

## Some Characterizations of Weakly Pseudo Semi 2-absorbing Submodules in Terms of some Types of Modules

Omar H. Taha

*General Directorate of Salah al-Din Education - Ministry of Education, Tikrit, Iraq*

Omar A. Abdullah

*Department of Mathematics - College of Computer Science and Mathematics - Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq.*

Ali Sh. Ajeel

*Department of Mathematics - College of Computer Science and Mathematics - Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq.*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://bjeps.alkafeel.edu.iq/journal>

 Part of the [Algebra Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Taha, Omar H.; Abdullah, Omar A.; and Ajeel, Ali Sh. (2025) "Some Characterizations of Weakly Pseudo Semi 2-absorbing Submodules in Terms of some Types of Modules," *Al-Bahir*. Vol. 6: Iss. 2, Article 6.

Available at: <https://doi.org/10.55810/2313-0083.1090>

This Original Study is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Bahir. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Bahir by an authorized editor of Al-Bahir. For more information, please contact [bjeps@alkafeel.edu.iq](mailto:bjeps@alkafeel.edu.iq).

---

## Some Characterizations of Weakly Pseudo Semi 2-absorbing Submodules in Terms of some Types of Modules

### Source of Funding

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other financial support were received for this research.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

### Data Availability

The data and fundamental definitions used in this study are available in the published research article: Taha OH, Salih MA. \*Weakly Pseudo Semi-2-absorbing Submodule.\* The International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science. 2024;19(4):927-32.

### Author Contributions

Author 1 contributed to the conceptualization and methodology; Author 2 performed the formal analysis; Author 3 was responsible for data curation and writing the original draft; all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version.

## ORIGINAL STUDY

# Some Characterizations of Weakly Pseudo Semi 2-absorbing Submodules in Terms of Some Types of Modules

Omar H. Taha <sup>a,\*</sup>, Omar A. Abdullah <sup>b</sup>, Ali Sh. Ajeel <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> General Directorate of Salah al-Din Education, Ministry of Education, Tikrit, Iraq

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate characterizations of weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodules in terms of some types of modules. We provide characterizations for the class of multiplication modules with the help of some types of modules such as faithful, non-singular, Z-regular, and projective modules. Furthermore, we add some conditions to prove the residual of a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule is a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing ideal.

*JEL classification:* 16D99

*Keywords:* Multiplication modules, Weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, the ring  $\mathcal{E}$  is a commutative ring with a non-zero identity, and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a unitary  $\mathcal{E}$ -module. Over the past 13 years, the concepts of 2-absorbing submodules and weakly 2-absorbing submodules have been extensively studied by Darani and Soheilinia [1]. A submodule  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is called 2-absorbing (weakly 2-absorbing) if whenever  $abu \in \mathcal{W}$  ( $0 \neq abu \in \mathcal{W}$ ) for some  $a, b \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , then either  $au \in \mathcal{W}$  or  $bu \in \mathcal{W}$  or  $ab \in [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . Following that, Hadi and Harfash introduced the concept of semi-2-absorbing submodules as a generalization of 2-absorbing submodules [2]. A submodule  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is called semi-2-absorbing (weakly semi-2-absorbing) if whenever  $a^2u \in \mathcal{W}$  ( $0 \neq a^2u \in \mathcal{W}$ ) for some  $a \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , then either  $au \in \mathcal{W}$  or  $a^2 \in [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . Also, Abdalla and Mohammadali introduced the concept of pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodules [3]. A submodule  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be a pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ , if  $e^2u \in \mathcal{W}$ , for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$u \in \mathcal{U}$ , implies either  $ru \in \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2 \in [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . The concept of weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule is a generalization of semi-2-absorbing and pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule introduced by Taha and Salih [4], a submodule  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is said to be a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$  (for short WPS-2AB), if  $0 \neq e^2u \in \mathcal{W}$ , for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , implies either  $eu \in \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2 \in [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . An ideal  $Q$  of a ring  $\mathcal{E}$  is said to be a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  if  $Q$  is a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule of the  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$ . An  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is multiplication if every submodule  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . It's well known that a cyclic module is Multiplication module [5]. An  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is called Z-regular if for any  $s \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists  $f \in \mathcal{U}^* = \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E})$  such that  $s = f(s)s$ . Recall that an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is a projective if for every  $\mathcal{E}$ -epimorphism  $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $\mathcal{E}$ -modules, and every  $\mathcal{E}$ -homomorphism  $g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow M_2$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{E}$ -homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow M_1$  such that  $f \circ h = g$ . Recall that an

Received 18 November 2024; revised 23 February 2025; accepted 24 February 2025.  
Available online 12 April 2025

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [omar.h.tahamm2314@st.tu.edu.iq](mailto:omar.h.tahamm2314@st.tu.edu.iq) (O.H. Taha), [omerabdulrazzaqa@tu.edu.iq](mailto:omerabdulrazzaqa@tu.edu.iq) (O.A. Abdullah), [ali.shebl@tu.edu.iq](mailto:ali.shebl@tu.edu.iq) (A.Sh. Ajeel).

<https://doi.org/10.55810/2313-0083.1090>

2313-0083/© 2025 University of AlKafeel. This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

$\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  it is a non-singular if  $Z(\mathcal{U}) = 0$ , where  $Z(\mathcal{U}) = \{s \in \mathcal{U} : sQ = (0)\}$  for some ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Recall that an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is faithful if  $\text{Ann}_R(\mathcal{U}) = (0)$ . Recall that an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$  is finitely generated if  $\mathcal{U} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{U}$  [6]. In main results section of this paper, we provide characterizations for many modules, including non-singular modules, Multiplication modules, faithful finitely produced modules, projective modules, and Z-regular modules. We show, under certain conditions, the residual of weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule is a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing ideal, Proposition 9, 12, 15, 18. Moreover, we show that under certain conditions, if  $\mathcal{W}$  is weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodules  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule where  $Q$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  see Proposition 24, 26, 28.

## 2. Main results

**Proposition 1.** [4] Let  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $(0) \neq e^2T \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $T$  is submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ , implies either  $eT \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2 \in [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ .

**Proposition 2.** [4] Let  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $(0) \neq Q^2T \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  for some ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  and submodule  $T$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , implies that either  $QT \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $Q^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if whenever  $(0) \neq T_1^2T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , for some submodules  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , implies that either  $T_1T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T_1^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(0) \neq T_1^2T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , for some submodules  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, We have  $T_1 = D_1D$  and  $T_2 = D_2D$  for some ideals  $D_1, D_2$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , it follows that  $(0) \neq (D_1D)^2D_2D = D_1^2D_2D \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule proposition 1 implies that  $D_1(D_2D) \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $D_1^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ , that is either  $T_1T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T_1^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ .

Conversely,  $(0) \neq Q^2L \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , for some submodule  $L$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $Q$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, then  $L = D_1D$  for some ideal  $D_1$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , Hence  $(0) \neq Q^2D_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . Take  $T = Q\mathcal{U}$ , that is  $T^2L \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  and it follows by hypothesis either  $TL \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ , that is either  $QL \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $Q^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  and Proposition 2, implies that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

A straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 4.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if whenever  $(0) \neq T^2u \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , for some submodule  $T$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , implies that either  $Tu \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if whenever  $(0) \neq T_1^2T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , for some submodules  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , implies that either  $T_1T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T_1^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Corollary 6.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if whenever  $(0) \neq T^2u \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , for some submodules  $T$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , implies that either  $Tu \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Remark 7.** [4] If  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$ . In general,  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is not a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 8.** [6] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be Z-regular of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module. Then  $\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ .

Under certain conditions, the residual of WPS – 2AB submodules are a WPS – 2AB ideal, as shown in the following Propositions.

**Proposition 9.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Z-regular Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(0) \neq e^2s \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  for some  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows that  $(0) \neq e^2(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is WPS-2AB, Proposition 1, implies that either  $e(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, we have  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Z-regular, Lemma 8, implies that either  $e(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . This mean that either  $rd \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) = [[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}]$ . Then either  $rd \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}]$ . Hence,  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Conversely, Assume that  $(0) \neq e^2T \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  for some submodule  $T$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication Then  $T = D_1D$ , for some ideal  $D_1$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is  $(0) \neq e^2D_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , then  $(0) \neq e^2D_1 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . Since  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by proposition 1 either  $rD_1 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}] = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that either  $rD_1D \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Z-regular, then by Lemma 8 and since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Then either  $rD_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . It follows that either  $rT \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} +$

$\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$ . Then, by Proposition 1,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 9.

**Corollary 10.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic  $\mathcal{Z}$ -regular  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 11.** [6] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a projective  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then  $\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 12.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Multiplication projective  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(0) \neq e^2s \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  for some  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows that  $(0) \neq e^2(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is WPS-2AB, Proposition 1, implies that either  $e(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, we have  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a projective, Lemma 11, implies that either  $e(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . This mean that either  $rd \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) = [[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}]$ . Then either  $rd \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}]$ . Hence,  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Conversely, Assume that  $(0) \neq e^2T \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  for some submodule  $T$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication Then  $T = D_1D$ , for some ideal  $D_1$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is  $(0) \neq e^2D_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , then  $(0) \neq e^2D_1 \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$ . Since  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by proposition 1 either  $rI \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}] = [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that either  $rD_1D \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a projective, then by Lemma 11 and since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Then either  $rD_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . It follows that either  $rT \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$ . Then, by proposition 1,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 12.

**Corollary 13.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic projective  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 14.** [7] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-singular  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then  $\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 15.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-singular Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$

is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 9 and by Lemma 14.

Assume that  $(0) \neq e^2s \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  for some  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows that  $(0) \neq e^2(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is WPS-2AB, Proposition 1, implies that either  $e(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, we have  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-singular, Lemma 14, implies that either  $e(s\mathcal{U}) \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . This mean that either  $rd \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) = [[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}]$ . Then either  $rd \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}]$ . Hence,  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Conversely, Assume that  $(0) \neq e^2T \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  for some submodule  $T$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication Then  $T = D_1D$ , for some ideal  $D_1$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is  $(0) \neq e^2D_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , then  $(0) \neq e^2D_1 \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$ . Since  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by proposition 1 either  $rI \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{E}] = [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}] + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that either  $rD_1D \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-singular, then by Lemma 14 and since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication, then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Then either  $rD_1D \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . It follows that either  $rT \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $e^2 \subseteq [\mathcal{W} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}):_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$ . Then, by proposition 1,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 15 is the following outcome

**Corollary 16.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic non-singular  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 17.** [5] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a faithful Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module. Then  $\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 18.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a faithful Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W}:_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 9 and by Lemma 17

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 18.

**Corollary 19.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a faithful cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-



2AB submodule if and only if  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 20.** [5] Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, and  $Q_1, Q_2$  be ideals of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $Q_1 D \subseteq Q_2 D$ , if and only if  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Proposition 21.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated Z-regular Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ , then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(0) \neq T_1^2 T_2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U}$  for  $T_1, T_2$  some submodules of  $\mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, we have  $T_1 = D_1 D, T_2 = D_2 D$  for some ideals  $D_1, D_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finitely generated Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then by Lemma 20 we have  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 \subseteq Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U})$ . Since  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ , then  $Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) = Q$ , that is  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 \subseteq Q$ . Since  $Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by Proposition 2  $ID_2 \subseteq Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $D_1^2 \subseteq [Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}] = Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that either  $ID_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $D_1^2 \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is Z-regular, then by Lemma 8,  $(\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U})$ . It follows that either  $ID_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $D_1^2 \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . Then either that  $T_1 T_2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T_1^2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . By Proposition 3, we have  $Q\mathcal{U}$ , a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 22.** [7] Every cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module is finitely generated.

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 21.

**Corollary 23.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Z-regular cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ , then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 24.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated Multiplication projective  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(0) \neq T_1^2 T_2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U}$  for  $T_1, T_2$  some submodules of  $\mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, we have  $T_1 = D_1 D, T_2 = D_2 D$  for some ideals  $D_1, D_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finitely generated Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then by Lemma 20 we have  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 \subseteq Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U})$ . Since  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ , then  $Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) = Q$ , that is  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 \subseteq Q$ . Since  $Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by Proposition 2  $ID_2 \subseteq Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $D_1^2 \subseteq [Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}] = Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that either  $ID_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $D_1^2 \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finitely generated, then by Lemma 11,  $(\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U})$ . It follows that either  $ID_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $D_1^2 \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . Then either that  $T_1 T_2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T_1^2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . By

Proposition 3, we have  $Q\mathcal{U}$ , a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 24.

**Corollary 25.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic projective  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Then,  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 26.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated Multiplication non-singular  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(0) \neq T_1^2 T_2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U}$  for  $T_1, T_2$  some submodules of  $\mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, we have  $T_1 = D_1 D, T_2 = D_2 D$  for some ideals  $D_1, D_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . That is  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finitely generated Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then by Lemma 20 we have  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 \subseteq Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U})$ . Since  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ , then  $Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) = Q$ , that is  $(0) \neq D_1^2 D_2 \subseteq Q$ . Since  $Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by Proposition 2  $ID_2 \subseteq Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$  or  $D_1^2 \subseteq [Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E}) :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}] = Q + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})$ . It follows that either  $ID_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$  or  $D_1^2 \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-singular, then by Lemma 14,  $(\text{Soc}(\mathcal{U}) = \text{Soc}(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{U})$ . It follows that either  $ID_2 D \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $D_1^2 \mathcal{U} \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . Then either that  $T_1 T_2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$  or  $T_1^2 \subseteq Q\mathcal{U} + \text{Soc}(\mathcal{U})$ . By Proposition 3, we have  $Q\mathcal{U}$ , a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A straightforward consequence of proposition 26.

**Corollary 27.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cyclic non-singular  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 28.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated faithful Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 21 and by Lemma 20.

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 28.

**Corollary 29.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a faithful cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $Q$  be a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Then  $Q\mathcal{U}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 30.** [7] If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then  $\mathcal{U}$  is cancellation if and only if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finitely generated faithful.

**Proposition 31.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a faithful finitely generated Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . The statements below are considered to be equivalent:

- $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.
- $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

(c)  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some WPS-2AB ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) Follows by Proposition 18

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Assume that  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ . since  $\mathcal{U}$  is Multiplication, then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . Put  $Q = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  then  $Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$ .

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Assume that  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some  $Q$  which is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is Multiplication, then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} = Q\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is faithful finitely generated  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then by Lemma 30,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cancellation. Therefore  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] = Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A straightforward consequence of Proposition 31.

**Corollary 32.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a faithful cyclic  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$ . The statements below are considered to be equivalent:

(a)  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

(b)  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

(c)  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some WPS-2AB ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Recall  $\mathcal{U}$  be a weak cancellation of  $\mathcal{E}$ -module if whenever  $Q_1\mathcal{U} = Q_2\mathcal{U}$ , for  $Q_1, Q_2$  are ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ , implies that  $Q_1 + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) = Q_2 + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U})$  [6].

**Lemma 33.** [7] If  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Multiplication  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then  $\mathcal{U}$  is finitely generated if and only if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a weak cancellation.

**Proposition 34.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated Multiplication (cyclic)  $\mathcal{Z}$ -regular  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . The statements below are considered to be equivalent:

(a)  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

(b)  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

(c)  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some WPS-2AB ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) Follows from Proposition 9

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be submodule of an  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$ . But  $Q = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U}$  implies that  $Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) = [0 : \mathcal{U}] \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] = Q$ , that is  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ .

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Assume that  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some WPS-2AB ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is Multiplication then  $\mathcal{W} = [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]\mathcal{U} = Q\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is faithful finitely generated  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, then by Lemma 33  $\mathcal{U}$  is a weak cancellation. Therefore  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) = Q + \text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U})$ . But  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$  and  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ , that is  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}] = Q$ . Since  $Q$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 35.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a finitely generated Multiplication (cyclic) projective  $\mathcal{E}$ -module and  $\mathcal{W} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  be a

submodule of  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq [\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$ . The statements below are considered to be equivalent:

(a)  $\mathcal{W}$  is a WPS-2AB submodule.

(b)  $[\mathcal{W} :_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}]$  is a WPS-2AB ideal of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

(c)  $\mathcal{W} = Q\mathcal{U}$  for some WPS-2AB ideal  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\text{ann}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq Q$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) Follows from Proposition 9.

(b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) Follows from Proposition 34.

## Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

## Source of Funding

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other financial support were received for this research.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## Data Availability

The data and fundamental definitions used in this study are available in the published research article: Taha OH, Salih MA. \*Weakly Pseudo Semi-2-absorbing Submodule.\* The International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science. 2024; 19 (4):927-32.

## Author Contributions

Author 1 contributed to the conceptualization and methodology; Author 2 performed the formal analysis; Author 3 was responsible for data curation and writing the original draft; all authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version.

## References

- [1] Darani AY, Soheilnia F. 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules. Thai J Math 2011;9(3):577–84.
- [2] Hadi IMAH, Abdurehman A. Semi-2-Absorbing submodules and semi-2-absorbing modules. Int J Adv Sci Tech Res 2015; 521–30.
- [3] Pseudo 2-absorbing and pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodules. In: Mohammadali HK, Abdalla OA, editors. AIP conference proceedings. AIP Publishing; 2019.
- [4] Taha OH, Salih MA. Weakly pseudo semi-2-absorbing submodule. Int J Math Comput Sci 2024;19(4):927–32.
- [5] Anderson D. Some remarks on multiplication ideals, II. Commun Algebra 2000;28(5):2577–83.
- [6] Wisbauer R. Foundations of module and ring theory. Routledge; 2018.
- [7] Faith C. Algebra: rings, modules and categories I. Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.