

Some Results on s-prime Compactly Acts over Monoid

Authors Names	ABSTRACT
Muna Jasime Mohammed Alir ^a Shireen O. Dakheel ^{a,b,*} Publication date: 16 / 6 /2025 Keywords: Multiplicatively Closed Sets, Prime Subact, s-Prime Subact, s- Pure Subact.	In this paper introduce the concept of subact \tilde{N} of \tilde{M} is s-prime compactly packed (sp- c. P) S-Acts. if for each family $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \lambda}$ of s- prime subact of \tilde{M} with $\tilde{N} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} P_\alpha$, $\tilde{N} \subseteq P_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$. We refer to an S-Act \tilde{M} as sp- c. P. if every subact is s-prime compactly packed. We study various properties of sp-c. P S-Acts.

1.Introduction

Let \tilde{M} be a unitary module defined on commutative ring with 1. A subset V of R have been said a multiplicatively closed (M.C) subset of R if: $1 \in V$ and for any v_1, v_2 in V , $v_1 v_2 \in V$. Let V be (m. c. set) of R and M is an R –module, (1) V^* a nonempty subset of M have been said V -closed if $vm \in V^*$ for every $v \in V$ and $m \in V^*$. (2) An V -closed subset V^* have been said saturated if the next provision is hold: where $dm \in V^*$ for $d \in R$ also $m \in M$, then $d \in V$ and $m \in V^*$ [1, 2] . A proper subact \tilde{N} of a S-Act \tilde{M} is said to be c. P if whenever \tilde{N} is contained in the union of a family of prime subact of \tilde{M} , then \tilde{N} is contained in one of the members of the family. And \tilde{M} is compactly packed S-Act if every proper subact of \tilde{M} is c. P [3]. Let $S \subseteq R$ be a m.c.set. and P a submodule of M with $[P :_R M] \cap S = \emptyset$. Then P is said to be an s -prime submodule if there exists $s \in V$ and whenever $am \in P$ then either $sa \in [P :_R M]$ or $sm \in P$ for each $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ [4]. In [5], we say that a submodule N of an R -module M is S-pure if there exists an $s \in S$ such that $s(N \cap IM) \subseteq IN$ for every ideal I of R . an ideal I of R is called an S -prime ideal if I is an S -prime submodule of R -module R . Note that all prime submodules P whose residual by M is disjoint from S become an S -prime submodule since $1 \in S$. Also, if we take $S \subseteq u(R)$, where $u(R)$ denotes the set of units in R , the notions of S -prime submodules and prime submodules are equal [4]

2. s-Prime Compactly Packed S-Acts.

Definition 2.1 : [6] Let S be a monoid, a subset U of R have been said a multiplicatively closed (m.c) subset of S if : 1 belongs to U and for any $u_1, u_2 \in U$. Let V be a (M.C) of a monoid S and M be an S-act (1) A nonempty subset U^* of M have been said U -closed if um belongs U^* for every $v \in U$ and $m \in U^*$. (2) An U -closed subset U^* have been said saturated if the next provisions are hold: where $km \in U^*$ for $k \in S$ and $m \in M$, then $k \in U$ and $m \in U^*$.

Example 2.2: [6] Let Q be act over integer number Z . If U^* is saturated U -closed, then $U = Z - \{0\}$ and $U^* = Q - \{0\}$.

^aUniversity of Baghdad, College of Science for women, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq, E-Mail: munajm_math@csu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

^bUniversity of Baghdad, College of Science for women, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq, E-Mail: shireenod_math@csu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Definition 2.3: Let S be a monoid and U (m.c) subset of S with $[N:S M] \cap U = \emptyset$, a subact N of s -act M is said to be s -prime, if there is u belongs to U and whenever $am \in N$ then either $ua \in [N:S M]$ or $um \in N$ for each $a \in S$ and $m \in M$.

Definition 2.4 : Let K be a subact of a S -Act \dot{M} , if there exist s -prime subact that contain K , then the intersection of all s -prime subact containing K is called the \dot{M} - s -radical of K and denoted by $\text{rad } K$. If there is no s -prime subact containing K , then $s\text{-rad } K = \dot{M}$. A subact K is called a s -radical subact if $s\text{-rad } K = K$.

Theorem 2.5 : Let \dot{M} be an S -Act. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1- \dot{M} is s -c.P.
- 2- For every a proper subact K of \dot{M} , there is $a \in K$ such that $s\text{-rad}(K) = s\text{-rad}(Sa)$.
- 3- For every proper subact K of \dot{M} , if $\{K_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of subact of \dot{M} and $K \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} K_\alpha$ then $K \subseteq s\text{-rad}(K_\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.
- 4- For every proper subact K of M , if $\{K_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ is a family of radical subact of M and $K \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} K_\alpha$ then $K \subseteq K_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.

Proof: (1 \rightarrow 2) Let K be a proper subact of \dot{M} . Suppose $s\text{-rad } K \not\subseteq s\text{-rad}(Sa)$ for each $a \in K$, there is a s -prime subact N_a which contains Sa and $K \not\subseteq N_a$. But $K = \bigcup_{(a \in K)} Sa \subseteq \bigcup_{(a \in K)} N_a$, that is \dot{M} is not s -c-P which contradicts (1).

(2 \rightarrow 3) Let K be a proper subact of \dot{M} and let $\{K_\alpha\}_{(\alpha \in \lambda)}$ be a family of subact of \dot{M} such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} K_\alpha$. By (b) there is $a \in K$ such that $s\text{-rad } K = s\text{-rad}(Sa)$. Then $a \in \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} K_\alpha$ and hence $a \in K_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$, so that $Sa \subseteq K_\beta$ and $K \subseteq s\text{-rad}(K) = s\text{-rad}(Sa) \subseteq s\text{-rad}(K_\beta)$.

(3 \rightarrow 4) & (4 \rightarrow 1) are clear

Recall that an S -Act M is called a multiplication S -Act if each subact N of M has the form $N = IM$ for an ideal I of R . In fact $N = [N:M]M$. [7].

Remark 2.6:

- 1- If \dot{M} is a multiplication S -Act and K is a subact of \dot{M} with $K \subseteq \bigcup_{(\alpha \in \lambda)} K_\alpha$, where K is s -prime subact of \dot{M} and λ is a finite set, then $K \subseteq K_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \lambda$.
- 2- If \dot{M} is a multiplication S -Act containing finite number of s -prime subact then \dot{M} is s -c.p.

Definition 2.7 : A subact N of a S -act M is called S -pure if there exists an $s \in U$ such that $s(N \cap IM) \subseteq IN$ for every ideal I of S .

Proposition 2.8 : Let \dot{M} be S -Act and every subact is s -pure, then \dot{M} is $c.P$ if and only if, each proper subact K of M is cyclic.

Proof : The sufficiency is clear. To prove the necessity, let K be a proper subact of M . Since M is s -c.p then by theorem 2.5, there exists $a \in K$ such that $rad K = s - rad Sa$. But every subact is s -pure,

Theorem 2.8 : If M is $s - c.P$ S-Act which has at least one maximal subact then M satisfies the ACC on s -radical subact.

Proof: let $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of s -radical subact of M and let $K = \bigcup_i K_i$. If $K = \dot{M}$ and B is a maximal subact of \dot{M} , then $B \subsetneq \bigcup_i K_i$. Since \dot{M} is s -c.P then $B \subseteq K_j$ for some j . Therefore $B \subseteq K_j$ and therefore $\bigcup_i K_i \subseteq K_j$, that is $\dot{M} \subseteq K_j$ which is impossible. Thus L is a proper subact of \dot{M} . Thus $L \subseteq K_j$ for some j and therefore $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_j = K_{j+1} = K_{j+2} = \dots$, thus the ACC is satisfied for s -radical subact.

Because every finitely generated S-Act and every multiplication S-Act has a proper maximal subact, [8] then we have:-then $K = Sa$

Corollary 2.9 : If \dot{M} is finitely generated or multiplication $c.P$ S-Act, then M satisfies the ACC on radical subact.

Definition 2. 10 : A s -prime subact L of an S-Act \dot{M} is called a minimal s -prime subact of a subact K if $L \subseteq K$ and there exist no smaller s -prime subact with this property. Remember that if \dot{M} is an S-Act that satisfies the ACC on s -radical subact then the s -radical of any proper subact K of \dot{M} is the intersection of a finite number of minimal s -prime subact of K .

Lemma 2.11: If \dot{M} be a proper multiplication S-Act that satisfies the ACC on radical subact, then for every proper subact \dot{N} of \dot{M} there exists a finite number of minimal prime subact of \dot{N} .

Proof: let K be a proper subact of \dot{M} , then $s - rad K$ is the intersection of a finite number of minimal s -prime subact of \dot{M} say L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n . We shall prove that these L_i 's are the only minimal s -prime subact of \dot{N} . Suppose H is a minimal prime subact. It is clear that $s - rad K \subseteq H$ that is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i \subseteq H$ and hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [L_i : \dot{M}] = [\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_i : \dot{M}] \subseteq [\dot{U} : \dot{M}]$. And $[\dot{U} : \dot{M}]$ is s -prime ideal [7] then there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $[L_j : \dot{M}] \subseteq [H : \dot{M}]$, but \dot{M} is a multiplication S-Act thus $L_j \subseteq H$ because H is minimal s -prime subact.

Corollary 2.12: If \dot{M} is a multiplication $s - c.P$ S-Act, then for every proper subact K of \dot{M} there exist a finite number of minimal prime subact of K .

Let L be s -prime subact of an S-Act \dot{M} . The height of L equals n (denoted by $ht(L) = n$) if there exists a chain of distinct s -prime subact of L_i of \dot{M} of the form $L = L_0 \supset L \supset \dots \supset L_n$ and it is the longest chain such that $L = L_0$.

The Krull dimension of \dot{M} , denoted by $\dim \dot{M}$, is defined as: $\dim \dot{M} = \{ht(L) : L \text{ is } s - \text{prime subact of } \dot{M}\}$.

Following [8, 9], the Prime Avoidance Theorem for modules states as follows: Put U is module, K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n a finite number of submodules of U and K is a submodule of U such that $K \subseteq K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_n$. Assume that at most two of the K_i 's are not prime, and that

$(K_j : M) \not\subseteq \sqrt{K_l : M}$ (whenever $j \neq l$; Then $K \subseteq K_l$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We examine how this theory can be extended to the Primal Avoidance theory for acts over monoid.

Theorem 2.13: Let \dot{M} be a multiplication S -Act. If $\dim \dot{M} = 0$ then \dot{M} is $c.P$ iff \dot{M} has finite number of prime subact.

Proof: Suppose \dot{M} is $c.P$. If $\{0\}$ is prime subact then the necessity is trivial. If $\{0\}$ is not prime, then every prime subact is minimal in \dot{M} and by (corollary 2.12) the number of prime subact of \dot{M} is finite. The sufficiency follows from the Prime Avoidance Theorem

A partial converse of theorem 1.5 can be found in the subsequent theorem.

Theorem 2.14: Put \dot{M} is an S -act and every finitely generated subact is cyclic. If \dot{M} satisfies the ACC on radical subact, then \dot{M} is $c.P$.

Proof: Put \dot{N} is a proper subact of \dot{M} . By [8], there exists a finitely generated subact \dot{U} of \dot{M} such that $s\text{-rad} \dot{N} = s\text{-rad} \dot{U}$ and hence \dot{U} is cyclic subact, and by theorem 2.5 \dot{M} is $s - c.P$.

Definition 2.15 : An S -act \dot{M} is called s -semilocal, if $\dot{M}/s\text{-rad}(\dot{M})$ is semisimple.

Proposition 2.16: Let \dot{M} be a multiplication s -semilocal S -Act with $\dim \dot{M} \leq 1$. If \dot{M} satisfies the ACC on s -radical subact, then \dot{M} is $s - c.P$.

Proof: We have two cases, first if $\{0\}$ is s -prime subact then every non-zero s -prime subact of \dot{M} is maximal and hence the number of s -prime subact of \dot{M} is finite. On the other hand if $\{0\}$ is not s -prime, let \dot{A} be the set of all s -prime subact of \dot{M} and let $\dot{E} = \{\dot{U} \in \dot{A} : \dot{U} \text{ is maximal subact of } \dot{M}\}$, $\dot{K} = \{\dot{L} \in \dot{A} : \dot{L} \notin \dot{E}\}$. By lemma 2.11. we have \dot{K} is finite set and hence \dot{A} is finite. In any case we get \dot{M} is $s - c.P$ from the s -Prime Avoidance Theorem

Definition 2.17: An S -Act \dot{M} is said to be satisfy the Cyclic Subact Condition (s -CSC) if for each $x \in \dot{M}$ and each s -prime subact \dot{K}_x of \dot{M} minimal over Sx , therefore $\text{ht}(\dot{K}_x) \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.18: Suppose that \dot{M} is a $c.P$ S -Act. If the s -CSC is satisfied for \dot{M} , then $\dim \dot{M} \leq 1$.

Proof: Put \dot{K}_x be a maximal subact of \dot{M} , then by theorem (2.5) there exists $a \in \dot{M}$ such that $\dot{K}_x = s\text{-rad} Sa$. This implies that \dot{K}_x is minimal s -prime subact over Sa . By s -CSC, $\text{ht}(\dot{K}_x) \leq 1$, therefore $\dim \dot{M} \leq 1$.

Proposition 2.19: Let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism. If M is $s - c.P$ then so is M' . The converse is true when M is finitely generated or (multiplication) S -Act and $\ker f \subseteq s\text{-rad}\{0\}$.

Proof: It is clear.

References

-
- [1] S. Visweswaran, Some remarks on multiplicatively closed sets, Arab J Math, (2013),1- 17,
 - [2] O. Khudhayer and K. Haibat, Restrict Nearly Primary Submodules, Wasit Journal for Pure Science, Vol(1), No(3) (2022)70-80.
 - [3] O. Shireen Dakheel , Zaienb Anwer Ahmed and Muna Jasim Mohammed Ali, On Compactly Packed \varnothing Acts over Monoid, To appear in Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography.
 - [4] Ş. Esra Sevimi, A.Tarık,, T.Ünsal and K.,Suat, On S-prime submodules, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 43 (2019) 1036 – 1046.
 - [5] F. Farshadifar, A Generalization of Pure Submodules, Journal of Algebra and Related Topics Vol. 8, No 2, (2020), pp 1-8
 - [6] Muna Jasim Mohammed Ali, Uhood S. AL-Hassani, Nahida Naji Kadhim and Samira Naji Kadhim, On Multiplicatively Closed Sets and Prime Subact Over Monoid, To appear.
 - [7] M.S. Abbas and Samer Adnan, The product of Gamma Subacts of Multiplication Gamma Act, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1804 (2021) 012105, 1-7
 - [8] M. Kilp, U. Knauer and A. Mikhalev, Monoids, acts and categories, Walter de Gruyter (2000)
 - [9] M..Mohammed AL-Ashker, Arwa E. Ashour and Ahmed A. Abu Mallouh, On Primal Compactly Packed Modules, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 3(Spec 1) (2014) , 481–488