpour, and A. Gheranpaye. 2016. Effects of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and water-deficit stress on morpho-physiological characteristics of dragonhead (*Dracocephalum moldavica* L.) plants. *Acta Agriculturae Slovenica*, 107(2), 385-396. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14720/aas.2016.107.2.11>.
32. Mukherjee, A., Sh. Dwivedi, L. Bhagavatula, and S. Datta. 2023. integration of light and ABA signaling pathways to combat drought stress in plants. *Plant Cell Reports*, 42(5), 829-841. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-023-02999-7>
33. Munyaneza, J. E. 2015. Zebra chip disease, *Candidatus Liberibacter*, and potato psyllid: A global threat to the potato industry. *American Journal of Potato Research*, 92, 230-235. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12230-015-9448-6>
34. Ortega-Ojeda, F. E., H Larsson, and A. C. Eliasson 2004. Gel formation in mixtures of high amylopectin potato starch and potato starch. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 56(4), 505-514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2004.03.021>
35. Pawelzik, E., and K. Möller. 2014. Sustainable potato production worldwide: the challenge to assess conventional and organic production systems. *Potato Research*, 57, 273-290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-015-9288-2>
36. Salman A. D., W. A. Hussein, Sh. A. Zaili and A. O. Mhawesh. 2024. Improving the quality of potato mini tubers by sustainable cultivation. *Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(2): 1129-1138.
37. Sprenger, H., K. Rudack, C. Schudoma, A. Neumann, S. Seddig, R. Peters, E. Zuther et al. 2015. Assessment of drought tolerance and its potential yield penalty in potato. *Functional Plant Biology*, 42(7), 655-667. <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP15013>
38. Sudha, R. P. H. Mhatre, and E. P. Venkatasalam, Crop simulation models as decision-supporting tools for sustainable potato production: a review. *Potato Research*, 64(3), 387-419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-020-09483-9>
39. Schans, J. 1991. Optimal potato production systems with respect to economic and ecological goals. *Agricultural Systems*, 37(4), 387-397. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-521X\(91\)90060-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-521X(91)90060-N)



40. Sheikhalipour, M., B. Esmailpour, G. Gohari, M. Haghighi, H. Jafari, H. Farhadi and A. Kalisz. 2021. Salt stress mitigation via the foliar application of chitosan-functionalized selenium and anatase titanium dioxide in stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni*). *Molecules*, 26(13), 4090. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26134090>
41. Sorze, A., F. Valentini, A. Dorigato, and A. Pegoretti. 2023. Development of a xanthan gum based superabsorbent and water retaining composites for agricultural and forestry applications. *Molecules*, 28(4), 1952. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28041952>
42. Toinga, V. S., M. I. V., J. M. Awika, and K. S. Rathore. 2022. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of the granule-bound starch synthase gene in the potato variety Yukon Gold to obtain amylose-free starch in tubers. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(9), 4640. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23094640>
43. Tran, A. T. P., I. Chang, and G. Cho. 2019. Soil water retention and vegetation survivability improvement using microbial biopolymers in drylands." *Geomechanics and Engineering* 17.5: 475-483.
44. UN. 2015. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/development-agenda/>
45. Visser, R. G. F., L. C. Suurs, P. M. Bruinenberg, I. Bleeker, and E. Jacobsen. 1997. Comparison between amylose-free and amylose containing potato starches. *Starch-Stärke*, 49(11), 438-443. <https://doi.org/10.1002/star.19970491103>
46. Wasan, S. M. K. and Ayad W. A. Al-Jubouri. 2023. Effect of biofertilizers and spraying with magnesium and calcium on vegetative growth indicators of sweet corn. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 1225 012031  
DOI 10.1088/1755-1315/1225/1/012031
47. Wikman, J., A. Blennow, and E. Bertoft, 2013. Effect of amylose deposition on potato tuber starch granule architecture and dynamics as studied by lintnerization. *Biopolymers*, 99(1), 73-83. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.22145>
48. World Health Organization. 2020. Mobilizing ambitious and impactful commitments for mainstreaming nutrition in health systems: nutrition in universal health coverage: global nutrition summit .
49. Yang, L., Y. Liu, S. Wang, X. Zhang, J. Yang, and C. Du. 2021. The relationship between amylopectin fine structure and the physicochemical properties of starch during potato growth. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 182, 1047-1055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.04.080>
50. Yildizhan, H. 2017. Thermodynamics analysis for a new approach to agricultural practices: case of potato production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 166, 660-667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.082>
51. Zhang, H., X. U. Fen, W. U. Yu, H. Hu, and X. Dai. 2017. Progress of potato staple food research and industry development in China. *Journal of integrative agriculture*, 16(12), 2924-2932. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(17\)61736-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(17)61736-2)
52. Zhao, X., M. Andersson and R. Andersson, 2018. Resistant starch and other dietary fiber components in tubers from a high-amylose potato. *Food Chemistry*, 251, 58-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.028>