



Strong Converse Inequality

Eman S. Bhaya and Hind A. Shakir

Mathematics Department, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Babylon, Iraq

Received Date: 16 / May / 2015

Accepted Date: 19 / Feb / 2016

الخلاصة

قدمنا في هذا البحث مؤثرات للدوال في الفضائيات L_p عندما $P < 1$ والمعروفة على كرة الوحدة بعدها استخدمنا تلك المؤثرات لبرهان مبرهن عكسية لمبرهنة مباشرة قدمناها مسبقا بدلالة الدالي K .

الكلمات المفتاحية

مؤثرات الدوال ، البرهنة العكسية الاقوى ، الدالي K

Abstract

In this paper we introduce operators for functions from L_p for $P < 1$, defined on unit sphere and then we use them to prove strong converse inequality for direct theorem that we introduce in terms of K-functional.

Keywords

Operators for functions, strong converse inequality, K-functional



1. Introduction

For R^d , the unit sphere U^{d-1} is given by $U^{d-1} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) : |x| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^{1/2} = 1\}$. Let $L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$ be the space of all mappings $U^{d-1} \rightarrow R$, with $\|f\|_{L_p(U^{d-1})} = \|f\|_p := \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |f|^p\right)^{1/p} < \infty$.

And

$$L_p^n := \{f: f \in L_p, f, \dots, f^{(n)} \in L_p(U^{d-1})\}, \quad p < 1.$$

For a function $f \in L_p(U^{d-1})$, $d \geq 3$, the average on the cap of the sphere is given by [1]

$$B_t(f, y) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\ell} f(x) d\sigma(x), \quad t > 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.1)$$

where ; $\ell = \{y: |y|=1, cost \leq x.y \leq 1, x, y \in U^{d-1}\}$ and $x.y$ is the inner product defined on R^d . $d\sigma(x)$ is the measure on the sphere

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{2\pi^{(d-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u \, du$$

For a function $f(x)$ ($x \in U^{d-1}$) which is integrable on U^{d-1} , the average on the cap $S_t(f, y)$ is given by [1]

$$S_t(f, y) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{x,y=cost} f(x) d\gamma(x), \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in U^{d-1} \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.2)$$

where $d\gamma(x)$ is the measure ($d-2$ dimensional) of x on $x.y = cost$,

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{2\pi^{(d-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \sin^{d-2} t.$$

The Laplace – Beltrami operator on $x \in U^{d-1}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Delta}f(x) = \Delta f(x / |x|) \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.3)$$

where ;

$$\Delta f(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} f(x) + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2} f(x)$$

If $f \in L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, the K-functional can be defined as

$$K_r(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^{2r})_p^p = \inf (\|f - g\|_p^p + t^{2r} \|\tilde{\Delta}^r g\|_p^p; \tilde{\Delta}^r g \in L_p(U^{d-1})) \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.4)$$

$$K(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^2)_p^p \equiv K_1(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^2)_p^p$$

Using the definition of $B_t(f, x)$, $B_t(f, x)$ is bounded operator. In fact

$$\|B_t(f, x)\|_{L_p(U^{d-1})} = \|B_t(f, x)\|_p = \left\| \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\ell} f(x) d\sigma(x) \right\|_p$$

$$\leq c(p) \|f\|_p \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.5)$$

If $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplace – Beltrami , for $f \in L_p^2$

$$(U^{d-1}), we get \tilde{\Delta}B_t(f, x) = \Delta B_t(f(x) / |x|)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \left(B_t(f(x_1)) / |x| + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2} B_t(f(x_d)) / |x| \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\ell} f(x_1) d\sigma(x_1) / |x| + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\ell} f(x_d) d\sigma(x_d) / |x| \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} f(x_1) d\sigma(x_1) / |x| + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d^2} f(x_d) d\sigma(x_d) / |x| \right) \right) \\ &= B_t(\Delta f(x) / |x|) \\ &= B_t(\tilde{\Delta}f, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then :

$$\tilde{\Delta}B_t(f, x) = B_t(\tilde{\Delta}f, x) \quad \dots\dots\dots(1.6)$$

2. Auxiliary Results

In this section, let us introduce the results that we need in our work.

2.1. Lemma [3]

Suppose $f(x) \in L_p^2(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, and $B_t(f, x)$, $S_t(f, x)$, $\tilde{\Delta}f(x)$ are given by (1.1), (1.2), (1.3). Then for $x \in U^{d-1}$ and $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} B_t(f, x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}f, x) d\rho d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \left\{ \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \int_{\ell} \tilde{\Delta}f(y) d\sigma(y) d\rho \right\} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} S_t(f, x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \sin^{d-2} t \int_0^t \sin^{2-d} \theta d\theta \int_{\ell} \tilde{\Delta}f(y) d\sigma(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{2-d} \theta \varphi(\theta) B_\theta(\tilde{\Delta}f, x) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Lemma

For $f \in L_p^4(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, and $B_t(g, x)$, $\tilde{\Delta}g(x)$ are given by (1.1), (1.3). Then we have :

$$\|B_t g(x) - g(x) - \alpha(t) \tilde{\Delta}g(x)\|_p \leq c(p) t^4 \|\tilde{\Delta}g(x)\|_p.$$

where ; $0 < At^2 \leq \alpha(t) \leq Bt^2$

Proof :

We can use Lemma 2.1

and write $B_t(g, x) - g(x) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) d\rho d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) (B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) + \tilde{\Delta}g - \tilde{\Delta}g) d\rho d\theta \\ &= \tilde{\Delta}g \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) d\rho d\theta \right) + \\ & \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) (B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) - \tilde{\Delta}g) d\rho d\theta \\ &= \alpha(t) \tilde{\Delta}g(x) + ct^2 (B_t(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) - \tilde{\Delta}g(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$$B_t(g, x) - g(x) - \alpha(t) \tilde{\Delta}g(x) = ct^2 (B_t(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) - \tilde{\Delta}g(x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_t(g, x) - g(x) - \alpha(t) \tilde{\Delta}g(x)\|_p &\leq ct^2 \|B_t(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) - \tilde{\Delta}g(x)\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t(g, x) - \tilde{\Delta}g(x)\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \|\tilde{\Delta}(B_t(g, x) - g(x))\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \left\| \tilde{\Delta} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) d\rho d\theta \right) \right\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \left\| \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) \tilde{\Delta}B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}g, x) d\rho d\theta \right\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \left\| \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} \theta \int_0^\theta \sin^{2-d} \rho \varphi(\rho) B_\rho(\tilde{\Delta}^2g, x) d\rho d\theta \right\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \|\tilde{\Delta}(B_t(g, x) - g(x))\|_p \\ &\leq ct^2 \|\tilde{\Delta}(B_t(g, x) - g(x))\|_p \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Lemma

For $f \in L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, and $B_t(f, x)$ given by (1.1), $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplace – Beltrami , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^2 f(x)\|_p &= \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^2 f(x) + \tilde{\Delta}B_t^4 f(x) - \tilde{\Delta}B_t^4 f(x)\|_p \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^4 f(x)\|_p + \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^2 f(x) - \tilde{\Delta}B_t^4 f(x)\|_p \\ &\leq \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^4 f(x)\|_p + \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^2 (f(x) - \tilde{\Delta}B_t^2 f(x))\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Lemma [1]

If ξx , $B_t(f, x)$ is given by

$$B_t(f, x) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} f(v + (x \cos \theta + \xi \sin \theta) \sqrt{1 - |v|^2}) d\theta dv$$

where;

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{2\pi^{(d-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \int_0^t \sin^{d-2} u du$$

$$\Omega = B_{x, \xi} \text{ sint} = \{v : v \cdot x = 0, v \cdot \xi = 0, |v| \leq \text{sint}\},$$

$$\kappa = \arccos(cost / \sqrt{1 - |v|^2})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} B_t(f, x) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\Omega} \left[\begin{array}{l} f(v + x \cos t + \xi \sqrt{1 - |v|^2 - \cos^2 t}) \alpha(t, v) - \\ f(v + x \cos t - \xi \sqrt{1 - |v|^2 - \cos^2 t}) \beta(t, v) \end{array} \right] dv,$$

where $\alpha(t, v)$ and $\beta(t, v)$ are close to 1 and are bounded by 1

2.5. Remark [4]

For $f \in L_\theta(U^{d-1})$, $1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$, there exists $g \in L_\theta(U^{d-1})$, $1 \leq \theta' \leq \infty$ such that for $\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta'} = 1$, We have :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t B_{\tau} f\|_\theta - \varepsilon &\leq |\langle g, \tilde{\Delta}B_t B_{\tau} f \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle g, B_t \tilde{\Delta}B_{\tau} f \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle B_t g, \tilde{\Delta}B_{\tau} f \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \text{grad}_{tan} B_t g, \text{grad}_{tan} B_{\tau} f \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then : } \|\tilde{\Delta}B_t B_{\tau} f\|_\theta - \varepsilon \leq \|\text{grad}_{tan} B_t g\|_\theta \cdot \|\text{grad}_{tan} B_{\tau} f\|_\theta$$

3. The Main Results

In this section we shall introduce our main results.

3.1. Theorem

If f in $L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, then $\text{grad}_{tan} B_t f$ is in $L_p(U^{d-1})$ and

$$\|\text{grad}_{tan} B_t f\|_{L_p} \leq \frac{c(p)\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \|f\|_{L_p} \leq \frac{c(p)}{t} \|f\|_{L_p}.$$

Proof :

By Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} B_t(f, x) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \frac{1}{\varphi(t)} \int_{\Omega} \left[f(v + x \cos t + \xi \sqrt{(1 - |v|^2) - \cos^2 t}) \alpha(t, v) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - f(x + x \cos t - \xi \sqrt{(1 - |v|^2) - \cos^2 t}) \beta(t, v) \right] dv \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\varphi(t)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left| f(v + x \cos t + \xi \sqrt{(1 - |v|^2) - \cos^2 t}) \right| dv \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} \left| f(v + x \cos t + \xi \sqrt{(1 - |v|^2) - \cos^2 t}) \right| dv \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_{U^{d-1}} f(x) dx \leq [\text{measure of } U^{d-1}] [\max_{x \in U^{d-1}} f(x)]$.

$$\text{Then : } \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} B_t(f, x) \right| \leq \frac{2\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} S_t(|f|, x)$$

$$|grad_{tan} B_t(f, x)| = \max_{\xi \perp x} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} B_t(f, x) \right|.$$

Then we get, for $p < 1$ and $f \in L_p^1(U^{d-1})$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|grad_{tan} B_t(f, x)\|_p &= \int_{U^{d-1}} (|grad_{tan} B_t(f, x)|^p dx)^{1/p} \\
&= \int_{U^{d-1}} \left(\max_{\xi \perp x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} B_t(f, x) \right)^p dx^{1/p} \\
&\leq \int_{U^{d-1}} \left(\left| \frac{2\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} S_t(|f|, x) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \frac{2\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \|S_t(|f|, x)\|_p
\end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{2\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \leq \frac{c(p)}{t}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|grad_{tan} B_t(f, x)\|_p &\leq \frac{c(p)}{t} \left\| \frac{1}{\psi(t)} \int_{x,y=cost} f(x) d\gamma(x) \right\|_p \\
&\leq \frac{c(p)}{t} \|f\|_p \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

3.2. Theorem

For $f \in L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$. Then

$$\|\tilde{\Delta}^r B_{\tau_1} \dots B_{\tau_{2r}} f\|_p \leq \frac{c_r(p)}{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{2r}} \|f\|_p.$$

Proof :

$$\text{Since } \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t B_\tau f\|_p - \varepsilon \leq \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t B_\tau f\|_0 - \varepsilon , \theta \geq 1$$

We choose g as in Remark2.5 , then we get :

$$\|\tilde{\Delta} B_t B_\tau f\|_p - \varepsilon \leq \|grad_{tan} B_t g\|_\theta \cdot \|grad_{tan} B_\tau\|_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{if } \theta \geq 1 \text{ ,and } \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\bar{\theta}} = 1 \\
&\|grad_{tan} B_t g\|_\theta = \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\bar{\theta}} dx \right)^{1/\theta} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{\theta+1-\frac{1}{\theta}}{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-\bar{\theta}}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{1}{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-\bar{\theta}}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{1}{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that: $\frac{1}{\theta} = q$, so $\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{q}$, and $q < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|grad_{tan} B_t g\|_\theta &\leq \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{1}{q}-q} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^q dx \right)^{q-\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{1}{q}-q+q} dx \right)^{q-\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^{\frac{1}{q} \times q^2} dx \right)^{q \times \frac{1}{q^2}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_t g|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= \|grad_{tan} B_t g\|_q , \quad q < 1 \quad(3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
\|grad_{tan} B_\tau f\|_\theta &= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^\theta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} = \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\theta+\frac{1}{\theta}-\frac{1}{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-\bar{\theta}}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\theta-\frac{1}{\theta}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\frac{1}{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta-\bar{\theta}}} \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\theta-\frac{1}{\theta}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\frac{1}{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that: $\frac{1}{\theta} = p$ so $\theta = \frac{1}{p}$ and $p < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|grad_{tan} B_\tau f\|_\theta &= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\frac{1}{p}-p} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\frac{1}{p}-p} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\frac{1}{p}-p+p} dx \right)^{\frac{p-\frac{1}{p}+1}{p}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^{\frac{1}{p} \times p^2} dx \right)^{\frac{p^2-p}{p^2}} \\
&= \left(\int_{U^{d-1}} |grad_{tan} B_\tau f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \|grad_{tan} B_\tau f\|_p, \quad p < 1 \quad(3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) ,we get:

$$\|\tilde{\Delta} B_t B_\tau f\|_p - \varepsilon \leq \|grad_{tan} B_t g\|_q \cdot \|grad_{tan} B_\tau f\|_p , \text{ where: } p < 1, q < 1 \text{ and } p + q = 1$$

Let $\|g\|_q = c(q)$, and by Theorem 3.2 we get:

$$\|\tilde{\Delta}B_t B_t f\|_p - \varepsilon \leq \frac{c(q)}{t} \|g\|_q \cdot \frac{c(p)}{\tau} \|f\|_p$$

$$\leq \frac{c^2(q) c(p)}{t\tau} \|f\|_p.$$

ε is arbitrary, implies our result for $r = 1$
Repeating the above we get

$$\|\tilde{\Delta}^r B_{\tau_1} \dots B_{\tau_{2r}} f\|_p \leq \frac{c_r(p)}{\tau_1 \dots \tau_{2r}} \|f\|_p, \quad p < 1 \blacksquare$$

3.3. Corollary

For $f \in L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, and $B_t(f, x)$ given by (1.1), we have

$$\|\tilde{\Delta}B_t^m(f, x)\|_p \leq \frac{c(p)}{t^2} \|f(x)\|_p$$

3.4. Theorem

For $f \in L_p(U^{d-1})$, $p < 1$, and $B_t(f, x)$, $K(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^2)$ are given by (1.1), (1.4) we have, for some M independent of f , p or t

$$K(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^2)_p \approx \|f - B_t f\|_p + \|f - B_{t/M} f\|_p$$

Proof :

We note that we need to show only that

$$K(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^2)_p \approx \|f - B_t f\|_p + \|f - B_{t/M} f\|_p$$

We use Lemma 2.2 to write

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{t/M} B_t^4 f - B_t^4 f - \alpha(t) \tilde{\Delta} B_t^4 f\|_p &\leq c(p) \frac{t^4}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta}^2 B_t^4 f\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \frac{t^4}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^2 f \tilde{\Delta} B_t^2 f\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \frac{t^4}{M^4} \cdot \frac{c(p)}{t^2} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^2 f\|_p \\ &\leq c(p) \frac{t^2}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^2 f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_{t/M} B_t^4 f - B_t^4 f - \alpha(t) \tilde{\Delta} B_t^4 f\|_p \\ \leq c(p) \frac{t^2}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^4 f\|_p + c(p) \frac{t^2}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^2(f - B_t^2 f)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c(p) \frac{t^2}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^4 f\|_p + c(p) \frac{2}{M^4} \|f - B_t^2 f\|_p$$

Choosing M independent of f and t to have

$$c(p) \frac{t^2}{M^4} \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^4 f\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha\left(\frac{t}{M}\right) \|\tilde{\Delta} B_t^4 f\|_p$$

Then we get :

$$K(f, \tilde{\Delta}, t^2)_p \leq c(p) \|f - B_t f\|_p + \|f - B_{t/M} f\|_p \blacksquare$$

References

- [1] W.Chen and Z. Ditzian, Best approximation and K- functionals, Acta, Hungar .75 , No.3, 165-208, (1997).
- [2] E. Bhaya, On the constrained and unconstrained approximation Ph . D. Thesis , Baghdad University , Iraq, (2003).
- [3] Z. Ditzian and K. Runovskii , Averages and K-functional related to the Laplacian , J.Approx. Theory , 7, 113-139, (1999).
- [4] V .H .Hristov and K. G. Ivanov , Realization of K- functionals on subsets and constrained approximation , math. Balkanica (N . s .) 4, 236-257, (1990).
- [5] Z.Ditzian and K.Runovskii , Averages and K-functional related to the Laplacian , Recived May , 13, 128-131, (1997).