

2025

Computation of the Unreliable Mx/M/1 Model for Multiple Working Vacations Queuing System with Encouraged Arrivals

Sujipriya. A

Department of Science and Humanities, Chennai Institute of Technology, Kundrathur, India,
priyakumarakil@gmail.com

K Julia Rose Mary

Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India,
juliakulandaisamy@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://bsj.researchcommons.org/home>

How to Cite this Article

A, Sujipriya. and Rose Mary, K Julia (2025) "Computation of the Unreliable Mx/M/1 Model for Multiple Working Vacations Queuing System with Encouraged Arrivals," *Baghdad Science Journal*: Vol. 22: Iss. 2, Article 30.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2024.9154>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Baghdad Science Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Baghdad Science Journal by an authorized editor of Baghdad Science Journal.



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Computation of the Unreliable $M^x/M/1$ Model for Multiple Working Vacations Queuing System with Encouraged Arrivals

Sujipriya. A ^{1,*}, Julia Rose Mary, K ²

¹ Department of Science and Humanities, Chennai Institute of Technology, Kundrathur, India

² Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India

ABSTRACT

This research analyzed queuing system with encouraged arrivals under multiple working vacations and server breakdowns. It is based on a $M^x/M/1$ model, where the customers arrive in batches or bulk. In addition, the arrival follows a Poisson process and the service time is distributed exponentially. Moreover, in this queuing system, breakdowns can occur at any time, and it is affecting the server's service time. The repair time is independent. Server resume the service as soon as returns from the service facility. Repair time follows exponential distribution. Encouraged arrival in queuing models involves external factors or incentives that prompt the firms. This strategy for mitigating may include preventing maintenance or minimizing the service disruptions and maintain the system efficiency. Encouraged arrival in queuing model conscious effort to increase customers or other entities to a service system during specific periods. Encouraged arrival improves the system performance and service efficiency of the model. Finally, this research solved the Chapman-Kolmogorov balancing equations for the steady state system, analyzed the queuing model using probability generating function and discussed, the stochastic decomposition property and the expected system size.

Keywords: Breakdowns, Encouraged arrivals, Multiple working vacations, Probability generating function, Queuing system, Stochastic decomposition

Introduction

Queuing is described as the study of an object or group of individuals moving in a queue. It covers the customer size, the service process, the number of servers, and the arrival procedure. It may include individuals, data packets, a patient waiting at a medical facility, banking sectors, etc. The flow of arrivals may be finite or infinite capacity and server serves towards the capability of utilization. Abdelmawgoud M, Dawood A and Moussa M¹ investigated how long service wait times effect on guests' satisfaction in five-star hotels in Egypt. a single server queuing system with working vacation under two type of server was studied by Agraval P, Jain A and Jain M.² Spatiotemporal

modeling in wireless communication network theory analyzed by Alqaysi.³ Bouchentouf⁴ *et al.*, studied single server queuing system under single working vacation and multiple working vacations with customers' impatience. Queuing theory was introduced by Erlang.⁵

Jain M and Jain A⁶ dealt with a single server working vacation queuing model with multiple types of server breakdown. Many of researchers studied the queuing systems, Krishnamoorthy A, Joshua A, and Kozyrev D⁷ analyzed bulk arrival and bulk service in Markova process. Lakshmi and Kassahun⁸ studied M/M/C queuing system with various working vacations. Moreover, Laxmi P, Rajesh P, and Kassahun T⁹ discussed bulk arrival queue with customer

Received 31 May 2023; revised 2 June 2024; accepted 4 June 2024.
Available online 28 February 2025

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: priyakumarakil@gmail.com (Sujipriya. A), juliakulandaisamy@gmail.com (Julia Rose Mary, K).

<https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2024.9154>

2411-7986/© 2025 The Author(s). Published by College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

impatience. Batch arrival multiple working vacations with server breakdowns and multiple working vacations are analyzed by Mary¹⁰ *et al.* Malik and Gupta¹¹ discussed the concept of single server queuing model of finite size with multiple vacations and encouraged arrivals. Moussa¹² *et al.*, employed an M/M/1 queuing model to analyze the service time characteristics of fast-food outlets in Egypt's tourism sector.

A brief review of working vacation with different frame works given by Patna¹³ *et al.* Seenivasan and Chandiraleka¹⁴ have studied the concept of single server queue with multiple working vacation dependent on server breakdowns. Also, Servi and Finn¹⁵ have introduced a concept of vacation model. Moreover, Sindhu¹⁶ *et al.*, analysed M/M/1 queuing model with interdependent arrival and independent arrival along with service process under working vacation. Finally, the encouraged arrivals have been discussed by Som and Sath¹⁷.

The crucial idea about encouraged arrivals are discussed. This paper analyzed M/M/c/N queuing system with encouraged arrivals, reneging, retention and feedback customers discussed by Som and Seth¹⁸. In addition, Batch arrival with working vacations are analyzed by Xu,¹⁹ *et al.* Yusofv²⁰ *et al.*, discussed the analysis of propagation in VHF military tactical communication system. The single server infinite queuing capacity and it may afford infinite number of customers to get served. Yukata baba²¹ discussed GI/M/1 queue with vacations under server works with different rates.

The unexpected nature of consumer behavior and the dynamic nature of the fiercely competitive business environment, businesses are motivated to study customer behavior. This study aids companies in developing marketing plans to draw in new clients. Precision is crucial since even the smallest departure from the plan might have an impact on finances or result in decreased earnings. Businesses frequently provide discounts and promotions, whether through physical storefronts or online platforms, to encourage new consumers. Customers actively seek out these attractive deals and discounts made available by many companies, which increases their propensity to select a specific firm. These clients are referred to as encouraged arrivals since they are drawn in by the offerings. The core literature on queuing benefits from the concept of encouraged arrivals. For example, during the summer season, juice shops experience an increase in customer influx due to various promotional activities and incentives.

Based on the above, this paper studies the system performance measure of unreliable batch arrival queuing model with multiple working vacations and encouraged arrival rate. By solving the Chapman-

Kolmogorov balancing equations for the steady state system, it is possible to derive the probability generating function to the system size. The system performance measures are obtained using probability generating function and stochastic decomposition.

Model description

A batch arrival of multiple working vacations queue, the arrivals follow a Poisson process and service time follows an exponential distribution. The size of the arrival group be a random variable X and it has threshold value of $k < \infty$ with the probability g_k .

i.e., $P(X = k) = g_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3 \dots \dots \dots$

where the arrival rate is composite of all batches. The first and second moments of random variable X with PGF are denoted by $X(Z)$, $E(X)$ and $E(X^2)$.

$$\text{i.e. } X(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k z^k, \quad E(X^k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n n^k$$

In general, the server serves the customer to takes average amount of time with exponential distribution. The service rate denoted by μ in regular period at time t . But in a server with encouraged arrivals represents the efficiency of both regular customer arrivals and additional customer arrivals at certain amount of time period. The arrivals occur one by one of time period t with Poisson process along the parameter $\lambda(1 + \zeta)$, where ζ represents the encouragement rate during that period.

The server works at a different rate instead of being completely idle during the vacation period, in which system works at slow rate. The vacation duration V follows an exponential distribution with the parameter ϖ . Customers are served at exponential service rate $\mu_v (\leq \mu)$ during working vacation period. Furthermore, server enters another working vacation only if the system is empty, which means server finds the system with no customer. This term is known as multiple working vacation. On the other part of working vacation if the server finds the system with customer then system immediately turns to regular service period. The service rate are vary for regular busy period and working vacation period but both are follows exponential with the different parameter of μ and μ_v .

If the server is in working vacation period or regular busy period, when the system get breakdown or repair while working with a Poisson rate α . Whenever the system fails, the server is sent immediately for repair. The repair time is independent. After the repair system restore the service, meanwhile service time of

waiting customer or newly arriving customer have to wait until the service of exiting one. which follows exponential distribution $1 - e^{-\beta t}$ with the breakdown parameter β . This type of service continues until the system becomes empty again.

This model is denoted by $M_{MWV}^{BDEr}/M/1$

Results and discussion

System size distribution

Let $N_s(t)$ denotes the number customers in the system at time t and

$$J(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{the system is in a working vacation period with encouraged arrivals period at time } t \\ 1 & \text{the system is in regular busy period with encouraged arrivals period at time } t \\ 2 & \text{the system is in breakdown with encouraged arrivals period at time } t \end{cases}$$

Then $\{N_s(t), J(t)\}$ is a Markov Process

Let $Q_n(t) = \Pr\{N_s(t) = n; J(t) = 0\}$, $n \geq 0$

$P_n(t) = \Pr\{N_s(t) = n; J(t) = 1\}$, $n \geq 1$

$B_n(t) = \Pr\{N_s(t) = n, J(t) = 2\}$, $n \geq 2$

It represents the system size probability at time t .

Assuming the steady state system size probabilities as

$P_n = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_n(t)$ and $Q_n = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(t)$ and

$B_n = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} B_n(t)$ exists

The steady state equations satisfied by P_n 's, Q_n 's and B_n 's are

$$\lambda(1 + \zeta)Q_0 = \mu_\nu Q_1 + \mu P_1 \quad (1)$$

$$(\lambda(1 + \zeta) + \varpi + \mu_\nu)Q_n = \lambda(1 + \zeta) \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{n-k}g_k + \mu_\nu Q_{n+1}, n \geq 1 \quad (2)$$

$$(\lambda(1 + \zeta) + \mu + \alpha)P_1 = \mu P_2 + \varpi Q_1 + \beta B_1 \quad (3)$$

$$(\lambda(1 + \zeta) + \mu + \alpha)P_n = \beta B_n + \lambda(1 + \zeta) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_{n-k}g_k + \mu P_{n+1} + \varpi Q_n, n \geq 2 \quad (4)$$

$$(\lambda(1 + \zeta) + \beta)B_1 = \alpha P_1 \quad (5)$$

$$(\lambda(1 + \zeta) + \beta)B_n = \alpha P_n + \lambda(1 + \zeta) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{n-k}g_k, n \geq 2 \quad (6)$$

The partial probability generating function are defined as follows

$$Q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n z^n, P(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n z^n \text{ and } B(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n$$

Multiplying Eq. (2) by z^n and summing up $n \geq 1$, then adding with Eq. (1) by using of Rouché's theorem, $Q(z)$ obtain as

$$Q(z) = \frac{\mu_\nu(z - z_1)Q_0}{[\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z)) + \mu_\nu(z - 1) + \varpi z]z_1} \quad (7)$$

In Eq. (3) Multiplying by z and Eq. (4) summing up $n \geq 2$ with z^n on both sides, from this $P(z)$ is written as

$$P(z) = \frac{\mu_\nu \lambda(1 + \zeta)zQ_0}{z_1} \left(\frac{(z - 1)z_1(X(z_1) - 1) - (z_1 - 1)z(X(z) - 1)}{\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z)) + \mu(z - 1) + \varpi z} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda(1 + \zeta)(1 - X(z)) + \beta}{[\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z)) + \mu(z - 1) + \alpha z][\lambda(1 + \zeta)(1 - X(z)) + \beta][\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z)) + \mu(z - 1)]} \right) \quad (8)$$

As proceeding Eqs. (5) and (6), $B(z)$ becomes,

$$B(z) = \frac{\alpha P(z)}{\lambda(1 + \zeta)(1 - X(z)) + \beta} \quad (9)$$

Thus, total probability generating function of $P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(z)$ is obtained from adding of

$Q(z)$, $P(z)$ and $B(z)$

$$P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(z) = Q(z) + P(z) + B(z)$$

$$P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(z) = \frac{\mu_\nu(z - 1)Q_0}{z_1(\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z)) + \mu(z - 1))} \left(\frac{\mu(z - z_1) + \lambda(1 + \zeta)zz_1(X(z_1) - X(z))}{\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z)) + \mu_\nu(z - 1) + \varpi z} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda(1 + \zeta)(1 - X(z)) + \beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1 + \zeta)zz_1(X(z_1) - X(z))}{\mu(z - z_1) + \lambda(1 + \zeta)zz_1(X(z_1) - X(z))}}{\lambda(1 + \zeta)(1 - X(z)) + \beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z))}{\mu(z - 1) + \lambda(1 + \zeta)z(1 - X(z))}} \right) \quad (10)$$

Using normalizing condition $P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(1) = 1$ with $X(1) = 1$, $X'(1) = E(X)$,

$$Q_0 = \frac{\varpi z_1}{\mu_\nu} \times \left(\frac{\beta\mu(1 - \rho) - \alpha\lambda(1 + \zeta)E(X)}{\mu\beta(1 - z_1) + \lambda(1 + \zeta)z_1(X(z_1) - 1)(\alpha + \beta)} \right) \quad (11)$$

Where $\rho = \frac{\lambda(1 + \zeta)}{\mu}E(X)$.

Substituting Q_0 in Eq. (10) the PGF is

$$P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(z) = \frac{\mu(1-\rho)(z-1)}{\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))+\mu(z-1)} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{\mu(z-z_1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)z(X(z_1)-X(z))}{\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))+\mu(z-1)+\varpi z}\right)}{\left(\frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)(X(z_1)-1)+\mu(1-z_1)}{\varpi}\right)} \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{\alpha\rho}{\beta(1-\rho)}}{1 + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)}{\beta[\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)+\mu(1-z_1)]}} \cdot \frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)(1-X(z))+\beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)zz_1(X(z_1)-X(z))}{\mu(z-z_1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)zz_1(X(z_1)-X(z))}}{\lambda(1+\zeta)(1-X(z))+\beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))}{\mu(z-1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))}} \quad (12)$$

$$L = \frac{d}{dz} (P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(z)) \text{ at } z = 1$$

$$= \frac{1 - \frac{\alpha\rho}{\beta(1-\rho)}}{1 + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)}{\beta[\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)+\mu(1-z_1)]}} \left\{ \left[\frac{\frac{\rho\mu}{\beta C^2} \left[C^2 + \alpha \left(z_1 - \frac{D}{\lambda(1+\zeta)E(X)} \right) (D-C) + \alpha D \left(\frac{C}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right) - 1 \right]}{\lambda(1+\zeta)(E(X)+E(X^2))} + \frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)E(X)-\mu_V}{2\mu(1-\rho)} + \frac{Z_1(\mu-\lambda(1+\zeta)E(X))}{C} \right] \left(1 + \frac{\alpha D}{\beta C} \right) \right\} \quad (13)$$

Hence, the total PGF can be written as

$$P_{MWV}^{BDEr}(z) = P_{MWV}^{M^x/M/1/BD} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\alpha\rho}{\beta(1-\rho)}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)}{\beta[\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)+\mu(1-z_1)]}\right)} \cdot \frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)(1-X(z))+\beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)zz_1(X(z_1)-X(z))}{\mu(z-z_1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)zz_1(X(z_1)-X(z))}}{\lambda(1+\zeta)(1-X(z))+\beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))}{\mu(z-1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))}}$$

Thus, the total probability generating function is obtained.

Decomposition property

To decompose the probability generating function of a batch arrival multiple working vacations of breakdown with an encouraged arrival queueing model into the product of two random variables, one of which is

$$P_{MWV}^{EDEr}(z) = \frac{\mu(1-\rho)(z-1)}{\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))+\mu(z-1)} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{\mu(z-z_1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)z(X(z_1)-X(z))}{\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))+\mu(z-1)+\varpi z}\right)}{\left(\frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)(X(z_1)-1)+\mu(1-z_1)}{\varpi}\right)}$$

Which is coincide the system size for the batch arrival multiple working vacation with breakdown queueing model³ and the another one is

$$1 - \frac{\alpha\rho}{\beta(1-\rho)} \cdot \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)}{\beta[\lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)+\mu(1-z_1)]} \cdot \frac{\lambda(1+\zeta)(1-X(z))+\beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)zz_1(X(z_1)-X(z))}{\mu(z-z_1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)zz_1(X(z_1)-X(z))}}{\lambda(1+\zeta)(1-X(z))+\beta + \frac{\alpha\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))}{\mu(z-1)+\lambda(1+\zeta)z(1-X(z))}}$$

Which gives the PGF of conditional system size distribution during breakdown period with encouraged arrivals.

This decomposition allows to analyses the classical $M^x/M/1/BD$ multiple working vacations queueing model and the additional queue length with encouraged arrival in the system separately. Which can be useful for performance analysis. This gives the Decomposition Property.

Expected system size of the model

The performance measurers of the system size under steady state condition is obtained.

Now, the expected system size is,

Where $C = \mu(1-z_1) + \lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)$ and $D = \lambda(1+\zeta)z_1(X(z_1)-1)$.

Thus, the expected system size of the model is evaluated.

Conclusion

This paper focuses on analyzing a batch arrival breakdown queueing system with multiple working vacations and encouraged arrivals. This paper deals an effectiveness of stochastic decomposition property and the system performance is measured. The steady state probabilities of the queueing system have been obtained, using probability generating function. Furthermore, future research of this queueing model is balking arrival rate as an additional parameter and exploring these techniques to enhance the system's performance with the numerical illustrations.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to extend their sincere gratitude to the editors, associate editors and evaluator for their valuable comments and suggestions which have improved the quality of the work.

Author's declaration

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are ours. Furthermore, any Figures and images, that are not ours, have been

included with the necessary permission for re-publication, which is attached to the manuscript.

- No animal studies are present in the manuscript.
- No human studies are present in the manuscript.
- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee at Sri Krishna College of Technology, Coimbatore, India.

Author's contribution

S. A. and J. K. designed the model and developed the theoretical framework. S. A. took the lead in derivation and writing the manuscript. S. A. helped to research and shape the manuscript. S. A. supervised the article. Both the authors contributed to the final manuscript.

References

1. Abdelmawgoud MTA, Dawood AA, Moussa MBH. The impact of prolonged waiting time of food service on customers' satisfaction. *Minia J Tour Manag Res.* 2016;1(1):247–251. <https://doi.org/10.21608/mjthr.2016.262117>.
2. Agraval PK, Jain A, Jain M. M/M/1 queueing model with working vacation and two types of server breakdowns. 2nd National Conference on Recent Advancement in Physical Sciences, (NCRAPS) 2020, 19–20 December 2020, Uttarakhand, INDIA. *J Phys Conf Ser.* 2021;1849(1):1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1849/1/012021>.
3. Alqaysi M, Behadili S, Salam A. Spatiotemporal modelling in wireless communication Networks. *Baghdad Sci J.* 2022;20(3):904–918. <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2022.6848>.
4. Bouchentouf A, Guendouzi A, Meriem H, Shakir M. Analysis of a single server queue in a multi-phase random environment with working vacations and customers' impatience. *Operations Research and Decisions.* 2022;32(2):16–33. <https://doi.org/10.37190/ord220202>.
5. Erlang AK. The theory of probabilities and telephone conversations. *Nyt Tidsskrift for Matematik B.* 1909;20(33):131–137.
6. Jain M, Jain A. Working vacations queueing model with multiple types of server Breakdowns. *Appl Math Model.* 2010;34(1):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2009.03.019>.
7. Krishnamoorthy A, Joshua A, Kozyrev D. Analysis of a batch arrival, batch service queueing-inventory system with processing of inventory while on vacation. *Mathematics.* 2021;9(4):1–29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9040419>.
8. Lakshmi PV, Kassahun TW. Analysis of variant working vacation queue with reneging under a multi-server environment. *Int J Manag Sci Eng.* 2020;15(2):130–137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2019.1653237>.
9. Laxmi PV, Rajesh P, Kassahun TW. Analysis of a variant working vacation queue with customer impatience and server breakdown. *Int J Oper Res.* 2021;40(4):437–459. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJOR.2021.114839>.
10. Mary K, Remona JM, Rajalakshmi J. Analysis of $M^x/M/1/MWV/BD$ queueing systems. *Int J Comput Appl.* 2016;141(7):1–4.
11. Malik S, Gupta R. Analysis of finite capacity queueing system with multiple vacations and encouraged customers. *Int J Sci Res Math Stat Sci.* 2022;9(2):17–22.
12. Moussa MHBE, Abd Elmawgoud MTA, Elias AN. Measuring service time characteristics in fast food restaurants in cairo: A case study. *Tour Today.* 2015;1(15):90–104.
13. Panta AP, Ghimire RP, Panthi D, Pant SR. A review of vacation queueing models in different framework. *Ann Pure Appl Math.* 2021;24(2):99–121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v24n2a02849>.
14. Seenivasan M, Chandiraleka S. Single Server queueing model with multiple working vacation and with breakdown. Second International Conference on Advances in Electrical, Computing Communication and Sustainable Technologies (ICAECT), Bhilai, India. IEEE. 2022;1–5: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAECT54875.2022.9807852>.
15. Servi LD, Finn SG. M/M/1 Queue with Working Vacations (M/M/1/WV). *Perform Eval.* 2002;50(1):41–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-5316\(02\)00057-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-5316(02)00057-3).
16. Sindhu S, Krishnamoorthy A, Kozyrev D. On queues with working vacation and interdependence in arrival and service processes. *Mathematics.* 2023;11(10):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11102280>.
17. Som BK, Seth S. An M/M/1/N Queueing system with Encouraged Arrivals. *Glob J Pure Appl Math.* 2017;13(7):3443–3453.
18. Som BK, Seth S. M/M/c/N Queueing systems with encouraged arrivals, reneging retention and feedback customer. *Yugosl. J Oper Res.* 2018;28(3):333–344. <https://doi.org/10.2298/YJOR170620006S>.
19. Xu X, Liu M, Zhao Xh. The bulk input $M^{[x]}/M/1$ queue with working vacations. *J Syst Sci Syst Eng.* 2009;18(3):358–368. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11518-009-5111-4>.
20. Yusof AL, Halim H, Ya'acob N, Hanapiah NH. Performance analysis of propagation in VHF military tactical communication system. *Baghdad Sci J.* 2021;18(4(Suppl.)):1378–1386. [https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.4\(Suppl.\).1378](https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2021.18.4(Suppl.).1378).
21. Baba Y. Analysis of a GI/M/1 Queue with Multiple Working Vacations. *Oper Res Lett.* 2005;33(2):201–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orl.2004.05.006>.

حساب نظام $M^x / M / 1$ غير الموثوق به للاصطفاف في إجازات العمل المتعددة مع تشجيع الوافدين

سوجي برياً^{1*}، الدكتورة ك جوليا روز ماري²

¹ قسم العلوم والإنسانيات، معهد تشيناي للتكنولوجيا، كوندرا تور، الهند.

² قسم الرياضيات، كلية نيرمالا للنساء، جامعة بهاراتيار، كويمباتور، الهند.

الخلاصة

تحلل هذه الورقة نظام قائمة الانتظار مع تشجيع الوافدين في ظل إجازات عمل متعددة وتعطل الخادم. يتبع هذا النموذج $M^x / M / 1$ ، حيث يصل العملاء على دفعات. يتبع الوصول عملية Poisson ويتم توزيع وقت الخدمة بشكل أسي. في هذا النظام يمكن أن تحدث أعطال في أي وقت وتؤثر على وقت خدمة الخادم. في هذا البحث تم تحليل النموذج باستخدام دالة توليد الاحتمالات. علاوة على ذلك، تمت أيضاً مناقشة خاصية التحلل والحالات الخاصة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الأعطال، تشجيع الوافدين، إجازات العمل المتعددة، وظيفة توليد الاحتمالات، التحلل العشوائي