# Hormonal and Immunological Disturbances in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis and Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Numman Hamed. Salih\*, Majid Mohammed. Mahmood Al-Jewari\*\*, Khalil Ismail.Abid. Mohammed\*\*\*

## **ABSTRACT:**

**BACKGROUND:** 

Rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus are multifactorial autoimmune diseases. Some recent reports indicated a hormonal disturbances affected the balance between Th1 and Th2 lymphocyte response.

**OBJECTIVES:** 

To investigate the immunological and hormonal disturbance in patient with *rheumatoid arthritis* (RA) and *systemic lupus erythematosus* (SLE).

**METHODS:** 

Serum samples, were collected from patients with RA, SLE and control, then the tests for antinuclear antibodies, anti double strand DNA, anticardiolipin antibodies are done by using Enzyme linked immuno assay (ELISA) method. Also, hormonal studies including estrogen, progesterone and prolactin level are done by using the Radio immunoassay technique (RIA). **RESULTS:** 

The results indicated the increasing of ANA, anti ds-DNA, and anticardiolipin antibodies. Also, elevation in the levels of estrogen, progesterone and prolactin in patients with RA and SLE comparing with control.

**CONCLUSION:** 

Immunological and hormonal disturbances in patients with RA and SLE were documented through through the increasing of ANA, anti dsDNA anticardiolipin antibodies and elevation of the level of estrogen, progesterone, and prolactin.

*KEW WORDS:* rheumatoid arthritis , systemic lupus erythematosus, ana, anti dsdna , progesterone , estrogen, prolactin.

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) are multifactional auto immune diseases that originate from patient's excessive immune and inflammatory response to a pathogenic agent that is, an infective antigens<sup>(1)</sup>. patho-physiological mechanisms The are activated after combination of several predisposing factors, which include the relations between histocompatability epitopes and epitopes of the pathogenic antigen. The altered status of the stress response system (hypothalamic pituitary - adrenocortical axis) and gondal hormone pattern (hypothalamic - pituitary -

\*\*\*Depth of Basic Science, College of Dentistry, Baghdad University gondal axis) with oestrogen principally implicated as inducer of the immune response, and androgen, progesterone as a natural suppressor's<sup>(2,3)</sup>.</sup>

Steroid hormones influence autoimmunity and may entail a further balance between Th1 and Th2 lymphocyte response<sup>(4)</sup>. The lymphocytes produce mainly interleukin-2 (IL-2) and interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) and are primarily responsible for cell mediated immunity, while Th2 lymphocyte produce mainly IL-4, IL-5, IL-13 and IL-10, and are responsible for humoral immunity<sup>(5)</sup>. These observation suggest that the cytokine secretion abnormalities may have a paramount importance in the triggering or maintenance a different pathophysiological mechanism and clinical aspects characterizing RA and SLE<sup>(6)</sup>. Increased concentration of steroids, as obtained after ovulation induction therapy, have been described to enhance a fatal exacerbation of the disease in several women

<sup>\*</sup>Depth of Biology, College of Science, Tikrit University

<sup>\*\*</sup>Depth of Biology, College of Science, Al-Mustansyria University

with SLE, the concomitant presence of antiphospholipid antibodies being considered a risk factor<sup>(7)</sup>.

In general, the clinical observation that hormones play a role in the immune response suggests that the endocrine system is an important factor for

the development and maintenance of that response, therefore, the hormonal and immunological abnormalities in patient with RA and SLE were evaluated.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

**Samples**: the study included (180) serum samples, were collected by taking blood from patient attending Baghdad Teaching Hospitals and Al-Falluja General Hospitals. The samples were taken from (89) patients with RA, and (51) patients with SLE while (40) samples of healthy peoples were collected as a control. All sera separated from the blood samples and were stored in freezer at -20°C until needed.

## **Immunological Study:**

Antinuclear Antibodies ANA Enzyme linked immuno asorbent assay for patients and control specimen were tested according to methods of Tan (1982)<sup>(8)</sup> the ANA Index Calculated using the following formula.

The ANA Index less than (1.0) considered negative or more than (1) considered as positive.

Anti double strand DNA. Anti ds DNA (ELISA method) for patients (or control) specimens were tested according to methods of (9). Then the result up to (20 IU/ml) considered as positive.

Anti cardiolipin antibodies class IgG, IgM (ELISA method). The test were done according (10) then the result up to 7 IU/ml consider as positive for IgG and IgM.

## **Hormonal Study:**

Determination of Estrogen level in serum:

The methods was done by using radio immunoassay technique (RIA), then the results considered as positive or negative as following according to (11).

- Males up to 55 pg/ml

- Female30 – 200 pg/ml in middle follicular phase 100-450 pg/ml in pre ovulantory phase 50-250 pg/ml in middle luteal phase

Less than 55 pg/ml post menopausal phase.

Determination of progesterone level in serum:

The method was done by using (RIA) technique, then the results considered as positive or negative as following according to (11).

Males  $0 - 0.6 \eta g/ml$ 

Femal  $0.1 - 0.2 \eta g/ml$  in middle follicular phase

 $2.5-29\ \eta g/ml$  in middle luteal phase

Determination of prolactin level in serum:

The method was done by using the RIA technique then the results considered positive or negative as following according to (11).

 $Males \quad 90-370 \ \mu IU/ml$ 

Females 130-700 µIU/ml

 $85-490 \ \mu IU/ml$  post menopausal phase.

## **Statistical Analysis:**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to compare the results according to Stites & Torri  $(1987)^{(12)}$ .

# **RESULTS:**

Estimation of ANA in patients of RA & SLE. The ANA titer in male patients with RA & SLE higher than control (P < 0.05) as shown in Table (1). Also, ANA titers in female patients with SLE, were higher but the difference was statistically non significant with RA patients (P>0.05). The sensitivity and specificity test (Table 2) revealed the specificity and sensitivity with female more than male for RA and SLE.

Estimation of anti ds-DNA antibodies in patients with RA & SLE.

The anti-ds DNA antibodies titers in male and female patients with SLE & RA were higher than control (P<0.05) Table (3). Also, the sensitivity, specificity tests for female with RA & SLE more than males Table (4).

Estimation of anticardiolipin – antibodies class (IgG, IgM) in RA, SLE.

The anticardiolipin antibody (ACA) (class IgG) level in male patients with RA & SLE were not differe with control (P>0.05), Table (5) while the ACA in female patients were higher in patients with RA but the difference was non significant when compared with control.

Also, the difference of ACA (class IgM) in male & female patients with SLE & RA were statistically non difference (P > 0.05) compared with control (Table-6), significant sensitivity in females reach to (47.5%) in RA patients and reached 37.2% in male patient with SLE.

Determination of Estrogen Level in  $\rho g/ml$  in RA, SLE;

Estrogen level increased (P < 0.05) in patients with SLE & RA compared with control. The levels of hormones were (46.96  $\rho$ g/ml) in male patients with RA and 25.88  $\rho$ g/ml in male patient with SLE compared with control which reached (23.97  $\rho$ g/ml) (Table 8) while the level of hormones were (73.38 $\rho$ g/ml) and (98.66 $\rho$ g/ml) for female patients with RA & SLE respectively

compared with the control which were  $(40.93\rho g/ml)$ .

Determination of Progesterone level in  $\eta g/ml$  in patients with RA and SLE:

Progesterone level increased (P < 0.05) in patients with RA & SLE compared with control. The level in male patients (0.79) ng/ml, (0.82)

 $\eta$ g/ml for RA & SLE respectively compared with the control which were (0.07)  $\eta$ g/ml (Table 9) while the level of hormones in female patients were (1.73)  $\eta$ g/ml, (2.20)  $\eta$ g/ml in RA, SLE respectively compared with 0.5  $\eta$ g/ml for the control. Determination of Prolactin level / $\mu$ IU/ml in patients with RA and SLE:

Prolactin levels increased (P < 0.05) in patient with SLE & RA compared with the control, the levels were in male patients (228.97, 241.84)  $\mu$ IU/ml for RA & SLE respectively compared with the control which were (125.7)  $\mu$ IU/ml (Table 10). Also, the level of hormone were in female patients (295.70, 310.15)  $\mu$ IU/ml for RA & SLE respectively compared with the control which were (146.76)  $\mu$ IU/ml.

Table (1):	ANA-antibodies in	patients with	RA &	SLE.
------------	-------------------	---------------	------	------

Sex	ANA		Mean ± SD								
BEA	index	Control	No.	RA	No	SLE	No.				
Malaa	< 1	$0.3 \pm 0.23$	17	$0.44 \pm 0.04$	10	$0.58 \pm 0.07$	5				
Males	>1	$1.45 \pm 0.15$	2	$1.57\pm0.14$	19	$1.91 \pm 0.3$	11				
Esmalar	< 1	$0.27 \pm 0.03$	18	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	19	$0.31 \pm 0.04$	6				
Females	>1	$1.31\pm0.15$	3	$1.60\pm0.23$	41	$2.01^{*} \pm 0.29$	29				

\* P < 0.05 statistically significant

Table (2): Specificity and sensitivity of ANA antibodies in serum of patients with RA, SLE.

Disease	Sex	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
RA	Males	65.5	89.5
KA	Females	68.5	85.7
SLE	Males	68.75	89.5
SLE	Females	82.9	85.7

Table (3): Anti ds-DNA antibodies in serum of patients with RA & SLE.

Sex	Anti ds-DNA		Mean ± SD							
Sex	IU/ml	Control	No.	RA	No	SLE	No.			
Males	< 20	$6.07 \pm 1.26$	19	$8.84 \pm 1.2$	24	$9.82 \pm 1.8$	4			
Males	> 20			$41.77 \pm 8.7$	5	$114.2 \pm 29.7$	12			
Famalas	< 20	$5.71 \pm 1.0$	20	$6.2^{*} \pm 0.87$	46	$12.8 \pm 0.8$	6			
Females	> 20	23.68	1	$48^{*} \pm 6.6$	14	$80.2^{*} \pm 14.4$	29			

\* P < 0.05 statistically significant

Table (4): Specificity and sensitivity of anti ds-DNA antibodies in serum of patients with RA & SLE.

Disease	Sex	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
DA	Males	17.24	100
RA	Females	23.3	4.7
SLE	Males	75	100
SLE	Females	82.8	4.7

### IMMUNOLOGICAL DISTURBANCES

Sex	ACA level IU/ml			Mean ± SI	)		
Sex	ACA level 10/111	Control	No.	RA	No	SLE	No.
Males	< 10	$0.99 \pm 0.2$	19	$0.93 \pm 0.2$	29	$1.38 \pm 0.6$	16
Males	> 10						
Females	< 10	$0.38 \pm 0.13$	21	$0.88^{*} \pm 0.18$	33	$0.46 \pm 0.11$	35
remaies	> 10			$13.04^* \pm 1.31$	27		

Table (5): Anti Cardiolipin antibodies (ACA) class IgG in serum of patients with RA & SLE.

\*  $P \le 0.05$  statistically significant.

## Table (6): Anticardiolipin antibodies ACA class IgM in serum of patients of RA & SLE.

Sex	ACA IU/ml	Mean ± SD							
Sex	ACA IU/III	Control	No.	RA	No	SLE	No.		
Males	< 7	$4.88 \pm 0.37$	15	$5.63 \pm 0.41$	14	$4.35 \pm 0.84$	4		
Males	> 7	$10.41 \pm 1.65$	4	$10.11 \pm 1.46$	15	$9.12 \pm 0.79$	12		
Females	< 7	$5.24 \pm 0.29$	10	$5.36 \pm 0.25$	30	$4.89 \pm 0.41$	12		
remaies	> 7	$8.83 \pm 0.36$	11	$11.47 \pm 2.31$	30	$8.43 \pm 0.61$	23		

Disease	Sex	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
RA	Males	25.86	10.53
KA	Females	47.5	26.19
SLE	Males	37.5	10.53
SLE	Females	32.86	26.19

#### Table (8): Estrogen level in pg/ml in serum patients of RA & SLE

Sex	Sor	Mean ± SD									
	Sex	Control	No.	RA	No	SLE	No.				
	Males	23.97 ± 4.29	19	46.96 <sup>**</sup> ± 5.43	29	$25.88 \pm 3.01$	16				
	Females	$40.93 \pm 4.56$	21	$73.38^{*} \pm 14.21$	60	$98.66^* \pm 21.17$	35				

\* P < 0.05 statistically significant

Table (9): Progesterone level  $\eta g/ml$  in serum patients of RA & SLE.

Sex	Mean ± SD									
	Control	No.	RA	No	SLE	No.				
Males	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	19	$0.79^{*} \pm 0.22$	29	$0.82^{*} \pm 0.37$	16				
Females	$0.54\pm0.16$	21	$1.73^{**} \pm 0.41$	60	$2.20^{**} \pm 1.01$	35				

\* P < 0.05 statistically significant.

# Table (10): Prolactin level / $\mu IU/ml$ in serum patients with RA & SLE

Sex	Mean ± SD										
Sex	Control No. RA	RA	No	SLE	No.						
Males	$125.7\pm9.62$	19	$228.9^{*} \pm 35.58$	29	$^{*}241.84 \pm 49.25$	16					
Female	$146.7\pm25.18$	21	$^{*}295.7 \pm 44.78$	60	$310.15^{*} \pm 56.89$	35					
D < 0.05	statistically sign	: C:									

<sup>\*</sup> P < 0.05 statistically significant.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The antinuclear antibody test used to screen for the presence of autoantibodies in human serum as an aid in the diagnosis of certain rheumatic disease, therefore the ANA are increased (Table 1) in patient with RA & SLE. The results were consistent with Stenier, Smolon, 2002<sup>(14)</sup> when demonstrated that the ANA. was Positive in 50% of RA, and 90% with SLE patients and with rare precent in healthy control. Also, the sensitivity of ANA in female(Table 2) which were 68.3%, 82.9% in RA & SLE more than in male patients are consistent with Whitecare,  $(2001)^{(15)}$ , when demonstrated that the female animal laboratory produce a high titers of antibodies after immunization or infections with pathogens. Antibodies to dsDNA in patients serum are increased (Table-3) comparing with the control. The results were consistent with Giasuddin et al., (1991)<sup>(16)</sup> when demonstrated that the anti ds-DNA antibodies are found in 85.3% of patients with SLE. The high levels of antibodies with SLE exhibit a positive correlation to the severity of the disease. Also, the sensitivity of anti ds-DNA in patients with RA, SLE reach 23.3%, 82.86% for females respeactively and 17.24%, 75% for male respeactively, the result, consistent with Tzioufas et al. (1987)<sup>(17)</sup> when demonstrated the sensitivity reach 91% and specificity reach 96% to the disease. In a general most autoantibodies to ds-DNA are directed against the phosphate unit of the DNA, Thus, these autoantibodies also bind to DNA single strand, while the autoantibodies against single strand DNA are mainly directed against the basic compound which in the native DNA masked inside the helical structure.

The anticardiolipin antibodies in patients serum are increased (Table 5, 6) for IgM and IgG, the results indicated the level increased with the severity of RA and consistent with Grommica et al., (1990)<sup>(18)</sup>. Also, the sensitivity of anticardiolipin antibodies (Table - 7) reach 47.5%, 32.86% for RA, SLE female patients respeactively and 25.86%, 37.5% for RA, SLE patients respeactively. The results male consistent with Lovea Santoro (1990)<sup>(19)</sup> when demonstrated the sensitivity reach 50% of patient with SLE. In a general the presence of anticardiolipin antibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus can be related to the development of thrombosis, thrombocytopenia, fetal loss and some neurological disorders<sup>(7)</sup>.

Estrogen level increased (P < 0.05) in patients with RA & SLE comparing with the control (Table–8), the result consistent with Salem et

al.,<sup>(20)</sup> when demonstrated the high level of estrogen enhance the progressing of RA. New explanation for the different mechanism involved that ostrogen stimulate synovial cells to proliferate and increasing synovial fluid in RA patient. On the basis of these driven mechanisms the gradual decline of oestrogen around menopause might explain the high incidence of RA at that time, with mechanism similar to those realized in the postpartum period. Also, the same hormonal change might explain the high incidence of SLE observed during a fertile ages menopause<sup>(21)</sup>. and the decline around Progesterone level increased (P < 0.05) in patient with RA & SLE the role of progesterone with autoimmune disease unclear currently, but the disturbance in the regulation of progesterone and estrogen develop the severity of autoimmune disease like RA & SLE. especially the hormones that increase the IL-4 production (Th2-type cytokine) by human T-cells<sup>(22)</sup>. Prolactin level increased (P<0.05) in patients with RA & SLE, the results consistent with Blanco - Favela et al., (1999)<sup>(23)</sup> when demonstrated the elevation of hyperprolactemia with autoimmune disease like RA & SLE but the current mechanism was not clearly understood. Possible mechanism involved increased the sensitivity to the hormones (24)-.

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The results illustrated the Immunological and hormonal disturbances in patients with *Rheumatoid arthritis* and *systemic lupus Erythematosus* were documented through the increasing of ANA, anti dsDNA, anti cardiolipin anti bodies and the elevation of the level of estrogen, progesterone and prolactin.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Masi, A.T.; Feigenbaum, SL.; Chatteron, R.T. *et al.* Integrated hormonal – immunological vascular "H-I-V Traid. System interactions in rheumatic disease. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* 1995; 13,203-216.
- **2.** Harris, E.J. *Rheumatoid arthritis*. Pathophysiology and implication for therapy. *N. Eng. J. Med.* 1990; 322,1277-89.
- 3. Lahita, R.G.; Bradlow, H.L.; Ginzler, E Bang, S. Low plasma androgens in women with systemic Lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 1987; 30, 241-248.
- 4. Fox, DA The role of the T-cells in the immunopathogensis of *Rheumatoid arthritis*. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1997; 40, 598-609.

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAI 69

- 5. Mosman, T.R. & Sad, S. The expanding universe of T-cell subsets: Th1-Th2 and more. *Immunol. Today* 1996; 17, 138-146.
- 6. Simon, A.K.; Seiplet E. & Sieper, J. Divergent T-cell cytokine pattern in inflammatory arthritis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1994; 91, 8562-8566.
- Casoli, P.; Tumiati, B. Lasala, G. Fatal exacerbation of SLE after induction of ovulation. J. Rheumatol. 1997; 24: 1639-1640.
- Tun, E.M. Autoantibodies to Nuclear antigens (ANA): The immunobiology and Medicine. Adv. Immunol. 1982; 33, 167-240.
- **9.** Okamura, M. Significance of enzyme linked Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for antibodies to double stranded and single stranded DNA in patients with lupus nephritis : correlation with severity of renal histology. *Annals. Of Rheumatic Disease* 1993; 52,14-20.
- Khalili, A. and Cooper, R.C. A study of immune responses to Mylein and cardiolipin in patients with systemic Lupus erythematosus (SLE). Clinical and experimental immunology 1991; 85,365-372.
- Foye, W.O.; Lemke, T.L. & William, D.A. Principles of Medicine of Chemistry (1995). 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. William & Amp. Publisher.
- **12.** Steel, R.G.D. and Torri, J.H. Principles procedures of statistics; (1980); 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Mc-Graw Hill.
- **13.** Schulster, D.; Gaines, D.R. and Jeffroute, S.L. International standards for human prolactin: Calibration by international collaborative study. *J. Endocrinol.* 1989; 121, 157-166.
- 14. Steiner, G. & Smolen, J. Autoantibodies in *Rheumatoid arthritis* and their clinical significance . *Arth. Res.* 2002; 4: 51-55.
- **15.** Whitcare, C.C. Sex differences in autoimmune disease. Nature *Immunology* 2001; 2, 776-780.

- Giasuddin, A.S.; Shaafie, I.A.; Khazi, MN & Ziu, M.M. Systemic lupus erythematosus in Libyans . J. Islamic Acad. Sci. 1991; 4, 260-264.
- **17.** Tzioufas, A.G.; Manoussakis, MM; Drosos, AA; *et al* Enzyme Immunoassay for the detection of IgG and IgM anti ds-DNA antibodies: Clinical significance and specificity . *Clinc. Exp. Rheumatol.* 1987; 5, 247-253.
- **18.** Gromnica, I.E.; Schossler, W.; Franz, J.; *et al.* Antibodies to anticoagulants in rheumatic autoimmune disease Folia Haematol. 1990; 117, 549-556.
- **19.** Love, P.E. and Santoro, S.A. Antiphospholipid Antibodies acl and the LA in SLE and in non SLE Disorders prevalence and significance. *Ann. Inter. Med.* 19900; 122: 692-698.
- **20.** Salem, M.L.; Hassain, M.S. & Namato, K. Mediation of the Immunomodulatory Effect of Beta-Estradiol Inflammatory Response by their Gene Expression of TNF-γ. *Int. Arch. Allerg. Immunol.* 2000; 121, 235-245.
- **21.** Bruce, IN; Laskin, C.A. Sex hormones in *Systemic lupus erythematosus*: a controversy for modern times. *J. Rheumatol.* 1997; 24: 1461-1463.
- 22. PAN. Autoimmune Disease and Progesterone. Progesteron Advances Network (1999); Internet Address: http://www.progest. net.org.
- **23.** Blanco-Farela, F.; Quintal-Alvarez, G. & Leanos Miranda, A. Association between prolactin and disease activity in *Systemic lupus eythematosus* 1999; 26, 55-59.
- 24. Bole-Feysott, C.; Goffinl, V.; Edery, M.; *et al*. Prolactin (PPL) and its receptors: Action, signal translocation pathways and phenotypes observed in PRL receptors knockout mice. *Endocrine Reivws* 1998; 19, 225-268.