Cellular Immune Responses Before and During S2 – Complex Immunotherapy in Head and Neck Cancer Patients

Batool Mutar Mahdi*, Mohammad Abdulla Mohammad**

ABSTRACT:

BACKGROUND:

Host immune response especially cell mediated immunity play an important role in the origin and progression of head and neck cancer.

METHODS:

A total of 63 terminal untreatable stage IV head and neck cancer patients were investigated for cellular immune response before and during S2- complex (synthetic -2) immunotherapy from 1992-1994 in Iraqi Medical Collage – Al-Nahrain University. Cellular immunity were investigated including leukocytes, lymphocytes, T and B lymphocytes and T lymphocytes subsets CD4+, CD8+ and HLA-DR + cells using monoclonal antibodies by indirect immunoflourescense test. Their results were compared with twenty normal apparently healthy individuals.

RESULTS:

The mean total leukocytes and neutrophil numbers were significantly higher in head and neck cancer (HNC) patients while lymphocytes numbers (T and B) were significantly low. Lymphocytes subsets showed significantly decreased in CD4 % and CD8% not affected. CD4/CD8 ratio was lower than normal. HLA-DR + cells were higher in HNC patients. S2-complex administration showed increased in T and B cells on individual basis , increased CD4 , CD8, HLA-DR+ cells and CD4/CD8 ratio. **CONCLUSION:**

Immunotherapy acts as an adjuvant to other modalities of treatment without affecting normal human cells. S2-complex induced normalization of peripheral lymphocytes and cause immunorestoration of immunologic function *in vivo*.

KEY WORDS: head and neck cancer, immunotherapy.

INTRODUCTION:

Host immune responses may play an important role in the origin and progression of head and neck cancer. Studies in the early 1970s revealed a depressed cell mediated immune responses to coetaneous antigenic stimulus skin testing (1) and impaired reactivity with an early tumor recurrence after surgery ⁽²⁾. Reports indicate that host immune defence mechanisms in human cancer are closely associated with the pathogenesis of the disease ⁽³⁾.This concept is supported by the sporadic cases of head and neck sequmaous cell carcinoma developed in young renal transplant patients as well as immune suppressed young individuals⁽⁴⁾. The main factors causing the deficient cellular immune response in tumor patients are the impairment in the production of cytokines that may be related to quantitative and qualitative alterations in lymphocytes subsets^(5,6).

At the present time, the treatment of disseminated cancers relies on chemotherapy and irradiation, both of which have devastating effects on normal non tumor tissues ⁽⁷⁾. Because the immune response is highly specific, it has long been hoped that tumorspecific immunity may be used to selectively eradicate tumors without injury the patient ⁽⁸⁾. Immunotherapy remains a major goal of tumor immunologists. The main strategies for cancer immunotherapy aim to provide antitumor effectors (antibodies and T-cells) to patients by stimulating the patient's own antitumor immune responses by using biological response modifiers like cytokines (IL-2), interferon, levamisole and monoclonal antibodies against various tumor antigens⁽⁹⁾. S2-complex (synthetic-2) is one of a new immune therapy used in this study. It is a low molecular weight synthetic organometalic complex (Iraqi classification No.6, International classification No.61 k). It is submitted to the insurance of innocence of invention No.2836 in 15/1/1992. It is used in the treatment of advanced stages of head and neck cancer (HNC) patients in Al-Kadhemia teaching hospital/ oncology unit, Iraqi

^{*}Department of Microbiology, Al-Kindy College of Medicine

^{**}Department of Microbiology, Iraqi Medical College

medical collage –Al-Naharein University ⁽¹⁰⁾. So we studied the cellular immune response before and during S2-complex immunotherapy in head and neck cancer patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

***PATIENTS GROUP:** Sixty three patients with advanced head and neck sequamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), most of them failed the conventional forms of therapy (surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy) and referred to Oncology Unit in Al-Kadhemya Teaching Hospital- Iraqi Medical College /Al-Nahrain University from year 1992 to 1994 for immunotherapy with S2-complex (0.1-0.5 mg/ kg body weight) for five consecutive days and then once at weekly interval for 6 months. Their aged ranged (13-79 ys.) Male to female ratio was 51/12. Most of them were heavy smokers. They had carcinoma of different sites in the head and neck area (larynx, hypopharaynx, parotid and maxillary sinus ...etc.). * Control group: Consisted from twenty healthy individuals. Their age ranged (23-73ys.). All of them were apparently in good general conditions, not smokers and did not take any medication.

***METHODS:** Venous blood was collected from two groups and lymphocytes were separated from the blood ⁽¹¹⁾. T-lymphocytes and B-cells were estimated ⁽¹²⁾. Identification of lymphocytes subsets (CD4, CD8 and HLA-DR + T lymphocytes were counted using monoclonal antibodies ⁽¹³⁾.Lastly, Leukocytes and neutrophils were also determined.

***STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** Data was expressed as mean +- standard error mean. Student's t-test was used to find the statistical significance.

RESULTS:

The total mean leukocytes and neutrophils numbers were significantly higher in HNC patients while lymphocytes numbers were significantly lower. Administration of S2-complex did not affect short or long term alteration in above parameters (table-1-). Total T and B lymphocytes number were significantly lower than control group and administration of S2-complexinduced increase in the numbers of these cells after 2 weeks and maintain a state of normalization of these parameters (table-1-). Assessment of lymphocytes response on individual patient's basis showed decrease in the number of patients with lower count (table-2-). Lymphocyte subsets showed that CD4% was significantly reduced and CD8% was not significantly different from the control group. CD4/CD8 ratio was slightly higher in control group. S2-complex injection caused a short and long term increase in CD8 and HLA-DR+ cells. The CD4/CD8 ratio showed an increase in its number as compared with control group (Table-3-). CD4 % increased in its level that was after 6 months showed statistically indifferent from control group.

Table 1:Total Leukocytes, Neutrophil, Total Lymphocytes, Total T-Lymphocytes And B-Cells Counts In Head And Neck Cancer Patients Before And During S2-Complex Treatment And In Healthy Controls

Tests done	Control No.=20	Before No.=63	After 2 ws. No.=51	1 m. No.= 45	2ms. No.=32	3ms. No.=24	4ms. No.=22	5ms. No.=15	6ms. No.=15	Mean from 2ws6ms. After treatment
Total	7635	9457.1	9332.3	9488.8	8718.7	10004.1	9556.04	8457.1	9306.6	9266.2
leukocyte	+-	+-	+-1400.07	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	
No. /mm3	530	367.04		451.4	663.1	1025.9	1065.9	847.3	971.4	
X-+SEM										
p-value	1	1	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Neutrophil	61.7	72.3	70.2	72.5	72.09	71.4	71.04	68.2	71.2	70.9
% X-+SEM	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	
	2.1	1.5	1.8	1.5	2.1	2.4	2.3	3.3	2.5	
p-value	6,5,4,3,	2	3	4	5	6	7	N.S.	8	
	2,8,7									
Neutrophil	4844.1	6837.3	6783.9	7107	6440.09	7444.08	7056.3	5971.8	6910.3	6816.3
No. /mm3	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	
X-+SEM	527.01	353.01	476.6	434.9	596.1	1023.2	979.9	781.9	930.8	
p-value	19,20	19	N.S.	20	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Total	2361.7	1849.8	1922.3	1860.6	1898	1964.04	1915.05	1876.8	1896.2	1904.7
lymphocyte	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	
s No. /mm3	155.2	98.8	94.2	97.06	170.8	180.9	201.1	226.04	136.8	
X-+SEM										
p-value	9	9	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Total T-	1180.3	603.2	784.7	764.8	781.07	719.03	755.06	796.4	840.3	777.3
lymphocyte	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	

IMMUNOTHERAPY IN HEAD AND NECK CANCER

s No. /mm3	102.5	57.9	47.8	49.4	65.5	88.5	79.2	96.9	75.8	
X-+SEM										
p-value	14,13,	10	12	13	14	15	16	N.S.	N.S.	
	12,10,									
	16,15									
B-	119.8	59.1	72.5	78.08	81.3	78.2	87.8	98.1	88.2	84.4
lymphocyte	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	
s No. /mm3	12.7	4.2	6.04	6.8	13.6	9.5	9.7	17.3	15.2	
X-+SEM										
p-value	18,17,	11	17	18	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
-	11									

X=mean, SEM=standared error mean, NS= not significant.

19,18,9,8,7,65,3,1=P<0.02, 13,4,2=P<0.005, 20,17,16,15,14,12=P<0.01, 10,11=P>0.001Normal healthy values: WBC=4.0-11.0X10³/mm³ L%=20-45% Total lymphocytes count=1500-400/mm³ N%=40-75% Total neutrophil count=200-7500/mm³ Total T-cells=46-70% Total T cells count =700-2800/mm³ B-cells=5-20%

B cells number=75-800/mm³

Table 2: Number and percent of head and neck cancer (HNC) patients with depressed total lymphocytic count, total T and B lymphocyte counts before and during S2-complex treatment.

Number of patients with depressed	before	2ws. After treatment	1m.	2ms.	3ms.	4ms.	5ms.	6ms.
Total	17/63	10/51	8/45	5/32	3/24	3/22	3/15	1/15
lymphocytic count	26.9%	19.6%	17.7%	15.6%	12.5%	13.6%	20%	6.6%
T-lymphocytes	28/63	12/51	7/45	4/32	4/24	3/22	2/15	1/15
Number	44.4%	23.5%	15.5%	12.5%	16.6%	13.6%	13.3%	6.6%
B -lymphocytes	41/63	21/51	18/45	14/32	7/24	6/22	5/15	5/15
number	65%	41.1%	40%	43.7%	29.1%	27.2%	33.3%	33.3%

Table 3: Mean percent of CD4, CD8, CD4/CD8 ratio and HLA-DR (Ia) positive cells in head and neck cancer patients before and during S2-complex treatment and in healthy controls.

Tests done	Control No.=20	Before No.=63	After 2ws.	1m. No.=45	2ms. No.=32	3ms. No.=24	4ms. No.=22	5ms. No=15	6ms. No.=15
			No.=51						
CD4%	44.3	31.6	33.7	32.1	27.5	35.2	42.6	37.1	37.8
X+-SEM	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-
	3.7	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.09	3.6	4.07	3.7	5.03
P-value	2,1	1	N.S.	N.S.	3,2	N.S.	3	N.S.	N.S.
CD8%	26	29.3	37.9	41.3	35.06	48.2	48.04	44.2	43.8
X+-SEM	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-
	5	1.8	2.2	2.9	2.8	3.08	3.4	4.2	4.9
P-value	5,4	8,9,7,6,	6	7	13,12	12,8,4	13,9,5	10	11
		11,10							
CD4/CD8	1.5	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
X+-SEM	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-
	0.06	0.1	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05
P-value	17,16,15,	24,22,	15	22,16	17	23,18	19	20	24,21
	14,21,20,	14,							

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAL 256

IMMUNOTHERAPY IN HEAD AND NECK CANCER

	19,18	23							
HLA-DR	16	27.6	35.8	37.8	33.4	44.9	44.9	37	44.1
Positive	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-	+-
cells X+-	5	2.04	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.8	3.3	3.07	5.1
SEM									
P-value	27,26,25,	34,33,32,	26	32,28	36,25	36,33,30	34,31	27	35,29
	30,29,28,	35							
	31								

36,35,32,25,24,13,12,11,6,1=P<0.02 27,23,14,10,7,5,3=P<0.01 29,28,26,22,4,2=P<0.005 33,31,30,21,20,19,18,17,16,15,9,8=P>0.001 34=P<0.001 **Normal values:**

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \text{CDHar values.} \\ \text{CD4} + = 44 + -6 \\ \text{CD8} + = 26 + -5 \\ \text{CD4/CD8} = 1.6 + -0.7 \\ \text{HLA-DR(Ia)} + \text{cells} = 16 + -5 \\ \hline \text{DISSCUSION.} \end{array}$

DISSCUSION:

It has often been speculated that host immune defense mechanisms were important in the development and progression of human malignancies. Current evidences suggest that human malignancies contain specific antigens and that the major part of the host's response against tumor. Our study showed impairment in cell mediated immunity in HNC patients. This was in agreement with other reports ^(2, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19) involving delayed hypersensitivity skin response, T-lymphocytes level and lymphocytes reactivity to mitogens. Although various immune responses can be generated to tumor cells, the response was not sufficient to prevent tumor growth. One approach to cancer treatment is to augment or supplement these natural defense mechanisms^(20,21). This study demonstrate that administration of immunotherapy S2-comlex to the HNC patients , induced normalization of the peripheral total T and B cells, their values remained significantly lower than those of the age-matched controls after short and long-term of treatment. Individual patients based analysis indicated that small number of patients remained with decreased counts of T and B cells. Another finding was slightly decreased CD4/CD8 ratio, significant decrease in CD4, increased in CD8 and HLA-DR+ cells. Our results were in agreement with other studies (22, 23, and

^{24).} After administration of S2-complex, it was able to correct the altered T, B cells and CD4% cells. It was lead to the inversion of CD4/CD8 ratio and significant stimulation of CD8 and HLA-DR+ cells. *In vitro*, studies showed that S2-complex stimulated lymphocytes and macrophages ⁽²⁵⁾. These results showed that S2-complex caused immunorestoration

of immunologic function *in vivo* too. The effects of S2 –complex in enhancement of CD4, CD8, HLA-DR+ cells and inversion of CD4/CD8 ratio due to high level of CD8 cells over those of CD4 subsets. These results provide a new insight for the mechanisms of S2-complex immunomodulating activities in HNC patients.

CONCLUSION:

Immunotherapy acts as an adjuvant to other modalities of treatment without affecting normal human cells. S2-complex induced normalization of peripheral lymphocytes and cause immunorestoration of immunologic function *in vivo*.

RECOMMENDATION:

S2-complex can be considered as one method for immunotherapy and as an adjuvant with other types of HNC treatment.

REFRENCES:

- 1. Lundy J, Wanebo H, Pinsky C, Strong E and Oettgen H. Delayed hypersensitivity reaction in patients with squamous cell cancer of the head and neck. Am.J.Surg. 1974; 128,530-533.
- **2.** Eilber FR, Morton DL and Ketcham AS. Immunologic abnormalities in head and neck cancer.Am.J.Surg. 1974; 128,534-537.
- **3.** Harris J, Sengar D, Stewart T and Hyslop D. The effect of immunosuppressive chemotherapy on immune function in patients with malignant disease. Cancer. 1976; 37,1058-1069.
- **4.** Lee YW and Gisser SD. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue in a nine year renal transplant survivior. Cancer. 1978; 41,1-6
- 5. Robbins DS and Fudenberg HH. Human lymphocyte subpopulation in metastasis

neoplasia (6 years later).N.Engl.J.Med. 1983; 308,1595-1597.

- 6. Wolf GT, Lovett EJ, Peterson KA, Beauchamp ML and Baker SR. Lymphokine production and lymphocyte subpopulations in patients with head and neck squamous carcinoma.Arch.Otolar.Head neck Surg.1984; 110,731-735.
- Carter SK, Bakowski MT and Hellmann K. Chemotherapy of cancer .3rd .ed. 1987.Pp.194-200.Wiely Medical Publication, New York.
- **8.** Oppenheimer SB. Cancer-A biological and clinical Introduction.2nd.ed. 1985; 128-136.Jones and Barlett Publishers, Boston.
- **9.** Abbas Ak and Lichtman AH. Basic Immunology.2nd.ed. 2004,177-192. Sunders, Philadelphia.
- Hamash MH, Rassam MB, Mohammad MA, El-Sammerraie FT and Al-Salihi AR. S2-complex as a new agent in immunotherapy: Introduction. In "Biotherapy of cancer and S2-complex as a new immunomodulator".Hamash MH ed. 1991,1-10.Iraqi medical collage of medicine, Baghdad.
- **11.** Boyum A. Isolation of mononuclear cells and granulocytes from human blood.Scand.J.Clin.Lab.Invest. 1968; 21:77-89.
- Winchester RJ and Ross GD. Methods for enumerating cell populations by surface markers with conventional microscopy. In" Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology".3rd.ed. (Ross Nr, Friedman H and Fahey JL ed.). 1986,212-225.American Society for Microbiology, Washington.
- **13.** Hsu MM and Lin BL. Characteristic of T cells subsets using monoclonal antibodies in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patient's .Ann.Otol.Rhinol.Laryngol.1986. 95,298-301.
- **14.** Eilber FR and Morton DL. Impaired immunologic reactivity and recurrence following cancer surgery.Cancer.1970;25,362-367.
- 15. Maisel RH and Ogura JH. Dinitrochlorobenzene skin sensitization and peripheral lymphocytes count: predictors of survival in head and neck cancer.Ann.Otol.Rhinol.Laryngol.1976. 85:516-522.
- **16.** Wanebo HJ, Jun MY, Strong E and Oettgen HFT cell deficiency in patients with squamous cell

cancer of the head and neck.Am.J.Surg. 1975;130,445-451.

- **17.** Twomey PT, Catolona WJ and Chretein BP.Cellular immunity in cured cancer patients.Cancer.1974; 33,435-440.
- **18.** Balm FJM, Drexhage HA, Von Blomberg ME and Snow GB. Mononuclear phagocyte function in head and neck cancer: NBT-dye reduction, maturation and migration of peripheral blood monocytes.Laryngoscope.1982; 92,810-814.
- **19.** Wolf GT, Amendola BE, Diaz R, Lovett EJ, Hammerschmidt RM and Peterson KA. Definite adjuvant radiotherapy: comparative effects on lymphocyte subpopulations in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Arch.Otolar.Head neck Surg.1985; 111,716-726.
- **20.** Goldsby RA, Kindt TJ and Osborne BA. Kuby Immunology. 5th ed. 2003;489-522. Freeman, New York.
- **21.** Levinson W. Medical microbiology and immunology. 8th ed.2004;461-462.Lange medical books/ McGrand Hill, New York.
- **22.** Johnson JT, Rabin BS, Hirsch B and Thearle PB. T cell subpopulations in head and neck cancer . Otolaryngol.Head Neck Surg .1884; 92,381-385.
- **23.** Wolf GT, Schmaltz S, Hudson J, Robson H, Stackhouse T, Peterson KA, Poor JA and McClatchey KD. Alterations in T lymphocytes subpopulations in patients with head and neck cancer.Arch.Otola.Head Neck Surg. 1987;113,1200-1206.
- 24. Zeromski J, Pietrzak J, Szmeja Z, Jezewska E, Gorny MK and Kruk-Zagajewska A. Evaluation of phenotype of mononuclear host cells isolated from primary tumor and peripheral blood of patients with laryngeal carcinoma. Acta.Otolaryngol. 1988; 105,149-154.
- **25.** Mohammad MA, Hamash MH, El-Samerraie FT, Al-Salihi AR, Rassam, M and Waheed I. Cellular and humoral immune parameters in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck treated with S2-complex. In" Biotherapy of cancer and S2-complex as a new immunomodulator". (Hamash MH ed.).1991; 51-64. Iraqi medical college of Medicine, Baghdad.