The impact of fiscal policy on the performance of the Iraq Stock Exchange for the period (2006-2023)

Fadhil Abbas Kadhim fadhil.abbas@qu.edu.iq

him Hussein Abd Shanan Hashim hussein Abed <u>198511@gemil.com</u> University of AL-Oadisiyah

Article history:

Received: 17/3/2025 Accepted: 16/4/2025 Available online: 15 /6 /2025

Corresponding Author : Hussein Abd Shanan Hashim

Abstract : The research aims to analyze the impact of fiscal policy on the performance indicators of the Iraq Stock Exchange for the period (2006 - 2023) through direct or indirect channels. More importantly, we find that the interaction between economic policies is very important in explaining the development of the Iraq Stock Exchange. Therefore, investors and analysts in the Iraq Stock Exchange should understand the relationship between macroeconomic policies and stock market performance and look at fiscal policy together rather than looking at them in isolation from each other. The research relied on annual data for the period from 2006 to 2023 with the aim of demonstrating the impact of fiscal policy on the performance of the Iraq Stock Exchange through a quantitative and analytical reading of all variables and determining the type and extent of the relationship. Using advanced standard methods and approaches from the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model.

INTRODUCTION: Fiscal policy is the most effective tool in directing the course of financial activity in a direction that contributes to achieving economic growth and stability. In light of this, the economic and financial transformations that have occurred in the countries of the world, Iraq was not far from the ABCS of development in the financial market systems that the world has witnessed. The Iraqi economy witnessed a new phase of building the Iraqi Stock Exchange after 2003, and everything related to its work, from laws and legislation. In 2004, the Iraq Stock Exchange (ISX) was established under Law No. (74) was adopted by the Iraqi Securities Commission (ISC), which represents the regulatory entity responsible for protecting investors and regulating the Iraqi stock market in the Iraqi economy, which began to show the features of the transformation towards a market economy. These laws and legislation represent the tool that enables the financial system to keep pace with financial and banking developments in the countries of the world and expand the investment process in various fields and accelerate the process of economic growth. By 2009, the Iraqi Stock Exchange had transformed into an electronic trading system (X-stream) and a central depository system (Equator from NASDAQ).

1- Research Problem

The research problem that we would like to address is which focuses on clarifying the impact of fiscal policy variables on the performance of the Iraq Stock Exchange in light of economic and financial developments in Iraq for the period (2006 - 2023).

2- Research hypothesis

The research is based on the hypothesis that fiscal policy has an impact on the performance of the Iraq Stock Exchange and has long-term direct and inverse relationships with the performance of the Iraq Stock Exchange for the period (2006 - 2023).

3- Research objective

1-Clarifying the importance of the Iraq Stock Exchange for the period (2006 – 2023).

2- Explaining the impact of fiscal policy on the performance of the Iraq Stock Exchange and how to enhance the efficiency of the market.

Theoretical aspect.

First: Fiscal policy as a conceptual framework.

1- The concept of fiscal policy.

The term fiscal policy is derived from the Latin word "**fiscalis**" which in turn is derived from "**fiscus**", meaning the basket used to hold money. In French, "**fisc**" means purse or treasury. Therefore, "fiscal policy" means the policy related to taxes. The first person to use the term fiscal policy in English seems to have been (Edwin). Fiscal policy refers to the government's control over the level of its spending and tax rates within the economy. The government uses these two tools to influence the economy. (Mark) defined it as the use of government spending and taxes to influence the economy. Governments typically use fiscal policy to promote strong and sustainable growth and reduce poverty. Laswell has shown that fiscal policy, which was once known as "the question of who gets what, when, and how", is strongly influenced by political factors. A typical government performs three basic functions: allocation, distribution, and stability. (1)

2- Objectives of Fiscal Policy.

The objective of fiscal policy is to maintain full employment, economic stability and stable growth rate. The following are the general objectives of fiscal policy:

A- Full employment: The first and most important objective of fiscal policy in a developing economy is to achieve and maintain full employment in the economy.

B- Price stability: Different segments of society such as consumers, workers, employees, farmers, producers, traders, etc. are affected by price fluctuations. The general public is adversely affected by rising prices. Fiscal policy seeks to achieve price stability.

C- Optimal allocation of resources: Fiscal measures such as taxes and public spending can significantly influence the allocation of resources in various occupations and sectors.(2)

D- Equitable distribution of income and wealth: The welfare state must provide social justice through equitable distribution of income and wealth.

C- Economic stability: Economic stability is another basic objective of sound fiscal policy. This objective means maintaining full employment with relative price stability. Inflation must be curbed, and deflation must be avoided.(3)

3- Types of Fiscal Policy.

Fiscal policy has two main types. They are:

1- Neutral Fiscal Policy: Fiscal policy is said to be neutral when the level of government spending relative to tax revenues is stable over time. This type of policy is usually implemented when the economy is in equilibrium - neither expanding nor contracting rapidly. In this case, government spending is fully financed by tax revenues, which have a neutral effect on the level of economic activity.

2- Discretionary Fiscal Policy: Discretionary fiscal policy refers to government fiscal policy that changes government spending or taxes. Its purpose is to expand or contract the economy as needed. It has two sub-types: a- Expansionary Fiscal Policy: Discretionary fiscal policy is said to be expansionary when the government spends more money than its revenues collected through taxes. This type of fiscal policy is usually implemented during recessions to increase the level of economic activity. However, expansionary fiscal policy has the effect of reducing private investment as it leads to higher interest rates. (4)

b- Contractionary Fiscal Policy: Discretionary fiscal policy is said to be contractionary when government spending is less than tax revenues. This type of fiscal policy is taken to pay off government debts and reduce inflation. Based on the economic conditions and the goals that governments seek to achieve.(5)

4- Fiscal policy and stock market performance.

In light of the current economic crisis and the increasing focus on the role of fiscal policy as a tool for financial stability and a potential source of instability, it has become increasingly important to gain a better understanding of the effects of fiscal policy on the economy in general, and the stock market in particular.

This gap in understanding remains even though the theoretical effects of fiscal policy on stock markets and Tobin emphasizes the role of the stock market in the relationship between the real and financial sides of the economy. The model developed by Tobin allows fiscal policy to influence stock market outcomes. Through the role of fiscal policy in asset markets, mainly on its effects on interest rates and the effects of confidence in the sustainability of the financial position in the long run. In addition, fiscal policy can affect the level of economic activity, which in turn will impact stock markets. (6) From a theoretical perspective, the economic effects of fiscal policy depend on whether one takes a Keynesian, classical or Ricardian view of economics. Keynesian theory sets out the prescription regarding the appropriate role of fiscal policy in stabilizing economic fluctuations. In particular, like automatic stabilizers, discretionary fiscal policy should also operate in a countercyclical manner. The mix of discretionary and automatic

stabilisers will depend on the extent and composition of the government's role in the economy. In contrast to the Keynesian view of fiscal policy, the Ricardian view states that policy can have no effect on aggregate demand since any public borrowing will be offset by private savings by rational households. On the other hand, classical economists emphasize that fiscal policy crowds out private sector activity in markets. Therefore, its effects will be less significant in an economy operating close to its potential output. Even if demand management could work as envisioned in the Keynesian framework, it is still not a given that fiscal policymakers will use this policy in a way that leads to stabilization. The practical application of how fiscal policy is employed will depend on the economic environment that adopts it. (7)

Second: Stock Markets Conceptual Framework.

1- The concept of stock markets.

The stock market is a highly developed place where stocks and bonds are considered traded commodities. At the same time, it is an essential element in creating and developing a strong and competitive economy. It is the key to structural transformations in any economy, from the traditional, rigid, unsafe, bank-based economy to a more flexible, safer, and immune economy to shocks, fluctuations, and lack of investor confidence. According to Arnold, stock markets are the place where the government and industry can raise long-term capital and investors can buy and sell securities. (8

Patrick and Wai) defined it as financial markets that deal with capital, whether in the short or long term, where companies sell shares in order to generate long-term capital that can be directed to their profitable options. This is because investors prefer to invest in profitable financial instruments rather than losing ones, as buyers hold their shares for future dividend payments. (9)

2- Types of stock markets.

Financial markets consist of two main components: the money market and the capital market.

A- The money market is the market where borrowers and lenders exchange short-term funds to meet their liquidity needs. Money market instruments are generally financial claims with low default risk, maturities of less than one year and high marketability.

B- The capital market is a market for financial investments that are direct or indirect claims to capital. It is broader than the stock market and includes all forms of lending and borrowing, whether or not by creating a tradable financial instrument. The capital market includes a set of institutions and mechanisms through which medium-term and long-term funds are pooled and made available to companies, governments and individuals. (10)

The stock market consists of two interconnected and inseparable parts, the new issue (primary) market and the stock market (secondary).

The primary market provides the channel for the sale of new securities. The issuer of securities sells securities in the primary market to raise funds for investment and/or to repay some obligations.

The secondary market deals in previously issued securities. The secondary market enables those holding securities to adjust their holdings in response to changes in their assessment of risk and return. They also sell securities for cash to meet their liquidity needs. Price signals, which absorb all the information about the issuer and its business, including the risks associated with it, which are generated in the secondary market, help the primary market in allocating funds.(11)

The applied aspect.

1- Model variables.

It is possible to formulate the standard model for the independent variables represented by the financial policy tools followed in Iraq and the dependent variables represented by (performance indicators of the Iraqi Stock Exchange), which can be explained through the following table:

Variable Type	Variable Code	Variable Name	
	Fiscal Policy Vari	ables	
independent	REV	Public Revenue	
independent	ХР	Public Expenditure	
independent	DE	Domestic Public Debt	
	Iraq Stock Exchange	Variables	
continued	GI	General Stock Price Index	
continued	MV Market Value		
continued	VS	Trading Volume	

Table (1) Definition and measurement of research variables

2- Formulating the standard model .

The standard model includes five equations for each of (fiscal policy) in Iraq, and each equation consists of a dependent variable and independent variables, and it is a mathematical equation for the standard model consisting of the independent variables and the dependent variable, and for the purpose of estimating the relationship between the variables, it is required to describe and formulate the model standardly through the following formulas:

1- The general index of market prices as a function of the fiscal policy variables, as the model consists of three independent variables and a dependent variable, as in the following formula:

 $GI = \beta 0 + \beta 1 REV + \beta 2 XP + \beta 3 DE + Ui$

2- Market value as a function of financial policy variables, as the model consists of three independent variables and a dependent variable, as in the following formula:

 $MV = \beta 0 + \beta 1 REV + \beta 2 XP + \beta 3 DE + Ui$

3- Trading volume as a function of fiscal policy variables, as the model consists of three independent variables and a dependent variable, as in the following formula:

 $VS = \beta 0 + \beta 1 REV - \beta 2 XP + \beta 3 DE + Ui$

3-Estimating models and analyzing their results according to the ARDL methodology

1- Results of testing the relationship between fiscal policy variables and performance variables of the Iraq Stock Exchange.

The results of testing the relationship between fiscal policy variables and performance variables in the Iraq Stock Exchange for the period (2006-2023) represent an important study to understand how economic policies affect market performance. In this context, the study focuses on examining the impact of fiscal policies (public revenues, public expenditures, and internal debt) on performance variables in the market, represented by the main indicators of the Iraq Stock Exchange.

A- Results of the unit root test.

Unit root tests are essential tools for checking the stationarity of a time series before using it in econometric analysis. The (Dickey-Fuller) and tests will be used to check for the presence of a unit root. If the series contains a unit root, taking the first difference to convert the series to stationary becomes necessary to ensure the validity of the econometric results. Before conducting any econometric study and determining the appropriate methodology, it is methodologically necessary to investigate the stationarity of all variables in the research. (12)

With Constan			With Co	nstant & Trend		Without Constant & Trend			
	t-Statistic	Prob.		t-Statistic	.Prob		t-Statistic	.Prob	
REV	2.541355-	0.1105	No	3.230519-	0.0874	*	0.138445	0.7229	No
ХР	1.350731-	0.6006	No	2.434607-	0.3588	No	0.661716	0.8564	No
DE	1.130709-	0.6990	No	3.475186-	0.0504	*	0.057771-	0.6599	No
GI	0.924831-	0.7745	No	3.328998-	0.0704	**	0.311597	0.7729	No
MV	0.088928-	0.9457	No	2.857825-	0.1831	No	1.622880	0.9734	No
VS	0.881462-	0.7861	No	0.867112-	0.9517	No	1.069852	0.9236	No
d (REV)	3.288521-	0.0193	**	3.266928-	0.0807	*	3.213218-	0.0017	***
d (XP)	2.173574-	0.2178	No	2.136025-	0.5160	No	1.933565-	0.0514	*
d (DE)	2.608570-	0.0963	*	2.587967-	0.2870	No	2.433341-	0.0155	**
d (GI)	3.031264-	0.0371	**	3.076833-	0.1203	No	2.827802-	0.0053	***

 Table (2)

 Results of the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test for the variables of the econometric mode

d (MV)	2.733093-	0.0742	*	2.751125-	0.2206	No	1.694745-	0.0851	*
d (VS)	1.899355-	0.3300	No	1.879522-	0.6502	No	1.485010-	0.1273	No

Notes: (*) Significant at the 10%; (**) Significant at the 5%; (***) Significant at the 1%. and (no) Not Significant Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

It is clear from Table (2) that both the dependent variables and the independent variables are stable at the original level and some of them are stable at the first difference using the Dickey-Fuller method. Based on these results, the appropriate methodology for the standard analysis can be chosen, as the stability of the variables was first verified so that the results are valid and accurate. Based on the stability results that were shown, the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) methodology developed by (Pesaran et al. 2001) can be used. This methodology is particularly suitable when the variables contain different levels of stability, i.e. some variables are stable at the level and some at the first difference. It is a common technique used to analyze the long-term relationships between economic or financial variables in time series.

First - Estimation of the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model for the general index function of stock prices.

1- Initial estimation of the model.

The initial estimation of the ARDL model is an important step in analyzing the economic relationships between variables. This model provides a framework for examining the relationship between variables in the long run and the short run. In the long run, the model helps identify stable coefficients that reveal the continuous relationships between variables in the long run. On the other hand, in the short run, this model allows measuring the short-term effects on variables due to sudden changes in economic factors, which is an essential step for understanding the dynamics of relationships between economic variables. This estimation includes checking the stability of time series, choosing appropriate lags for the model, and estimating the economic coefficients that determine the short- and long-term effects, which provides a powerful tool for economic analysis and decision-making. (13)

Initial estin	Initial estimation of the ARDL model for the general index function of stock prices									
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error		Prob.*						
GI(-1)	1.315567	0.137527	9.565864	0.0000						
GI(-2)	-0.520892	0.194768	-2.674423	0.0111						
GI(-3)	0.001654	0.179069	0.009237	0.9927						
GI(-4)	-0.175268	0.119279	-1.469395	0.1502						
REV	1.031371	1.443224	0.714630	0.4793						
REV(-1)	2.898223	1.618786	1.790368	0.0816						
REV(-2)	-1.632763	0.930512	-1.754693	0.0876						
XP	-1.390191	1.061109	-1.310130	0.1982						
XP(-1)	4.545120	1.582705	2.871742	0.0067						
XP(-2)	-1.363607	1.543051	-0.883709	0.3826						
XP(-3)	-0.017136	1.435312	-0.011939	0.9905						
XP(-4)	2.452304	1.397896	1.754282	0.0877						
DE	6.679944	2.737290	2.440349	0.0196						
DE(-1)	2.288952	1.913943	1.195935	0.2393						
С	-762528.2	283040.1	-2.694064	0.0105						
R-squared	0.997414	Mean dependent v	ar	365174.4						
Adjusted R-squared	0.995527	S.D. dependent va	r	256324.4						
S.E. of regression	17142.88	Akaike info criterio	on	22.63462						
Sum squared resid	1.09E+10	Schwarz criterior	1	23.57128						
Log likelihood	-707.6252	Hannan-Quinn crit	er.	23.00419						
F-statistic	528.5721	Durbin-Watson sta	at	2.310393						
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000									

 Table (3)

 Initial estimation of the ARDL model for the general index function of stock prices

Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

It is noted from the results of Table (3) that the relative quality of the estimated model is through the high coefficient of determination (0.997414) and it is shown that the model explains 99% of the changes in the general index of stock prices, which is a very strong percentage. The results also indicate that the relationship between the general index of stock prices variable and the fiscal policy variables is not false, as the value of the Fisher test statistic reached (F-statistic = 528.5721), which means that the model is statistically significant. We note that the value of (Durbin Watson statistic) which is equal to (2.310393) indicates the absence of the problem of serial autocorrelation of the residual.

2- Bounds Testing:

To detect the existence of a long-term equilibrium relationship (co-integration) between the general index of stock prices and fiscal policy variables, the bounds test is used, which is a statistical method that aims to examine whether the studied variables follow a common long-term pattern. This is done by comparing the calculated value of the (F) statistic for the long-run coefficients in the model with the tabular value of the (F) statistic according to the limits set by (Pesaran, 2001). This comparison is based on the following basic hypotheses:

Alternative hypothesis (H_1) : indicates the existence of a joint integration relationship between the studied variables. In other words, there is a long-term relationship between the general index of stock prices and the fiscal policy variables.

Null hypothesis (H_0) : It assumes that there is no joint integration relationship between the variables, i.e. the changes in the general index of stock prices and the financial policy variables.

If the calculated value of (F) is greater than the table value of the (F) statistic at the upper limits, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted, indicating the existence of joint integration between the general index of stock prices and the financial policy variables. However, if the calculated value of (F) is less than the table value, the null hypothesis is accepted, which means the absence of an integral relationship between the variables.

 Table (4)

 Results of the boundary test for the estimated model of the general index of stock prices function

The second								
F-Bour	nds Test	Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship						
Test S	tatistic	Value	I(1)					
	Asymptotic:	n=1000						
F-statistic	4.657807		10%	1.99	2.94			
K		5%	2.27	3.28				
			2.5%	2.55	3.61			
			1%	2.88	3.99			
56	Actual Sample Size		Fi	nite Sample: n=	=65			
			10%	2.12	3.145			
			5%	2.473	3.583			
			1%	3.225	4.571			

Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

According to the results shown in Table (4), it appears that the calculated value of the (F) statistic of (4.657807) was greater than the tabular value at all levels of statistical significance used (such as 1%, 5%, 10%). This means that according to the Bounds Testing, the null hypothesis indicating the absence of a relationship for the test is rejected, indicating that there is a long-term relationship or joint integration between the studied variables, and thus, the existence of a stable equilibrium relationship between fiscal policy on the one hand and the general index of stock prices on the other hand. Thus, the existence of joint integration means that fiscal policy variables can be linked in the long term with the general index of stock prices, indicating that there is a stable relationship linking these variables in the long term. Joint integration between fiscal policy variables and the general index of stock prices, in other words,

the calculated value of (F) exceeded the upper limit determined by the tabular values.

Second - General estimation of the (ARDL) model for the market value function.

1- Results of the initial estimation of the model for the market value function.

Initial estima	Initial estimation of the AKDL model for the market value function											
Variable		Coefficient	Std. Error t-Statistic		t-Statistic		Prob.*					
MV(-1)		1.472188		0.088504		16.63408		0.0000				
MV(-2)		-0.646041		0.082081		-7.870741		0.0000				
REV		0.006433		0.003660		1.757731		0.0844				
XP		-0.011740	0.008101 -1.44925		-1.449258		0.1529					
XP(-1)		0.027865		0.008210		3.393916		0.0013				
С		1163.236		971.1865		1.197747		0.2362				
R-squared		0.997656	Mean dependent var 9		9089.827							
Adjusted R-squared		0.997187	S.D. dependent var 4790.797		4790.797							
S.E. of regression		254.0883	Akaike info criterion 14.074		14.07409							

Table (5)
Initial estimation of the ARDL model for the market value function

Sum squared resid	3550847.	Schwarz criterion	14.46896	
Log likelihood	-459.4820	Hannan-Quinn criter.		14.23034
F-statistic	2128.032	Durbin-Watson stat		2.203269
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

It is noted from the results of Table (5) that the relative quality of the estimated model is through the high coefficient of determination (0.997656) and it is shown that the model explains 99% of the changes in the market value, which is a very strong percentage. The results also indicate that the relationship between the market value indicator and the financial policy variables is not false, as the value of the Fisher test statistic reached (F-statistic = 2128.032), which means that the model is statistically significant. We note that the value of (Durbin Watson statistic) which is equal to (2.203269) indicates the absence of the problem of serial autocorrelation of the residual.

2. Bounds Testing:

According to this test, the lower and upper limits of the possible values of the co-integration coefficients between the variables are determined, and the (F) statistic resulting from the model estimates is compared with the upper and lower limits. If the F statistic is greater than the upper limit, this means that there is a co-integration relationship between the variables. If the F statistic is less than the lower limit, this indicates that there is no co-integration between the variables. Table (27) shows the bounds test for the ARDL model. Table (6)

Results of the bounds test for the estimated model of the market value function									
F-Bour	ull Hypothesis: No levels relationship								
Test S	tatistic	Value	Signif	I(0)	I(1)				
	Asymptotic:	n=1000							
F-statistic	5.542853		10%	1.99	2.94				
K	К б				3.28				
			2.5%	2.55	3.61				
			1%	2.88	3.99				
76	Actual Sample Size		Fi	nite Sample: n=	67				
			10%	2.1	3.121				
	5%	2.451	3.559						
			1%	3.18	4.596				

		-	
Results of the bounds	test for the estimated	l model of the market	value function

Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

According to the results shown in Table (6), it appears that the calculated value of the (F) statistic was greater than the tabular value at all levels of statistical significance used (such as 1%, 5%, 10%). This means that, according to the Bounds Testing, we can reject the null hypothesis that there is no co-integration relationship between the fiscal policy variables and market value. In other words, the calculated value of (F) exceeded the upper limit determined by the tabular values of the test, indicating that there is a long-term relationship or co-integration between the studied variables, and thus, the existence of a stable equilibrium relationship between fiscal policy on the one hand and market value on the other hand. Thus, the existence of co-integration means that fiscal policy variables can be linked in the long term with market value, indicating that there is a stable relationship linking these variables in the long term. Third: General estimation of the (ARDL) model for the trading volume function.

1- Results of the initial estimation of the trading volume function.

It is noted from the results of Table (7) the relative quality of the estimated model through the high coefficient of determination (0.981659) and it is shown that the model explains 98% of the changes in the trading volume indicator, which is a very strong percentage. The results also indicate that the relationship between the trading volume indicator variable and the financial policy variables is not false, as the value of the Fisher test statistic reached (F-statistic = 109.7211), which means that the model is statistically significant. We note that the value of (Durbin Watson statistic)

which is equal to (1.994752) indicates the absence of the problem of serial autocorrelation of the residual.

Table (7)
Results of the initial estimation of the trading volume function

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.*
VS(-1)	1.172498	0.104344	11.23680	0.0000
VS(-2)	-0.243794	0.168893	-1.443487	0.1565
VS(-3)	-0.152531	0.098268	-1.552194	0.1283
REV	-8.576751	2.474971	-3.465394	0.0013
XP	-16.18565	5.021298	-3.223400	0.0025

XP(-1)	25.09398	7.970965	3.148173	0.0031		
XP(-2)	-30.68335	7.288789	-4.209664	0.0001		
XP(-3)	6.113961	6.669897	0.916650	0.3647		
XP(-4)	-23.20752	5.488223	-4.228604	0.0001		
DE	-36.70807	11.09065	-3.309822	0.0020		
DE(-1)	60.93844	20.05483	3.038592	0.0041		
DE(-2)	-67.77542	11.51114	-5.887809	0.0000		
С	4134598.	767001.5	5.390600	0.0000		
R-squared	0.981659	Mean deper	ndent var	718526.8		
Adjusted R-squared	0.972712	S.D. depen	dent var	545254.4		
S.E. of regression	90070.86	Akaike info	criterion	25.91844		
Sum squared resid	3.33E+11	Schwarz c	riterion	26.63892		
Log likelihood	-782.4716	Hannan-Qui	nn criter.	26.20132		
F-statistic	109.7211	Durbin-Wa	tson stat	1.994752		
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000					

Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

2- Bounds Testing.

According to the results shown in Table (8), it appears that the calculated value of the (F) statistic was greater than the tabular value at all levels of statistical significance used (such as 1%, 5%, 10%). This means that, according to the bounds test, we cannot accept the null hypothesis that there is no joint integration relationship between the variables of fiscal policy and trading volume. In other words, the calculated value of (F) exceeded the upper limit determined by the table values of the test, indicating that there is a long-term relationship or joint integration between the studied variables. Therefore, there is a stable equilibrium relationship between fiscal policy on the one hand and trading volume on the other hand. Thus, the existence of joint integration means that fiscal policy variables can be linked in the long term with trading volume, indicating the existence of a stable relationship linking these variables in the long run.

Table (8)					
Bounds Test Results for the Estimated Model of the Trading Volume Function					

8						
F-Bounds Test		Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship				
Test Statistic		Value	Signif	I(0)	I(1)	
Asymptotic: n=1000						
F-statistic	9.931069		10%	1.99	2.94	
K	6		5%	2.27	3.28	
			2.5%	2.55	3.61	
			1%	2.88	3.99	
26 Actual Sample Size			Finite Sample: n=65			
			10%	2.12	3.145	
			5%	2.473	3.583	
			1%	3.225	4.571	

Source: Researcher's own work based on program results(Eviews : 12)

Conclusions

1—The statistical results indicate that the relationship between the Iraq Stock Exchange indicators and the financial policy variables is not spurious based on the Fisher test (F-statistic), which means that the model is statistically significant.

2—According to the bounds test, there is a stable equilibrium relationship between financial policy on the one hand and the general index of stock prices, market value, and trading volume on the other hand. Thus, the existence of joint integration means that the financial policy variables can be linked in the long term with the market indicators, indicating that there is a stable relationship linking these variables in the long term.

Recommendations

1- Stimulating and directing the financial policy tools to a high degree of efficiency and effectiveness to apply those tools in the Iraq Stock Exchange so that they can absorb large quantities of stocks and bonds resulting from the Central Bank and the government.

2—Encouraging the public to invest in the Iraq Stock Exchange by developing a culture of relying on savings, investing them in investment portfolios, and diversifying services to meet all investment requirements.

Sources

1- Vito Tanzi, Fiscal Policy: When Theory Collides with Reality, CEPS Working Document No. 246/June, 2006,pp.1-2

2- Samuel Nakale, Manongwa Sikanda, FISCAL POLICY AND THE NATIONAL ECONOMY, REPUBLIC OF NAMIBIA, 2015, PP.1-2

3- PAUL BOYCE, Fiscal Policy: Definition, Tools & Objectives , boycewire, 2023, p.5

4- Lida R. Weinstock, Fiscal Policy: Economic Effects ,Congressional Research Service,2021,pp.1-7

5- Popa, Ionela and Codreanu, Diana, Fiscal Policy and its role in ensuring economic stability, Universitatea Constantin Brancoveanu - Facultatea de Management Marketing . Valcea, 2010, p. 3

6- Tobin, J. A general equilibrium approach to monetary theory. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 1,1969 16-19 7- Lane, P. The cyclical behaviour of fiscal policy: evidence from the OECD. Journal of Public Economics 87,2003,pp 2663-2666

8- Arnold, H, Schumpeter and Methodological Individualism. Journal of Evolutionary Economics, 14(2),2004,pp. 153-156

9-Patrick, H.T., Wai, U.T., Stock and Bond Issues and Capital Markets in Less Developed Countries. International

10- Narjess Boubakri, yoser Gadhoum, Imed CHkir, Maher Kooli, "Les Principes de la Finance d'entreprise", éditeur, gaetan morin ,canada, 2005, P :10

11- Sankarshan Basu,Spot and futures markets – Scope for integration, IIMB Management Review,10.001,2020,pp.1-3

12- Alimi, R. Santos, ARDL Bounds Testing Approach to Cointegration: A Reexamination of Augmented Fisher Hypotheses In An Open Economy, Asian Journal of Economic Modelling, Vol. (2), No., 2014, P 106

13- Emeka N. Koro & Aham Kelvin Uko, Autoregressive Distributed Lag(ARDL) Contegration technique application and interpretation, Journal of statistical and Economic Methods, Vol(5), No(4), 2016, p.64