

## The Open Mapping in Fuzzy $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity Space

Authors Names	Abstract
<p><i>Saad Mahdi Jaber<sup>a</sup></i>  <i>Marwah Yasir Mohsin<sup>b,*</sup></i></p> <p><b>Publication data:</b> 30 / 8 /2024</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> fuzzy set, fuzzy proximally space and fuzzy proximally mapping.</p>	<p>In this paper, we define fuzzy <math>\mathbb{K}</math>-proximally open mapping. The properties of this mapping and its relationship with other types of maps were also studied. It was also proven through fuzzy <math>\mathbb{K}</math>-proximally open mapping that a relation <math>\mathcal{S}</math> on the corresponding space is fuzzy <math>\mathbb{K}</math>-proximity.</p>

### 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh in his classical paper [1]. In 1974, Chang [2] defined fuzzy topology. In 1979, Katsaras [3] introduced the notion of fuzzy proximities, on the base of the axioms suggested by Efremovic [4].

### 2. Preliminaries

In this paper  $\mathbb{W}$  denote a nonempty set and  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  is the collection of all fuzzy set.  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  (or  $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ ) means a mapping  $f$  from a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space  $\mathbb{W}$  to a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space  $\mathbb{M}$ . For any two fuzzy sets  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}$  in  $\mathbb{W}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} \vee \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \wedge \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}$  defined as followings: For any  $a \in \mathbb{W}$ ,  $(\mathfrak{m} \vee \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m})(a) = \sup\{\mathfrak{m}(a), \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}(a)\}$  and  $(\mathfrak{m} \wedge \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m})(a) = \inf\{\mathfrak{m}(a), \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}(a)\}$ , respectively. And  $\mathfrak{m} \leq \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}$  if for each  $a \in \mathbb{W}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}(a) \leq \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}(a)$ .  $\mathfrak{m}^c$  is the complement of a fuzzy set  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $\mathbb{W}$  defined by  $\mathfrak{m}^c = 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ . The constant maps all of  $\mathbb{W}$  to 0 and 1 denoted by 0 and 1, respectively. A fuzzy point  $a_{\beta}$  in  $\mathbb{W}$  is a fuzzy set defined by  $a_{\beta} = 0$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{W}$  except one, called  $a \in \mathbb{W}$  such that  $a_{\beta} = \beta$ , where  $0 < \beta < 1$ , we say  $a_{\beta}$  belong to  $\mathfrak{m}$  denoted by  $a_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}$ , if  $\beta \leq \mathfrak{m}(a)$ , for any  $a \in \mathbb{W}$ . Evidently, every fuzzy set  $\mathfrak{m}$  can be expressed as the union of all the fuzzy points which belong to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . This paper was an attempt to give the most important properties of the fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximally open mapping.

**Definition 2.1 [2]:** A subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  is called fuzzy topology on  $\mathbb{W}$  if the following statements are complete:

- $0, 1 \in \mathcal{S}$ ;
- If  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m} \wedge \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{S}$ ;
- If  $\mathfrak{m}_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\sup_{i \in \Delta} \mathfrak{m}_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , for each  $i \in \Delta$ .

We say the pair  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  is a fuzzy topological space, of its for short.

**Definition 2.2 [3]:** A relation  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  is called a fuzzy proximity if the following statements are complete:

C1- If  $\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}$  then  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$ ;

C2-  $(\mathfrak{m} \vee \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}) \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{k}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{k}$  or  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{k}$ ;

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Pure Science, University of Wasit, Wasit, Iraq. Email: s.jaber@uowasit.edu.iq

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Directorate-General of Education of Wasit, Wasit, Iraq. Email: marwayasir91@gmail.com

C3- If  $\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$  then  $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ ;

C4- If  $\mathfrak{m} \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\exists \mathfrak{k} \in I^{\mathbb{W}} \ni \mathfrak{m} \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{k}$  and  $(1 - \mathfrak{k}) \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{m}$ ;

C5- If  $\mathfrak{m} \wedge \mathfrak{m} \neq 0$  then  $\mathfrak{m} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$ .

We say the pair  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  is a fuzzy proximity space.

**Definition 2.3 [6]:** A relation  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  is called a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity if the following statements are complete:

S1-  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S} (\mathfrak{m} \vee \mathfrak{m})$  if and only if  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$  or  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$ ;

S2-  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}} 0$  for all  $a_{\beta}$ ;

S3- If  $a_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}$  then  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$ ;

S4- If  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{m}$  then  $\exists \mathfrak{k} \in I^{\mathbb{W}} \ni a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{k}$  and  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $b_{\beta} \in (1 - \mathfrak{k})$ .

We say the pair  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  is a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space.

**Notes:**

1- Clear that the fuzzy proximity on  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  implies the fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$ .

2- The pairs  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  and  $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S})$  we mean in the next stage of this paper is the fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space.

**Definition 2.4 [6]:** A fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  is called discrete  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity, if we define  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $a_{\beta} \wedge \mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ .

**Definition 2.5:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  be a fuzzy proximity space. A fuzzy set  $\mathfrak{m} \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  is called  $\mathcal{FS}$ -closed if  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow a_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{m}$ .

**Definition 2.6:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  be a fuzzy proximity space, then the family  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}} = \{\mathfrak{m} \in I^{\mathbb{W}} : (1 - \mathfrak{m}) \text{ is } \mathcal{FS}\text{-closed}\}$  is called fuzzy topology induced by  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 2.7:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space and  $\mathfrak{m} \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$ , a  $\mathcal{S}$ -closure of  $\mathfrak{m}$  (briefly  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{S}}$ ) and  $\mathcal{S}$ -interior of  $\mathfrak{m}$  (briefly  $\mathfrak{m}^{o\mathcal{S}}$ ) are defined as:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{S}} = \bigwedge \{\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m} \leq \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \text{ is } \mathcal{FS}\text{-closed}\}$$

$$\mathfrak{m}^{o\mathcal{S}} = \bigvee \{\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m} \leq \mathfrak{m}, (1 - \mathfrak{m}) \text{ is } \mathcal{FS}\text{-closed}\}$$

**Theorem 2.7 [7]:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{T})$  be a fuzzy topological space and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a binary relation defined by  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $a_{\beta} \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{S}}$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  and the fuzzy topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}$  induced by  $\mathcal{S}$  is the given topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Definition 2.8 [7]:** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a fuzzy set in  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$ . Then we say that  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  are in the relation  $\ll$  and write  $\mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{m}$  if  $\mathfrak{m} \bar{\mathcal{S}} (1 - \mathfrak{m})$ .

**Proposition 2.9:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}})$  be a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space. If  $\mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\exists \mathfrak{k} \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{k} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}}(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ . So,  $\exists \mathfrak{k} \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}}(1 - \mathfrak{k})$  and  $\mathfrak{k}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}}(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ . So,  $\mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{k} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ .

**Definition 2.10 [7]:** A mapping  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is said to be fuzzy proximally mapping (FS-continuous) if  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}\mathfrak{m}$  implies  $f(a_{\beta})\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}f(\mathfrak{m})$ .

Equivalently,  $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  is said to be FS-continuous if  $b_{\beta}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}}\mathfrak{m}$  implies  $f^{-1}(b_{\beta})\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}}f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  or  $b_{\beta} \ll \mathfrak{m}$  implies  $f^{-1}(b_{\beta}) \ll f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

**Definition 2.11 [7]:** A fuzzy set  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S})$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -neighborhood of a fuzzy point  $a_{\beta}$  (in symbols  $a_{\beta} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ ) if  $a_{\beta}\bar{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ .

**Definition 2.12 [7]:** Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $\mathbb{W}$ , then we define  $\mathcal{S}_2 > \mathcal{S}_1$  if  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_1\mathfrak{m}$  implies  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_2\mathfrak{m}$  (we say that  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is finer than  $\mathcal{S}_2$  or  $\mathcal{S}_2$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{S}_1$ ).

### 3. Fuzzy $\mathbb{K}$ -proximities Open Mapping

**Definition 3.1:** A mapping  $f$  from  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}})$  into  $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is said to be fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity open (FS-open) if  $b_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}\mathfrak{m}$  implies  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ .

Equivalently,  $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  is said to be FS-open if  $a_{\beta}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}}\mathfrak{m}$  implies  $b_{\beta}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}}\mathfrak{m}$  or  $a_{\beta} \ll 1 - \mathfrak{m}$  implies  $b_{\beta} \ll 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ . where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2:** Let  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  be FS-open and injective mapping, then  $f$  is a fuzzy open with respect to the fuzzy topologies  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $b_{\beta} \in f((\mathfrak{m})^{o\mathcal{S}}) = f(1 - \overline{(1 - \mathfrak{m}^{\mathcal{S}})})$ , where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$  then  $b_{\beta} \in 1 - f(\overline{1 - \mathfrak{m}^{\mathcal{S}}})$  i.e.  $b_{\beta} \notin f(\overline{1 - \mathfrak{m}^{\mathcal{S}}})$ , thus  $a_{\beta} \notin \overline{(1 - \mathfrak{m}^{\mathcal{S}})}$  and  $a_{\beta}\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}}(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ . Since  $f$  is FS-open, then  $f(a_{\beta})\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}}1 - f(\mathfrak{m})$ , that is  $f(a_{\beta}) \notin \overline{(1 - f(\mathfrak{m})^{\mathcal{S}})}$  and  $f(a_{\beta}) \in 1 - \overline{(1 - f(\mathfrak{m})^{\mathcal{S}})}$  implies  $f(a_{\beta}) \in (f(\mathfrak{m}))^{o\mathcal{S}}$ , this prove  $f((\mathfrak{m})^{o\mathcal{S}}) \subseteq (f(\mathfrak{m}))^{o\mathcal{S}}$ . Hence the mapping  $f$  is fuzzy open.

**Proposition 3.3:** The composition of FS-open maps is FS-open.

**Proof:** Clear

**Proposition 3.4:** Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  be two  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $\mathbb{W}$ , then the identity mapping  $i: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_2)$  is FS-open if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_1 > \mathcal{S}_2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_2\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_1\mathfrak{m}$ , from definition of fuzzy identity mapping  $\mathfrak{m} = 1 - (1 - f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})) = \mathfrak{m}$  so,  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_1\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{S}_1 > \mathcal{S}_2$ .

**Proposition 3.5:** A bijective mapping  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is FS-open if and only if  $f^{-1}: (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}})$  is FS-continuous.

**Proof:** Let  $b_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}\mathfrak{m}$  where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ , since  $f$  is injective then  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ , that is  $f^{-1}(b_{\beta})\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

Conversely, Let  $b_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}\mathfrak{m}$ , then  $f^{-1}(b_{\beta})\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Since  $f$  is bijective, then there exists  $a_{\beta}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t  $x_{\gamma} = f^{-1}(b_{\beta})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Thus,  $a_{\beta}\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m}) = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ .

**Definition 3.6:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_D)$  be a fuzzy proximity space. A proximity  $\mathcal{S}_D$  is called discrete if we define  $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{S}_D\mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m} \wedge \mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.7:** An injective mapping  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is  $FS$ -open if  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is a discrete  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $I^{\mathbb{M}}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $b_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$  then  $f(a_{\beta}) \wedge 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m}) \neq 0$  where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ , but  $f$  is injective then  $f(\mathfrak{m}) = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$  and  $a_{\beta} \wedge \mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ , thus  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}$ . Hence  $f$  is  $FS$ -open.

**Definition 3.8:** A mapping  $f$  from  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}})$  into  $(\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is said to be fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity closed ( $FS$ -closed) if  $b_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$  implies  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ .

Equivalently,  $f: \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  is said to be  $FS$ -closed if  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$  implies  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$  or  $a_{\beta} \ll 1 - \mathfrak{m}$  implies  $b_{\beta} \ll 1 - \mathfrak{m}$  where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.9:** An injective mapping  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is  $FS$ -closed if and only if it is  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $I^{\mathbb{M}}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $b_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $a_{\beta} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$  where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$ , since  $f$  is injective then  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m})$ , that is  $FS$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.10:** Let  $f$  be a injective mapping from a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}})$  into  $Y$ , the coarsest fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}$  which be assigned to  $\mathbb{M}$  in order that  $f$  be fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximally open is defined by  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if there exists  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ , where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m})$ .

**Proof:** First, we must prove that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}$  is a fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity on  $\mathbb{M}$ .

K1- Let  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} (\mathfrak{m}_1 \vee \mathfrak{m}_2)$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t.  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}_1 \vee \mathfrak{m}_2$ , where  $b_{\beta} = f(a_{\beta})$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \vee \mathfrak{m}_2 = 1 - f(1 - \mathfrak{m})$  from which  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}_1$  and  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}_2$  follow. If  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}_1$  and  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}_2$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{m}_1^*, \mathfrak{m}_2^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t.  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}_1^*)$ ,  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}_2^*)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}_1^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}_1$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{m}_2^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}_2$ , then  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} (1 - (\mathfrak{m}_1^* \vee \mathfrak{m}_2^*))$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}_1^* \vee \mathfrak{m}_2^*) \in 1 - (\mathfrak{m}_1 \vee \mathfrak{m}_2)$ , that is  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} (\mathfrak{m}_1 \vee \mathfrak{m}_2)$ .

K2-  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} 0$  is clear for all  $b_{\beta}$ .

K3- If  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t.  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $a_{\beta} \notin (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and then  $b_{\beta} \notin 1 - f(\mathfrak{m}^*)$  also  $b_{\beta} \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ , this prove that  $b_{\beta} \notin \mathfrak{m}$ .

K4- If  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t.  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}$  satisfies condition (K4), then there exists  $\mathfrak{m} \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t.  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$  and  $a_{\beta}^* \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  for all  $a_{\beta}^* \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ .

Assume  $f(\mathfrak{m}) = \rho$  then  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$ , since  $a_{\beta}^* \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in f^{-1}(f(\mathfrak{m}^*)) \in 1 - f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ , then  $(1 - \mathfrak{m}) \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  which implies  $(1 - f(\mathfrak{m})) \bar{\mathcal{S}}_Y \mathfrak{m}$  i.e.  $b_{\beta}^* \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $b_{\beta}^* \in (1 - \rho)$ .

Now, to show that  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximally open. Suppose that  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$  i.e.  $a_{\beta} \ll 1 - \mathfrak{m}$  by proposition (2.9) then there exists  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t  $a_{\beta} \ll \mathfrak{m}^* \ll 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus,  $a_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^* \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{m}$  that is  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ , if  $\mathfrak{m} = f(\mathfrak{m})$  then  $f(\mathfrak{m}^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ , by assumption  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$  and this prove that  $f$  is  $FS$ -open.

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}}$  coarsest fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity. Let  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}}$  be any fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximally on  $\mathbb{M}$  s.t  $f: (\mathbb{W}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{W}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}})$  is fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximally open mapping. If  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{m}^* \in I^{\mathbb{W}}$  s.t.  $a_{\beta}^* \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{W}} (1 - \mathfrak{m}^*)$  and  $f(\mathfrak{m}^*) \in 1 - \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $f$  is  $FS$ -open then  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} (1 - f(\mathfrak{m}^*))$  but  $\mathfrak{m} \in 1 - f(\mathfrak{m}^*)$ , then  $b_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}} \mathfrak{m}$ , hence  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{M}} < \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{M}}$ .

#### 4. Conclusions and future studies

The result of this paper initiated was the definition of the fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity open mapping and relationship with fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity continuous. In addition, new fuzzy a  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity relation was defined on the basis of this mapping. In the future it is possible to link this mapping to the fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximity compact.

#### References

- [1] L.A. Zadah, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Contr. 8 (1965), 333-353.
- [2] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [3] A. K. Katsaras, Fuzzy proximity spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 68 (1979), 100-110.
- [4] P-M. Pu- and Y-M. Lin, Fuzzy topology 1, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 571-599.
- [5] C. K. Wong, Fuzzy points and Local properties of fuzzy topology, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 46 (1974), 316-328.
- [6] K. D. Park, On the Fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximities, J. Nat.Sci. Res. Inst. Dongguk Univ. 14 (1994), 19-24.
- [7] K. D. Park, Fuzzy  $\mathbb{K}$ -proximities Mapping, Kangweon-Kyungki Math Jour. 14 (2006), 7-11.