BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AND INDUCED SYSTEMIC RESISTANCE IN CHICKPEA Cicer arietinum L. AGAINST

Fusarium oxysporum F.SP. ciceri

F. T. Rasheed H. A. Hadwan

F. A. A. Fattah E. A. Slebi

ABSTRACT

Bio-control agents, Bio Root Care (BRC), Trichoderma harzianum, Pseudomonas flourescens, and Non-pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum (NFo) showed clear inhibition of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp.ciceri (Foc) growth on artificial medium. T.harzianum significantly (p = 0.05) scored the highest rate of growth inhibition, 59.7% compared with BRC, NFo, P.flurescens, R.leguminisarum treatments. Seed or soil treatments with local T.harzianum and P.flurescens showed clear ability to control chickpea wilt disease by significantly reducing the disease incidence compared with the commercial, T.harzianum and P.flurescens products and with control treatment. While seed and soil treatments with the local T.harzianum and P.flurescens caused 7 and 9 disease incidence, 7 and 12% respectively. The commercial products, T.harzianum and P.flurescens caused 16 and 19,18, 19% respectively. Systemic induced resistance in chickpea plants against Foc was achieved by NFo, BRC, R.leguminisarum with soil and seed treatments. Soil treatments with BRC, NFo and R.leguminisarum caused significantly less disease incidence, 11,16 and 39% respectively, and 8, 12 and 41% when seed treatment respectively compared with 69% for the control treatment (Foc). In field experiment, treatment with bio control agents, BRC, local and commercial T.harzianum, P.flurescens and R.leguminisarum significantly reduced wilt disease incidence compared with control treatment. The most effective treatment was BRC, 13 % disease incidence compared with 45 % in control treatment. The tested bio control agents increased plant height, wet and dry weights and yield of chickpea plants. The greatest height of chickpea plant 38.2 and 38.3cm were recorded for BRC and T.harzianum + P. fluprescens treatments. Seed treatment with BRC scored the highest average plant weights, 41.4 and 13.4 g respectivly. BRC and T.harzianum + P.fluprescens treatments were significantly superior over T.harzianum, P.fluprescens (local and commercial product) and R.leguminisarum in yield weight 342 and 346 g m⁻² respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is one of the most important pulse crops worldwide. The global chickpea area was about 11 million ha to produce 8.8 million tons with an average yield of nearly 800 kg ha⁻¹ (17). Chickpea importance is due to it's nutrition role in human food and soil fertility. Iraq is producing about 1% of the world production of chickpea (17). However, the cultivation of chickpea in Iraq is still limited because of the low yield of the crop, not mechanically

Part of Ph.D. Thesis of the First Author.

^{*} Plant Protection Directorate, Ministry of Agric., Baghdad, Iraq.

^{**} College of Agric., Baghdad Univ., Baghdad, Iraq.

harvested and the high susceptibility to pathogens. Fusarium wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri (Padwick) Matu and Satu (Foc) was recorded in more than 33 countries is considered as one of the most important diseases which limit chickpea production (32). The high incidence of chickpea Fusarium wilt was often observed in Nineveh province, Iraq. The increased demands for food prompted the use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers which achieved part of this aim. But because of the negative effects of these chemicals on the environment, human and animal health and its high coast and the emergence of resistance in the pathogen, researchers has focused on alternative control methods such as biological control and induced systemic resistance in plant against many plant pathogens (23). The fungus Trichoderma was considered as one of the most important bio control agents for soil, seed borne and other pathogens (10,11). Seeds of chickpea treated with T. harzianum reduce Fusarium wilt incidence by 25-27% and increased yield by 13% (24). Recent study indicated that T.harzianum and T.viridi was able to inhibit Foc growth and reduce wilt disease incidence under field conditions and increase seed germination, root length and shoot height (14). Pseudomonas fluorescens has a major role as bio control of soil borne pathogenic fungi, producing several compounds which effects plant pathogens like phenazin (40,18), pyrolnintrin (7), phloroglucinol (20) and chelating agent (35). Recent reports indicated that chickpea seeds treated with P.fluorescens before inoculation with Foc showed reduced wilt disease incidence and increased yield (22, 24,41).

Induced systemic resistance was reported against different plant pathogens since the thirties of the last century (9). Numerous studies have confirmed the ability of non pathogenic *Fusarium* to suppress Fusarium wilt (26,33,36, 37,38). Chickpea seedling treated with *Rhizobium* isolates led to reduce wilt disease incidence and increased plant height, weight and yield of chickpea plant (2,3,4,5).

This study was undertaken to evaluate the biocontrol and induced systemic resistance ability of different bio agents in chickpea plants against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological Materials

Chickpea seeds, *Cicer arietinum* L. cv "Marakishi" susceptible to *Foc* was obtained from the local market and was used in the experiments.

Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri

This pathogen was isolated from chickpea plants with the characteristic Fusarium wilt symptoms from Nenivah prov- ince (400 km north of Baghdad, Iraq) and propagated on potato dextrose agar medium. The fungus was stored in autoclaved soil at 4 $\rm C$ and used in the experiments.

Non Pathogenic Fusarium oxysporum

A non pathogenic F.oxysporum isolate (NFo) was isolated from chickpea plants from Nenivah province. This isolate caused no disease symptoms on inoculated susceptible chickpea cv Marakishi.

Rhizobium leguminisarum (R.l)

This R.l isolate was obtained from the Integrated Management of Plant Production and Protection Center, Plant Protection Directorate, Ministry of

Agriculture, Baghdad, Iraq. This isolate was originally isolated from chickpea plants with active bacterial nodules.

Bio-Root Care (BRC)

BRC bio pesticides (Dr. Ragan laboratories, Chennal, India) was a mixture consisting of various bio control agents such as: *P. flourescens*, *Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzionum*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Paceilomyces lilacinus*.

Pseudomonas fluorescens

Local isolate of *P.fluorescens* was obtained from Dr. Audai Najem (Department of plant protection, college of agriculture, university of Baghdad). Commercial product of this bacterium was obtained from Dr.Ragan laboratories, Chennal, India.

Trichoderma harzianum

local isolate of T. harzianum was obtained from DR. Hadi M. Aboud (Ministry of science and technology, Baghdad, Iraq). Commercial product of T. harzianum was optained from Dr. Ragan laboratories, Chennal, India

In vitro evaluation of bioagents against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri

 $P.\ fluorescens,\ T.\ harzianum,\ R.\ leguminisarum,\ NFo,\ and\ the\ bio\ pesticide\ BRC$ were used to evaluate their antagonistic activity against Foc. Each antagonist and the pathogen were simultaneously inoculated at the opposite ends of 9cm diam. Petri dishes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). Discs of 5 mm diam from actively growing culture of Foc and $T.\ harzianum$, NFo and $50\mu l$ of BRC ($5g\ l^{-1}$) was placed at equal distance from the ends of PDA plates. $P.\ fluorescens$ and $R.\ leguminisarum$ were streaked on one side of Foc inoculated plates. Each test was replicated three times and inoculation with Foc only served as control. Diameter of the pathogen was measured after incubation at 25C (23).

Biocontrol of *Foc*: Seed treatment with antagonists

Seeds were surface sterilized with 1% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 min, and washed with sterile distilled water. Seeds (10g) were then thouroghly mixed with 1ml of local *P. fluorescens* (1x10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹) and local *T.harzianum* (10⁶ spores ml⁻¹) and 5g kg seed⁻¹ for the commercial products. One % carboxymethyl cellulose was added as sticking agent. Loamy sand soil was used for the experiment. Sterilization of the soil was done at 121C at 1.5 kg cm⁻² for 30 min 2days interval prior to sowing and later on mixed with 100 ml of 1x10³ spore ml⁻¹ kg soil⁻¹ *Foc.* Three treated seeds of chickpea were sown in 18 cm diam pots filled with inoculated soil. Each treatment was triplicated. Untreated seeds were used as control. Wilt incidence, was recorded 30 days after sowing.

Soil treatment with antagonists

Autoclaved soil in pots (1 kg) were inoculated with 100 ml of 1x10³ spore ml¹ kg soil⁻¹ Foc 2 days prior to inoculation with antagonists. The inoculums 100 ml kg soil⁻¹ of local P. fluorescens (1x10⁸ CFU g soil⁻¹) and T.harzianum (10⁶ spore.g soil⁻¹), 5g kg soil⁻¹ for commercial products was mixed with soil. Three treated seeds of chickpea were sown in pots (18 diam) filled with inoculated soil. Treatments were replicated three times and untreated seeds were used as control. Wilt incidence, was recorded 30 days after sowing.

Induced resistance in chickpea plants against Foc

Chickpea seeds were surface sterilized with 2% sodium hypochlorite solution for 10 min, and washed with sterile distilled water. Seeds then were planted in plastic pots (18cm diam, 3 seeds pot⁻¹) and maintained in a plastic house. When seedlings were 30 days old, the soil was treated with 100ml of 1×10^6 spores ml⁻¹ of NFo, 1×10^8 spores ml⁻¹ of R.l and BRC at 5g kg soil⁻¹. After 24 hours the pots were treated with spore 1×10^3 ml⁻¹ spores suspension of Foc. Soil treated with NFo, R. leguminisarum, BRC, Foc and intact healthy plant were control treatments.

Bioassay under field conditions

Eight experimental units, 10×25 m were chosen in chickpea cultivated field in Alkosh, Nenivah province, known to encounter Fusarium wilt disease in previous seasons. Chickpea seeds, pretreated with 100ml kg seed⁻¹ suspension of local *P. fluorescens*, *R. leguminisarum* (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) and *T. harzianum* (10⁶ spore ml⁻¹) and 5g kg seed⁻¹ of the commercial products (*P. fluorescens*, *T. harzianum* and BRC) were planted in the experimental units. Wilt incidence, shoot length, wet and dry weight of plant was recorded.

RESULTS DISCUSSION

In vitro evaluation of bioagents against Foc

All the tested biocontrol agents inhibited the growth of the pathogenic fungus *Foc* (Table 1). *T.harzianum* caused significantly (P=0.05) the highest inhibition percentage, 59.7% of *Foc* compared with other test bio control agent. This was followed by 53.3 and 52.0% inhibition when *P.fluoresens* and NFo were used respectively. The latter treatments were significantly different compared with BRC and *R.leguminisarum* treatments which recorded 32.6 and 35.3 % inhibition of *Foc* respectively.

Table1: Effect of bio agents on Fusarium oxysporum f.sp.ciceri (Foc) growth on PDA 7 days after inocubation at 25C

Treatment	Grow inhibition(%)
Foc +Bio-Root care	32.6
Foc + NFo	52.0
Foc + T.harzianum	59.7
Foc + P. fluorescens	53.3
Foc + R. leguminisarum	35.3
Foc (Control)	0.0
LSD (P = 0.05) = 4.8	

Discs of 5 mm diam. of Foc and T. harzianum, NFo and 50µl of BRC was placed at equal distance from the periphers of PDA plates. P. fluorescens and R. leguminisarum were streaked to one side. Each test was replicates three times. Nfo = non pathogenec Fusarium oxysporum.

Bio control of Fusarium wilt

Results revealed the ability of P.fluoresens and T.harzianum to significantly (P=0.05) reduce chickpea wilt incidence compared with the control treatment for both seed and soil treatments (Table 2). Treated chickpea seeds with the local isolates of P.fluoresens and T.harzianum caused significantly more disease control compared with the commercial product of these isolates. The disease incidence was 7 and 9 % when local P.fluoresens and T.harzianum were used respectively, while it was 16 and 19 % for commercial product respectively. Treatment of both local and commercial P.fluoresens and T.harzianum significantly reduced wilt disease incidence compared with control treatment $(Foc\ only)$ which recorded 62% disease incidence (Table2). Soil treatment with the local T.harzianum and P.fluoresens

caused significantly (p=0.05) reduced disease incidence of 7 and 12% respectively compared with 18 and 19 % for the commercial product of the bio control agent respectively.

Table 2: Bio control of Fusarium wilt in Marakishi chickpea, Cicer arietinum L.

Disease incidence (%)			
7			
12			
18			
19			
62			
Disease incidence (%)			
7			
9			
16			
19			
62			

Numbers represent three replicatess. 100 ml kg soil⁻¹ of *Foc* spore suspension was added (10³ spore ml⁻¹) 2 days after local *P.fluoresens* (10⁸ spore ml⁻¹), (100ml kg soil⁻¹), and 50 g kg soil⁻¹ of local *T.harzianum* propagated on millet, 5g kg soil⁻¹ of commercial products were added. Sowing was 2 days after treatments. Seeds treated with 1ml 10g seed⁻¹ of *P.fluoresens* (10⁸ spore ml⁻¹) and (10⁻⁶ spore ml⁻¹) of *T.harzianum* and 5g kg seed⁻¹ of commercial products, planted in pots contain soil inoculated with 100ml of *Foc* was added (100ml kg soil⁻¹).

Induced systemic resistance in chickpea plants

Induced systemic resistance (ISR) of chickpea plants was achieved when soil was treated with bio agent BRC, NFo and R. leguminisarum before Foc. This was manifested by the reduction of wilt disease incidence in bio agent treatements (Table 3). The disease incidence was 11 and 16% when soil was treated with BRC and NFo respectively with significant (p=0.05) difference compared with 39% for R. leguminisarum. Similarly ISR was observed in chickpea when soil was treated with the bio agents (Table 3).

Field experiment

Seed treatments with BRC, T.harzianum, P. fluorescens local and commercial product, R. leguminisarum, was effective in reducing wilt disease incidence in chickpea plants significantly (p =0.05) compared with control treatment (Table 4). BRC was the most effective treatment recorded disease incidence 13% which is significantly difference compared with the other rest of treatment (T.harzianum, P. fluorescens local and commercial product, R. leguminisarum). This was followed by local T.harzianum, P. fluorescens and commercial.

Table 3: Bio control and induced resistance in chickpea, Cicer arietinum L. against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp.ciceri (Foc)

Soil treatment	Disease incidence (%)
Bio-Root Care	11
Nonpathogenic Fo (NFo)	16
R. leguminisarum	39
Foc (Control)	62
LSD(P = 0.05) = 7.4	
Seed treatment	Disease incidence (%)
Bio-Root Care	8
Nonpathogenic Fo (NFo)	12
R. leguminisarum	41
Foc (Control)	62
LSD(P = 0.05) = 5.5	

Numbers represent three replicates. Bioagents (100 ml kg soil¹ as follows: NFo (10° spore ml¹), R. leguminisarum (10° spore ml¹), BRC (5g kgl¹) were added 7 days after sowing. Seeds were similarly treated with the bio agent. When plants were 2-3 leaves, 100 ml kg soil¹ of spore suspension of Foc was added (10³ spore ml¹). Wilt disease incidence was recorded 15 days after inoculation with Foc.

Table 4: Effect of bioagents on Fusarium wilt disease incidence in chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L. under field conditions

Treatment	Disease incidence (%)		
Bio-Root care (BRC)	13		
T.harzianum (local)	20		
T.harzianum (commercial product)	24		
P. fluorescens (local)	21		
P. fluorescens (commercial product)	22		
T.harzianum + P. fluorescens	12		
R.leguminisarum	30		
Control	45		
LSD $(p = 0.05) = 5.8$			

Numbers represent four replicate, each replicate is 10 plants in Alkosh, Nenivah province. Chickpea seeds were pre-treated with 100 ml kg seed⁻¹ of local *P. fluorescens*, *R. leguminisarum* (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) and *T. harzianum* (10⁶ spore ml⁻¹) and 5g kg seed⁻¹ from the commercial product (*P. fluorescens*, *T. harzianum* and BRC). Wilt incidence was recorded at the end of the growing season.

product treatment which was recorded significantly difference disease incidence of 20 and 24% respectively compared with R. leguminisarum treatment which recorded infection rate 30%.

Treatments with bioagent used in this study induced significant increment in most plant growth parametery (Table5). The maximum average of plant height was observed when chickpea seeds treated with BRC, T.harzianum + P. fluorescens (local and commercial product) and R.leguminisarum. The plant height was 38.2 and 38.3cm with BRC and T.harzianum+P. fluorescens respectively (Table 5). Other treatment with bio agent did not show significant differences which ranged between 34.2 and 36.1cm in commercial product treatment of P. fluorescens and T.harzianum respectively. All treatments outperformed the control treatment which recorded 28.3cm. All the tested bioagent increased the wet and dry weight of the plant. The highest significant increased (p =0.05) of wet and dry weight was 41.4 and 13.4g respectively with BRC treatment compared with other test treatment (Table 5). Other test bio agent treatment did not cause significant differences in wet and dry weight. The wet and dry weight of these treatments ranged between 30.1 and 35.3g for wet weight, and 10.6 to 11.4g for dry weight. All treatments outperformed the control treatment which recorded 23.7 and 7.4g for wet and dry weight respectively. The bioagent affected yield, significantly (p = 0.05) maximum yield weight was 342 and 346 g m⁻² when BRC and T.harzianum + P. fluorescens treatment were used respectively compared with 292 and 294.3 for local T.harzianum, P. fluorescens and with 287.4, 277.2 g for commercial T.harzianum, and P. fluorescens products and with 289.5 for R.leguminisarum treatment (Table 5). No significant differences were recorded between T.harzianum, P. fluorescens (local and commercial product) and R. leguminisarum treatment which ranged between 277.2 and 289.5g m⁻² with P. fluorescens and R.leguminisarum treatments respectively. All treatment with the bioagent outperformed the control treatment which was 233.8 g m⁻².

Table 5: The influence of bioagents on chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L. growth and yield under field conditions

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Wet weight (g)	Dry weight (g)	Yield weight (g m ⁻²)
Bio-Root Care	38.2	41.4	13.4	342
T.harzianum (local)	35.8	31.6	10.6	292
T.harzianum (commercial product)	35.1	31.1	10.7	287.4
P. fluorescens (local)	36.0	33.6	11.5	294.3
P.fluorescens (commercial product)	34.2	30.1	10.7	277.2
T.harzianum + P. fluorescens	38.3	35.3	11.4	346
R.leguminisarum	36.9	34.0	11.4	289.5
Control	28.3	23.7	7.4	233.8
LSD (P = 0.05)	1.8	5.5	2.5	45.4

Numbers represent four replicate, each replicate is 10 plants in Alkosh, Nenivah province. Chickpea seeds were pre-treated with 100 ml kg seed. of local *P. fluorescens*, *R. leguminisarum* (10⁸ cfu ml.) and *T. harzianum* (10⁶ spore ml.) and 5g kg seed. from the commercial product (*P. fluorescens*, *T. harzianum* and BRC).

Results of this study indicated the ability of bioagent used in this study to inhibit Foc growth on artificial medium and confirmed, previous studies on the ability of Trichoderma isolates to inhibit Foc growth (14,28,30). Other studies, reported on the ability of P. fluorescens and Rhizobium to inhibit Foc growth for more than 30-40% in dual culture test (2,4,23,42). The superiority of the local T.harzianum and P. fluorescens in reducing wilt disease incidence on chickpea plants compared with the commercial products of these microorganisms may be due to the adaptation of the local isolate to environmental condition or to their directly use after propagation without passing through storage duration. Result of this study support previous studies on the ability of T. harzianum to in vitro suppress Foc, and control of the disease under greenhouse and field conditions (34). Treatment of chickpea seeds with *Trichoderma* isolates under greenhouse conditions reduced wilt disease incidence, increased plant height and wet and dry weight (28). The disease incidence of chickpea wilt was reported to be reduced to about 40% after treatment with T. harzianum (29). Similarly seed treatment with P.fluorescens led to control chickpea wilt disease and reduce disease incidence under green-house and field conditions and increased chickpea yield (22,23,24,41). Furthermore, the use of P.fluorescens against chickpea wilt disease led to delay development of the disease symptoms (25). The biocontrol agents were known to suppress pathogens directly through parasitism, antibiosis and lytic enzymes and indirectly through nutrient competition, element chelating and induced plant resistance (31).

Results of this study confirmed the ability of BRC, NFo and R.leguminisarum when exceeded Foc inoculation to reduce wilt disease incidence in chickpea plant. This is may be resulted by stimulating the systemic resistance in chickpea plants through the production of enzymes and phenolic compounds, promote plant growth and the strengthening of physical defensive barriers. This study indorse the results of previous studies stating that seeds or seedling of leguminous crop treated with Rhizobium caused significant reduction in some root diseases caused by soil born fungi (8,12). Mechanism of resistance in the plant is activated as a result of R.leguminisarum and NFo tratment due to production of phytoallexin by roots, biotoxins and pathogenesis related proteins, PRP (15,39). Chickpea seedlings treated with Rhizobium isolates before Foc was found to increase phenylalanine ammonia lyse, chalcon, isoflavon reductase, peroxidase, poly phenol oxidase and phenolic

compound production (2,4,5). Chickpea seedlings treated with NFo led to reduce wilt disease incidence and disease severity caused by Foc (19).

Result of biocontrol chickpea wilt disease under field conditions was similar to the results of the plastic house. The bioagent used in this study BRC, T.harzianum, P.fluorescens, T.harzianum + P.fluorescens (local and commercial product) and R. leguminisarum were able to biocontrol chickpea wilt disease. The successful biocontrol of wilt disease incidence was reflected on the increased plant height, wet and dry weight and yield of chickpea plants. Results of this study confirmed that of previous studies which indicated that chickpea seeds treatment with bioagent caused decreased Fusarium wilt disease incidence (1,6,21,24). Soil treated with T.harzianum, T.koningii, T.pseudokoningii delayed disease appearance and reduce disease severity (27). The increase of some growth and yield criteria could be due to nitrogen fixation by symbiotic microorganisms with plant roots. These microorganisms have the ability to transform not available elements to available elements for plants (43). These microorganisms could regulate the action of hormone and other factors which are responsible for the growth and development of plant. Bacteria were able to produce plant hormone like auxins, ethylene, gibberellins and cytokinine (16).

Further research is needed to establish a successful and biocontrol alternatives and decreased the demands on chemicals.

REFERENCE

- 1-Animisha, Z.S.; K.K. Jaiswal and P. pandy (2012). Integrated management of chickpea wilt Incited by *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. Ciceri* International J. of Agric. Res., 7: 284-290.
- 2-Arfaoui, A.; B. Sifi; A. Boudab-ous; I. El Hadrami and M. cherif (2006). Effect of *Rizobium* isolates on isoflavonoid levels in chickpea plants infected with *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. Ciceri*. Phytopathol. Mediterr, 45: 24-34.
- 3-Arfaoui, A.; A. El Hadrami; Y. Mabrouk; B. Sifi; A. Boudabous; I. El Hadrami; F. Daayf and M. Cherif (2007). Treatment of chickpea with rizobium isolates enhances the expression of phenyl propanoid defense related genes in response to in infection by *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. Ciceri*. Plant physiology and Bio., 451. 6-7:470-479.
- 4-Arfaoui, A.; B. Sifi; M. El Hassni; I. El Hadrami; A. Boudabbous and M. cherif (2005). Bioch- emical Analysis of chickpea protection against Fusarium wilt Afforded by two Rhizobium isolates. Plant pathology J., 4(1): 35-42.
- 5-Arfaoui, A.; B. Sifi; A. Boudabous; I. El Hadrami and M. cherif (2006). Identification of Rhizobium isolates possessing Antagonistic Activity Against *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. Ciceri* the causal agent of Fusarium wilt of chickpea. J. of plant pathology, 88:67-75.
- 6-Boureghda, H. and Z. Bouznad (2009). Biological control of Fusarium wilt of chickpea using isolates of *Trichoderma atroviride*, *T. harzianum* and *T. longibrachiatum*. Acta phytop- athologica et entomologica hungarica, 44: 25-38.
- 7-Burkhead K. and M.J. Geoghegan (1994). Antibiotics. IN: Soil borne plant pathogens. 9 Burkhead, k. ed.) Macmillon, New York, NY, USA, 368 pp.

- 8-Chakrabborty, U. and B.N. Chakraborty (1989). Intera-ction of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and *Fusarium solani* f.sp. *pisi* in pea affecting disease development and phytolaexin productions. Canadian J. of Botany, 67:1698-1702.
- 9-Chester, K.S. (1933). The problem of acquired physiolo- gical immunity in plants. Quat Rev Bio., 8: 275-324.
- 10-Chet, I.; Y. Hadar; Y. Elad; J. Katan and Y. Henis (1979). Biological control of soil borne pathogens by *Trichoderma harizianum*. In: soil Borne plant pathogens (B.Schippersed), Academic press, London, UK 585.
- 11-Chet, I. and R.R. Baker (1981). Isolation and biocontrol potential of *Trichoderma hamatum* in suppression of *Rhizoctonia* damping—off in a bark compost amended container medium. Phytopathology, 80: 73-77.
- 12-Dar, H.; G.M.Y. Zargar and G.M. Beigh (1997). Biocontrol of *Fusarium oxysporum* root rot in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) by using symbiotic *Glomus mosseae* and *Rhizobium leguminisarum*. Mmicrobial ecology, 34:74-80.
- 13-Dubey, S.C.; M. Suresh and B. Singh (2006). Evaluation of Trichoderma species against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. 206icero for integrated management of chickpea wilt Biological control, 40: 118-12.
- 14-Duijff, B. J.; D. Pouhair; C. Olivain; C. Alabouvette and P. Lemanceau (1998). Implication of systemic induced resistance in the suppression of Fusarium wilt of tomato by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS417r and nonpathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* Fo47.
- 15-Frankenberger, W. T. and M. Arshad (1995). Phytohormones in soils microbial production and function. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- 16-Gaur, P.M.; S. Tripath; C.L.L. Gowda; R. Ranga; H.C. Sharma; S. Pande; and M. Sharma (2010). Chickpea seed production manual. Patancheru 502 324, Andhro Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 28pp.
- 17-Gurusiddaiah, S.; D.M. Weller; A. Sarkar and R.J. Cook (1986). Characterization of on antibiotic produced by a strain of P. fluorescens inhibitory to *Gaemannomyces graminis* var *tritici* and pythium spp. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 29: 488-495.
- 18-Hervas, A.; J.L. Trapero-casas and R.M. Jimenez-Diaz (1995). Induced resistance against Fusarium wilt of chickpea by nonpathogenic races of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* and non pathogenic *F. Oxysporum* plant Dis. 79: 1110-1116.
- 19-Howell, C.R. and R.D. Stipanovic (1980). Suppression of *pythium ultimum*—induced damping—off of cotton seedling by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its antibiotics pyoluteorin. Phyto pathology, 70: 712-715.
- 20-Karimi, K.; J. Amini; B. Harighi and Bahramnejad (B.2012). Evalution of biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas* and *Busillus* spp. Against Fusarium wilt of chickpea. Australian J. of crop Sci., 6(4):695-703.

- 21-Kaur, R.; J. Kaur; R.S. Singh and C. Alabouvette (2003). Evaluation of non pathogenic *Fusarium* and *pseudomonas fluorescent* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* proceedings Indian Phytopathlogical society (NZ): 69-74.
- 22-Kaur, R. and R.S. Singh (2007). Study of Induced Systemic resistance in *cicer arietinum L*. du to non pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* Using a Modified split root technique. J. Phytopathology, 155:694-698.
- 23-Khan, M.R.; S.M. Khan and F.A. Mohiddin (2004). Biological control of fusarium wilt of chichepa through seed treatment with the commercial formulation of *Tricoderma harzianum* and/or *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Phytopathologia mediterranea, 43 (1).
- 24-Landa B.B.; J.A. Navas-cortes and R.M. Jimenez-Diaz (2004). Integrated management of fisarium wilt of chickpea with sowingdate, host resistance and Biological control. Phytopatholog v, 94: 964-960.
- 25-Larkin, R.R. and D. Fravel (R.1996). Ecological characteristics of biological control of Fusarium wilt of tomato using non pathogenic. Fusarium spp. Phytopatholoy, 86:59.
- 26-Meki, S.; S. Ahmed and P. K. Sakhuja (2011). Control of chickpea wilt (Fusarium oxysp-orum f.sp.ciceris) using Trichoderma spp.in Ethiopia. Archives of Phytopathology and plant protection. 44(5):432-440.
- 27-Merkuz, A. and A. Getachew (2012). Management of chickpea wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*) using *Trichoderma* spp. International J., of current Res., 4: 128-134.
- 28-Moradi,H., B. Bahramnejad; J. Amini; A. Siosemardeh and K. Haji Allahverdipoor (2012). Suppression of chickpea (cicer arietinum L.) Fusarium wilt by Bacillus subtillius and Trichoderma harzianum. plant omics J., 5: 68-74.
- 29-Nikam, P.S.; G.P. Jagtap and P.L. Sonkakke (2007). Manage- ment of chickpea wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*. African journal of Agric. Res., 2:692-697.
- 30-Pal, K.K. and B.M. Gardener (2006). Biological control of plant pathogen. The plant health instructor DOI:10.1094IPHI-A-1117-02.
- 31-Pande, S.; M. Sharma; A. Nagavardhini and T Rames-hwar (2012). High Throughput Phenotyping of Chickpea Diseases: Stepwise identification of host plant resistance. Information Bulletin No. 92 Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 56 pp. ISBN 978-92-9066-552-6. Order code: IBE 092
- 32-Paulitz, T.C.; C.S. Park and R. Baker (1987). Biological control of Fusarium wilt of cucumber wilt non pathogenic isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum*. Can. J. Microbial., 33: 349-353.
- 33-Ramezani, H. (2009). Efficacy of some fungal and bacterial bioagents against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri on chickpea. Plant Protection J., 1:108-113.
- 34-Sakthivel, N.; E. Sivamani; N. Unnmalai and Gananamanikam (1986). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in enhancing plant growth and suppressing plant pathogen. Current Sci., 55:22-25.

- 35-Schneider, R.W. (1984). Effect of non pathogenic Strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* on celery infection by *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp.* api and a noval use of line weaver- burk double reciprocal plot technique. Phytopathology, 47: 646-653.
- 36-Singh, R.S.; J. Kanr; R. Kaur and C. Alabouvette (2002). Effect of amendment with farm yard manure on biocontrol potentiality of non-pathogenic Fusarium against chickpea wilt. plant Dis. Res., 17: 207.
- 37-Tamietti, G. and C. Alabouvette (1986). Resistance desols aux maladies: x111-Role des *Fusarium oxysporum* non pathogens dans les mecanismes de resistance dun sol de noirmoutier aux fuseises vasculaires. AGRONOMIE, 6:541-548.
- 38-Tamietti, G.; L. Ferraris; A. Matta and I.A. Gentile (1993). Physiological responses of tomato plants grown in Fusarium suppressive soil. J. Phytopathol., 138:66-76.
- 39-Toohey, J.I.; C.D. Nelson; G. Krotkov (1965). Toxicity of phenazine carboxylic acid to some bacteria, algae, higher plants, and animals. Canadian J. of Botany 43: 1151–1155.
- 40-Ul-Haq, M.I.; S. EI-Hassan; S. Growen, and N. Javed (2009). Effect of two rhizobacterial isolates and Neem cake Application on control of chickpea wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. 208icero. Arab. J.PI.Prot. 27: 103-110.
- 41-Vannia, R.P.; S. Muhammad and M.S. Pallavi (2013). In vitro evaluation of bioagents, fungicides and herbicides against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp.ciceri causing wilt of chickpea. A Quarterly journal of life Sci.,10:403-405.
- 42-Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant and Soil, 255: 571–586.

(Cicer aratinum) المكافحة الاحيائية وتحفيز المقاومة الجهازية في الحمص Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri ضد الفطر

فراس طارق رشيد * حميد علي هدوان * فرقد عبد الرحيم عبد الفتاح * عماد علي صليبي *

الملخص

أظهرت عوامل المكافحة الإحيائية (Bio-Root Care ,BRC و Trichoderma harzianum و Pseudomonas fluorescens وعزلة غير ممرضة من Rhizobium leguminisarum وعزلة غير ممرضة من (Fusarium oxysporum f.sp.ciceri) فعالية واضحة في تثبيط نمو الفطر واضحة في تثبيط نمو الفطر فقد بلغ أعلى معدلاً لتثبيط نمو Foc عند استخدام T.harzianum ، إذ كانت نسبة التثبيط نمو وبفارق معنوي عن باقى المعاملات (R. leguminisarum و P.fluorescens و RPO). أظهرت النتائج قدرة كل من T.harzianum و P.fluorescens المحليين على مكافحة مرض ذبول الحمص من خلال خفض نسبة الإصابة معنويا مقارنة مع معاملة المستحضرين التجاريين T.harzianum و P.fluorescens ومعاملة السيطرة سواء أكانت عند معاملة البذور أم التربة. فقد كانت نسبتي الإصابة 7 و9% عند معاملتي البذور 7 و12% عند معاملة التربة على التوالي للعزلتين المحليتين، بينما كانت نسبتي الإصابة 16 و19% عند معاملتي البذور 18 و19% عند معاملة التربة على التوالي بالمستحضرين التجاريين. كما أوضحت النتائج مقدرة العوامل الاحيائية NFo وBRC و R. leguminisarum فى تحفيز المقاومة الجهازية لنبات الحمص عند معاملتي التربة والبذور ضد Foc. إذ انخفضت نسبة الإصابة بمرض الذبول معنويا فكانت نسبة الإصابة عند معاملة التربة 11% عند معاملة 10% و10% عند معاملتي 10% و 10% عند معاملة R. leguminisarum. بينما كانت نسبة الإصابة عند معاملة البذور 8% عند معاملة BRC و 12% عند معاملة NFo عند معاملة السيطرة (Foc). بينت نتائج معاملة السيطرة (Foc). بينت نتائج التجربة الحقلية فعالية عوامل المكافحة الاحيائية لكل من BRC و T.harzianum و P fluorescens (محلى ومستحضر تجاري) و R.leguminisarum في خفض نسبة الإصابة بمرض الذبول معنوياً مقارنة بمعاملة السيطرة. وكان أكثرها فعالية معاملة BRC التي سجلت نسبة إصابة 13% مقارنة بنسبة إصابة 45% لمعاملة السيطرة. وأدت معاملات المكافحة الاحيائية إلى زيادة ارتفاع النباتات والوزن الرطب والجاف ووزن الحاصل لنباتات الحمص. إذ كان اعلى ارتفاعاً للنباتBRC في معاملتي BRC و 38.2cm في معاملة عاملة P.fluorescens + T.harzianum و معاملة BRC لبذور الحمص أعلى متوسطاً للوزنين الرطب و الجاف وبفارق معنوياً عن باقي المعاملات إذ بلغ الوزن الرطب 41.4g و الجاف 13.4g. وتفوقت المعاملتان BRC و BRC عنويا على المعاملات $m g~m^{-2}$ محلى ومستحضر تجاري) و R.leguminisarum في وزني الحاصل P.fluorescens342 و 346 على التوالي.

جزء من رسالة دكتوراه للباحث الاول.

^{*} دائرة وقاية المزروعات، وزارة الزراعة، بغداد، العراق.

^{**} كلية الزراعة، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.