



Analysis of genetic diversity among some Iraqi durum wheat cultivars revealed by different molecular markers.

Mihraban Sharif Maeruf¹ Djshwar Dhahir Lateef¹

Kamil Mahmood Mustafa¹ Hero Fatih Hamakareem¹ Shang Hasseb Abdulqadir¹

Dastan Ahmad Ahmad¹ Shokhan Mahmood Sleman¹ Kamaran Salh Rasul²

¹Biotecnology and crop science department College Of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Sulaimani46001, sulaymaniyah, Kurdistan Region, IRAQ.

²Horticulture Department, College Of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Sulaimani, sulaimania 46001, Sulaymaniyah, Kurdistan Region, IRAQ. *Corresponding Author: mihraban.maeruf@univsul.edu.iq.

| Received: 03/04/2024 | Revised: 16/05/2024 | Accepted: 25/05/2024 | Published: 01/06/2024 |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Received. 03/04/2024 | ICVISCU. 10/05/2024 | Accepted. 25/05/2024 | 1 ublishcu. 01/00/2024 |

ABSTRACT

Durum wheat has been cultivated since the beginning of crop domestication, occupying now the tenth ranking among the most significant global cultivated crops. Despite the fact that the extent of the crop genetic diversity has not yet been fully incorporated into modern varieties through breeding programs. In this study, a total of 35 markers (11 RAPD, 12 ISSR, and 12 CDDP) were utilized to assess the genetic variability and population structure of sixteen different cultivars of Iraqi durum wheat. Out of 294 bands obtained, 171 were identified as polymorphic: 47.00 polymorphic alleles from 98 RAPD bands, 53 polymorphic alleles from a total of 89 ISSR bands, and 71 alleles from 107 CDDP bands. The average number of observed alleles (Na), effective number of alleles (Ne), Shannon's information index (I), expected heterozygosity or gene diversity (He), unbiased expected heterozygosity (uHe), and polymorphic information content (PIC) (1.45, 1.38, 0.32, 0.22, 0.24, and 0.28, respectively) were obtained for RAPDs, (1.63, 1.45, 0.40, 0.27, 0.29, and 0.32, respectively) ISSRs and (1.35, 1.35, 0.31, 0.21, 0.23, and 0.30, respectively) for the CDDP markers. A dendrogram of two main clades (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean; UPGMA) and three populations of structure analysis was obtained based on the three markers' data. The analysis of molecular variance indicated 97.00%, 97.00%, and 90.00% variability within populations, applying RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers, respectively. The highest diversity indices were revealed in population two under the RAPD and CDDP markers, whereas population 1 had the highest values of these indices according to the ISSR markers. The results provide greater knowledge on the genetic makeup of Iraqi durum wheat cultivars, which facilitates future breeding programs of this crop.

Keywords: Durum wheat. Genetic variation. Cluster analysis. Population structure. Genetic improvement.

Copyright © 2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat, which includes common and durum varieties, is a significant grain crop widely consumed by humans globally. Durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. subsp. *durum*) is a primary cereal crop that is the sole tetraploid (AABB, 2n D 4x D 28) variety of wheat used in Mediterranean regions [1]. Currently, this area contributes approximately 60% of worldwide productivity and holds the most significant genetic diversity in durum wheat germplasm [2]. Drum wheat plants exhibit a notable range of genetic and morphological characteristics, but the evolution of these plants during domestication has not been thoroughly examined or documented [3]. It is a prevalent grain crop grown by farmers, and it is grown on almost 16 million hectares globally, yielding a total of 38 million ton [4]. Wheat is widely cultivated in Iraq, and the cultivated area is estimated to be approximately 1.583 million hectares, with a total production of 4.343 million metric tons per year and an average yield of 2.744 metric tons per hectare [5]. Durum wheat is a vital food source with high levels of carbohydrates, supplementary proteins, calcium, calories, zinc, fiber, and lipids [6]. In the present day, it is used to make a range of food items including bread, cakes, pasta, biscuits, and bakery products. The rising popularity of confectionery items has led to an increased need for a diverse selection of durum wheat cultivars [7].

Breeders must enhance their production while reducing agriculture's environmental effect to deal with this challenging situation. Because the demand for wheat is expected to rise by more than 80% by 2050 compared to the current level [8]. Assessing the genetic diversity in germplasm collections is crucial for breeding programs. This is because it helps identify cultivars and lines with a wider range of features and enhanced performance under specific conditions. Successful breeding programs rely on substantial genetic variation among populations [3]. Environmental influences may influence the accuracy of morphological and biochemical indicators, but they remain valuable for assessing the genetic diversity of a population [9]. The rising reliability, consistency, and standardization of molecular markers have led to their increased utilization. Molecular

markers are valuable tools for analyzing agricultural development, especially for assessing genetic diversity among crop species and their interrelationships. Molecular markers such as Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms (AFLPs), Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs), Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSRs), and others are assessed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), a commonly used technique [10]. The RAPD marker evaluation yields several markers for comparing the genotypes of distinct individuals. This method allows for large-scale screens of breeding populations and genetic resources without compromising efficiency due to its straightforward management and great economic efficiency [11]. ISSR markers can be identified by using primers that target repetitive DNA sequences and amplifying the resulting product containing SSRs. Various plant species have been used to examine genetic diversity [12]. This method is efficient for evaluating the genetic diversity of various crops because to its high repeatability, polymorphism, and informativeness [10]. In the recent years, several new alternative opportunities and powerful marker techniques have been formed. Conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP) molecular markers employ conserved DNA sequences. This approach amplifies the entire plant genome by creating specialized primers that target conserved regions of functional genes to produce informative bands. Collard and Mackill [13] describe this method as very adaptable, polymorphic, and known for its exceptional stability and reproducibility. Many researchers use this method to evaluate genetic diversity, especially those focusing on plant species [14–16]. In the current study, the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP molecular techniques were used to estimate the genetic variation of sixteen Iraqi durum wheat plants. Moreover, comprehending the genetic distances among the cultivars under study would assist in choosing genetically diverse and complementary breeding parents for the durum wheat breeding program.

Materials and methods

1. Plant Materials and DNA Extraction

Sixteen durum wheat cultivars (G1: Cham 1, G2: Ofanto, G3: Miki 3, G4: Gidra, G5: Omnabi 5, G6: Halio, G7: Waha, G8: Simeto, G9: Bohooth 5, G10: Crezo, G11: Fadda 98, G12: Acsad 65, G13: Secondroue, G14: Guayacan, G15: Zanbaka, and G16: Mosuly) were used in this study; these plants were collected from almost all agricultural research centers in Iraq, and these cultivars are the most widely cultivated in Iraq. These cultivars were grown in plastic pots (20 cm in height and 12 cm in diameter) with peatmoss, during the growing season (autumn 2022 to spring 2023) at the University of Sulaimani/College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences. Genomic DNA was isolated from the young leaves of 2-week-old plants cultivated in plastic pots using the procedure outlined by [17,18]. A NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoPLUS-MAANLAB AB, Sweden) and 1% agarose gel were used in order to assess both the quality and quantity of the DNA that was isolated. DNA samples were diluted to 50 ng μ L⁻¹ with dd H₂O and stored at -20°C until use in polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

2. RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP assays

To conduct a genetic diversity analysis on the durum wheat cultivars, eleven RAPD primers [19,20], 12 ISSR primers [14,21], and 12 CDDP primers [22,23] were utilized. The polymorphism rate of these primers was considered in prior research on wheat and other crops, which led to their selection. A reaction mixture comprising 5 μ L of template DNA, 8 μ L of PCR master mix (Hot Start Taq Master, Addbio, Korea), 4 μ L of primer (Addbio, Korea), and 5 μ L of deionized water was utilized to conduct PCR amplification. Initial denaturation at 94°C for 10 minutes, followed by 36 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at a specific temperature (depending on primer) for 1 minute, and extension at 72°C for 2 minutes; and a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes, were executed using a MultiGene OptiMax Thermal Cycler (Labnet Company) PCR machine. Following that, gel electrophoresis was carried out in order to separate the results of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on 1.5% agarose gels using a solution consisting of 1X TBE (tris-base, boric acid, and EDTA) and 0.15 μ g/mL ethidium bromide. the gels were exposed to a regular voltage of 85 V for 90 minutes. In order to visualize the stained gel, an ultraviolet transilluminator (Labnet Company) was utilized in order to capture the product during the processing

Table 1. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSR), conserved DNA-

| derived polymorphism (CI | DDP), sequence of primers and annealing temperatu | res (ATs) were used. |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|
| RAPD primers | Primer sequence of $(5' - 3')$ | AT (°C) |
| GEN 2-80-8 | GGCCACAGCG | 36.00 |
| OPAD-06 | AAGTGCACGG | 36.00 |
| OPAK-15 | ACCTGCCGTT | 36.00 |
| OPW-13 | CACAGCGACA | 36.00 |
| OPAW-11 | CTGCCACGAG | 36.00 |
| OPY-04 | GGCTGCAATG | 36.00 |
| OPAR-16 | CCTTGCGCCT | 36.00 |
| OPAT-08 | TCCTCGTGGG | 36.00 |
| OPAU-12 | CCACTCGTCT | 36.00 |
| OPW-08 | GACTGCCTCT | 36.00 |
| OPP-05 | CCCCGGTAAC | 36.00 |
| ISSR Primers | | |
| UBC-808 | AGAGAG AGAGAGAGAGC | 50.00 |
| UBC-813 | CTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTT | 50.00 |

| UBC-815 | CTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTG | 50.00 |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------|
| UBC-818 | CACACACACACACAG | 52.80 |
| UBC-822 | TCTCTCTCTCTCTCA | 50.00 |
| UBC-823 | TCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCC | 50.00 |
| UBC-826 | ACACACACACACACACC | 50.00 |
| UBC-834 | AGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGGT | 50.00 |
| UBC-840 | GAG AGA GAG AGA GAG | 46.30 |
| UBC-852 | GATAGATAGACAGACA | 48.00 |
| UBC-856 | ACACACACACACACACYA | 42.00 |
| UBC-891 | ACTACTACTTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTG | 52.00 |
| CDDP Primers | | |
| WRKY-R3 | GCASGTGTGCTCGCC | |
| WRKY-R3B | CCGCTCGTGTGSACG | 50.00 |
| ABP1-1 | ACSCCSATCCACCGC | 50.00 |
| MADS-1 | ATGGGCCGSGGCAAGGTGC | 50.00 |
| WRKYR1 | GTGGTTGTGTCTTGCC | 50.00 |
| WRKYF1 | TGGCGSAAGTACGGCCAG | 50.00 |
| Myb1 | GGCAAGGGCTGCCGC | 50.00 |
| Myb2 | GGCAAGGGCTGCCGG | 50.00 |
| ERF1 | CACTACCCCGGSCTSCG | 50.00 |
| ERF2 | GCSGAGATCCGSGACCC | 50.00 |
| Knox1 | AAGGGSAAGCTSCCSAAG | 50.00 |
| Knox2 | CACTGGTGGGAGCTSCAC | 50.00 |

3. The process of scoring and analyzing statistical data

After amplifying the fragments, the bands were coded manually by assigning a score of 0 for no bands and a score of 1 for bands present. The Jaccard coefficient's similarity coefficient was calculated by analyzing the scored data matrices using XLSTAT 2020 computer software [24]. The Jaccard coefficient was converted into a dissimilarity matrix using the unweighted pair group technique with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) to conduct cluster analysis on cultivars. The software Power 5 Marker version 3.25 was used to calculate the polymorphism information content (PIC), allele frequency, and gene diversity. The software STRUCTURE, version 2.3.4, was used for model analysis [25]. The number of populations that were assumed to exist (K) was set anywhere from one to 10, and the method of analysis was carried out three times. Burn-in and MCMC were both set at 50,000 for each category, and the number of iterations was established at 5000. Both of these values were fixed for the purpose of establishing cultivars into populations; the run with the highest likelihood was utilized [23]. **Results**

1. Polymorphism and distinguishing features of the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers

There was a total of 35 primers used to identify the genetic variability among the 16 Iraqi durum wheat cultivars. 11 RAPD primers, 12 ISSR primers, and 12 CDDP primers were used. The results showed that there were 294 bands, with 171 polymorphic bands. (Table 2). The RAPD primers produced 98 bands, and 47 of them were polymorphic bands, and the ISSR primers created 53 polymorphic bands out of 89 bands, while 71 polymorphic bands from 107 bands were produced by the CDDP markers. The average polymorphic bands of RAPD, ISSR, and CDDD primers were 4.27, 4.42, and 5.92, respectively. The overall number of polymorphic bands (TPB) ranged from 1 (GEN 2-80-8) to 8 (OPW-13), from 2 (UBC-813, UBC-815, UBC-822, and UBC-891) to 10 (UBC-856), and from 2 (Myb2) to 11 (ERF1) for the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP primers, respectively.

Among the RAPD markers, the primer OPW-08 had the highest number (13.00 bands) of total bands (TB), and both primers, OPAK-15 and OPAW-11, had the lowest TB, with 6.00 bands. OPW-13 and GEN 2-80-8 presented the highest and lowest polymorphic bands (TPB) at 1.00 and 8.00, respectively. OPAU-12 revealed the maximum values of the number of observed alleles (Na), effective number of alleles (Ne), Shannon's information index (I), expected heterozygosity or gene diversity (He), and unbiased expected heterozygosity (uHe) with 1.79, 1.57, 0.48, 0.33, and 0.37, respectively, while OPAW-11 observed the lowest values of Na, Ne, I, He, and uHe at 1.00, 1.06, 0.11, 0.05, and 0.05, respectively. The highest polymorphic information content (PIC) (0.48) was recorded for OPAR-16, while OPAW-11 and OPP-05 had the lowest PIC (0.17). Among the ISSR markers, the highest and lowest values of TB were indicated by UBC-852 (14 bands) and UBC-813 (2 bands), respectively. The highest PIC value was recorded for UBC-856, with 10.00 polymorphic bands, while the lowest polymorphic bands (2.00) were indicated by UBC-813, UBC-815, UBC-822, and UBC891. There was a maximum PIC value reported for UBC-856, which was 0.46, while the greatest values of Na, Ne, I, He, and uHe were recorded for UBC-822, which were 2.00, 1.78, 0.62, 0.43, and 0.47, respectively. UBC-808 revealed the lowest values of 1.29, 1.23, 0.22, 0.14, 0.15, and 0.20 for Na, Ne, I, He, uHe, and PIC, at, respectively.

Among the CDDP primers, the minimum and maximum values of TB were recorded MADS-1 (3.00 bands) and for ERF1 (15.00 bands), respectively. The maximum value of TPB was indicated by ERF1, which had 11.00 bands, and the lowest

polymorphic bands (2.00 bands) were found for MADS-1 and Myb2. WRKY-R3 (1.64) and ERF2 (1.13) revealed the maximum and minimum of Na values, respectively. Among these primers, the values of Ne, I, He, uHe, and PIC that were found in Myb2 were the highest, with values of 1.48, 0.41, 0.28, 0.32, and 0.40, respectively. However, the Ne and I values revealed by ERF1 and Knox1 were 1.26 and 0.25, respectively. Additionally, ERF1 and Knox1, with 0.17 and 0.18, revealed the lowest of He and uHe values, respectively, and the lowest PIC value was indicated by ABP1-1, at 0.16.

The average values for Na, Ne, I, He, uHe, and PIC were 1.45, 1.38, 0.32, 0.22, 0.24, and 0.28 for RAPD markers, respectively, 1.63, 1.45, 0.40, 0.27, 0.29, and 0.32 for ISSR markers, respectivel, and 1.35, 1.35, 0.31, 0.21, 0.23, and 0.30 for CDDP markers, respectively

Table 2. Total number of polymorphic bands (TPB), total number of bands (TB), number of observed alleles (Na), effective number of alleles (Ne), expected heterozygosity or gene diversity (He), Shannon's information index (I), unbiased expected heterozygosity (uHe), and polymorphic information content (PIC) in wheat genotypes obtained using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSR), and conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP)

| | | | derived poly | ymorphism | (CDDP) | | | |
|--------------|--------|-------|--------------|-----------|--------|------|------|------|
| RAPD markers | TB | TPB | Na | Ne | Ι | He | uHe | PIC |
| GEN 2-80-8 | 8.00 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 1.32 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| OPAD-06 | 9.00 | 3.00 | 1.50 | 1.36 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.29 |
| OPAK-15 | 6.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.41 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| OPW-13 | 10.00 | 8.00 | 1.69 | 1.47 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.29 |
| OPAW-11 | 6.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.17 |
| OPY-04 | 10.00 | 5.00 | 1.60 | 1.45 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.32 |
| OPAR-16 | 10.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.35 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.48 |
| OPAT-08 | 9.00 | 7.00 | 1.39 | 1.35 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.24 |
| OPAU-12 | 10.00 | 7.00 | 1.79 | 1.57 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.23 |
| OPW-08 | 13.00 | 7.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.38 |
| OPP-05 | 7.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.38 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.17 |
| Mean | 8.91 | 4.27 | 1.45 | 1.38 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.28 |
| Total | 98.00 | 47.00 | | | | | | |
| ISSR markers | | | | | | | | |
| UBC 808 | 9.00 | 7.00 | 1.29 | 1.23 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.20 |
| UBC 813 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.41 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.28 |
| UBC 815 | 6.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.33 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.36 |
| UBC 818 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 1.80 | 1.42 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.29 |
| UBC 822 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.78 | 0.62 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.42 |
| UBC 823 | 9.00 | 3.00 | 1.50 | 1.47 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.39 |
| UBC 826 | 6.00 | 3.00 | 1.67 | 1.41 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.33 |
| UBC 834 | 8.00 | 4.00 | 1.33 | 1.28 | 0.28 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.28 |
| UBC 840 | 8.00 | 4.00 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.21 |
| UBC 852 | 14.00 | 9.00 | 1.78 | 1.58 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| UBC 856 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 1.90 | 1.64 | 0.53 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.46 |
| UBC 891 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.43 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.27 |
| Mean | 7.42 | 4.42 | 1.63 | 1.45 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.32 |
| Total | 89.00 | 53.00 | | | | | | |
| CDDP markers | | | | | | | | |
| WRKY-R3 | 9.00 | 7.00 | 1.64 | 1.45 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.20 |
| WRKY-R3B | 10.00 | 5.00 | 1.50 | 1.43 | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.34 |
| ABP1-1 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 1.33 | 1.29 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| MADS-1 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.25 | 1.34 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| WRKYR1 | 13.00 | 10.00 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.34 |
| WRKYF1 | 9.00 | 7.00 | 1.43 | 1.37 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.30 |
| Myb1 | 8.00 | 3.00 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| Myb2 | 7.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 0.41 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.40 |
| ERF1 | 15.00 | 11.00 | 1.15 | 1.26 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.34 |
| ERF2 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 1.13 | 1.30 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.33 |
| Knox1 | 11.00 | 8.00 | 1.31 | 1.28 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.27 |
| Knox2 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 1.42 | 1.37 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| Mean | 8.92 | 5.92 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.30 |
| Total | 107.00 | 71.00 | | | | | | |

| Total of all | 204 | 171 |
|--------------|-----|-----|
| markers | 294 | 1/1 |

2. Cluster analysis using RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP data

A dendrogram produced by UPGMA showed that the RAPD markers could be used to classify 16 Iraqi durum wheat cultivars into two major clades (Figure 1A). Clade 1 (in red) included 14 cultivars, and four subclades were generated; the first subclade included eight cultivars (G1, G2, G3, G4, G5, G9, G11, and G12), with only one cultivar composing the second subclade (G7); the third subclade contained three cultivars (G8, G13, and G16); and two cultivars (G10 and G14) generated the fourth subclade. Clade 2 (in green) included only two cultivars (G6 and G15). In accordance with the ISSR data, the UPGMA method was utilized to conduct clade analysis on all of the cultivars, and the results showed that two primary clades were produced (Figure 1B). The first clade (red) included four cultivars (G1, G2, G15, and G16), and two subclades were generated. Whereas the second clade 2 (green) included 12 cultivars, two subclades were generated based on the CDDP marker data (Figure 1C). The first clade (red) included 15 cultivars, and two subclades were produced; the first subclade comprised 14 cultivars, and the second subclade included included only one cultivar. However, only one cultivar (G9) formed the second clade.



Figure 1 Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) dendrograms of sixteen durum wheat genotypes (G1–G16) based on random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSR), and conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP). The colors of the branches correlate to the groups that are clustering; red represents the first cluster, and green represents the second cluster.

3. Analyzing population structure using RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP datasets.

RAPD markers were used in structural analysis to determine how the populations of 16 types of Iraqi durum wheat were divided. Using genotypic information from the entire genome, the K value was used to calculate the number of accession clusters that were associated with the genome. The best K value was found by graphing the number of clusters (K) against K, with the largest peak appearing at K = 3. This allowed for the determination of the optimal K value (Figure 2A). It was discovered through the use of the optimal K value that three populations, namely population 1 in red, population 2 in green, and population 3 in blue, have the highest likelihood of clustering (Figure 2B). The membership probability was set at 0.80. Independently, the cultivars that comprised each subgroup were determined, and fractions that were lower than 0.20 indicated the presence of mixing. The first population included three durum wheat cultivars (G13, G14, and G16), whereas the second population included six durum wheat cultivars: G3, G4, G5, G7, G9, and G11. The third population included four cultivars, G1, G6, G10, and G15. Among the three populations, there were three cultivars that were found to be admixed, which is evidence that these cultivars are not pure.

A structure-harvester analysis of the ISSR data was used to determine the K value that was the most accurate. In the graph that was created by plotting the number of clusters (K) against K, the maximum point was found at K = 3 (Figure 2C). Three genetic groups emerged from the 16 Iraqi durum wheat cultivars (Figure 2D). The first population (red) included only two cultivars (G15 and G16); six cultivars (G3, G4, G7, G8, G10, and G11) generated the second population (green); and the third population (blue) comprised seven cultivars; G12 was considered an admixture cultivar between populations. Using STRUCTURE software, CDDP data were used to conduct population structure analysis of 16 durum wheat cultivars via an admixture model. The Evano method identified K=3 as the optimal number of clusters (Figure 2E). A cultivar was considered a pure member of a cluster if its likelihood of membership in that cluster exceeded 80%. The first population (red) included only two cultivars (G7 and G9), and six cultivars (G1, G11, G12, G14, G15, and G16) generated the second population (green), whereas the third population comprised five cultivars (G2, G3, G4, G5, and G13). There were thirteen cultivars that were deemed to be pure, whereas the remaining three cultivars (G6, G8, and G10) were categorized as admixed (Figure 2F).



Figure 2 A delta K derived using RAPD data for a number of different population numbers (K); B evaluation of the population structure of sixteen durum wheat plants (G1–G16) based on K=3 that was determined from RAPD data; C delta K for a variety of population numbers (K) generated from information obtained from ISSR; D According to the information

provided by ISSR, the population structure of sixteen durum wheat plants (G1–G16) on K=3 is anticipate.; E delta K for various population numbers (K) resulting from CDDP data; F anticipated population structure of sixteen durum wheat plants (G1–G16) on K=3 based on CDDP data

(GI-GIO) on K=5 based on CDDP

4. Analysis of molecular variance and population diversity indices

The RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP marker data were subjected to analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) to determine the genetic differences between and within populations. According to RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers, the PhiPT values were 0.28, with a p value of 0.001; 0.24, with a p value of 0.001; and 0.06, with a p value of 0.001, respectively. The RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP marker data indicated that the overall variation among the populations described 3.00, 3.00, and 10.00%, respectively. However, the results of the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers showed the greatest amount of variance within the population, with 97.00, 97.00, and 90.00%, respectively (Table 3).

Table 3 Molecular variance analysis of durum wheat cultivar populations using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR), and conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP) data.

| Source | Degree of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean of squares | Estimated variance | Variation% |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| RAPD markers | | - | | | |
| Among Pops | 1.00 | 8.27 | 8.27 | 0.26 | 3.00 |
| Within Pops | 14.00 | 102.86 | 7.35 | 7.35 | 97.00 |
| Total | 15.00 | 111.13 | | 7.61 | 100.00 |
| PhiPT | 0.28** | | | | |
| p Value | 0.001 | | | | |
| ISSR markers | | | | | |
| Among Pops | 1.00 | 10.90 | 10.90 | 0.28 | 3.00 |
| Within Pops | 14.00 | 129.42 | 9.24 | 9.24 | 97.00 |
| Total | 15.00 | 140.31 | | 9.52 | 100.00 |
| PhiPT | 0.24** | | | | |
| p Value | 0.001 | | | | |
| CDDP markers | | | | | |
| Among Pops | 1.00 | 16.38 | 16.38 | 1.36 | 10.00 |
| Within Pops | 14.00 | 162.93 | 11.64 | 11.64 | 90.00 |
| Total | 15.00 | 179.31 | | 12.99 | 100.00 |
| PhiPT | 0.06** | | | | |
| <i>p</i> Value | 0.001 | | | | |

PhiPT the fraction of the overall genetic variation that exists between the individuals within a population, p value probability value, **statistically significant at the 0.01 level

The diversity indices (Na, Ne, I, He, uHe, and Nm (gene flow between populations)) of the populations were revealed in Table 5. The highest diversity indices of Na, Ne, I, He, and uHe were recorded for population 1 (Pop1), with 1.96, 1.65, 0.53, 0.36, and 0.38 for the RAPD markers and 1.89, 1.54, 0.48, 0.32, and 0.33 for the CDDP markers, respectively. In contrast, population 2 (Pop2) had the highest values of these diversity indices according to the ISSR markers, with values of 1.94, 1.53, 0.48, 0.32, and 0.33, respectively. The gene flow between the populations (Nm) according to the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers was 0.28, 0.24, and 0.22, respectively.

| simple sequence repeat (ISSR), and conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP) data. | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Pop | Na | Ne | Ι | He | uHe | Nm |
| RAPD markers | | | | | | |
| Pop1 | 1.96 | 1.65 | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| Pop2 | 1.06 | 1.20 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.28 |
| ISSR markers | | | | | | |
| Pop1 | 1.38 | 1.41 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.24 |
| Pop2 | 1.94 | 1.53 | 0.48 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.24 |
| CDDP markers | | | | | | |
| Pop1 | 1.89 | 1.54 | 0.48 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.22 |
| Pop2 | 0.82 | 1.16 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.22 |

Table 4 Diversity indices of populations determined using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR), and conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP) data

Pop population, *Na is the* number of observed alleles, *Ne* is the effective number of alleles, *I is* Shannon's information index, *He* is the expected heterozygosity or gene diversity, *uHe* is the unbiased expected heterozygosity, and *Nm is* the number of migrants per generation or gene flow between populations.

Discussion

In a period of climate change and evolving end-user demands, genetic diversity is crucial for providing new traits and alleles for plant breeding, especially to meet the uncertain challenges that lie ahead. Instead, to more effectively focus on their crossing schemes, breeders would benefit from knowing which optimal sources of variety should be incorporated into each program [26]. In addition, the evaluation of genetic variety and the analysis of population structure both have a multitude of advantages for the preservation of genetic resources and the implementation of an efficient breeding program [14]. A more precise evaluation of the genetic diversity present in a particular population group is associated with an increase in the capability of recognizing a superior cultivar.

In the current study, eleven RAPD, twelve ISSR, and twelve CDDP markers distributed across all the wheat chromosomes were used to group sixteen Iraqi durum wheat cultivars. The use of many molecular markers that are dispersed throughout the entire genome of a crop has the advantage of ensuring that all sections of the genome are given equal chances of representation. This, in turn, prevents erroneous assessments of the genetic similarities and differences that exist between individuals [27]. An examination into genetic diversity and population genetics was carried out with the help of the genotypic data of the collected cultivars. This investigation could potentially serve as the foundation for future breeding efforts in Iraqi durum wheat. A total of 35 diverse primers amplified 171 polymorphic products, and the average number of polymorphic bands produced by CDDP primers from various markers was 5.92, which was higher than the value produced by RAPD primers (4.27), as well as the value produced by ISSR primers (4.41). Previous research conducted on the genetic diversity of Iraqi durum wheat using RAPD markers revealed a lower average number of polymorphic bands (2.5). These variations most likely result from polymorphisms in the various genomic regions of durum wheat [28]. A similar result was detected by using fifteen ISSR primers, which amplified a total of 221 bands in a set of 41 durum wheat accessions, 163 of which were polymorphic[29]. As opposed to ISSR markers, which target regions flanking microsatellites in the genome, CDDP tags amplify sections of functional genes that are conserved [30]. The high degrees of polymorphism displayed by the different markers made it evident that they could be applied to studies of genetic variability in durum wheat cultivars [31].

Measures of genetic variety among genotypes in breeding populations, known as the gene diversity indices (Na, Ne, I, He, uHe, and PIC), provide insight into the evolutionary pressures acting on alleles and the rate of mutation a locus may have experienced throughout time [3]. PIC values are reliable indicators of marker significance for relationship analysis when evaluating the degree of inheritance between offspring and their parents' genotypes. [32]. Several other indices provide estimates of average heterozygosity and genetic distance among individuals in a group, as well as information on gene diversity of haploid markers [33].

The average PIC values for the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers in our study were 0.28, 0.32, and 0.30, respectively. The values were established by comparison. The Iraqi durum wheat cultivars showed significant genetic diversity, as indicated by the results of three markers. The markers' values exceeded 0.25, signifying considerable genetic variation. Markers with a PIC value below 0.25 were deemed somewhat useful, but markers with a PIC value above 0.5 were considered highly informative. PIC values ranging from 0.25 to 0.5 were deemed moderately beneficial [34–36]. A similar set of data was published by Eltaher et al. [37] with regard to winter wheat. In terms of their ability to differentiate between individuals, the three different types of markers have the following order of discrimination power: CDDP > ISSR > RAPD.

Diversity indices in statistics are utilized to describe the variability present in a population where each individual is part of a distinct group. The indices that have higher values indicate a greater diversity, whilst the indices that have lower values imply a lower diversity. The expected heterozygosity (He) values for RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP had mean values of 0.22, 0.27, and 0.21, respectively. These values indicate a modest level of genetic variability within a population among its cultivars. The value of Shannon's information index (I) acquired by the CDDP markers was higher than the value obtained by the RAPD and ISSR markers on average. Based on these data, it was determined that the CDDP genome has a significant amount of diversity between the cultivars. The framework for comprehending population structure has been developed by examining the diversity of durum wheat cultivars. Analysis of population structure helps to explain genetic diversity and facilitates association mapping research in the future. A mapping population with population structure may produce erroneous positive correlations between features and markers [37]. The genetic variety and accuracy of genome-wide association studies (GWASs) can be improved by using population-distinguishing analysis.

In our investigation, two major clusters were produced based on all the markers; the distance between the cultivars in the cluster may be useful for breeding programs because more cultivars are separated; for example, the Cham 1 cultivar has the most significant genetic distance from the Simeto, Bohooth 5, and Guayacan cultivars. Similar results were revealed in durum wheat by Alemu et al. [38]. Another study using ISSR markers for clustering 41 durum wheat accessions and four groups was produced [29]. Structural analysis revealed that, unlike in the dendrogram plot, the populations may be categorized into three distinct groups. In the dendrogram plot, the cluster distribution took on a pattern that was strikingly similar to the distribution pattern that was found in the structure analysis. Due to admixed accessions, it was determined that the majority of the diversity was located inside the clusters. Historically, it was believed that the process of ancestral mixing was connected to the exchange of plant germplasm and hybridization. Alemu et al. [38] reported similar results in which three populations were generated among 167 durum wheat cultivars using SNP markers. The study showed differences among and between the populations tested using the AMOVA statistic. Interestingly, in this study, the intrapopulation variation exceeded the interpopulation variation. Gene drift and gene flow significantly affect the studied populations of these durum wheat cultivars. A previous study suggested that the substantial population variance can be attributed to natural crossing, clonal proliferation, or longlived cultivars [39]. Diversity indices among populations revealed the genetic

diversity between the populations. Two populations were created, and the diversity indices were greater in population 2 for the RAPD and CDDP markers. Additionally, the Nm values were 0.28, 0.24, and 0.22 for the RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers, respectively. A Nm value less than one indicates limited gene exchange among populations [37]. However, in our study, the Nm value was less than one, suggesting low genetic exchange or low gene flow among the populations.

Conclusion

Sixteen durum wheat samples were collected and genotyped using 35 RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP markers to assess genetic diversity and population structure within the cultivars. The data obtained from marker analysis could be more effective at determining genetic variation among durum wheat varieties. Durum wheat cultivars displayed significant heterogeneity in the genetic markers RAPD, ISSR, and CDDP, which could be valuable for genetic research and breeding initiatives. Diversity indices revealed the presence of moderate genetic diversity in Iraqi durum wheat cultivars. The obtained average PIC values ordered the power of discrimination of durum wheat as follows: ISSR>CDDP>RAPD. Dendrograms were used to divide the cultivars into two clades, and three populations were created for structural analysis based on all the markers. These analyses can assist in assessing the variety of germplasm collections and choosing cultivars for future breeding. Hence, sustainable conservation and utilization of durum wheat genetic resources are key for future breeding strategies in Iraq.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the people who worked at the College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences at the University of Sulaimani for their assistance and cooperation throughout the research process.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Ethical approval: This study is not applicable

Consent to participate: Not applicable

Consent for publication: This study is not applicable.

References

- [1]. Yang F, Zhang J, Liu Q, Liu H, Zhou Y, Yang W, et al. Improvement and Re-Evolution of Tetraploid Wheat for Global Environmental Challenge and Diversity Consumption Demand. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022;23(4):2206.
- [2]. Kaleri GS, Memon S, Kaleri AA, Magsi MA, Kumar V, Dhiloo AJ, et al. Genetic Diversity Studies in Durum Wheat (Triticum durum L.) Accessions. Journal of Arable Crops and Marketing. 2023;5(2):49-54.
- Rabieyan E, Darvishzadeh R, Mohammadi R, Gul A, Rasheed A, Akhar FK, et al. Genetic diversity, linkage [3]. disequilibrium, and population structure of tetraploid wheat landraces originating from Europe and Asia. BMC genomics. 2023;24(1):682.

- [4]. Galal AA, Safhi FA, El-Hity MA, Kamara MM, Gamal El-Din EM, Rehan M, et al. Molecular Genetic Diversity of Local and Exotic Durum Wheat Genotypes and Their Combining Ability for Agronomic Traits under Water Deficit and Well-Watered Conditions. Life. 2023;13(12):2293.
- [5]. Al-Hiti MJH, Al-Ubaidi MAG. Study of Yield and its Components for Several Genotypes of Durum Wheat (*Triticum Durum* L.) Newly Derived Under Three Seeding Rate in the Conditions of Anbar Governorate. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 2021. p. 12031.
- [6]. Boukid F, Dall'Asta M, Bresciani L, Mena P, Del Rio D, Calani L, et al. Phenolic profile and antioxidant capacity of landraces, old and modern Tunisian durum wheat. European Food Research and Technology. 2019;245(1):73–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-018-3141-1
- [7]. Saini P, Kaur H, Tyagi V, Saini P, Ahmed N, Dhaliwal HS, et al. Nutritional value and end-use quality of durum wheat. Cereal Research Communications. 2023;51(2):283–94.
- [8]. Collins B, Chenu K. Improving productivity of Australian wheat by adapting sowing date and genotype phenology to future climate. Climate Risk Management. 2021;32:100300.
- [9]. Sakran RM, Ghazy MI, Rehan M, Alsohim AS, Mansour E. Molecular Genetic Diversity and Combining Ability for Some Physiological and Agronomic Traits in Rice under Well-Watered and Water-Deficit Conditions. Plants . 2022;11(5).
- [10]. Nadeem MA, Nawaz MA, Shahid MQ, Dougan Y, Comertpay G, Y\ild\iz M, et al. DNA molecular markers in plant breeding: current status and recent advancements in genomic selection and genome editing. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment. 2018;32(2):261–85.
- [11]. Abd-El-Haleem SHM, Reham MA, Mohamed SMS. Genetic Analysis and RAPD Polymorphism in Some Durum Wheat Genotypes. Global Journal of Biotechnology & Biochemistry. 2009;4(1):1–09.
- [12]. Amiteye S. Basic concepts and methodologies of DNA marker systems in plant molecular breeding. Heliyon. 2021;7(10):e08093.
- [13]. Collard BCY, Mackill DJ. Conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP): A simple and novel method for generating DNA markers in plants. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter. 2009;27(4):558–62.
- [14]. Rasul KS, Grundler FMW, Tahir NAR. Genetic diversity and population structure assessment of Iraqi tomato accessions using fruit characteristics and molecular markers. Horticulture Environment and Biotechnology. 2022;63(4):523–38.
- [15]. Igwe DO, Ihearahu OC, Osano AA, Acquaah G, Ude GN. Genetic diversity and population assessment of Musa L.(Musaceae) employing CDDP markers. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter. 2021;1–20.
- [16]. Ma M, Yan Z, Lu B. Assessment of Genetic Diversity of the Medicinal and Aromatic Crop, Amomum tsao-ko, Using PAAP and CDDP Markers. Agriculture. 2022;12(10):1536.
- [17]. Ahmed AA, Qadir SA, Tahir NA razzak. Genetic Variation and Structure Analysis of Iraqi Valonia Oak (*Quercus aegilops* L.) Populations Using Conserved DNA-Derived Polymorphism and Inter-Simple Sequence Repeats Markers. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter. 2023;41(1):1–14.: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-022-01347-5
- [18]. Majeed HO, Faraj JM, Rasul KS, Lateef DD, Tahir NAR. Evaluation of the genetic diversity and population structure of reticulated iris accessions in the Iraqi Kurdistan region using SCoT and SRAP markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2024;1–16.
- [19]. Tahir NA. Genetic Variability Evaluation Among Iraqi Rice (Oryza sativa L) Varieties using RAPD Markers and Protein Profiling. Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2014;7(1).
- [20]. Bauer I, Mladenovic-Drinic S, Filipovic M, Konstantinov K. Genetic characterization of early maturing maize hybrids (Zea mays L.) obtained by protein and RAPD markers. Genetika. 2005;37(3):235–43.
- [21]. Lateef DD. Genetic diversity of barley accessions and their response under abiotic stresses using different approaches. PhD. Dissertation, University of Sulaimani; 2022.
- [22]. Rasul KS. Response of different tomato accessions to biotic and abiotic stresses. PhD. dessirtation, University of Sulaimani; 2023.
- [23]. Tahir N, Lateef D, Rasul K, Rahim D, Mustafa K, Sleman S, et al. Assessment of genetic variation and population structure in Iraqi barley accessions using ISSR, CDDP, and SCoT markers. Czech Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2023;59(3):148–59. https://doi.org/10.17221/112/2022-CJGPB
- [24]. Tahir NAR, Rasul KS, Lateef DD. Effect of mixing oak leaf biomass with soil on cadmium toxicity and translocation in tomato genotypes. Heliyon. 2023;9(8).
- [25]. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000;155(2):945–59.

- [26]. Kabbaj H, Sall AT, Al-Abdallat A, Geleta M, Amri A, Filali-Maltouf A, et al. Genetic diversity within a global panel of durum wheat (*Triticum durum*) landraces and modern germplasm reveals the history of alleles exchange. Frontiers in plant science. 2017;8:1277.
- [27]. Singh KH, Singh L, Parmar N, Kumar S, Nanjundan J, Singh G, et al. Molecular characterization and genetic diversity analysis in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss.) varieties using SSR markers. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(8):1–18.
- [28]. Tahir NAR. Assessment of genetic diversity among wheat varieties in Sulaimanyah using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2008;1(4):159–64.
- [29]. Shikhseyidova G, Akhundova E, Quliyev R, Eshghi R, Salayeva S, Ojaghi J. Molecular diversity and genetic structure of durum wheat landraces. Albanian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015;14(2):112.
- [30]. Ahmed DA, Tahir NAR, Salih SH, Talebi R. Genome diversity and population structure analysis of Iranian landrace and improved barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes using arbitrary functional gene-based molecular markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2021;68(3):1045–60.
- [31]. Allan GJ, Max TL. Molecular genetic techniques and markers for ecological research. Nature Education Knowledge. 2010;3(2).
- [32]. Najaphy A, Parchin RA, Farshadfar E. Evaluation of genetic diversity in wheat cultivars and breeding lines using inter simple sequence repeat markers. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment. 2011;25(4):2634–8.
- [33]. Farhangian-Kashani S, Azadi A, Khaghani S, Changizi M, Gomarian M. Association analysis and evaluation of genetic diversity in wheat genotypes using SSR markers. Biologia Futura. 2021;72(4):441–52.
- [34]. Aziz RR, Tahir NAR. Genetic diversity and structure analysis of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) genotypes using URP, SRAP, and CDDP markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2023;70(3):799–813.
- [35]. Kimwemwe PK, Bukomarhe CB, Mamati EG, Githiri SM, Civava RM, Mignouna J, et al. Population structure and genetic diversity of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) using DArTseq-Derived single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). Agronomy. 2023;13(7):1906.
- [36]. Serrote CML, Reiniger LRS, Silva KB, dos Santos Rabaiolli SM, Stefanel CM. Determining the Polymorphism Information Content of a molecular marker. Gene. 2020;726:144175.
- [37]. Eltaher S, Sallam A, Belamkar V, Emara HA, Nower AA, Salem KFM, et al. Genetic diversity and population structure of F3: 6 Nebraska winter wheat genotypes using genotyping-by-sequencing. Frontiers in genetics. 2018;9:76.
- [38]. Alemu A, Feyissa T, Letta T, Abeyo B. Genetic diversity and population structure analysis based on the high density SNP markers in Ethiopian durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. durum). BMC genetics. 2020;21:1–12.
- [39]. McKey D, Elias M, Pujol B, Duputié A. The evolutionary ecology of clonally propagated domesticated plants. New Phytologist. 2010;186(2):318–32.

تحليل التنوع الجينى بين بعض أصناف الحنطة الخشنة العراقية مستخدما البادئات الجزيئية

المتنوعة.

ميهربان شريف معروف¹ دژوار ظاهر لطي¹ كامل محمود مصطفى¹ هيرو فاتح حمه كريم 1 شهنگ حسيب عبدالقادر¹ شوخان محمود سليمان¹

داستان احمد احمد¹ كامران صالح رسول².

¹ قسم التكنولوجيا الحياتية وعلم المحاصيل الحقلية، كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية، جامعة السليمانية، السليمانية، العراق. ² قسم البستنة، كلية علوم الهندسة الزراعية، جامعة السليمانية، السليمانية، العراق.

الخلاصة

تمت زراعة الحنطة الخشنة منذ بداية استنداث المحاصيل، ويحتل الآن المرتبة العاشرة بين أهم المحاصيل المزروعة على مستوى العالم. على الرغم من أن مدى التتوع الوراثي للمحاصيل لم يتم استخدامه بشكل كامل في الأصناف الحديثة من خلال برامج التربية. في هذه الدراسة، تم استخدام مجموعه 35 باديء (11 RAPO، 21 SSR 1، و 21 CDD) لتقبيم التباين الوراثي والتركيبي المجتمعي لستة عشر صنفاً مختلفاً من الحنطة الخشنة العراقي. تم الحصول على 294 اليل ، وتم تحديد 171 منهم بوليمورفك: 47 أليل من 98 RAPD ، و 53 أليل من إجمالي 89 SSR ، و 71 أليل من 107 *CDDP*. متوسط عدد الأليلات الكلية (10 منهم بوليمورفك: 47 أليل من 98 RAPD ، و 53 أليل من إجمالي 89 SSR ، و 71 أليل من 107 التركيبي المتوقع غير متوقع (14)، والعدد للأليلات الفعالة (10)، ومؤشر شانون (1)، والخلط التركيبي المتوقع أو التتوع الجيني (14 ، 2000. متوسط عدد الأليلات الكلية (10)، والعدد للأليلات الفعالة (10) (14.5) ، تم الحصول على 15.3 ، 200 ، 20.0 ، والخلط معلى التركيبي المتوقع غير متوقع (14)، ومحتوى المعلومات متعدد الأشكال (20) (14.5) ، تم الحصول على 15.3 ، 20.0 ، 20.0 ، و 20.0 ، على التوالي) لـ *CDDR ، 10.3 ، 14.*1 ، 20.0 ، 20.0 ، و 20.0 ، على التوالي) المروعة من معموعات ، 20.0

الكلمات المفتاحية : حنطة الخشنة، تباين الوراثي، التحليل الهرمي، التكيب العشيري، التحسين الوراثي.