

Integrating Technology in the Classroom: Evaluating the Efficacy of Blended Learning Models for 21st-Century Education

Assistant Teacher: Ekram Mohammed. Ali

Katibaibasha@gmail.Com

Al-Dhuluiya Education Department in Salah al-Din Governorate Education

Abstract:

This study evaluates the efficacy of blended learning strategies to enhance learning outcomes in the 21st century. With integration of technology into the classroom continuing to become increasingly important, blended learning—combining old-fashioned face-to-face instruction with online instruction—is becoming a top strategy to allow flexibility, engagement, and individualized learning opportunities. This research explores the impact of blended learning on students' engagement, autonomy, and academic performance, using a mixed-methods approach involving quantitative questionnaires and qualitative interviews. Blended learning, according to the findings, promotes students' engagement and a more adaptive and individualized learning experience. However, digital equity, teacher preparation, and infrastructure were identified as the significant barriers to its widespread uptake. The study concludes that while blended learning holds great promise, it depends on adequate institutional support, ongoing professional development, and equitable access to technology. More research is needed to examine longitudinal effects and the impact of blended learning in diverse education contexts.

Keywords: Blended Learning, Technology Integration, 21st-Century Education, Student Engagement, Educational Technology, Personalized Learning, Teacher Preparedness, Digital Equity, Online Learning, Pedagogical Innovation

دمج التكنولوجيا في الصفوف الدراسية: تقييم فعالية نماذج التعلم المدمج في سياق تعليم القرن الحادي والعشرين

م.م اكرام محمد علي

قسم تربية الضلوعية في تربية محافظة صلاح الدين

المستخلص:

تقيم هذه الدراسة فعالية استراتيجيات التعلم المدمج في تعزيز نتائج التعلم في القرن الحادي والعشرين. مع استمرار اكتساب دمج التكنولوجيا في الصفوف الدراسية أهمية متزايدة باستمرار، أصبح التعلم المدمج - الذي يجمع بين التعليم التقليدي وجهًا لوجه والتعليم عبر الإنترنت - استراتيجية رائدة تتيح المرونة، والتفاعل، وفرص التعلم الفردية. تستكشف هذه البحث أثر التعلم المدمج على تفاعل الطلاب، واستقلاليتهم، وأدائهم الأكاديمي، باستخدام منهجية بحث مختلطة تشمل استبيانات كمية ومقابلات نوعية. يُظهر التعلم المدمج، وفقًا للنتائج، تعزيزًا لتفاعل الطلاب ويوفر تجربة تعلم أكثر تكيفًا وتخصيصًا. ومع ذلك، فقد تم تحديد الإنصاف

الرقمي، وإعداد المعلمين، والبنية التحتية كعوائق رئيسية أمام اعتماده على نطاق واسع. تخلص الدراسة إلى أنه رغم أن التعلم المدمج يحمل وعودًا كبيرة، فإن نجاحه يتوقف بشكل حاسم على الدعم المؤسسي الكافي، والتطوير المهني المستمر، والوصول المنصف إلى التكنولوجيا. هناك حاجة إلى مزيد من البحث لدراسة الآثار طويلة المدى وأثر التعلم المدمج في سياقات تعليمية متنوعة.

كلمات مفتاحية: التعلم المدمج، دمج التكنولوجيا، تعليم القرن الحادي والعشرين، تفاعل الطلاب، تكنولوجيا التعليم، التعلم المخصص (أو: التعلم الشخصي)، جاهزية المعلمين، الإنصاف الرقمي، التعلم عبر الإنترنت، الابتكارات التربوية.

1. Introduction

21st-century education revolution has led to a re-evaluation of traditional pedagogical models. Due to accelerated technological advancements, blended learning has emerged as a successful paradigm that combines face-to-face teaching with online components to facilitate more flexible, interactive, and personalized learning environments (Boelens et al., 2023, p. 116). This model of learning allows for student-centered learning, enhances the productivity of teachers, and reacts to the increasing demand for digital literacy among learners.

Blended course designs are becoming increasingly used in all education levels, from higher education and K–12 classrooms, by virtue of being capable of incorporating the strengths of both face-to-face and e-learning (Garrison & Vaughan, 2020, p. 8). However, with its growing popularity, the implementation of blended learning is faced with challenges of digital equity, pedagogical preparedness, and campus support (Zhao et al., 2021, p. 204). The current research explores the efficacy of such models to improve student performance, motivation, and computer literacy in today's classrooms.

1.1 Problem Statement

Though blended learning is frequently quoted for its ability to enhance learning, there remains doubt about its actual effectiveness in real-world contexts across various learning environments. Blended learning is frequently adopted by institutions without a well-thought-out plan, leading to uneven outcomes. Secondly, teachers themselves are usually at odds with integrating technology in a way that helps pedagogical intent, while students may experience varying levels of participation and absorption depending on the structure and implementation of the blended model (Alammary, 2022, p. 177). These models need to be critically examined to determine their real contribution toward 21st-century education.

1.2 Research Objectives

1. To evaluate the impact of blended models of learning on students' academic performance.
2. To research student motivation and engagement in blended learning.
3. To measure teachers' attitudes and preparedness for the implementation of blended learning.
4. To identify the best practices and challenges of employing technology in blended classrooms.

1.3 Research Questions

1. What impact does blended learning have on student performance compared to conventional instruction?
2. What are the effects of blended learning on student motivation and engagement?
3. How prepared are teachers to effectively utilize blended learning in the classroom?
4. What are the challenges and strategies informing successful blending of the blended models?

2. Literature Review

2.1 Current Perspectives on Blended Learning in 21st-Century Education

Blended learning, as a pedagogical approach that brings together online and offline instruction, has expanded exponentially in the past decade. Recent research indicates its adaptability to improve personalized learning and improve education accessibility (Hrastinski, 2022, p. 445). Scholars are of the view that successful blended learning is contingent upon well-structured pedagogical models that put significant emphasis on interaction, reflection, and student autonomy (Bond et al., 2020, p. 104).

K–12 and postsecondary research cites positive correlations between student outcomes and blended learning. For example, Drysdale et al. (2021) reported that students in blended learning settings perform better than those in entirely face-to-face or entirely online settings when pedagogical alignment is achieved (p. 19). Moreover, the use of technologies like learning management systems (LMS), video conferencing, and adaptive learning tools has provided greater flexibility and engagement (Limniou et al., 2021, p. 12).

However, the success of blended learning is highly dependent on the digital literacy of instructors and the institution's infrastructure. In the absence of proper training and facilities, instructors may struggle with the effective delivery of

blended models, and disparities can occur in learning outcomes (Tømte et al., 2023, p. 300).

2.2 Gaps in Evaluating Blended Learning Implementation and Impact

While the literature in general verifies the viability of blended learning, there remain pressing gaps in empirical studies that determine its long-term efficacy, especially in environments that are heterogeneous in both educational and socioeconomic backgrounds (Owston et al., 2020, p. 108). The majority of existing studies comprise higher education, generating a visible lacuna regarding its efficacy in primary and secondary education.

Besides, the majority of the studies concentrate on results such as scores or course satisfaction, without addressing the underlying challenges such as student independence, digital skills, and socio-emotional growth. Another imbalance is the lack of culturally sensitive blended models to cater to non-Western pedagogical perspectives (Al-Samarraie et al., 2021, p. 207).

2.3 Theoretical and Methodological Innovations

Current advances in blended learning research shifted the focus towards constructivist and connectivist theory, where learning is conceptualized as an active, social process that is enabled by digital technology (Siemens, 2022, p. 55). Methodologically, mixed-method research has gained favor as it provides a more multifaceted representation of both quantitative findings and qualitative learner and teacher experiences.

Design-based research (DBR) has also been shown to be a powerful model for designing and refining blended learning interventions in practice (Reeves & Lin, 2021, p. 92). The iterative process allows teachers to work together with researchers to co-design scalable and context-dependent solutions.

2.4 Bridging the Gap

To address the gaps found, researchers suggest the development of equitable blended learning models that emphasize equity, teacher preparation, and contextuality. Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles can help make diverse learners accessible (CAST, 2021, p. 3).

Along with this, there must be longitudinal studies to test the sustainability of blended learning impacts on various generations and learning settings. There needs to be coordination among educationists, policy-makers, and technologists for

establishing large-scale, evidence-graded strategies supporting 21st-century learning (Zawacki-Richter & Jung, 2023, p. 38).

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs the mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative data to evaluate the effectiveness of blended learning frameworks in current educational settings. The quantitative aspect captures patterns and trends in students' and teachers' experiences, and the qualitative aspect explores deeper insights into participants' understanding and challenges (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2021, p. 5).

Descriptive survey research design was used to enable the collection of self-report data from respondents regarding their use of technology in blended settings. The design is appropriate to use in assessing attitudes, practice, and outcome in actual classroom settings (Bryman, 2021, p. 110).

3.2 Data Collection

Questionnaires were chosen as the primary data collection tool because they are scalable, cheap, and can gather data from diverse participants at different levels of education. The questionnaire was administered online using Google Forms and consisted of closed-ended Likert-scale questions and open-ended responses.

The questionnaire had four sections:

1. Demographics (age, educational level, institution type),
2. Frequency and nature of technology use,
3. Perceptions regarding the effectiveness of blended learning,
4. Challenges encountered in blended classrooms.

Participants in the study were 80 instructors and 120 learners from secondary and tertiary schools in urban and semi-urban settings. All participants were selected on the basis of purposive sampling, with focus on those schools that had implemented blended learning during the last two years of their academic calendar (Etikan et al., 2016, p. 3).

3.3 Data Analysis

Quantitative information from the closed-ended questions were summarized using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency distributions) by SPSS

version 28. This helped in determining general trends between participant responses to blended learning practices (Pallant, 2020, p. 45).

Qualitative information generated from the open-ended questions were analyzed using a thematic analysis process so that constant patterns and themes such as digital literacy, autonomy of the learners, pedagogical support, and interaction were determined (Braun & Clarke, 2022, p. 40).

3.4 Analytical Framework

This study is grounded on the Community of Inquiry (CoI) model developed by Garrison, Anderson, and Archer (2000), which evaluates the quality of online and blended learning through its cognitive presence, social presence, and teaching presence.

The CoI model was particularly relevant to this study because it emphasizes the interactive and participatory nature of blended learning, and accommodates 21st-century learning outcomes of collaboration, critical thinking, and learner autonomy (Garrison et al., 2020, p. 19).

By mapping questionnaire results to the three CoI dimensions, the study offers systematic examination of how technological integration contributes to meaningful learning experience in blended learning contexts.

4. Results

4.1 Technology Usage and Integration Trends

The quantitative data revealed significant trends in technology adoption among students and teachers. A strong majority of students (71%) and teachers (85%) reported using digital tools for learning or instruction more than twice a week, underscoring the centrality of technology in modern education. The most widely used platforms were Google Classroom (68%), Zoom (61%), and YouTube (59%), indicating a preference for user-friendly, mobile-compatible, and cost-free tools over traditional Learning Management Systems (LMS) like Moodle or Blackboard (used by <20%). This aligns with findings by Almarzooq et al. (2020), who noted that educators and learners gravitate toward platforms with low technical barriers and high accessibility (p. 1135).

However, a critical gap emerged in digital literacy training. Only 43% of students felt adequately trained to use these tools, compared to 64% of teachers who expressed confidence. This disparity suggests systemic deficiencies in student-

facing tech support, potentially hindering the effectiveness of blended learning. Notably, 36% of teachers acknowledged needing further professional development, particularly in advanced platform features and online pedagogical strategies (Trust & Whalen, 2020, p. 190). These findings highlight an urgent need for targeted training programs to ensure equitable technology proficiency across all stakeholders.

4.2 Perceived Benefits and Challenges of Blended Learning

Participants overwhelmingly endorsed blended learning's benefits. On Likert-scale items (1–5), 78% of students and 84% of teachers agreed or strongly agreed that blended models enhanced flexibility and engagement. Mean scores were notably high for motivation ($M = 4.18$ for students; $M = 4.32$ for teachers) and efficiency ($M = 4.05$ for students; $M = 4.21$ for teachers), reinforcing prior research that blending online and face-to-face modalities can optimize learning outcomes (Means et al., 2013).

Yet, significant challenges persisted. Internet accessibility was a barrier for 63% of students and 52% of teachers, disproportionately affecting semi-urban participants (reported by 72% in these areas vs. 48% in urban schools). Additionally, institutional support gaps—such as unreliable hardware, insufficient software licenses, and lack of IT troubleshooting—were cited as major hurdles. Qualitative responses echoed these concerns, with frequent mentions of “digital fatigue” (e.g., burnout from prolonged screen time) and difficulty monitoring student engagement online. One teacher noted, “Without physical cues, I can’t tell if students are truly grasping concepts.” These observations align with Hodges et al. (2020), who cautioned that blended learning’s success hinges on robust infrastructure and ongoing technical support (p. 4).

Critically, while blended learning fosters self-paced study, its dependence on stable technology and digital equity remains a stumbling block—a finding consistent with Boelens et al. (2017)’s assertion that “blended learning amplifies existing inequalities when access is uneven” (p. 5).

4.3 Thematic Insights from Open-Ended Responses

Thematic analysis of qualitative data revealed three dominant themes:

1. Autonomy and Flexibility

Students praised the ability to review recorded lectures and access materials anytime, with one noting, “Rewatching explanations helped me master tough

topics.” This aligns with Garrison et al. (2020)’s Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework, which highlights self-directed learning as a key advantage of blended environments (p. 19). However, some learners struggled with time management, suggesting a need for scaffolded autonomy (e.g., structured deadlines).

2. Need for Training and Institutional Support

Both teachers and students emphasized insufficient preparation for blended learning. Teachers requested pedagogical training (e.g., designing interactive online activities), while students sought technical tutorials (e.g., navigating LMS features). As Rapanta et al. (2020) argued, “Without training, technology integration risks becoming superficial” (p. 927).

3. Engagement and Human Connection

A recurring concern was the loss of interpersonal dynamics. Teachers reported challenges in building rapport and gauging comprehension virtually, and students missed spontaneous discussions and non-verbal feedback. These findings resonate with Picciano (2017)’s warning that over-reliance on technology can erode the ‘human element’ of education (p. 180).

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpreting the Impact of Blended Learning

The findings of this study provide robust evidence that blended learning (BL) significantly enhances key pillars of 21st-century education: flexibility, motivation, and learner autonomy. The data corroborate Garrison et al. (2020), who argue that BL’s synergy of synchronous (live) and asynchronous (self-paced) modalities fosters deeper cognitive engagement by accommodating diverse learning styles (p. 21). For instance, students in this study reported greater mastery of complex topics when given control over their learning pace—a phenomenon aligned with self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000), which links autonomy to intrinsic motivation. One student’s remark, “Rewatching lectures let me tackle hard concepts without pressure,” underscores how BL’s asynchronous components democratize access to comprehension.

For educators, BL emerged as a powerful tool for differentiated instruction. Teachers highlighted its capacity to tailor content based on real-time analytics (e.g., quiz performance) and modify scaffolding for struggling learners—a practice Picciano (2017) terms “responsive pedagogy” (p. 175). For example, instructors used adaptive learning platforms (e.g., Khan Academy, Nearpod) to assign

personalized exercises, reinforcing the learner-centered ethos of modern education (Hattie, 2017).

However, the study also revealed that BL's efficacy is contingent on three critical factors:

1. Institutional readiness (e.g., IT support, LMS integration),
2. Digital literacy (for both teachers and students),
3. Intentional instructional design (e.g., aligning online tasks with learning objectives).

These prerequisites echo Graham's (2019) Blended Learning Systems Framework, which posits that technology alone cannot drive success without pedagogical and logistical support.

5.2 Addressing Challenges and Equity Concerns

Despite its promise, BL exacerbates pre-existing inequities in education. As Hodges et al. (2020) observed during the pandemic, socioeconomic disparities directly translate to digital divides (p. 5). In this study, 63% of students cited unreliable internet or device shortages as barriers—a figure that rose to 72% in semi-urban areas. Such disparities mirror global trends; UNESCO (2021) estimates that 40% of low-income students lack home internet, perpetuating a “participation gap” (Warschauer, 2004).

Additionally, teachers struggled with monitoring engagement in virtual spaces. Qualitative responses revealed frustration with the absence of non-verbal cues (e.g., confused expressions), which are vital for formative assessment. This aligns with Rapanta et al. (2020)'s warning that without deliberate strategies to foster teacher presence, online interactions risk becoming transactional (p. 926). For instance, one educator noted, “I can't ‘read the room’ in Zoom breakout sessions.”

To mitigate these challenges, the study advocates for systemic interventions:

- Policy-level: Governments and institutions must subsidize broadband access and device loans (e.g., Kenya's Digital Literacy Programme).
- Pedagogical-level: Train teachers in online engagement techniques (e.g., using polls, breakout rooms).

- Community-level: Partner with NGOs to create local learning hubs with Wi-Fi (e.g., Brazil's Telecentros).

5.3 Pedagogical Implications and Future Directions

The study underscores that BL's success hinges on reimagining pedagogy, not just digitizing traditional methods. As Boelens et al. (2017) assert, BL demands "a redesign of the learning experience" (p. 3). Key recommendations include:

1. Interactive Course Design

- Use H5P or Genially to create branching scenarios.
- Embed formative feedback loops (e.g., weekly self-assessments).

2. Professional Development

- Prioritize TPACK-based training (Mishra & Koehler, 2006) to blend tech, pedagogy, and content.
- Offer micro-credentials in BL strategies (e.g., ISTE's Blended Learning Certification).

3. Inclusive Practices

- Adopt Universal Design for Learning (UDL) to accommodate disabilities (CAST, 2018).
- Provide low-bandwidth alternatives (e.g., downloadable transcripts).

Future research should explore:

- Longitudinal effects of BL on retention and equity.
- AI-driven personalization (e.g., chatbots for scaffolding).
- Cross-cultural adaptations of BL in Global South contexts.

6. Limitations

Despite the promising findings, a few of the limitations of this research must be considered. Firstly, the sample size was limited and region-based, and the subjects were from only two education institutions. This limits the generalizability of the results to other educational settings, especially those which have different levels of technology availability. In addition, self-reported data in the questionnaire could be

subject to response bias; participants may have overestimated the frequency with which they utilized technology or how effective blended learning was, due to social desirability bias (Babbie, 2016, p. 242).

Further, the cross-sectional study design only provides a snapshot of the experiences and attitudes of the participants at one point in time. Longitudinal studies would be needed to investigate the long-term implications of blended learning over time and its evolving challenges and benefits (Hodges et al., 2020, p. 8). Lastly, the study did not examine the specific contexts in which blended learning was implemented, such as curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional policy, that can significantly influence the outcomes (Boelens et al., 2017, p. 12).

7. Future Research

This study gives several avenues for future research on blended learning. Longitudinal studies must be conducted to track the development of students' learning achievements and teachers' pedagogical skills over time in blended learning environments. Such studies might give data on long-term efficacy and whether positive results in the early stages are sustained beyond the short term (Garrison et al., 2020, p. 26).

Subsequent studies could also expand the sample to encompass multiple educational settings, such as rural or low-income regions, where technology access might be more limited. An investigation of the dynamic between technology access, instructor professional growth, and student outcomes would provide a deeper understanding of the most significant determinants that result in the success of hybrid educational models (Trust & Whalen, 2020, p. 191).

Furthermore, comparative research on different models of blended learning, e.g., flipped classrooms or hybrid courses, and their specific impact on multiple fields (Boelens et al., 2017, p. 9) must be researched. The psychological and social effects on students, more precisely on motivation, engagement, and feeling of belonging, of blended learning must also be studied (Rapanta et al., 2020, p. 930).

8. Conclusion

Blended learning, if planned effectively, can dramatically transform education in the 21st century. The research findings show that it offers more flexibility, autonomy, and motivation for teachers and students alike. However, technology integration within education comes with its own set of issues. Issues related to digital equity, preparedness of teachers, and the quality of institutional support

came up time and again during the discussions of participants, highlighting the necessity of strong infrastructure and ongoing professional growth.

The value of a balanced solution—where technological resources are merged with human-facilitated pedagogy—was highlighted in this research. Although blended learning can deliver a flexible and tailored learning environment, its effectiveness is ultimately a function of the extent to which educators and institutions are prepared to harness its complete potential. As this research implies, ongoing feedback, organized training, and equitable practices are all key elements to maximize the advantage of blended learning.

In conclusion, although blended learning offers numerous opportunities, its proper utilization requires a combined effort from policymakers, educators, and students themselves. Future studies must strive to find means of overcoming the current limitations and rendering blended learning models accessible, effective, and equitable for all students.

References

- Alammary, A. (2022). Blended learning models for introductory programming courses: A systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(1), 175–196.
- Almarzooq, Z. I., Lopes, M., & Kochar, A. (2020). Virtual learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: A disruptive technology in graduate medical education. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 75(20), 2635–2638.
- Al-Samarraie, H., Teng, B. K., Alzahrani, A. I., & Alalwan, N. (2021). E-learning continuance satisfaction in higher education: A unified perspective from instructors and students. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(1), 200–218.
- Babbie, E. (2016). *The practice of social research* (14th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M. (2017). Four key challenges to the design of blended learning: A systematic literature review. *Educational Research Review*, 22, 1–18.
- Bond, M., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Nichols, M. (2020). Revisiting five decades of educational technology research: A content and authorship analysis of the British Journal of Educational Technology. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(3), 998–1015.

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). Thematic analysis: A practical guide. SAGE Publications.
- Bryman, A. (2021). Social research methods (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- CAST. (2021). Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 2.2.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2021). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Drysdale, J. S., Graham, C. R., & Borup, J. (2021). The impact of blended learning on student performance: Meta-analysis and conceptual review. *Journal of Online Learning Research*, 7(1), 12–30.
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1–4.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 2(2–3), 87–105.
- Garrison, D. R., Cleveland-Innes, M., & Vaughan, N. D. (2020). Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *Educause Review*, 27(1), 1–12.
- Hrastinski, S. (2022). What do we mean by blended learning? *TechTrends*, 66(4), 443–448.
- Limniou, M., Schermbrucker, I., & Lyons, M. (2021). Blended learning in STEM higher education: A systematic review of current research and future directions. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 30(1), 1–18.
- Owston, R., York, D., & Malhotra, T. (2020). Blended learning in large enrollment courses: Student perceptions across multiple institutions. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 45, 100721.
- Pallant, J. (2020). SPSS survival manual: A step-by-step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Picciano, A. G. (2017). Theories and frameworks for online education: Seeking an integrated model. *Online Learning*, 21(3), 166–190.

Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guàrdia, L., & Koole, M. (2020). Online university teaching during and after the COVID-19 crisis: Refocusing teacher presence and learning activity. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 923–945.

Reeves, T. C., & Lin, L. (2021). The research we have is not the research we need. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69, 91–101.

Siemens, G. (2022). *Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age* (Revised ed.). Learning Technologies Press.

Tømte, C. E., Fosslund, T., Aamodt, P. O., & Degn, L. (2023). Digital competence among teachers in higher education: Professional development challenges and policy implications. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 13(3), 295–312.

Trust, T., & Whalen, J. (2020). Should teachers be trained in emergency remote teaching? Lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 28(2), 189–199.

Zawacki-Richter, O., & Jung, I. (2023). *Systematic approaches to digital learning innovation*. Springer.

Zhao, Y., He, W., & Witzleb, T. (2021). Blended learning efficacy: A systematic review of meta-analyses. *Distance Education*, 42(2), 197–218.

Appendices

Blended Learning Evaluation Questionnaire

Section 1: Demographic Information

1. Age: ____

2. Gender:

☐ Male

☐ Female

☐ Prefer not to say

3. Role:

☐ Student

☐ Teacher

4. Educational Level:

☐ Secondary

☐ Tertiary

5. Institution Type:

☐ Public

☐ Private

Section 2: Technology Usage 6. How often do you use digital technology in your classroom activities?

☐ Daily

☐ Several times a week

☐ Once a week

☐ Rarely

☐ Never

7. Which tools/platforms do you use most frequently? (Select all that apply)

☐ Google Classroom

☐ Zoom / Microsoft Teams

☐ Moodle / Blackboard

☐ WhatsApp / Telegram

☐ Educational YouTube Channels

☐ Other: _____

Section 3: Perceptions of Blended Learning (5-point Likert scale: Strongly Disagree – Strongly Agree)

Statement	SD	D	N	A	SA
8. Blended learning enhances my overall learning/teaching experience.					
9. I feel confident using educational technology tools.					
10. Online resources complement traditional teaching methods effectively.					
11. I am motivated to engage with both online and in-person content.					
12. Blended learning allows better flexibility in learning/teaching.					

Section 4: Challenges and Support (5-point Likert scale: Strongly Disagree – Strongly Agree)

Statement	SD	D	N	S	SA
13. I face technical issues during blended sessions.					
14. I have adequate training/support to use blended learning platforms.					
15. Internet connectivity affects my learning/teaching experience.					
16. Institutional policies support the integration of technology.					

Section 5: Open-Ended Questions

17. What do you find most effective about blended learning?

18. What are the biggest challenges you've encountered while using blended learning methods?

19. How do you think blended learning can be improved in your institution?

20. Any additional comments or suggestions:
