



On Average Modulus of Smoothness and Comonotony Approximation by Splines

By

¹Jasim Ghetheeth Mthawer

²Nada Zuhair Abd AL-Sada

E-mail: Pesto.ges.teef@gmail.com

Department of mathematics, College
of education of Al-Qadisiyah university

E-mail: Nada.abdalsada@qu.edu.iq

Abstract:

In this research, some of the properties of the modulus of smoothness and the average modulus of smoothness in weighted space $L_{w,q}(I)$, $0 < q < 1$, $I = [-d, d]$, $d = \text{positive integer}$ were discussed. The best approximation of the monotonic function $f \in L_{w,q}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$ was also found, using the spline S_r , $r = 1 \dots n$, $n \in N$, in the weighted space.

1.Introduction

There is research (see[5],[6],[8]) in which the approximation of the function f in space $C[a, b]$, $L_p[a, b]$, (see[3,4]) has been studied, using splines and algebraic polynomials, and numerical applications have been found for it. In this research, some properties of the modulus of smoothness were found $w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta)_{w,q} = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$

$$\Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f, x)_w = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} f\left(x + \frac{i\varphi h}{m}\right) w\left(x + \frac{\varphi h}{m}\right) & , \text{ if } x + \frac{\varphi h}{m}, x \in I \\ 0 & , \quad 0 \cdot W \end{cases}$$

$$Q(x) = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^2} \text{ , represent } m\text{-th symmetric difference.}$$

And finding the properties of average modulus of smoothness were found

$$\tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q} = \|w_m^\varphi(f, \cdot, \delta)\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$$

in weighted space $L_{w,q}(I)$ where as

$$L_{w,q}(I) = \{f: f: I \rightarrow R: (\int_I |f(x)w(x)|^q dx)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \infty, 0 < q < 1\}.$$

the function f monotony in weighted space $f \in L_{w,q}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$

where $A_s = \{a_2, \dots, a_s, -d = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_s < a_{s+1} = d\}$.

Let $\ell_{r,s} \subset I$, where $\ell_{r,s} = [c_{r,s}, c_{r-1,s}]$, $r = 2 \dots n+1$, $s = 1 \dots n$

and

$$c_s = \{c_2 \dots c_n, Y_{i+1} = c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n < c_{n+1} = Y_i\} \quad , i = 0 \dots n$$

The interior points (knods) at the interval $\ell_{r,s}$ can be defined as follows

$$c_{2,s} < \tilde{c}_{2,s} < \dots < c_{n-1,s} < \tilde{c}_{n-1,s} < c_{n,s} .$$

Where $\{\tilde{c}_s\}_{r=2}^{n-2}$ represents a sequence of knods in an interval $\tilde{\ell}_{r,s} = [\tilde{c}_{r,s}, \tilde{c}_{r-1,s}]$ $r = 2, \dots, n+1$, $s = 1, \dots, n$.

Let S_r represent the spline which is define in form

$$S_r(x) = \begin{cases} s_0(x), & x_0 < x \leq x_1 \\ s_1(x), & x_1 < x \leq x_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n(x), & x_{n-1} < x \leq x_n \end{cases}$$

In this research we will find the best approximation of the function $f \in L_{w,q}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$ using the spline $s_r \in S_{m-1}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$, which is comonotony with the function f .

2.Lemma. In the waited space $L_{w,q}(I)$, the modulus of smoothness $w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta)_{w,q}$ has the following properties , if $f \in L_{w,q}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$, $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2$, $\lambda > 0$, then:

1) $w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_w$, is non negative function with

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_w = 0$$

Proof: Since $w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_w = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_w &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ &= \sup_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} f\left(x + \frac{h\varphi}{m}\right) w\left(x + \frac{h\varphi}{m}\right) \right\| \\ &= \sup_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} f(x) w(x) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_w = 0$$

$$2) w_m^\varphi(f, \delta_1)_{w,q} \leq w_m^\varphi(f, \delta_2)_{w,q} \quad \text{for } \delta_1 \leq \delta_2$$

Proof: we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_m^\varphi(f, \delta_1)_{w,q} &= \sup_{|h| \leq \delta_1} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ &\leq \sup_{|h| \leq \delta_2} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ &= w_m^\varphi(f, \delta_2)_w \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$w_m^\varphi(f, \delta_1)_{w,q} \leq w_m^\varphi(f, \delta_2)_{w,q} \quad \text{for } \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 .$$

$$3) w_m^\varphi(f, \lambda\delta)_{w,q} \leq \lambda^n w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q}$$

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_m^\varphi(f, \lambda\delta)_{w,q} &= \sup_{h \leq \lambda\delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ &\leq \sup_{h \leq \lambda\delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\lambda\delta\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ &= \sup \left\| \left(\frac{\lambda\delta\varphi}{m} \right)^m D^m f \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= |\lambda^m| \sup \left\| \left(\frac{\delta}{m} \right)^m D^n f \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\
 &= |\lambda^m| \sup_{h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\delta}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= |\lambda|^m w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q}, \lambda > 0$$

Hence

$$w_m^\varphi(f, \lambda \delta)_{w,q} \leq \lambda^m w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q}$$

3.Lemma. Let $f \in L_{w,q}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$, the average modulus of smoothness $\tau_m(f, \delta_1)_{w,q}$, in the waited space $L_{w,q}(I)$, where w is a waited bounded function, has the following properties

$$1) \tau_m(f, \delta_1)_{w,q} \leq \tau_m(f, \delta_2)_{w,q}, \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_2$$

Proof: Let $\delta = \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{\delta_i\}$ and $i = 1, 2$, $\delta \leq \delta_1$, $\delta \leq \delta_2$ and $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$, then

$$\sup_{h \leq \delta_1} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\delta}{m}}^m(f, x) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \leq \sup_{h \leq \delta_2} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\delta}{m}}^m(f, x) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$$

Then we get by definition of the usually modulus of smoothness that

$$w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta_1)_{w,q} \leq w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta_2)_{w,q}$$

By take $L_{w,q}(I)$ -normd of both sides, we get

$$\|w_m^\varphi(f, \cdot, \delta_1)\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \leq \|w_m^\varphi(f, \cdot, \delta_2)\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$$

Then by definition of $\tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q}$ we get

$$\tau_m(f, \delta_1)_{w,q} \leq \tau_m(f, \delta_2)_{w,q}, \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_2$$

$$2) \tau_m(f_1 + f_2, \delta)_{w,q} \leq \tau_m(f_1, \delta)_{w,q} + \tau_m(f_2, \delta)_{w,q}$$

Proof: We have by m -th property of symmetric difference

$\Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1 + f_2, x)_{w,q} = \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1, x)_{w,q} + \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_2, x)_{w,q}$, then

$$\left| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1 + f_2, x)_{w,q} \right|^q \leq \left| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1, x)_{w,q} \right|^q + \left| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_2, x)_{w,q} \right|^q$$

By take the integration for $I \subset R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_I \left| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1 + f_2, x)_{w,q} \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_I \left| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1, x)_{w,q} \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_I \left| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_2, x)_{w,q} \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1 + f_2, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_1, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} + \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{\varphi h}{m}}^m(f_2, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \end{aligned}$$

Then we get by definition of the usually modulus of smoothness that

$$w_m^\varphi(f_1 + f_2, x, \delta)_{w,q} \leq w_m^\varphi(f_1, x, \delta)_{w,q} + w_m^\varphi(f_2, x, \delta)_{w,q}$$

Now by take the $L_{w,q}(I)$ _normd of both sides then we get

$$\|w_m^\varphi(f_1 + f_2, \cdot, \delta)\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \leq \|w_m^\varphi(f_1, \cdot, \delta)\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} + \|w_m^\varphi(f_2, \cdot, \delta)\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$$

By definition of $\tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q}$, we get

$$\tau_m(f_1 + f_2, \delta)_{w,q} \leq \tau_m(f_1, \delta)_{w,q} + \tau_m(f_2, \delta)_{w,q}$$

$$3) \tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q} \leq \delta^m \tau_{m-1}(f', \delta)_{w,q}$$

Proof: We have

$$w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} = \delta w_{m-1}^\varphi(f', \delta)_{w,q}$$

$$w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\{ \left| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, x)_w \right| \right\} , x \in I$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_{h\varphi}^m(f, x)_w &= \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} \left(\Delta_{\frac{1}{1}}^1(f, x)_w \right)_w = \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} (\Delta_{h\varphi}(f, x)_w)_w \\
 &= \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 (-1)^{1-i} \binom{1}{i} f \left(x + \frac{ih\varphi}{1} \right) w \left(x + \frac{h\varphi}{1} \right) \right), x + h\varphi \in I \\
 &= \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} (-f(x)w(x + h\varphi) + f(x + h\varphi)w(x + h\varphi))_w \\
 &= \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} ([f(x + h\varphi) - f(x)]w(x + h\varphi))_w \\
 &= \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} \left(\int_0^h (f', x + t)_w dt \right)_w \\
 \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m}}^m(f, x)_w \right| &= \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} \left(\int_0^h (f', x + t)_w dt \right)_w \right| \\
 &\leq \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_0^h \left| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} (f', x + t)_w \right| dt \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since from definition of modulus of smoothness then

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} &\leq \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left\{ \int_0^h \left| \Delta_{\frac{h\varphi}{m-1}}^{m-1} (f', x)_w \right| dt \right\} \\
 w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} &\leq \int_0^h w_{m-1}^\varphi \left(f', \frac{m}{m-1} \delta \right)_{w,q} \\
 &= h w_{m-1}^\varphi \left(f', \frac{m}{m-1} \delta \right)_{w,q}, h \leq \delta \\
 &\leq \delta w_{m-1}^\varphi \left(f', \frac{m}{m-1} \delta \right)_{w,q}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} \leq \delta w_{m-1}^\varphi(f', \delta)_{w,q}$$

Since $w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} = \|w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta)_{w,q}\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}$, and by definition of average modulus of smoothness then we get

$$\tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q} \leq \delta^m \tau_{m-1}(f', \delta)_{w,q}$$

$$4) \tau_m(f, k\delta)_{w,q} \leq (2k)^m (2k-1) \tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q} \quad , \quad k \in N$$

Proof: since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, x) &= \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} f\left(x + \frac{ikh\varphi}{m}\right) w\left(x + \frac{kh\varphi}{m}\right) \\ &= \left[(-1)^m \binom{m}{0} f(x) + (-1)^{m-1} \binom{m}{1} f\left(x + \frac{kh\varphi}{m}\right) + (-1)^{m-2} \binom{m}{2} f\left(x + \frac{2kh\varphi}{m}\right) + \dots + (-1)^{m-m} \binom{m}{m} f\left(x + \frac{m kh\varphi}{m}\right) \right] w\left(x + \frac{kh\varphi}{m}\right) \\ &\left\| \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\ &\leq \left(\left| \binom{m}{0} \right| \|f\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} + \left| \binom{m}{1} \right| \left\| f\left(\cdot + \frac{kh\varphi}{m}\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} + \dots + \left| \binom{m}{m} \right| \left\| f\left(\cdot + kh\varphi\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{(2k-1)m} \left| \binom{m}{i} \right| \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \left\| f\left(x + \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $m = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{1}}^1(f, x)_w &= \sum_{i=0}^1 (-1)^{1-i} \binom{1}{i} f(x + ikh\varphi) w(x + h\varphi) \\ &= [f(x + kh\varphi) - f(x)] w(x + h\varphi) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{1}}^1(x + ih\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Hence for m -th symmetric difference

$$\Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, x)_w = \sum_{i_1=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{k-1} \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^{k-1} \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, x + i_1 h\varphi + \dots + i_n h\varphi)_w$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{2(k-1)m} \binom{m}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \left\| f\left(x - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\
 \left\| \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} &\leq (2k)^m \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \left\| f\left(x - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\
 \sup_{h \leq k\delta} \left\| \Delta_{\frac{kh\varphi}{m}}^m(f, \cdot) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} &\leq (2k)^m \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \sup_{h \leq \delta} \left\| f\left(x - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\
 w_m^\varphi(f, x, k\delta)_{w,q} &\leq (2k)^m \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} w_m^\varphi\left(f, x - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}, \delta\right)_{w,q}
 \end{aligned}$$

By take $L_{w,q}(I)$ - normed of both sides

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| w_m^\varphi(f, \cdot, k\delta)_{w,q} \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\
 &\leq (2k)^m \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \left\| w_m^\varphi\left(f, x - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}, \delta\right) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} \\
 &= (2k)^m \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \left(\int_{-d}^d \left| w_m^\varphi\left(f, x - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}, \delta\right) \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= (2k)^m \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} \left(\int_{-d - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}}^{d - \frac{(k-j)mh\varphi}{2}} |w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq (2k)^m (2k-1) \left(\int_{-d}^d |w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= (2k)^m (2k-1) \left\| w_m^\varphi(f, x, \delta) \right\|_{L_{w,q}(I)}
 \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $\tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q}$ we get

$$\tau_m(f, k\delta)_{w,q} \leq (2k)^m (2k - 1) \tau_m(f, \delta)_{w,q}$$

4.Theorem. Let $f \in L_{w,q}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$ and

$$B_r = \{b_1, \dots, b_n : -d = b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{n-1} < b_n = d\}$$

be a given knots sequence, such that there are at least two b_i in each (Y_i, Y_{i+1})

$\forall i = 0 \dots n$, then there exists a spline $S_r \in S_{m-1}(I) \cap \Delta^1(A_s)$, $0 < r \leq m - 1$ on knots sequence B_r , such that

$$\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}} \leq A w_m^\varphi(f, (n + 1)^{-1})_{w,q}$$

$$A = A(m, d, q, a), m \in N, d \in Z^+, 0 < q < 1, a > 1.$$

Proof: Let $I_i = [b_i, b_{i+1}] \subset \zeta_i = [b_{i-1}, b_{i+2}]$, $i = 1 \dots n$

the interval I_i is called contaminated (see[2]) if $b_i \leq a_i \leq b_{i+1}$, for some $a_i \in A_s$. we assume that the interval I_i is uncontaminated and lies between the intervals I_j and I_{j+1} , such that

$$I_j = [b_j, b_{j+1}] \subset \zeta_i = [b_{j-1}, b_{j+2}], j = 1 \dots s$$

Let g_i be a constant approximation of the function f on the interval I_i , $i = 0 \dots n$ in weighted space $L_{w,q}(I_i)$.

Let $\delta = \min_{0 \leq i \leq n} |Y_{i+1} - Y_i|$, since $\delta \leq |Y_{i+1} - Y_i|$, and δ is longer than the interval I_i , and therefore the length of the interval I_i of the function f converges to zero faster than the interval $|Y_{i+1} - Y_i|$, $i = 0 \dots n$. But if the points Y_i inside the interval I_i , it is possible that the function f is not the best approximation, so by Whitney's inequality (see[1]) we get :

$$\|f - g_i\|_{L_{w,q}(I_i)} \leq c(m) w_m^\varphi(f, |I_i|, I_i)_{w,q} \dots (4.1)$$

If none of the knots b_i , $i = 0 \dots n$ inside the interval I_i , then g_i is comonotony with the function f in an interval I_i .

We will know

$$S_r = \begin{cases} 0 & ; x \in [b_j, b_{j+1}), 1 \leq j \leq s \\ g_i & ; x \in [b_i, b_{i+1}), 0 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j \end{cases}$$

It is clear that S_r , the best constant approximation of the function f in an interval I_i . Suppose that g_{j-1} and g_{j+1} constant polynomials of opposite sign with respect to the function f that is

$$g_{j-1} \leq 0 \text{ and } g_{j+1} \geq 0, \text{ hence by [7]}$$

$$g_{j+1} - g_{j-1} \geq -g_{j-1}$$

$$|g_{j-1}| \leq |g_{j+1} - g_{j-1}|,$$

When $I_j \subset \zeta_j$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{j-1}| &\leq |g_{j+1} - g_{j-1}| \leq |\zeta_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|g_{j+1} - g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(\zeta_j)} \\ &\leq c |\zeta_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\|f - g_{j+1}\|_{L_{w,q}(\zeta_j)} + \|f - g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(\zeta_j)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) we get at the interval ζ_j

$$|g_{j-1}| \leq c |\zeta_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}} w_m^\varphi(f, |\zeta_j|, \zeta_j)_{w,q} \quad \dots (4.2)$$

Now, when $x \in [b_j, b_{j+1})$, we get

$$\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} = \|f\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)}$$

By (Lemma (2.1) [7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} &\leq c \|f\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} \\ &\leq c [\|f - g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} + \|g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)}] \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} &= \left(\int_{I_j} |g_{j-1} w(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= |g_{j-1}| \left(\int |w(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$=|g_{j-1}|\|w\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)}$$

Since w is a bounded function, then there is $k \geq 1$, such that

$$\|g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} \leq k|g_{j-1}|$$

By (4.2) , we get

$$\|g_{j-1}\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} \leq k|I_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |I_j|, I_j)_{w,q} \quad \dots (4.3)$$

Now ,by (4.1) and (4.3) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} &\leq c|I_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |I_j|, I_j)_{w,q} + k|I_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |I_j|, I_j)_{w,q} \\ &= A|I_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |I_j|, I_j)_{w,q} , \quad c + k = A \end{aligned}$$

Since $I_j \subset \zeta_j$ and $|I_j| \leq |\zeta_j|$, then

$$\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_j)} \leq A|\zeta_j|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |\zeta_j|, \zeta_j)_{w,q} \quad \dots (4.4)$$

Now ,for $x \in [b_i, b_{i+1})$

$$\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_i)} = \|f - g_i\|_{L_{w,q}(I_i)} \quad , \text{ By (4.1) we get}$$

$$\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_i)} \leq cw_m^\varphi(f, |I_i|, I_i)_{w,q}$$

And by (4.4) we get

$$\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_i)} \leq c|\zeta_i|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |\zeta_i|, \zeta_i)_{w,q}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I_i)} &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^n |\zeta_i|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, |\zeta_i|, \zeta_i)_{w,q} \\ &\leq |I|^{-\frac{1}{q}}w_m^\varphi(f, \delta)_{w,q} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$\frac{c}{\delta} = N \leq n$ then $\frac{c}{n} < \delta$, that is $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{\delta}{c} \leq \delta$, $c > 0$, since $n < n + 1$ then $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n}$, hence $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n} < \delta$ and $\delta < \frac{a}{n+1}$, $a > 1$

By the property of modulus of smoothness (1), then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f - S_r\|_{L_{w,q}(I)} &\leq c(2d)^{-\frac{1}{q}} w_m^\varphi\left(f, \frac{a}{n+1}\right)_{w,q} \\ &= A w_m^\varphi(f, (n+1)^{-1})_{w,q}\end{aligned}$$

$A = A(m, d, q, a)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $0 < q < 1$, $a > 1$.

References

- [1] Gilewicz, J.; Kryakin, Yu. V., Shevchuk, I. A. (2002). Boundedness by 3 of the Whitney Interpolation Constant. *Journal of Approximation Theory*. 119(2):271-290.
- [2] J. C. Geertsema, The behavior of sequential confidence intervals under contamination, *Sequential Analysis, Design Methods and Applications*, Volume 6, 1987, pages 71-91.
- [3] K. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, A. V. Prymak, Nearly Monotone Spline Approximation in LP , *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 134(7), 2037-2047, 2015.
- [4] R. A. DeVore, Y. K. Hu, D. Leviatan, X. M. Yu, polynomial approximation in $(0,1), L_p$ *Constr. Approx.* 8, 1992, 187-201. MR:1152876(93j:41011)
- [5] N. Z. Abd Al-Sada (2015): "On Positive and copositive Approximation in $L_{\Psi,p}(I)$ space, $0 < p < 1$ " Ph.D dissertation, Al-Mustansiriya University, College of Education.
- [6] N. Z. Abd Al-Sada, Pointwise Estimates for finding the Error of Best Approximation by spline, positive Algebraic Polynomials and copositive, *Iraqi Journal of science*, Vol 63 No 11 (2022).
- [7] Nada Zuhair Abd Al-Sada, Copositive spline approximation in weighted space, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*. Vol.25 (2022), No.4, PP.117-1186.

[8] Nada Zuhair Abd Al-Sada, Copositive approximation by Hermits extension, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, Vol.25(2022), No.4, pp.1187-1200.