



Al-Rafidain Journal of Engineering Sciences

Journal homepage <https://rjes.iq/index.php/rjes>

ISSN 3005-3153 (Online)



Understanding the Impact of Driver Behavior on Traffic Safety: A Comprehensive Review of Behavioral, Technological, and Environmental Factors

Omer Mohammed Sressih¹, Hanan Adil Khudhair²

^{1,2}Highway and Transportation Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 May 2025
Revised 12 May 2025
Accepted 30 May 2025
Available online 31 May 2025

Keywords:

Driver behavior
Traffic safety
Aggressive driving
Distraction
Smart mobility
Artificial intelligence in driver behavior detection

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the multifaceted impact of driver behavior on road traffic safety by analyzing 45 behavioral and contextual variables derived from a synthesis of 45 peer-reviewed studies. Key factors influencing crash risk include speeding, aggressive driving, distraction, fatigue, emotional instability, and driver demographics such as age, gender, and experience. The study further explores how technology, particularly real-time feedback systems, AI-based monitoring, and vehicle-to-vehicle communication, can serve as behavioral modifiers. Additionally, environmental conditions, road design, policy frameworks, and cultural norms are evaluated for their role in shaping driver decisions and traffic outcomes. Findings reveal that traffic safety cannot be addressed through isolated measures but requires an integrative framework that combines behavioral insights, infrastructure design, technological innovation, and context-sensitive policy interventions. This comprehensive review provides a foundation for future safety programs that are data-driven, behaviorally aware, and culturally adaptive.

1. Introduction

Road traffic safety remains a significant global concern, with more than 1.3 million fatalities annually, according to data from the World Health Organization. Among numerous contributing factors, driver behavior consistently emerges as a crucial determinant influencing crash risk. Several studies highlight psychological characteristics, including aggression, impulsivity, distraction, and willingness to accept risks, as key elements impacting driver responses in real-time road conditions. For instance, repeated engagement with racing video games has been linked to altered self-perceptions among drivers, heightening their tendency to engage in risky driving practices [1].

Besides inherent psychological traits, momentary distractions—such as mobile phone usage and environmental factors—are increasingly recognized as primary sources of driver inattention and delayed response times [2]. Although advanced driver assistance systems and integrated safety technologies in modern vehicles offer significant potential for mitigating these issues, some research indicates that these technologies might inadvertently induce a false sense of security. Consequently, drivers may become more prone to committing violations, such as speeding, or exhibit reduced overall vigilance [3]. Moreover, the rise of artificial intelligence-based driver monitoring technologies brings both opportunities and complex challenges. While these systems can effectively detect fatigue and distraction, their

Corresponding author E-mail address: hanan.adil@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq
<https://doi.org/10.61268/8mb3nc73>

This work is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International) under

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> 

practical application must thoughtfully address ethical concerns, driver acceptance, and privacy implications [4].

Additional demographic and psychosocial variables further influence driving outcomes. Aging, for instance, affects drivers' reaction speeds, cognitive functioning, and their overall perception of risks, thus raising legitimate concerns regarding driving fitness among elderly populations [5]. Conversely, younger and less experienced drivers, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, often demonstrate hazardous driving behaviors exacerbated by limited formal training, insufficient law enforcement, and cultural or societal influences [6].

Given these complex factors, examining the interplay between driver behaviors, technological interventions, and broader contextual circumstances is essential. This paper systematically reviews 45 behavioral and environmental factors related to road safety, synthesizing current empirical evidence and providing practical insights for policy-making, infrastructure design, and driver education programs.

2. Aggressive and Risky Driving Behavior

2.1 Exposure to Racing Video Games

Engagement with racing video games has been shown to increase aggressive and risky driving tendencies. Fischer et al. demonstrated that repeated exposure to these games can alter an individual's self-perception, leading them to associate more strongly with reckless driving identities. This psychological shift results in increased inclination toward real-world risk-taking, such as speeding, tailgating, and unsafe overtaking. The authors argue that this media-driven aggression can translate into elevated crash risks, especially among impressionable drivers [1].

2.2 Driving Anger and Aggressive Reactions

Aggression behind the wheel is not only a product of personality but also a response to environmental and emotional stressors. Muhammad et al. found a strong association between driving anger and accident proneness

using structural equation modeling. Their analysis showed that individuals with higher levels of trait anger were more likely to engage in behaviors such as road rage, speeding, and abrupt lane changes. Such behavioral patterns threaten both individual and public road safety, highlighting the importance of implementing interventions aimed at emotional regulation and providing anger management training specifically tailored for drivers [7].

2.3 Aggressive Driving Among Professional Drivers

In a study focused on bus drivers, Cho et al. examined the internal and occupational factors contributing to aggressive driving behavior. The analysis revealed that job-related stressors—particularly strict schedules, limited organizational support, and constant time pressure—were key contributors. These challenges often resulted in behaviors like excessive honking, following other vehicles too closely, and deliberately ignoring traffic signals. The study concluded that mitigating stress at the organizational level could play a significant role in curbing aggressive tendencies and improving overall safety in the public transportation sector [8].

2.4 Speeding as a Persistent Risk Factor

Speeding remains a significant contributor to severe traffic accidents. In their investigation of police records, Vertlib et al. identified an unexpected trend: drivers who used advanced safety technologies were paradoxically more likely to speed. This was attributed to excessive reliance on these technologies, creating a misleading sense of security and control. Their study highlights that technological advancements, while valuable, cannot fully replace responsible driving practices. Continuous educational initiatives and consistent law enforcement remain essential in curbing speeding-related risks [3].

2.5 Driver Distraction and Inattention

Driver distraction continues to be a major behavioral cause of traffic accidents. Common distractions include multitasking, mobile phone use, and mental preoccupation. According to Shinar, distraction occurs when a driver's attention shifts away from the primary driving

task, diminishing cognitive control. This shift not only delays reaction times but also reduces the driver's awareness of hazards and impairs decision-making abilities. The study also notes that distractions are not exclusively technology-based, as emotional stress and physical fatigue are equally significant sources of driver inattention, making distraction an issue affecting all driver demographics [2].

2.6 Risky Overtaking Behavior

Risky overtaking remains a frequent cause of fatal accidents, particularly on undivided roads with limited visibility. Muslim and Itoh, in their study conducted in Iraq, noted that younger drivers commonly engaged in dangerous high-speed overtaking maneuvers, particularly under congested or competitive conditions. The research indicates that road infrastructure limitations, combined with driver impulsivity and inexperience, heighten overtaking risks. The authors recommend targeted awareness campaigns and stricter overtaking regulations in areas identified as high-risk zones [9].

2.7 Chasing and Aggressive Following

Aggressive vehicle following, or chasing, is another hazardous behavior often associated with competitive or retaliatory driving motives. Issa and AL examined this phenomenon among public transport drivers in Baghdad, discovering that behaviors such as tailgating and aggressive lane-changing were frequently triggered by time pressures, passenger expectations, and competitive attitudes among drivers. Their findings emphasized that insufficient enforcement and inadequate driver training contribute significantly to normalizing these behaviors, and recommended culturally appropriate interventions aimed at improving self-control and reducing competitive tendencies behind the wheel [10].

2.8 Intentional Obstruction and Provocation

Deliberately obstructing site motorist, including blocking intersections or refusing to yield, represents a diffuse but significant form of aggressive driving. Research by way of NorzaCéspedes et al. Confirmed that those behaviors frequently result from underlying mental traits, which include impulsivity, low

tolerance for frustration, and diminished social responsibility. Their study, conducted in Colombia, located a direct link between intentional obstruction and increased

risks of multi-car collisions and escalated street conflicts. Promoting empathy and cooperation amongst drivers via focused public schooling programs became suggested as an effective safety measure [11].

2.9 Verbal Aggression in Provoked Situations

Verbal expressions of anger, inclusive of elevating one's voice or shouting in moments of provocation, are often omitted symptoms of emotional imbalance at some stage in driving. Muhammad et al. This conduct was diagnosed as a part of a broader emotional response sample, commonly prompted by strain, frustration, or perceived unfairness on the street. While those outbursts might not immediately bring about injuries, they frequently indicate heightened emotional arousal, which may easily increase into riskier behaviors like sudden acceleration or aggressive maneuvers. The authors suggest integrating emotional self-regulation strategies into driving force training applications, with particular emphasis on younger drivers and people classified as high-risk companies [7].

2.10 Driving Against Traffic

Driving against the proper flow of traffic is a serious violation typically driven by aggressive intent, ignorance of risk, or panic. NorzaCéspedes et al. found that this behavior often occurs in crowded urban settings or during peak traffic hours, as drivers attempt to circumvent traffic congestion or act out of frustration. Such actions significantly increase the potential for head-on collisions and multi-vehicle crashes. Their study emphasizes the importance of physical barriers and targeted law enforcement to effectively deter this dangerous practice [11].

2.11 Risk Acceptance and Psychological Stress

The acceptance of risk while driving is influenced by both individual personality traits and situational stressors. Rahman et al., through a Bayesian belief network analysis in Saudi Arabia, identified that drivers under psychological stress exhibited a higher propensity for risky behaviors such as

speeding, aggressive overtaking, and ignoring traffic regulations. Stress-related cognitive fatigue emerged as a critical mediator, impairing drivers' hazard recognition and response capabilities. They suggested that increasing public awareness about stress impacts and developing coping mechanisms for high-risk occupational drivers could significantly improve road safety [12].

2.12 Tailgating and Close Following

Tailgating, defined as following another vehicle too closely, frequently results from driver impatience, frustration, or competitive driving behaviors. Issa and AL noted that tailgating was especially prevalent among professional drivers in Baghdad, driven by strict schedules and informal competition for passengers. This practice not only raises collision risks but also exerts psychological pressure on the leading driver, potentially causing erratic and unsafe decisions. Their study proposes the implementation of vehicle-to-vehicle spacing monitoring technologies and driver training programs specifically aimed at promoting defensive driving and maintaining safe following distances [10].

3. Distraction and Fatigue

3.1 Mobile Phone Use While Driving

The use of mobile phones while driving remains one of the most pervasive and dangerous forms of driver distraction. Shinar emphasized that distraction, particularly from mobile devices, impairs drivers' cognitive processing and delays reaction time, significantly increasing crash risk [2]. This is especially true for visual-manual tasks like texting, which remove the driver's eyes from the road and hands from the wheel simultaneously.

Rahman Shaon further analyzed how phone usage influences crash prediction models, identifying it as a high-weight variable in the occurrence of rear-end and lane-deviation collisions [13]. His findings revealed that even short glances at a screen can disrupt situational awareness and spatial judgment. The study calls for stricter legal enforcement and integration of hands-free technologies with behavioral reminders to mitigate this issue.

Basch et al. extended this concern to pedestrians, showing that distraction caused by phone use also affects those crossing intersections. Their results imply that distracted driving is part of a wider societal issue of digital distraction, which affects both drivers and other road users [14].

3.2 Driver Fatigue and Sleep Deprivation

Fatigue and lack of sleep are critical contributors to impaired driving performance, often comparable in effect to alcohol intoxication. Gupta et al. developed an AI-based driver behavior detection system that identifies signs of fatigue such as frequent yawning, prolonged eye closure, and head nodding. Their deep learning model, integrated into a Cyber-Physical System, achieved high accuracy in real-time detection, enabling timely alerts and preventive interventions [4].

Toups et al. examined fatigue from a physiological and cognitive perspective, particularly in older adults. Their findings showed that age-related sleep disruption and circadian rhythm shifts can lead to drowsiness during daylight driving, increasing crash risk. They emphasized the need for individualized assessments to determine fitness-to-drive in aging populations, especially in countries with rapidly aging demographics [15].

Chimba et al. also noted that fatigue-related incidents are more likely to occur in monotonous driving environments or after prolonged shifts. Their simulation study recommended the implementation of rest zones and work-hour restrictions for commercial drivers as a structural countermeasure to drowsy driving [16].

3.3 Cognitive Distraction from Emotional Stress and In-Vehicle Conversations

Cognitive distraction occurs when a driver's attention is diverted from the driving task due to internal thoughts or emotional states. Regan and Oviedo-Trespalacios examined this phenomenon and concluded that even when drivers keep their eyes on the road and hands on the wheel, their minds may not be fully engaged in the driving process. Emotional stress, such as anxiety, interpersonal conflict, or time pressure, can severely impair

situational awareness and hazard perception [17].

Stutts et al. further highlighted the risks of common in-vehicle distractions, including conversations with passengers, adjusting dashboard controls, or eating while driving. Their findings suggest that drivers often underestimate the danger of these secondary tasks, falsely believing they can multitask safely. This misjudgment contributes to a significant share of preventable collisions, particularly in urban settings [18].

Mukherjee also linked cognitive distraction to underlying psychosocial stressors, especially among women with caregiving responsibilities. Although her research focused on gender roles and emotional labor, the implications suggest that drivers experiencing chronic stress are more likely to experience lapses in attention and poor decision-making while on the road [19].

3.4 Use of Technology and Navigation Devices While Driving

The increasing integration of technology into vehicles has introduced new layers of cognitive and visual distraction for drivers. Wang and Zhang investigated the effect of aggressive vehicle warning systems on driver performance and found that while such systems helped increase awareness of surrounding hazards, they also elevated the driver's mental workload. This tradeoff illustrates the paradox of "helpful distraction," where the system intended to assist may unintentionally impair decision-making, especially under time pressure [20].

Kontaxi et al. evaluated the impact of a smartphone-based feedback application designed to improve driver behavior. Although the app successfully reduced risky behaviors over time, its interaction interface posed a potential source of distraction during real-time use. Their findings highlight the importance of designing behavioral interventions that minimize interface complexity and ensure that safety is not compromised by the very tools meant to enhance it [21].

Larsson et al. further explored how auditory icons and earcons in vehicle interfaces

influence emotional and cognitive responses. Their study showed that unclear or poorly timed auditory signals could cause confusion or stress, particularly when drivers must process multiple simultaneous cues. The research calls for more intuitive interface design based on human-centered ergonomics [22].

3.5 Psychological Stress and Its Impact on Attention While Driving

Psychological stress is a major, yet often underestimated, source of cognitive distraction while driving. Rahman et al. used a Bayesian Belief Network to analyze how psychological tension and mental fatigue affect driving behavior. Their study revealed that stressed drivers are more likely to underestimate risk, misjudge speed and distance, and exhibit impulsive decisions such as sudden lane changes or failure to yield. These behaviors increase the likelihood of collision, especially in fast-paced or complex traffic environments [12].

Mukherjee also addressed stress from a sociological angle, highlighting how cumulative emotional and caregiving burdens, particularly among women, can impair concentration and reduce decision-making accuracy on the road. Though her research focused on domestic roles, it has clear implications for transportation safety, suggesting that personal life pressures translate into heightened cognitive load behind the wheel [19].

These findings suggest that traffic safety frameworks must account for emotional health, not only through medical screening but also by promoting mental well-being and stress management as part of driver training and public awareness efforts.

4. Demographic and Psychosocial Influences

4.1 Age and Driving Performance

Age is an essential demographic component that influences driving force conduct and crash risk. Toups et al. Emphasised that older adults often face cognitive decline, slower response instances, and faded visual acuity, all of which impair their potential to discover and respond to

dangers on the street. Their findings recommend the need for everyday assessments of driving fitness among the aged, as well as alternative mobility solutions that keep independence without compromising protection [15]. Conversely, Abdulla et al. Said that more youthful drivers in Sulaymaniyah, Iraq, exhibited higher involvement in motorist injuries, largely due to overconfidence, lack of riding experience, and constrained adherence to traffic policies. These findings help the implementation of age-specific interventions, together with stricter licensing rules and behavior-focused training applications for young drivers [6].

4.2 Gender Differences in Risk Perception and Behavior

Gender performs a tremendous role in shaping attitudes towards risk and adherence to visitors' policies. AlKetbi et al. It was found that male drivers in Abu Dhabi have been extensively more likely than females to engage in volatile behaviors such as speeding, abrupt lane modifications, and tailgating. They attributed those inclinations to both cultural norms and variations in hazard perception, suggesting that male drivers frequently understand driving as an overall performance challenge rather than a safety-important pastime [23].

Mukherjee additionally explored gender-related differences from a psychosocial lens, displaying that women generally tend to revel in higher cognitive and emotional hundreds because of caregiving and domestic obligations. These brought stressors can also impact riding attention and response capability, even though girls typically show off safer driving styles than guys [19].

4.3 Driving Experience and Formal Training

Driving experience is one of the most consistent protective factors against traffic violations and accidents. Arafa et al. conducted a comparative study in South Egypt and found that non-professional drivers with limited experience were significantly more prone to crashes than professional drivers. The findings pointed to the absence of structured training and the lack of rule-based driving habits among

novice drivers. The authors recommend the incorporation of simulation-based education and tiered licensing systems to address this gap [24].

Similarly, Usami et al. evaluated the effect of post-license advanced driver training in Italy. Their results showed improved hazard anticipation and vehicle control among trained drivers compared to those with only basic driving education. This highlights the long-term value of formalized training programs that go beyond technical skills to include behavioral awareness and adaptive decision-making [25].

4.4 Occupation and Work-Related Stress

The professional background of drivers, particularly those in public transportation or commercial delivery, has been linked to higher exposure to road risks. Cho et al. reported that bus drivers face increased aggressive behavior due to tight schedules, passenger demands, and institutional pressures. These work-related stressors lead to emotional exhaustion and a higher likelihood of unsafe maneuvers, especially in congested urban environments [8].

Issa and AL found similar patterns among public transport drivers in Baghdad, where long working hours and economic pressure contributed to tailgating, ignoring traffic signs, and verbal aggression toward other drivers. The authors suggest implementing occupational health programs and stress-reduction strategies tailored to high-risk driver groups [10].

4.5 Cultural and Regional Influences on Driving Behavior

Cultural context significantly shapes traffic behavior, risk tolerance, and compliance with road regulations. Timmermans et al. studied professional drivers in Qatar and highlighted how regional norms, nationality, and employment status influenced safety practices. Their findings showed that drivers from certain backgrounds were more likely to engage in high-risk behaviors due to differences in risk perception and regulatory familiarity. The study advocates for culturally adaptive interventions and multilingual education campaigns [26].

NorzaCéspedes et al. examined similar dynamics in Colombia, linking human factors and cultural attitudes to accident causation. Their study pointed to the normalization of aggressive behaviors like obstructing traffic or driving against the flow, emphasizing the importance of reshaping public perceptions and reinforcing social responsibility through education [11].

5. Interaction with Vehicle Technology and Safety Systems

5.1 Driver Response to Warning Systems and Alerts

Vehicle-based warning systems—such as lane departure alerts, collision warnings, and auditory signals—are designed to assist drivers in real-time hazard detection. However, their effectiveness depends heavily on driver perception, system design, and cognitive load. Larsson et al. studied how drivers react to auditory icons and earcons in vehicle interfaces, finding that unclear or poorly timed alerts can lead to confusion, heightened emotional tension, or even delayed reactions. On the other hand, intuitive sound cues improved hazard response and situational awareness, especially when designed with ergonomic principles in mind [22].

5.2 Overreliance on Safety Systems

Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS), while intended to improve safety, may unintentionally lead to behavioral complacency. Vertlib et al. found that drivers with vehicles equipped with modern safety systems (e.g., lane-keeping assist, adaptive cruise control) were more likely to engage in risky behavior, particularly speeding. This phenomenon, known as "risk compensation," reflects the psychological shift where drivers feel overprotected by technology and reduce their natural vigilance [3].

5.3 Real-Time Feedback and Driver Behavior Correction

Smartphone-based and in-vehicle feedback systems have emerged as promising tools for behavior modification. Kontaxi et al. evaluated a multi-phase experiment using a mobile

application that provided real-time feedback on driver behavior. The app significantly reduced instances of harsh braking, speeding, and unsafe maneuvers, particularly when feedback was consistent and personalized. Their findings support the integration of behavior-aware systems into both private and fleet vehicles [21].

5.4 AI-Based Behavior Detection Systems

The development of artificial intelligence in transportation safety has enabled sophisticated behavior monitoring. Gupta et al. proposed a deep learning model capable of detecting distraction and fatigue through facial and behavioral cues. Integrated into a Cyber-Physical System, this approach achieved over 94% accuracy and holds promise for large-scale deployment in commercial vehicles and public transport systems [4].

Fries et al. complemented this research by modeling how drivers respond in critical traffic scenarios, including panic braking and hesitation. Their study highlights the importance of aligning AI predictions with actual human behavior patterns to ensure automated systems can respond appropriately during emergencies [27].

5.5 Interaction in Mixed Traffic and Automated Systems

As automated vehicles (AVs) become more prevalent, their ability to coexist with human-driven vehicles is essential for safe traffic integration. Li et al. investigated cooperative lane-changing behavior in mixed traffic environments. Their results show that AVs programmed to anticipate human unpredictability can reduce conflict and improve flow efficiency. This emphasizes the need for adaptive systems that prioritize cooperation and dynamic decision-making [28].

6. Environmental, Infrastructural, and Policy-Level Interventions

6.1 Intersection Design and Road Markings

Road infrastructure directly influences driver behavior and accident likelihood.

Tommy et al. studied the role of auxiliary markings—such as stop lines, lane arrows, and reflective paints—at intersections. Their findings showed that clearer visual guidance significantly improved lane discipline and reduced unsafe turning behavior, especially in complex urban settings. The study suggests that low-cost interventions like road markings can yield high-impact safety improvements [29].

6.2 Weather Conditions and Predictive Safety Models

Environmental conditions such as rain, fog, or strong winds are crucial variables in crash prediction. Van Schalkwyk et al. incorporated weather factors into regional safety planning models and demonstrated improved predictive accuracy compared to models that omitted these variables. Their findings underscore the need to tailor safety interventions and traffic control strategies to dynamic environmental conditions [30].

6.3 Traffic Calming Strategies

Chimba et al. simulated the effects of speed-reduction infrastructure such as speed humps, raised crosswalks, and narrowed lanes. These traffic calming strategies were found to be effective in reducing vehicle speed and enhancing pedestrian safety in both urban and suburban environments. Their study recommends integrating these features into high-crash zones, especially near schools and marketplaces [16].

6.4 Policy Critique: The 85th Percentile Speed Rule

Anthoine et al. critically examined the traditional 85th percentile rule, which sets speed limits based on the speed of the fastest 15% of drivers. Their report argued that this approach may unintentionally legitimize dangerous speeding behaviors and fail to account for vulnerable road users like pedestrians and cyclists. The authors call for evidence-based speed regulation policies grounded in crash data and human tolerance thresholds [31].

6.5 Safety Education and Cultural Adaptation

Public education remains a cornerstone of traffic safety strategies, but its effectiveness depends on cultural alignment. Abdullah and Abdullah conducted a bibliometric review of six decades of road safety education and found that most programs were based on Western contexts, with limited localization for diverse cultures. They advocate for the integration of local beliefs, language, and enforcement practices into traffic education to improve effectiveness in low- and middle-income countries [32].

6.6 The Role of Vehicle Type and Demographics in Accident Severity

Vehicles introduce distinctive behavioral challenges to city visitors, particularly in regions with enormous usage, such as Ethiopia. In Bishoftu City, Abebe (2022) explored twist of fate patterns related to Bajaj-type automobiles, revealing that more youthful, inexperienced drivers disproportionately engaged in volatile riding behaviors. These insights spotlight the importance of incorporating demographic factors into safety interventions, specifically in city regions where alternative transportation modes predominate [33].

Supporting this viewpoint, Sayed et al. (2022) investigated the impact of demographic and behavioral traits on crash dangers in Egypt, masking various driver groups, such as private vehicle customers, truck operators, and public transit drivers. Employing the Driver Behavior Questionnaire (DBQ) and scenario-based totally visual exams reflecting nearby avenue situations, they evaluated drivers' risk perception when it comes to their actual crash involvement. Their analysis recognized inadequate following distance as a crucial issue in crashes. Statistical modeling similarly confirmed that age, using experience, and character substantially motivated crash frequency. Consequently, tailor-made training, centered cognizance campaigns, and regulations regarding driving hours have been recommended to mitigate risks across exceptional vehicle and driver categories [34].

6.7 Micro-Mobility and Shared Road Safety

Martin et al. (2016) studied the interaction between bicycle-sharing packages and road safety, mainly in city areas within the United States. Their studies indicated that while bikesharing schemes provide environmental and mobility benefits, they also introduce specific protection-demanding situations due to increased interactions between cyclists and motor vehicles. The researchers encouraged urban layout improvements, along with protected cycling lanes, to reduce conflicts and enhance secure coexistence among exceptional street users [35].

6.8 Smart Mobility and Behavioral Impacts

The integration of smart mobility—characterised by means of virtual infrastructure, real-time data, and self-reliant technologies—has significant implications for each technology and driving force behavior. According to Papa and Lauwers (2015), smart mobility creates a twin-edged situation: it may beautify shipping efficiency but also grow complexity, thereby challenging conventional safety practices. Their findings suggested that conduct-oriented design standards should be central to smart town-making plans, ensuring protection remains a central priority amid fast technological advancements [36].

6.9 V2V Communication and Traffic Flow Behavior

Ko et al. (2023) assessed the impact of automobile-to-automobile (V2V) communication on driver behavior through the use of realistic microscopic simulations. Their research discovered that real-time alert structures considerably improved drivers' control of safe following distances and decreased instances of unexpected braking. These effects aid the broader integration of linked car technology as an effective behavioral management device in dense motorist situations, doubtlessly reducing collision rates and severity [37].

6.10 Reaction Time and Safety Perception Under Simulation

Pfeilschifter et al. (2023) used simulation situations to examine driver responses under forensic conditions regarding distraction and dwindling interest. Their research discovered

an exceptional discrepancy between drivers' perceived protection and their real riding performance, especially under cognitive pressure or fatigue. These findings underline the psychological hole between drivers' self-assessment and actual international driving behavior, emphasizing the need for accurate behavioral metrics in accident analysis and road safety policymaking [38].

7. Advanced Infrastructure, Technology, and Driver Interaction

7.1 Advanced Infrastructure and Driver Performance in Complex Road Designs

Advanced infrastructure designs, which include tunnel-interchange combinations, pose unique demanding situations to driving force protection, mainly in contexts with restricted visibility and complicated navigation cues. Ju et al. (2024) studied driving force behavior in such complex environments and discovered that the selective use of site motorist control devices, like variable message signs and lane markings, greatly encouraged driver performance. Specifically, lowering redundant signage reduced abrupt lane modifications and unstable maneuvers, indicating that excessive signage may additionally distract rather than aid drivers. Their findings endorse strategic and context-precise control of traffic signals to beautify safety in complicated avenue infrastructures [39].

7.2 High-Speed and Automated Driving Behavior

Hermansdorfer et al. (2020) evaluated driving behaviors in self-sufficient racing situations to evaluate human drivers and artificial intelligence. Their consequences indicated that professional human drivers established advanced adaptability and decision-making under unpredictable conditions, suggesting that human judgment remains critical in high-speed environments. This research gives precious insights for growing future automated car structures through emphasizing human behavioral factors like danger assessment and flexibility [40].

In a complementary study, Kreutz and Eggert (2022) analyzed the constraints inherent in conventional vehicle-following fashions, which include the Intelligent Driver Model

(IDM), due to their exclusion of human response times. Through examining actual automobile trajectory facts, the authors found that incorporating reaction-time delays notably improved the accuracy of these fashions. Such refinements are especially essential in Adaptive Driving Assistance Systems (ADAS), wherein knowledge of realistic driving force behavior dynamics is essential for safety. The researchers concluded that accounting for person variations in response time can close the space between theoretical predictions and actual using behavior [41].

7.3 Adaptive Traffic Measures and Regional Safety

Kumfer et al. (2021) assessed the effectiveness of localized traffic protection measures, which include centered enforcement, educational projects, and infrastructure improvements, in Appalachia. Their findings found that aligning safety interventions with local cultural practices and behavioral patterns substantially decreased crash rates. The look at stresses the importance of customizing visitors' safety techniques in keeping with precise network contexts, instead of enforcing generalized measures universally [42].

7.4 Telematics and Real-Time Behavioral Feedback

Song and Cao (2022) discussed the combination of telematics with behavioral analytics, highlighting its ability to detect precise motive force behaviors like dashing, harsh braking, and course deviations. The authors argued that such fact-driven methodologies permit personalised driver comments and provide broader coverage frameworks by way of identifying behavioral patterns at both individual and collective stages. Their studies illustrate how real-time analytics blended with behavioral modeling can efficiently support traffic protection control efforts [43].

7.5 Visual Load and Driver Response in Tunnel-Interchange Structures

Ju et al. (2024) tested how tunnel-interchange structures affect driving force conduct, particularly focusing on strain and decision-making underneath high visual complexity. Their studies found that densely

packed signage and navigation signs caused cognitive overload, inflicting elevated lane deviations and unexpected braking incidents. Conversely, while non-vital signage became reduced, drivers maintained a more stable lane subject and smoother acceleration. The findings spotlight that minimizing visual muddle via context-touchy signage design significantly improves driving force overall performance and decreases crash dangers in complicated infrastructural environments [39].

7.6 Motorcycle Taxi Driver Behavior and Accident Risks

Sumardi et al. (2024) explored behavioral factors contributing to injuries amongst online motorbike taxi operators in Banda Aceh, Indonesia. The study identified unstable behaviors—along with cell phone utilization, helmet forget about, and ignoring motorist signals—as major predictors of extended twist of fate involvement. These behaviors had been in large part pushed with the aid of pressures, including competition for fares, time constraints, and insufficient formal schooling. The researchers advocated specialised schooling packages and more potent enforcement measures, particularly concentrated on gig-economy transport drivers to improve compliance with motorist regulations [44].

7.7 Latent Factors of Crash Severity in Truck-Involved Incidents

Seung-Young (2017) utilized latent magnificence evaluation to differentiate severity patterns among truck-concerned and non-truck crashes on highways. Truck-related incidents were extra severe, especially throughout midnight, in high-speed regions, and under conditions of terrible visibility. Latent behavioral traits, together with delayed reaction instances and misguided judgment of stopping distances, had been widespread contributing elements. The research emphasizes the importance of differentiating crash statistics by using automobile type and environmental context to inform focused safety measures and advanced street design [45].

8. Conclusion

This examination comprehensively reviewed 45 factors influencing driver conduct

and motorist accident risks. It confirms that human behavioral factors, which include distraction, aggression, pressure, and fatigue, significantly impact avenue protection, regularly intensified by environmental, psychological, and contextual pressures. Demographic traits, along with age, profession, and gender, further shape danger perception and decision-making behind the wheel.

Technologies like real-time behavioral remarks, vehicle-to-car (V2V) communication, and artificial intelligence offer promising tools to mitigate risky riding behaviors. However, their effectiveness depends on cautious attention of human barriers and unique contexts. Infrastructure enhancements, inclusive of adaptive signage, shrewd intersections, and context-touchy traffic-calming measures, can also notably lessen behavioral risks whilst guided by means of empirical facts and localized needs.

Table 1 summarizes all forty five studies reviewed, including research domain, driving force or automobile kind, behavioral awareness, key findings, and nearby context, facilitating clear comparisons and highlighting diverse methodological strategies.

Overall, improving street safety requires a multidimensional approach integrating behavioral interventions, adaptive coverage frameworks, inclusive urban designs, and emerging technologies. The research underscores the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and localized solutions to successfully promote more secure riding environments.

Table 1. Summary of 45 Empirical Studies on Driver Behavior and Traffic Safety

1	Authors	Year	Country\ City	Study Field	Driver Type / Vehicle	Behavioral Variable Studied	Key Findings / Summary
2	Fischer et al.	2009	Germany	Social Psychology	Young Drivers	Video Game Exposure	Increased tendency toward reckless driving
3	Shinar	2017	Beer Sheva	Driver Inattention	All Drivers	Distraction and cognitive overload	Distraction reduces hazard awareness and reaction time
4	Vertlib et al.	2023	Herzliya	Speeding and Safety Technology	Drivers with Advanced Safety Systems	Speeding and overreliance on tech	Safety systems may encourage speeding via false security
5	Gupta et al.	2024	India	AI-Based Driver Detection	Drivers in ITS	Deep learning on distraction/fatigue	94% accuracy in recognizing risky behaviors
6	Windsor & Anstey	2006	Australia	Driving Cessation in Older Adults	Older Drivers	Psychosocial effects of driving cessation	Loss of driving leads to reduced well-being
7	Abdulla et al.	2023	Iraq (Sulaymaniyah)	Descriptive Crash Study	Iraqi Drivers (Sulaymaniyah)	Demographics and accident traits	Younger male drivers are more frequently involved
8	Muhammad et al.	2023	Pakistan	Emotional Aggression: Traffic Psychology	General Drivers	Driving Anger and Aggression: Verbal Aggression	Shouting reflects emotional arousal under provocation Trait anger linked to increased accident proneness
9	Cho et al.	2022	South Korea	Professional Driver Behavior	Bus Drivers	Work-related aggression	Stress factors lead to aggressive public driving
10	Muslim & Itoh	2019	Iraq	Overtaking Behavior	Young Drivers	Risky overtaking	Age and road capacity influence overtaking risk
11	Issa & AL	2019	Iraq (Baghdad)	Tailgating Behavior; Urban Driving Behavior	Public Transport Drivers	Chasing and tailgating; Close following	Cultural and time-pressure factors drive tailgating Time pressure drives competitive behavior
12	NorzaCéspedes et al.	2014	Colombia	Aggressive Driving in Latin America: Urban Traffic Violations	Urban Drivers	Driving against traffic; Intentional obstruction	Linked to impulsivity and low frustration tolerance Linked to shortcutting in congested areas
13	Rahman et al.	2022	Saudi Arabia	Bayesian Risk Modeling: Stress and Risk-Taking	Drivers under psychological stress: Saudi Drivers	Risk acceptance; Stress-induced risk	Psychological stress leads to aggressive decisions Stress reduces hazard recognition and increases risk
14	Shaon	2019	Bangladesh	Crash Prediction Models	General Drivers	Driver behavior variables in prediction	Behavioral variables improve model accuracy for crashes
15	Basch et al.	2014	USA (New York)	Pedestrian Distraction	Pedestrians	Mobile phone use while walking	Texting while walking increases intersection risk

16	Toups et al.	2022	USA	Older Driver Safety	Older Adults	Driving performance and cognitive function	Declining skills affect roadway safety in older adults
17	Chimba et al.	2019	USA	Traffic Calming	General Drivers	Calming strategies	Simulations show reduced speed and crashes with speed humps
18	Regan & Oviedo-Trespalacios	2022	Australia	Driver Distraction	General Drivers	Distraction types and mitigation	Mechanisms and countermeasures for distraction were studied
19	Stutts et al.	2003	USA	Driving Distraction Types	General Drivers	In-vehicle distractions	Common distractions include eating, reaching, and adjusting controls
20	Mukherjee	2017	India / USA (contextual)	Gender & Care Work	Female Drivers	Work-life conflict	Women face stress balancing driving and care duties
21	Wang & Zhang	2024	China	Aggressive Vehicle Warning	General Drivers	Warning systems and perceived workload	Aggressive vehicle warnings reduce risky responses
22	Kontaxi et al.	2022	Greece	Mobile Feedback and Driver Behavior	App Users	Real-time feedback	Smartphone apps improved awareness and reduced violations
23	Larsson et al.	2009	Sweden	Auditory Alerts in Vehicles	Drivers using in-car systems	Sound-based warnings	Icons/earcons impact emotional response under stress
24	AlKetbi et al.	2020	UAE (Abu Dhabi)	Risky Driving in Abu Dhabi	UAE Drivers	Speeding, phone use	Young male drivers showed high levels of risk-taking
25	Arafa et al.	2019	Egypt	Egyptian Driving Behavior	Professional & Non-Professional	Aggressive and unsafe driving	High crash involvement among professionals
26	Timmermans et al.	2019	Qatar	Professional Driver Culture	Qatari Professional Drivers	Safety culture	Strong culture linked to better compliance
27	Fries et al.	2023	Germany	Automated Driving Safety	Simulated Drivers	AI vs human adaptability	Human drivers adapt better in unpredictable scenarios
28	Li et al.	2024	USA	Autonomous Vehicle Acceptance	Drivers & AV Systems	Driver and vehicle interaction styles	Behavioral style affects AV user acceptance
29	Anthoine et al.	2020	USA (California)	Zero Traffic Fatalities	California Drivers	Policy and behavioral synthesis	Multi-stakeholder strategies are needed for Vision Zero goals
30	Abebe	2022	Ethiopia	Vehicle Type and Accident Risk	Three-wheeled Vehicle Drivers	Vehicle type, age, and experience	Bajaj drivers are involved in more high-risk situations
31	Sayed et al.	2022	Egypt	Driver Behavior and Risk Perception	Private / Truck / Public Drivers	Age, safe following distance, and personality traits	Human factors significantly predict crash frequency
32	Martin et al.	2016	USA	Bike Safety and Urban Design	Cyclists and Motorists	Shared road risks	There is a need for protected bike lanes to

							reduce conflict
33	Papa & Lauwers	2015	Belgium	Smart Mobility and Urban Planning	Urban Traffic	Smart mobility complexity	Tech increases efficiency but adds behavioral challenges
34	Ko et al.	2023	South Korea	Connected Vehicle Technology	Vehicle-to-Vehicle Systems	V2V communication	Warnings improve headway and reduce braking incidents
35	Pfeilschifter et al.	2023	Germany	Driving Simulators & Safety Perception	Simulated Drivers	Reaction time vs safety perception	Mismatch between perceived and actual safety
36	Ju et al.	2024	China	Tunnel-Interchange Design; Tunnel-Interchange Stress	All Drivers	Traffic control impact: Visual congestion	Context-aware signage improves safety Sign clutter causes cognitive overload and erratic driving
37	Hermansdorfer et al.	2020	Germany	Autonomous Racing vs Human Drivers	Professional Race Drivers	AI vs human adaptability	Human drivers outperform AI in unpredictable scenarios
38	Kreutz & Eggert	2022	Germany	Intelligent Driving Models	Individual Driver	Reaction time, car-following	Improved prediction accuracy with delay modeling
39	Song & Cao	2022	China	Telematics and Behavioral Tracking	General Drivers	Speeding, harsh braking	Telematics helps personalize feedback and detect patterns
40	Sumardi et al.	2024	Indonesia	Motorcycle Taxi Driver Behavior	Motorcycle Taxi Drivers	Helmet use, phone use, and red light violations	Time pressure and competition drive risky behavior
41	Seung-Young	2017	South Korea	Crash Severity Analysis	Truck Drivers	Truck vs. non-truck crash severity	Truck crashes are more severe under low visibility and speed
42	van Schalkwyk et al.	2025	USA	Weather and Safety Prediction	Regional Planning	Weather data in prediction models	Incorporating weather improves crash prediction accuracy
43	K. Abdullah & H. Abdullah	2021	Saudi Arabia	Road Safety Education	General Drivers	Trends in safety education	Bibliometric review shows evolving focus areas
44	Usami et al.	2016	Italy	Post-License Driver Training	Italian Drivers	Effectiveness of advanced training	Post-license training improves safety metrics
45	Kumfer et al.	2021	USA (Appalachia)	Regional Traffic Safety	Drivers in Appalachia	Policy and enforcement adaptation	Context-based measures reduce regional crash rates

References

- [1] J.P. Fischer et al., "The Racing-Game Effect: Why Do Video Racing Games Increase Risk-Taking Inclinations?" *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1395–1409, Jul. 2009, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167209339628>.
- [2] D. Shinar, "Distraction and Inattention," in *Traffic Safety and Human Behavior*, Emerald Publishing Limited, 2017, pp. 711–795. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-78635-221-720162013>.
- [3] S. R. Vertlib, S. Rosenzweig, O. D. Rubin, and Aviv Steren, "Are car safety systems associated with more speeding violations? Evidence from police records in Israel," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. e0286622, Aug. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0286622>.
- [4] B. B. Gupta, Akshat Gaurav, Kwok Tai Chui, and V. Arya, "Deep Learning Model for Driver Behavior Detection in Cyber-Physical System-Based Intelligent Transport Systems," *IEEE Access*, pp. 1–1, Jan. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3393909>.
- [5] T. D. Windsor and K. J. Anstey, "Interventions to reduce the adverse psychosocial impact of driving cessation on older adults," *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 205–211, Aug. 2006, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2147/ciia.2006.1.3.205>.
- [6] R. Abdulla, B. Qader, and Karwan Sdiq, "Traffic Accident Traits and Driver Characteristics Implication on Road Accidents using Descriptive Analysis: A Cross Sectional Study in Sulaymaniyah, Iraq," *Engineering Technology & Applied Science Research*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 10372–10376, Apr. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.48084/etasr.5669>.
- [7] Muhammad et al., "Promoting Road Safety for Sustainable Communities: Analysing Driving Anger, Aggressive Driving, and Road Accident Proneness with Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) Approach," *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. e2079 e2079, Dec. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i12.2079>.
- [8] E. Cho, S. Park, and C. Oh, "Analysis of Intrinsic Factors Leading to Aggressive Driving Behavior to Derive Safety Policy Implications for Bus Drivers," *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, p. 036119812210945, Jun. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981221094574>.
- [9] H. Muslim and M. Itoh, "Driver Behavior in Overtaking Accidents as a Function of Driver Age, Road Capacity and Vehicle Speed: A Case Study in Iraq," *Proceedings of the 10th International Driving Symposium on Human Factors in Driver Assessment, Training and Vehicle Design: driving assessment 2019*, Jun. 2019, doi: <https://pubs.lib.uiowa.edu/driving/article/id/28368/>.
- [10] S. S. Issa and K. H. AL, "Assessing traffic safety culture among public transport driver population in Baghdad," *Indian Journal of Engineering*, vol. 16, pp. 80–92, 2019, Available: https://www.discoveryjournals.org/engineering/current_issue/2019/A7.pdf.
- [11] NorzaCéspedes, Eryvn H, E. L. GranadosLeón, S. A. UsecheHernández, M. RomeroHernández, and J. MorenoRodríguez, "Componentes descriptivos y explicativos de la accidentalidad vial en Colombia: incidencia del factor humano," *Revista criminalidad*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 157–187, 2014, Available: <https://2u.pw/WVY2o>.
- [12] M. M. Rahman, M. K. Islam, A. Al-Shayeb, and M. Arifuzzaman, "Towards Sustainable Road Safety in Saudi Arabia: Exploring Traffic Accident Causes Associated with Driving Behavior Using a Bayesian Belief Network," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 10, p. 6315, May 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14106315>.
- [13] M. R. Rahman Shaon, "Identifying and Incorporating Driver Behavior Variables into Crash Prediction Models - ProQuest," Proquest.com, 2019. <https://www.proquest.com/openview/c9b3050db421c7d83eadf1e09df14f8a/1?cbl=18750&diss=y&pq-origsite=gscholar> (accessed May 01, 2025).
- [14] C. H. Basch, D. Ethan, S. Rajan, and C. E. Basch, "Technology-related distracted walking behaviours in Manhattan's most dangerous intersections," *Injury Prevention*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 343–346, Mar. 2014, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2013-041063>.
- [15] R. Touns et al., "Driving Performance in Older Adults: Current Measures, Findings, and Implications for Roadway Safety," *Innovation in Aging*, vol. 6, no. 1, Jan. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igab051>.
- [16] D. Chimba, C. Mbuya, and Tennessee State University, "Simulating the Impact of Traffic Calming Strategies," *Bts.gov*, Jun. 30, 2019. <https://rosap.nhtl.bts.gov/view/dot/62405> (accessed May 01, 2025).
- [17] M. A. Regan and O. Oviedo-Trespalcacios, "Driver Distraction: Mechanisms, Evidence, Prevention, and Mitigation," *Springer eBooks*, pp. 995–1056, Dec. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76505-7_38.
- [18] J. Stutts et al., "DISTRACTIONS IN EVERYDAY DRIVING," *trid. trb.org*, Jun. 2003, Available: <https://trid.trb.org/view/660489>
- [19] A. Mukherjee, "THREE ESSAYS ON 'DOING CARE', GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE WORK DAY, AND WOMEN'S CARE WORK IN THE HOUSEHOLD," *ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst*, Nov. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.7275/10719413.0>.
- [20] Y. Wang and W. Zhang, "'Pay attention to that aggressive vehicle': The effect of Aggressive Vehicle Warning Systems on driving behavior and perceived workload," *AHFE international*, Jan. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1005228>.
- [21] A. Kontaxi, A. Ziakopoulos, and G. Yannis, "Discovering the influence of feedback on driver behavior through a multiphase experiment based on a

- smartphone application,” NTUA Road Safety Observatory, 2022. Accessed: May 02, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nrso.ntua.gr/geyannis/wp-content/uploads/geyannis-pc446.pdf>.
- [22] P. Larsson, A. Opprud, K. Fredriksson, and D. Västfjäll, “Emotional and behavioural response to auditory icons and earcons in driver-vehicle interfaces,” in Proc. 21st International Technical Conference on Enhanced Safety of Vehicles, Germany, 2009. Available: <https://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pdf/ESV/Proceedings/21/09-0104.pdf>
- [23] L. M. B. AlKetbi, M. Grivna, and S. Al Dhaheri, “Risky driving behaviour in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates: a cross-sectional, survey-based study,” BMC Public Health, vol. 20, no. 1, Aug. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09389-8>.
- [24] A. Arafa, M. El-Setouhy, and J. M. Hirshon, “Driving behavior and road traffic crashes among professional and nonprofessional drivers in South Egypt,” International Journal of Injury Control and Safety Promotion, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 372–378, Jul. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457300.2019.1638419>.
- [25] D. S. Usami, L. Persia, and V. Iurato, “Evaluation of Post-license Advanced Driver Training in Italy,” Transportation Research Procedia, vol. 14, pp. 3859–3866, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.05.471>.
- [26] C. Timmermans, W. Alhajjaseen, N. Reinolsmann, H. Nakamura, and K. Suzuki, “Traffic safety culture of professional drivers in the State of Qatar,” IATSS Research, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 286–296, Dec. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iatssr.2019.03.004>.
- [27] A. Fries, L. Lemberg, F. Fahrenkrog, M. Mai, and A. Das, “Modeling driver behavior in critical traffic scenarios for the safety assessment of automated driving,” Traffic Injury Prevention, vol. 24, no. sup1, pp. S105–S110, Apr. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2023.2211187>.
- [28] A. Li, A. S. C. Armijos, and C. G. Cassandra, “Cooperative Lane Changing in Mixed Traffic Can Be Robust to Human Driver Behavior,” 2023 62nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 5123–5128, Dec. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/cdc49753.2023.10383212>.
- [29] M. Tommy, M. L. Siregar, and A. Kusuma, “Impact of Auxiliary Markings on Intersection Safety,” Smart City, vol. 4, no. 2, Jun. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.56940/sc.v4.i2.6>.
- [30] van Schalkwyk, S. Mitra, and S. P. Washington, “Incorporating Weather into Regionwide Safety Planning Prediction Models,” Trb.org, 2025. <https://pubsindex.trb.org/view.aspx?id=776367> (accessed May 02, 2025).
- [31] S. Anthoine, B. Chen, K. Chen, D. Fitch, O. Grembek, S. Grover, Y. H. Hwang, and B. D. Taylor, “Research Synthesis for the California Zero Traffic Fatalities Task Force,” eScholarship, University of California, 2020. doi: <https://doi.org/10.7922/G2KP80DW>
- [32] K. Abdullah and H. Abdullah, “A Bibliometric Review of Six Decades of Road Safety Education Research,” Glob Acad J Econ Buss, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 60–65, 2021, Accessed: May 02, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.gajrc.com/articles/168/>.
- [33] T. Abebe, D. Mekete, and Garuno, “Analyses on three-wheeled vehicles in relation to congestion: A case study in Bishoftu City, Ethiopia,” International Research Journal of Science, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 112, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6975624>.
- [34] I. Sayed, H. Abdelgawad, and D. Said, “Studying driving behavior and risk perception: a road safety perspective in Egypt,” Journal of Engineering and Applied Science, vol. 69, no. 1, Feb. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44147-021-00059-z>.
- [35] E. Martin, A. Cohen, J. Botha, and S. Shaheen, “Bikesharing and Bicycle Safety,” Mineta Transportation Institute, Mar. 2016, Available: https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/mti_publications/207/.
- [36] E. Papa and D. Lauwers, “Smart Mobility: Opportunity or Threat to Innovate Places and Cities? - REAL CORP Repository,” Corp.. at, May 2015, doi: http://repository.corp.at/36/1/CORP2015_46.pdf.
- [37] J. Ko, H. Kim, C. Oh, and S. Kim, “Impact of V2V Warning Information on Traffic Stream Performance Using Microscopic Simulation Based on Real-World Connected Vehicle Driving Behavior,” IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 12241–12254, Jun. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/tits.2023.3287308>.
- [38] Claus Pfeilschifter, M. Bauder, D. Paula, A. Riener, and H.-G. Schweiger, “Using a Driving Simulator to Assess Reaction Times and Driving Behavior for Forensic Accident Analysis,” 15th International ACM Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications, Sep. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3581961.3609846>.
- [39] Y. Ju, F. Chen, J. Li, X. Zhao, and W. Dong, “Supporting driver performance in closely spaced tunnel-interchange structure: Traffic control devices and effects on driving behavior,” Traffic Injury Prevention, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 207–214, Oct. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2024.2401495>.
- [40] L. Hermansdorfer, J. Betz, and M. Lienkamp, “Benchmarking of a software stack for autonomous racing against a professional human race driver,” 2020 Fifteenth International Conference on Ecological Vehicles and Renewable Energies (EVER), Sep. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ever48776.2020.9242926>.
- [41] K. Kreutz and J. Eggert, “Robustifying Car-Following Models with Explicit Reaction Times,” 2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), pp. 2272–2279, Oct. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/itsc55140.2022.9922289>.

- [42] W. Kumfer et al., "Traffic Safety in Appalachia," Appalachian Regional Commission, Feb. 2021. Accessed: May 02, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.arc.gov/report/traffic-safety-in-appalachia/>.
- [43] X. Song and H. Cao, Behavior Analysis and Modeling of Traffic Participants. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-01509-0>.
- [44] Fikri Sumardi, Renni Anggraini, and M. Isya, "Factors Influencing Traffic Accidents Involvement: A Case Study of Online Motorcycle Taxi Drivers in Banda Aceh," E3S Web of Conferences, vol. 476, pp. 01047–01047, Jan. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202447601047>.
- [45] K. Seung-Young, "Latent Factors of Severity in Truck-Involved and Non-Truck-Involved Crashes on Freeways," Gotriple.eu, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1131423>.