

ECONOMICS OF OKRA PRODUCTION

Bassim Haleem Kshash^a and Hayat Kadhum Oda^b

^a College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University, Babylon, Iraq

ORCID ID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2553-2762>

^b College of Food Science, Al-Qasim Green University, Babylon- Iraq

E-mail: hay1963@fosci.uoqasim.edu.iq

Submit correspondence to: Bassim Haleem Kshash, at College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University, Babylon, Iraq. E-mail: bassim@agre.uoqasim.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

Agricultural production cost study provides very usefully, practical value information for evolving sound production plans and improving farm efficiency. An understanding of the economics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) production is essential to help farmers developed an appropriate agricultural policy to maximize yield and profits. The study was conducted in Al-Qasim district, Babylon Province, Iraq, to identify the economics of okra production. One hundred and twenty okra farmers were randomly selected. Cost and returns analysis indicate that the overall average cost of okra production was \$1776·ha⁻¹, and per hectare cost of production increased with an increase in farm size. Family labor accounted for the highest percentage of the total cost of production. Overall average returns and profit per hectare, benefit-cost ratio, rate of return ratio, and operating ratio of okra production indicated okra production was profitable. Focuses more attention on medium-scale okra farms, and increasing dependence on mechanization can contribute to increasing return and profitability.

Keywords: Budgetary analysis, cost benefit analysis, gross margin, input use, profitability

1. INTRODUCTION

Vegetables are the most remunerative agricultural activity for small and marginal farmers, it is the main sources of farm income for small and limited resource farmers (5, 8). There is an increase in demand for the vegetable crop.

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is one of the most important summer vegetable crops in Iraq, but productivity is low (2, 9). With increased demand and low productivity, farmers use large-scale production. High levels of productivity is not obtained due to un inefficient use of available agricultural resources and difficulty in obtaining economic resources (7, 23, 24). It is necessary to evaluate agricultural economic policies of

producers. Economics of okra production is essential to develop appropriate agricultural policy.

Studies have examined economics of okra production (3, 10, 12- 16, 18, 20-22) and it was found that okra production was more profitable, cost of family labor was the highest among production costs, per hectare cost of okra production increases with an increase in farm size, and the highest return was on medium farms.

Okra production, in Iraq, is primarily done by small-scale farmers, and there is a need to evaluate their agricultural production process to identify its efficiency and profitability. This study was undertaken to estimate costs and return of okra production determine profit measures of small scale okra production.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the AL-Qasim district, Babylon province, located between 32° and 33.25° North latitude and 44° to 45° East longitude. The population for the study consisted of 320 okra farmers in the district, who generally cultivate okra in the same way; 20 were chosen to test the reliability of the questionnaire. From the 300 remaining, 120 were selected at random to provide data between 15 and 30 November 2020. The instrument used was a 2-part questionnaire. The first part included socioeconomic characteristics: age, education level, years of experience in okra cultivation, and area cultivated with okra. The second part included data related to costs of okra production [included; tillage costs (plowing, harrowing, and furrowing, that done by tractor), materials costs (seed, fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides), labor costs (land preparation, sowing, fertilizer application, pesticides, and herbicides application, weeding, watering and harvesting), irrigation costs (water pumping and river cleaning, done by machines), marketing costs (packing and transportation)], the marketed quantities of okra and the price received.

Data collected from the respondents were analyzed using statistical tools, such as descriptive statistics (frequency counts, percentages, and means), and economic indices like total cost, gross return, the profit, Cost-Benefit ratio, rate of Return Ratio, and operating ratio were calculated by using relevant equations analysis:

Cost of production: was taken into account by calculating total expenditure on labor, fertilizers, seeds, tillage, plant protection, irrigation, and marketing.

Gross return: were calculated by multiplying the yield of okra with the price that the farmer receives when he sale the yield.

Profit = total costs - total returns.

Cost-Benefit ratio = returns/ cost. (is a relative measure that is used to compare benefits per unit of cost. It helps analyze the financial efficiency of the farmers (when it is greater than 1, the enterprise is profitable. Otherwise, it is not profitable)

Rate of Return Ratio = profit/ cost. (represents the financial empowerment for a further business venture and vice versa. It represents the return of cash to the business).

The operating ratio= cost/return. (used to estimate the relative expenditure structure in the okra farming business, if it was lower than 1, it is profitable to invest in the project, the lower the ratio, the higher the profit).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1.Characteristics of Okra Farmers

Responses of respondents varied (Table 1). The majority of okra farmers were young, and in their active and productive years, this could help them to effectively undertake agricultural production, and carry out the work required (3, 22). Respondents were well educated with most having a secondary school and above education which should increase farm productivity and return (4, 11, 17, 22). Okra farmers several years of experience which contributes to increased production and productivity (1, 22). Most were small-scale farmers, small scale farms use more inputs and engage more workers, which affects their returns and profit (5). Increasing farm size has a positive impact on farmer net profit, and economic, technical, and labor efficiency (19).

3.2.Cost of Okra Production

Average cost·ha⁻¹ of input factors varied (Table 2). Overall average cost of okra production was highest on large farms followed by medium and small farms.

Per hectare cost of okra production increased as farm size increased (14, 18), in conventional farming, farmers use more input, with low productivity and quality, so production costs will be increased. Family labor accounted for the highest percent of total cost of production (3, 10, 13, 14). Family labor in small scale farm is substantial, this farm is not conducive to any form of mechanization, but labor demand depends partly on the level of mechanization, so, the gradual mechanization of small-scale family farming reduces manual work, arduousness of farm work, and improves productivity per hectare (6). After plowing and constructing furrows, all activities and management of okra production are done manually, especially harvest. okra pods need to be harvested about three times a week. Pods should be harvesting correctly at the proper time, and handle carefully to prevent bruising both during harvest and packing operations, as a result, the need for human labor increases. The highest labor cost was on small farms followed by medium farms and large farms. The cost of family labor decreases when farm size increases (18), because the same number of family members participate in the agricultural production processes, regardless of farm size, thus, keeping the same number of family laborers with the increase in the cultivated area means fewer labor costs.

Other major component costs were seed, fertilizer, marketing, tillage, irrigation, and plant protection.

3.3.Returns of Okra Production

The overall average returns and profit per hectare of okra production varied with farm size (Table 4), but okra production was profitable. Medium farms had the highest returns and profit followed by large, and small farms. The overall average benefit-cost ratio

indicated okra cultivation provides a return on investment. The rate of return ratio, and operating ratio of okra production indicated production of okra was profitable.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Okra farmers were young, well educated, several years of experience, and small scale farm. Okra production costs were highest on a large farm, while family labor was the highest of the total cost. Medium farms had the highest returns and profit, the overall average of returns, profit, benefit-cost ratio, rate of return ratio, and operating ratio indicated that okra production was profitable and suitable for doubling farmer's income. It is likely that focuses more attention on medium-scale okra farmers, through adequate extension courses, toward improving their production and productivity. Improvement of agricultural machinery especially at harvest time will greatly affect okra production costs and make it more profitable.

REFERENCES

- 1- Ainembabazi J. and Mugisha J(2014). The role of farming experience on the adoption of agricultural technologies: evidence from smallholder farmers in Uganda. The Journal of Development Studies 50(5):666-679. doi: 10.1080/00220388.2013.874556.
- 2- CSO(Central Statistical Organization) (2020). Production report of vegetables and secondary crops by provinces for the year 2019. Central Statistical Organization, Baghdad, Iraq.
- 3- Ekunwe P, Alufohai G, Adolue C (2017). Economic viability of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*)

- production in Ika South and North East Local Government Areas of Delta State, Nigeria. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture, Food, Environment and Extension*, 17(1):57-62. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/as.v17i1.8>.
- 4- Eric O, Prince A, Elfreda A (2014). Effects of education on the agricultural productivity of farmers in the offinso municipality. *International Journal of Development Research* 4(9):1951-1960. <https://www.journalijdr.com/archive/201409>.
 - 5- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2015). The economic lives of smallholder farmers. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. <http://www.fao.org/3/i5251e/i5251e.pdf>.
 - 6- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2016). Study on small-scale family farming in the near east and north Africa region. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. <http://www.fao.org/3/i6436e/i6436e.pdf>
 - 7- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2017). The future of food and agriculture – Trends and challenges. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. <http://www.fao.org/3/i6583e/i6583e.pdf>.
 - 8- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2020). Fruit and vegetables – Your dietary essentials. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. <http://www.fao.org/3/cb2395en/CB2395EN.pdf>.
 - 9- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2021). FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC/visualize>.
 - 10- Godambe R, Torane R, Talathi M, Kshirsagar P (2016). Cost return and profitability of okra in Thane District of Maharashtra. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture* 11(1):14-18. doi: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/11.1/14-18.
 - 11- Korgitet H, Biru M (2019). The effect of farmers education on farm productivity: Evidence from small-scale Maize producing farmers in North Bench District, Bench Maji Zone. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences* 9(17):26-34. doi: 10.7176/RHSS/9-17-04.
 - 12- Krishna K, Singh J (2018). Production of okra in Meerut district of western Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(4):66-68. <https://www.phytojournal.com/archives/2018/vol7issue4/PartB/7-3-770-595.pdf>
 - 13- Kumar N, Singh S, Sharma M, Sharma P (2015). An economic analysis of Okra cultivation in Jammu district of J & K State, India. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation Journal* 21(1):281-283. <http://www.envirobiotechjournal>

- [s.com/article_abstract.php?aid=5766&iid=184&jid=3](https://www.s.com/article_abstract.php?aid=5766&iid=184&jid=3).
- 14- Kushwaha S, Singh K, Kushwaha R (2018). Okra: A study on cost and profitability analysis in Deoria District of Uttar Pradesh. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 7(5):153-156. <https://www.phytojournal.com/archives/?year=2018&vol=7&issue=5&page=3>.
 - 15- Maduwanthi A, Karunarathna B (2019). Biological and economic benefit of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) Intercropping in Sandy Regosol. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research 8(1):28-34. http://www.curreweb.com/mejar/mejar_jan-march-2019.html.
 - 16- Osalusi C, Akanni-John R, Okeke E, Ogunsola P (2019). Analysis of the profitability of okra production among small holder okra farmers in Akinyele local Government Area, Oyo State, Nigeria. International Journal of Environment Agriculture and Biotechnology 4(5):1377-1381. doi: 10.22161/ijeab.45.13.
 - 17- Paltasingh K, Goyari P (2018). Impact of farmer education on farm productivity under varying technologies: Case of paddy growers in India. Agricultural and Food Economics 6:article ID7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-018-0101-9>.
 - 18- Rami V, Jadav S, Macwan J (2018). Economic analysis of cost and return of okra in Middle Gujarat. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences 10(20):7348-7351. <https://bioinfopublication.org/pages/articles.php?id=BPJ0000217&v=10&i=20>.
 - 19- Ren C, Liu S, Grinsven H, Reis S, Jin S, Liu H, Gu B (2019). The impact of farm size on agricultural sustainability. Journal of Cleaner Production 220:357-367. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.151.
 - 20- Tegar A (2019). Economics of production and marketing of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in Bilaspur District of Chhattisgarh State of India. Plant Archives 19(1):1017-1022. http://plantarchives.org/PDF%20SUPPLEMENT%202019/167___1017-1022_.pdf
 - 21- Ucha S, Adikwu D, Ahaiwe M, Ogbulie B (2018). Socio economic determinants to okra production among women farmers in Ivo Local Government Area of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. International Journal of Case Studies 7(7):74-84. <https://www.casestudiesjournal.com/volume-7-issue-7/>.
 - 22- Udemezue J (2017). Economic analysis of okra production by farmers in Ayamelum Local Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria. Current Trends in Biomedical Engineering & Biosciences 10(1):16-21. doi: 10.19080/CTBEB.2017.10.555779.
 - 23- World Bank. 2015. The cost of the gender gap in agricultural productivity in Malawi, Tanzania, and Uganda. World Bank Group, Washington, DC. <http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/847131467987832287/pdf/100234-WP-PUBLIC->

- Box393225B-The-Cost-of-the-Gender-Gap-in-Agricultural-Productivity-in-Malawi-Tanzania-and-Uganda.pdf.
- 24- World Bank. 2017. Agriculture productivity growth in Brazil. World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/268351520343354377/pdf/123948-WP-6-3-2018-8-39-22-AriasetalAgriculturalgrowthinBrazil.pdf>

Table 1. Socioeconomic characteristics of okra farmers (N=120).

Characteristic	Category	N	%
Age (years)	<30	27	22.5
	30-45	57	47.5
	>45	36	30.0
Educational level	<secondary	41	34.2
	secondary	57	47.5
	>secondary	22	18.3
Years in okra cultivation	<10	13	10.8
	10-20	36	30.0
	>20	71	59.2
Area cultivated with okra(ha)	<1 ha	18	15
	1-2.5 ha	87	72.5
	>2.5 ha	15	12.5

Table 2. Cost of okra production per hectare (\$·ha⁻¹).

Factors	Farm category						Overall average	
	small		medium		large			
	cost	%	cost	%	cost	%	cost	%
Labor	750	46	652	36.3	650	34	684	38.3
Fertilizer	250	15	292	16.2	292	15	278	15.6
Seed	229	14	292	16.2	354	19	292	16.4
Tillage	104	06	121	06.7	133	07	119	06.7
Plant protection	63	04	79	04.4	79	04	74	04.1
Irrigation	79	05	113	06.3	113	06	102	05.7
Marketing	167	10	250	13.9	292	15	236	13.2
Total	1642	100	1799	100	1913	100	1785	100

Table 3. Returns and profit of okra production per hectare (\$·ha⁻¹).

Economic factors	Farm category			Overall average
	small	medium	large	
Returns	5000	8350	6750	6700
Costs	1642	1799	1913	1785
Profit	3358	6551	4837	4915
Benefit cost ratio	3.04	4.64	3.52	3.75
Rate of Return Ratio	2.04	3.64	2.52	2.75
Operating ratio	0.33	0.21	0.28	0.26