Repair of inguinal hernia under local anaesthesia in high risk patient

Dr. Naseer K. Jawad Al Maleky

CABS, FIBMS

Wassit Medical College\Chief of Surgical Department

الخلاصه ان عمليات الفتق المغبني من اكثر عمليات الجراحه العامه ومن الممكن ان تجري تحت النخدير العام او الموضعي والمرضى ذوى الحالات الخطرة صنف ٣ و ٤ وفق الجمعية الامريكية للتخدير بصورة عامة غير مؤهلين للعمليات تحت التخدير العام،ولكن الصورة تختلف اذا اجريت العمليه تحت التخدير الموضعي لذي قمنا بدراسه حول استخدام التخدير الموضعي للمرضى ذوى الحالات الخطره الهدف : در اسه مدى فعاليه استخدام التخدير الموضعي في الحالات الخطره للمصابين بالفتوقات المغبنيه وإمكانية اجراها كعمليه جراحيه يوميه. المرضى وطرق العمل : منذ شهر كانون الثاني ٢٠٠٧ الى كانون الثاني ٢٠٠٩ مريض مصابون بفتوقات مغبنيه ومصنفين كحالات خطره من حيث التخدير صنف ٣ و ٤ وتمت العمليات تحت التخدير الموضعي و باستخدام الشبكه في رتق الفتوقات النتائج : ٧٥ مريض معدل اعمار هم ٦٨ سنه (٢٩ فتق مغبني نوع مباشر و ٤٣ غير مباشر و ٣ النوعين معا) ومعدل اوزانهم ٥٣ كغم و متوسط وقت العمليه ٥٠ دقيقه من الحالات من نوع الفتوقات الكبير ، غير الراجعة احتجنا الى استخدام ٥٠ ملغم من الديازيبام بالوريد وحاله واحده احتجنا الي البثدين ٥٠ ملغم . حاله واحده اصيبت بالتهاب سطّحي في جرح العمليه و٣ حالات اصبن بتجمع سوائل مصليه تحت الجلد و ٣ حالات اصيبوا بتجمع دموي في كيس الصفن و ٩ حالات نزف تحت الجلد لا توجد حالات من انحباس الادر ار او رجوع الفتق او الوفَّات و الوقَّت الانتظار للعمليه ١-٢ اسبوع مقارنه للعمليات تحت التخدير العام من ٢ الي ٣ شهر. وجدنا ان هذه الطريقه امينه وذات مشاكل مقبوله حتى في حالة المرضى ذوى الاوز ان الثقيله ويمكن اجراءها كجراحه يوميه ويتم اخراج المريض في نفس اليوم الذي تمت فيه.

Abstract

Inguinal hernia repair is a common operation in general surgery and can be performed under local, regional or general anaesthesia, The American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) 3 and 4 patients are generally considered unsuitable for day case hernia repair, high risk for surgery under general or regional anaesthesia, so we study surgery under local anaesthesia for those high risk group.

Aim: Aim of our study were to look at the high risk patient suitability for day-case repair of inguinal hernia under local anaesthesia(LA).

Patient and method: Between January 2007 and January 2009, 75 patients with unilateral inguinal hernia ASA 3 and 4 underwent prospective study for repair of hernia under local anaesthesia by plug and patch of mesh.

Result: 75 patients with inguinal hernia were operated on under local anaesthesia, median age 68 (range 40 to 102) years, 29 direct type, 43 indirect type ,3 direct and indirect type (pantaloon type), the median body weight 53kg (ranged 45 to 105 kg).

The duration of procedures were 30 to 80 minutes (average 50 minutes) .

3 of cases with big irreducible hernia perioperatively required IV diazepam 5 mg and one of them requires IV pethedine 50mg, 1 patient developed superficial wound infection, 3 patients developed subcutaneous seroma, 9 patients had ecchymosis at site of infiltration and operation, 3 patients developed scrotal haematoma, no one develop urinary retention ,and no recurrence during follow up period and no mortality. The median period of follow up 6 months (range 4- 15 months) ,Waiting time for our study 1 to 2 week compared to patient required GA 2-3 months.

Conclusions: Repair of inguinal hernia under LA in high risk patient seems to be safe and feasible with an acceptable morbidity. Obesity in itself is not an absolute contraindication for LA and the waiting times are acceptable and can be done as day case surgery.

Key words: Inguinal hernia, general anaesthesia, local anaesthesia, ASA3,ASA4

Introduction:

Inguinal hernia are a common problem, about 75 % of all types of abdominal hernias occur in inguinal region. (1) The prevalence of inguinal hernias increases with age and strangulation and need for hospitalization also increase with ageing. Natural history of inguinal hernia is that progressive enlargement and weakening with potential for incarceration and strangulation. (1,2)

Inguinal hernia repair is one of most commonly performed operations worldwide.(3,4)

However, there is no common consensus among surgeons regarding the best choice of anaesthesia. Several retrospective and randomized controlled trials have shown that local anaesthesia provides the best clinical and economic benefits to patients. In spite of this, the use of local anaesthesia for inguinal hernia repair is not a common practice. (4,5,6,7)

Elderly patient usually complain of hypertension, ischemic heart disease or diabetes make them high risk for general anaesthesia (GA) or spinal(regional) anaesthesia so we operated on our high risk patient under local anaesthesia(LA) to avoid complication of other method of anaesthesia and avoid delay for waiting time for elective surgery under GA and irreducibility and strangulation is possible at this period of waiting.

Patient and method:

The study covered a period from Jan 2007 to Jan 2009 including 75 patients high risk underwent surgical repair of inguinal hernia under LA in Al Karama Teaching Hospital. Co morbidity, current medication, and level of function were recorded in a standardized file, allowing ASA classification of the patients (Table 1). No preoperative tests were ordered, except for selected patients with diabetes or continuing anticoagulant therapy. Hernia pathology, the surgical procedure, and the use of LAs or sedatives were recorded in the file.

elective inguinal hernia repair in step-wise local infiltration anesthesia (xylocain 75-250 mg) with ilieoinguinal block (xylocain 10-50 mg) was provided by the surgeon.

The patients was monitored by pulse oxymetry and verbal contact with the staff.

Equipment for resuscitation and relevant medicine were available in the room and anaesthesia equipment was immediately accessible, no anaesthesia personnel were scheduled to be involved in the care of the patient but were readily available if necessary.

The surgical techniques employed were mesh plug repair with onlay mesh(patch). We dissect the sac and reduce it in direct type or reduce content and excise it in indirect type, the plug was placed in the internal ring or the defect of direct hernia and fixed to

the margins and onlay patch over it and fixed to inguinal ligament and conjoint tendon and lateral to deep inguinal ring using a No.0/2 nylon stitches, 4 cases required suction drain removed 24-48 hr postoperatively.

Data regarding chronic groin pain were obtained by a postoperative questionnaire after 2-4 weeks of follow up. The postoperative pain was graded into no pain, mild, moderate, severe. The character of the pain, associated numbness, as well as restriction of activities was ascertained. Degree of satisfaction from this type of anaesthesia was assessed according to the scale dissatisfied, satisfied and highly satisfied

Result:

75 patients with ASA 3 and ASA 4 according to predominantly affected organ system (table 1) with variable data according to (table 2), median age 68 years old (range 40-102), 29 with direct type, 43 with indirect type, 3 with direct and indirect type (pantaloon type), the median body weight 53 kg (ranged 45 to 105 kg) The duration of procedures were 30 to 80 minutes (average 50 minutes).

4 of cases with big irreducible hernia during operation developed discomfort required IV diazepam 5 mg and one of them requires IV pethedine 50mg.

Table 1. American Society of Anesthesiologists Classification of 75 ASA III –IVPatients with Indication of the Predominantly Affected Organ System

| Organ system | ASA III | ASA IV |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Cardiovascular | 30 | 2 |
| Respiratory | 26 | 1 |
| Gastrointestinal, including liver | 4 | 0 |
| Renal disease | 2 | 1 |
| Diabetes | 8 | 0 |
| Other (including psychiatric) | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 71 | 4 |
| | | |

| Table 2: Demographics and characteristics of patients | | |
|---|-----------------|--|
| Patient Data | Range (Median) | |
| Age (years) | 40-102 (68) | |
| Male: female | 70:5 | |
| Body weight(kg) | 45 -105(53) | |
| Body mass index (Kg/m ²) | 21-32(24) | |
| Operative time (min) | 30-80(50) | |
| Dose of local aneasthetics (mg) | 85-300(150) | |
| Dose of sedative intake (mg) | 5 | |
| Dose of analgesic intake (mg) | 50 | |
| Follow up period (months) | 4-15(6) | |

Non of cases required conversion to GA, Complication recorded after operation (table 3), 1 case developed superficial wound infection,3 cases developed subcutaneous seroma,9 cases developed ecchymosis at site of infiltration and operation,

3 cases developed scrotal haematoma, no one developed urinary retention, and no recurrence during follow up period and no mortality. The median period of follow up

was 6 months (4-15 months) ,Waiting time for our study 1 to 2 week compared to patient required GA 2-3 months.

| Table 3 : Postoperative complications | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Postoperative complications | number of patient | | |
| Wound infection | 1 | | |
| ecchymosis | 9 | | |
| scrotal haematoma | 3 | | |
| Seroma | 3 | | |
| Recurrence | 0 | | |
| Urine retention | 0 | | |
| Result of postoperative questionnaire (Table 4). The incidence of chronic groin pain | | | |
| found in 18 patients, 20 patients complained of discomfort in the groin in various forms | | | |
| | | | |

found in 18 patients, 20 patients complained of discomfort in the groin in various forms including aching 14, shooting pain 1.Satisfaction scores revealed a higher number of highly satisfied and satisfied patients compared with the number of patients dissatisfied, 93 % would undergo surgery under LA again if they needed surgery on the opposite side, 60 patients(80%) discharged within 6 hours of operation and 15 (20%) patients discharged within 48 hours

| Table 4ble 6 Postoperative questionnaire survey results | | | |
|---|----|--|--|
| Number of patients. | | | |
| Chronic groin pain | 18 | | |
| Mild | 12 | | |
| Moderate | 5 | | |
| Severe | 1 | | |
| Discomfort | 20 | | |
| Aching | 14 | | |
| Numbness | 3 | | |
| Shooting pain | 1 | | |
| Burning | 2 | | |
| Satisfaction scores | | | |
| Dissatisfied | 2 | | |
| Satisfied | 28 | | |
| Highly satisfied | 45 | | |
| Table | | | |

Discussion

The incidence of inguinal herniation is high in the elderly aged over 65 years. (8) They have complex medical problems increasing the risk of surgery and make them unsuitable for day-case repair. (9) Patients with co-existing illness and poor ASA grades 3 and 4 are often excluded in randomized studies when comparing day-case rates and different anaesthetic techniques,(10,11) and minimal data are available regarding their suitability for day-case repair. Our experience has suggested that, by using local anaesthesia, ASA grade 3 and 4 patients can undergo day-case hernia repair with minimal complications and re-admission rates as 80 % of our cases were discharged home at the same day and this go with the result of Sanjay P. et al The day-case rates

were significantly higher when patients underwent surgery under LA compared to GA (82.6% versus 42.6%). (3)

Day case local anaesthetic repair of inguinal hernia in high risk patient appears to be safe and feasible with an acceptable morbidity. Delay in initiating operation may increase the possibility of strangulation which necessitates surgery under adverse conditions, and an increased morbidity and even death.(12) It is well documented that general and spinal anaesthesia are associated with higher rates of serious post-operative complications when compared with LA in patients undergoing inguinal and femoral hernia repair.(13) In addition, this technique favors' early mobilization of patients and this could contribute to the absence of major complications like deep venous thrombosis.

Surgery on the awake patient must be carried out gently and the surgeon must be willing to adapt both technique and pace to the needs of the patient. Incisional pain is usually blocked. However, some pressure sensation and traction on tissues, particularly the peritoneum, can be uncomfortable for the patient. The patient should be warned about these possibilities and be told that the operation may be slightly uncomfortable at times but should not be painful. Obviously careful patient selection, proper informed consent, and preoperative patient education are very important .Some sedation during the operation may be required for anxious patients which loses some of the benefits of avoiding other anesthetic techniques in higher risk patients. Patients who are excessively nervous may not be suitable for surgery with this technique or required sedation . Almost always, these patients can be identified during pre-operative interview, but we always consent our patients for regional and general techniques as backup anesthetic methods just in case.

Our study used local anaesthetic alone in 71 patients and additional sedation and/or opiate anaelgesic (pethedin) was needed in only 4 patients. This is also can be a useful alternative in patients who would prefer to have a general anaesthetic, but are not fit to undergo GA also can be used for cases with difficult operation e.g. irreducible hernia. The waiting times for this operation under LA are acceptable and this is of great value in the current climate of bed shortages special if done as day case surgery. The waiting times for operation under GA in most hospitals are the same and hence referral elsewhere to expedite surgery is not a realistic option. Our study illustrates that obesity in itself is not an absolute contra-indication for LA repair 8 out of 75 patients had a body mass index of more than 30. The advantages of day case surgery for groin hernia have been established at least two decades ago,(14) but these cases have all been carried out under general anaesthesia. There are a lot of recent reports for mesh repair of inguinal hernia under local anaesthesia.(15,16) The concept of dedicated day units is now here to stay and local anaesthetic repair of inguinal hernia in adults can easily be accomplished. Our result similar to that results from specialist centres have shown a very low incidence of chronic groin pain following a local anaesthetic inguinal hernia repair.(16,17).also high patient satisfaction.

In summary, we recommend this technique as the first line of treatment in high risk adults with inguinal hernia. Obviously the patient will have to be counseled fully about the operation and anticipated course of recovery.

<u>Conclusions</u>: Repair of inguinal hernia under LA in high risk patient seems to be safe and feasible with an acceptable morbidity. Obesity in itself is not an absolute contraindication for LA and the waiting times are acceptable and can be done as day case surgery.

References

1. Courtney M., R. Daniel, B. Mark, Kenneth L., Hernias, Sabiston Textbook of surgery18th ed. mark, Michael, 2008: 1155-58.

2. R.C.G. Russell, Norman s. Williams, Christopher J.K. Bulstrode, Hernias, Umbilicus and Abdominal Wall, Baily & Love's Short Practice Of Surgery,24rd ed, London: Arnold, 2004, 1274-1281.

3. Sanjay P., Jones P., Woodward A., Inguinal hernia repair: are ASA grades 3 and 4 patients suitable for day case hernia repair? Hernia (2006) 10: 299–302

4. Sanjay P, and Woodward A, July Inguinal hernia repair: local or general anaesthesia?, Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2007; 89 (5): 497-503.

5. Kingsnorth A. Management of abdominal hernias, 3rd edn. London: Arnold, 2003; 1–105.

6. Ozgun H, Nil Kurt M, Kurt I, Cevikel MH. Comparison of local, spinal and general anaesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy Eur J Surg 2002;**168**: 455–9.

7. Gonullu NN, Cubukcu A. Comparison of local and general anesthesia in tension-free (Lichtenstein) hernioplasty: a prospective randomized trial. Hernia 2002; **6**: 29–32.

8. Lichtenstein IL. Hernia repair without disability. St Louis, MO: Ishiaku Euroamerica, 1987.

9. Gianetta E, De Cian F, Cuneo S. Hernia repair in elderly patients. Br J Surg 1997; **84**: 983–5.

10. The MRC Laparoscopic Groin Hernia Trial Group. Laparoscopy versus open repair of groin hernia: a randomised comparison. Lancet 1999; **354**: 185-90.

11. Wellwood J, Sculpher MJ, Stoker D, Nicholls GJ, Geddes C, Whitehead A et al. Randomised controlled trial of laparoscopic versus open mesh repair for inguinal hernias. BMJ 1998; **317**: 103-10.

12. Gianetta E, de Cian F, Cuneo S, Friedman D, Vitale B, Marinari G, et al. Hernia repair in elderly patients. Br J Surg 1997; 84:983-5.

13. Young DV. Comparison of local, spinal, and general anaesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy. Am J Surg 1987; 153:560-3.

14. Goulbourne IA, Ruckley CV. Operations for hernia and varicose veins in a day-bed unit. Br Med J 1979;2:712-4.

15. Ozgun H, Kurt MN, Kurt I, Cevikel MH. Comparison of local, spinal, and general anaesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy. Eur J Surg, 2002;168:455-9.

16. Callesen T, Bech T, Kehlet H. Feasibility of local infiltration anaesthesia for recurrent groin hernia repair. Eur J Surg 2001;167:851-4.

17. Chang FC, Farha GJ. Inguinal herniorrhaphy under local anaesthesia. A prospective study of 100 consecutive patients with emphasis of perioperative morbidity and patient acceptance. Ar ch Surg, 1977; 112:1069-71.