

Journal homepage www.ajas.uoanbar.edu.iq Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences (University of Anbar – College of Agriculture)



## IMPACT OF AUXIN AND NUMBER OF BUDS LEFT IN **CUTTINGS FOR THE ROOTING AND GROWTH OF GRAPE** TRANSPLANTS OF CV. HALWANI AND KAMALI

S. A. Hussein <sup>1</sup>\*<sup>(D)</sup>

Sh. A. Zaili<sup>2</sup>

## <sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Kirkuk University, Iraq. <sup>2</sup> Directorate of Education, Anbar, Iraq.

\*Correspondence to: Suzan Ali Hussein, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Kirkuk University, Iraq.

Email: suzanali8@uokirkuk.edu.iq

Article info	Abstract
<b>Received:</b> 2024-10-25	This study investigated the effect of treating cuttings
Accepted: 2024-12-31	with auxin indole butyric acid (IBA) at three
<b>Published:</b> 2025-06-30	concentrations of 0, 500, and 1000 mg L <sup>-1</sup> by rapid
DOI-Crossref:	immersion for 10 seconds. The number of buds on
10.32649/ajas.2025.154182.1445	the cuttings were determined at three levels (3, 5 and
-	7 bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> ) on the rooting and vegetative growth
Cite as:	of grape transplants of Halwani and Kamali
Hussein, S. A., and Zaili, Sh. A.	oultivers Each oultiver had 125 outtings for a total
(2025). Impact of auxin and	ef 270 actives for both actives. Dispise the
for the rooting and growth of	of 2/0 cuttings for both cultivars. Dipping the
grape transplants of cy. halwani	cutting bases of the cultivars with IBA in 1000 mg
and kamali. Anbar Journal of	L <sup>-1</sup> concentration resulted in significant superiority
Agricultural Sciences, 23(1):	in the percentage of rooted cuttings, average root
419-431.	length, root dry weight, vegetative system dry
Authors 2025 Collage of	weight, average transplant height, diameter, number
Agriculture University of Anhar	of leaves, leaf area and chlorophyll content. The
This is an open-access article	auxin treatment did not affect the leaves nitrogen
under the CC BY 4.0 license	content for both cultivars. The 3- and 5-buds cutting
(http://creativecommons.org/lice	$^{1}$ of the Halwani grape cultivar had a significant
<u>nses/by/4.0/</u> ).	effect on the rooted cuttings reaching 95.00 and
	05.56% respectively, while the 5 hyd syttings of the
BY	95.56%, respectively, while the 5-bud cuttings of the
	Kamali cultivar significantly increased the number
	of rooted cuttings and total nitrogen in the leaves at
	99.33 and 2.53%, respectively. Cuttings of 5 buds
	from both cultivars had a significant effect on their
	average root length, dry weight of the root and
	vegetative system, average transplant height,
	number of leaves, leaf area and leaf content of

chlorophyll. However, average transplant diameters for both cultivars were not affected by the number of buds left on the cuttings.

Keywords: Auxin, IBA, Cuttings Vitis vinifera L., Halwani, Kamali.

# تأثير الأوكسين وعدد البراعم المتروكة على العقل في تجذير ونمو شتلات العنب

# صنفي حلواني وكمالي

سوزان علي حسين 1\* 🛑 شهبال عبدالحكيم زعيلي <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم البستنة وهندسة الحدائق، كلية الزراعة، جامعة كركوك، العراق. <sup>2</sup> مديرية التربية والتعليم في الأنبار، العراق.

\*المراسلة الى: سوزان علي حسين، قسم البستنة وهندسة الحدائق، كلية الزراعة، جامعة كركوك، العراق.
البريد الالكتروني: suzanali8@uokirkuk.edu.iq

#### الخلاصة

اجريت الدراسة في الظلة الخشبية التابعة لقسم البستنة وهندسة الحدائق/كلية الزراعة-جامعة كركوك، العراق خلال موسم النمو 2024 لدراسة تأثير معاملة العقل بالاوكسين (IBA) وبثلاث تراكيز (0، 500، 1000) ملغم لتر<sup>-1</sup> مطريقة الغمر السريع لمدة 10 ثواني وعدد البراعم المتروكة على العقل بثلاث مستويات 3، 5، 7 برعم عقلة<sup>-1</sup> في النمو الجذري والخضري لشتلات العنب صنفي حلواني وكمالي، كانت عدد العقل لكل صنف 135 عقلة وعدد ألعقل الكلية في النمو الجذري والخضري لشتلات العنب صنفي حلواني وكمالي، كانت عدد العقل لكل صنف 135 عقلة وعد العقل الكلية في النمو الجذري والخضري لشتلات العنب صنفي حلواني وكمالي، كانت عدد العقل لكل صنف 135 عقلة وعدد ألعقل الكلية في النمو الجذري والخضري لشتلات العنب صنفي حلواني وكمالي، كانت عدد العقل لكل صنف 135 عقلة وعدد تغطيس قواعد العقل للكل منف 135 عقلة وعد ألعقل الكلية في المدنوي الخضري لشتلات العنب صنفي حلواني وكمالي، كانت عدد العقل لكل صنف 135 عقلة وعد ألعقل الكلية في المدنوي أولخضري والخضري لشتلات العنب منفي حلواني وكمالي مانتائج التي تم الحصول عليها بما يلي: ادت تغطيس قواعد العقل للصنفين حلواني وكمالي بتركيز 1000 ملغم لتر<sup>-1</sup> من 184 الى تفوق معنوي في نسبة العقل المجذرة ومعدل طول الجذر والوزن الجاف للمجموع الجذري والخضري ومعدل راتفاع وقطر الشتلة وعدد الاوراق ومساحة الورقة ومحتواها من الكلوروفيل الكلي، في حين لم تؤثر مستويات الأوكسين في نسبة النتروجين الكلي في الاوراق ومساحة الورقة ومحتواها من الكلوروفيل الكلي، في حين لم تؤثر مستويات الأوكسين في نسبة النتروجين أكلي في الاوراق وليا الجاف المجموع الجذري والخضري ومعدل كالميني معنوي في نسبة التقلي معنوي في نسبة النتروجين أكلي في حدن لم تؤثر مستويات الأوكسين في نسبة النتروجين أكلي في الاوراق ومماحة الورقة ومحتواها من الكلوروفيل الكلي، بينما كان العقل ذات 5 براعم للصنف كمالي تأثير معنوي في نسبة النقلي في زيادة نسبة العقل المجذرة والنتروجين الكلي في الاوراق وبلغ 3.99، والذي عالي راعم نصبني في نسبة العقل ذات 5 براعم في نعد وي العقل ذات 5 براعم في نعي ويادة نسبة وبراعم للصنف كمالي تأثير معنوي في زيادة نسبة العقل المجذرة والنتروجين الكلي في الاوراق وبلغ 3.99، وي ملي منوي والي مالي ني زيادة نسبة العقل المجزة والنتروجين الكلي في الاوراق وبلغ 3.99، وي ملي والي في وياد وي وي وا

كلمات مفتاحية: الأوكسين، IBA، العقل Vitis vinifera L. حلواني، كمالي.

#### Introduction

The *Vitis vinifera* L. grape belongs to the Vitaceae family. Its plants are perennial climbers and contain tendrils opposite the leaves, while its flowers are either hermaphrodite or dioecious (8). The grapes are propagated vegetatively using parts of the canes or branches by rooting them. Cutting is one of the important methods of vegetative propagation, as the seed and seedless varieties are propagated in this way, and the resulting transplants are identical in their characteristics to their mother plants. This allows the desired varieties to be preserved, as well as makes it possible to produce large numbers of plants in a small space and from a small number of mother plants (4 and 6).

The cuttings can be treated with plant hormones (auxins) to increase rooting rates and improve the quality and number of roots. Indole butyric acid (IBA) is one of the most widely used compounds for encouraging the formation of lateral roots on cuttings because it is non-toxic over a wide range of concentrations and effective in forming roots in a large number of plants. It is slowly broken down by enzymes that decompose auxins due to its slow movement, as it remains close to the place of its addition (28).

A number of researchers have studied the different effects of IBA on the rooting of fruit cuttings. In their study on the effect of auxins on the rooting of European grape cuttings (21) concluded that adding a concentration of 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA to the culture medium of French Black grapes led to a significant increase in the number and length of roots. (2) found that applying different concentrations of IBA on the roots of fig cuttings by rapid immersion led to significant increases in rooting percentages and in the lengths and diameters of the vegetative growth compared to the control treatment. Also, immersing the woody bases of Thompson Seedless grapevines with indole butyric acid led to a significant increase in the number and diameter of roots (20). (9) treated the cuttings of three grape varieties (Al-Asmi, Al-Razqi, and Al-Aswad) with indole butyric acid at a concentration of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for 60 seconds. This led to an increase in the number, length, and diameter of roots, number of leaves and the height of the rooted cuttings, at 7.35, 4.21 cm, 1.77 mm, 4.45 leaf, 36.45 cm, respectively compared to the control treatment.

(8) classified the cuttings according to the number of eyes into short (1-2 eyes), long (4 eyes or more), and medium (2-3 eyes) These are commonly used as the materials responsible for root formation and are formed in the buds and leaves, and are transferred after their formation to activate the effectiveness of auxins in root formation. It was shown by (5) that the Francy grape cuttings with 4 buds had significantly higher number of leaves, branches, leaf area, dry weight of the shoot and root system, and average root length compared to the cuttings with 2 and 3 buds. (18) explained that leaving 8 eyes on the Superior grape canes led to a significant improvement in their vegetative growth characteristics, branch length and diameter, number of leaves and leaf area.

According to (14) pears propagated by two types of cuttings (Woody and Lush) and treated with the growth regulator naphthalene acetic acid produced the best results in root and vegetative growth characteristics. The Halwani grape cultivar, called Wadi

grape in Iraq, are among the best table grape cultivars. It ripens at the end of Septemberbeginning of October and requires pruning with long canes with 12 eyes. The Kamali grape cultivar ripens at the end of August-beginning of September, depending on the region and environmental conditions, and its flowers are functionally hermaphrodite, needing pollinators during its cultivation (3 and 8).

This research investigated the effect of the number of buds on the success rate of cuttings and their vegetative growth and the ideal concentration of the IBA growth regulator for increasing rooting rates in Halwani and Kamali grape cultivar cuttings.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted in the lath house of the Department of Horticulture and Landscape Engineering, College of Agriculture, University of Kirkuk, Iraq during the 2024 growing season. Cuttings were prepared from the grape orchard of the College of Agriculture in Sayada station from healthy mothers free of disease and pests. Fiveyear-old Halwani and Kamali cultivars were selected on 2/20/2024 from one-year-old canes and divided according to the number of buds into 3, 5 and 7 bud cuttings<sup>-1</sup>. The bases of the cuttings were immersed in three concentrations of auxin indole butyric acid at 0, 500, and 1000 mg  $L^{-1}$  by the rapid immersion method for 10 seconds. They were then planted in black polyethylene bags containing 3 kg of soil of a growing medium (mixture: peat moss at a ratio of (1:2).

Two independent experiments were conducted for each cultivar according to a complete randomized block design as a factorial experiment with three replicates and 5 cuttings for each experimental unit. The number of cuttings for each cultivar was 135 bringing the total to 270 for both cultivars. The data were statistically analyzed according to the Anov Table using the SAS (28) system for analyzing agricultural experiments, and the means compared using the Duncan multiple range test at a 5% probability level (22).

Studied characteristics: The following measurements were taken 14 weeks after transplanting the cuttings:

1. Root-cutting percentage: measured based on the following equation:

Percentage of rooting cuttings =  $\frac{\text{number of rooting cuttings}}{\text{number of planted cuttings}} \times 100$ 

- 2. Average root length (cm): measured using a tape measure after placing the bags inside containers filled with water to break up the soil for the purpose of detecting the root system and measuring the length of the roots.
- 3. Dry weight of root and shoot (g): the transplants were uprooted at the end of the experiment and the shoots separated from the roots using pruning shears. Each part was washed with water to remove the soil, dried, and placed in perforated bags in an electric oven at a temperature of 70 °C+5 (7). It was then weighed with a sensitive electronic balance.
- 4. Average transplant height (cm).
- 5. Average transplant diameter (mm).
- 6. Average number of leaves per transplant (leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>).
- 7. Leaf area  $(cm^2)$ : calculated according to (23) and the following equation:

Leaf area =  $\frac{\text{large leaf area} \times \text{weight of cut part}}{\text{large leaf area}}$ 

### weight of large leaf

8. Leaf chlorophyll content (mg  $g^{-1}$  fresh weight): estimated according to (19).

The percentage of nitrogen in leaves (%): samples were digested according to (16), and the nitrogen content determined using the Micro-Kjeldahl device and according to the method by (1).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Effect of auxin: It is noted from Table 1 that dipping the bases of the Halwani grape cultivar cuttings in a 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> concentration of the auxin IBA significantly increased the percentage of root cuttings, average root length, dry weights of the root and vegetative system, average transplant height, number of leaves, leaf area, and leaf content of chlorophyll, which reached 98.33%, 10.87 cm, 10.42 gm, 24.58 gm, 30.04 cm, 17.35 leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>, 57.95 cm<sup>2</sup>, and 10.53 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, respectively. The concentrations of 500 and 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA had a significant effect on increasing average transplant diameters at 13.17 and 13.41 mm, respectively compared to the control treatment of 12.63 mm, while leaf nitrogen percentage was not affected by the IBA auxin levels.

Table 2 shows that the auxin IBA had a significant effect in improving the rooting of the cuttings and the growth of the Kamali grape cultivars. The 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> concentration significantly increased the percentage of rooted cuttings, average root length, dry weight of the root and vegetative system, average transplant height and diameter, number of leaves, leaf area and leaf content of chlorophyll. It gave the highest rate of 97.22%, 10.01 cm, 11.19 gm, 23.73 gm, 28.43 cm, 13.25 mm, 16.20 leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>, 56.78 cm<sup>2</sup>, and 11.80 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, respectively, an increase of 3.06, 31.71, 37.3, 12.04, 20.7, 4.49, 19.11, 21.09, and 21.15%, respectively over the control treatment. There were no significant effects of the IBA concentrations on the percentage of nitrogen in leaves.

IBA	<b>Rooted cuttings</b>	Root	Dry weight of	Transplant	Transplant
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	length	root system (g)	height (cm)	diameter
		( <b>cm</b> )			( <b>mm</b> )
0	90.44 c	8.06 c	8.43 c	26.55 c	12.69 b
500	94.44 b	9.41 b	9.20 b	28.24 b	13.17 ab
1000	98.33 a	10.87 a	10.42 a	30.04 a	13.41 a
IBA	Number of leaf	Leaf area	Dry weight of	Leaf content	Nitrogen
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	transplants (leaf	( <b>cm</b> <sup>2</sup> )	vegetative	of chlorophyll	(%)
	transplant <sup>-1</sup> )		system (gm)	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh	
				weight)	
0	16.11 c	49.01 c	20.66 c	8.01 c	2.41 a
500	16.77 b	55.35 b	22.86 b	9.81 b	2.43 a
1000	17.35 a	57.95 a	24.58 a	10.53 a	2.46 a

Table 1: Effect of Auxin on Root and Vegetative Growth Characteristics of theHalwani Cultivar.

Numbers with the same letters are not significantly different based on Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05%.

IBA	Rooted	Root	Dry weight of	Transplant	Transplant		
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	cuttings (%)	length	root system (g)	height (cm)	diameter		
		( <b>cm</b> )			( <b>mm</b> )		
0	94.33 c	7.60 c	8.15 c	23.55 c	12.68 b		
500	96.33 b	8.27 b	10.08 b	27.32 b	12.47 b		
1000	97.22 a	10.01 a	11.19 a	28.43 a	13.25 a		
IBA	Number of leaf	Leaf	Dry weight of	Leaf content of	Nitrogen		
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	transplants	area	vegetative	chlorophyll	(%)		
	(leaf	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	system (gm)	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh			
	transplant <sup>-1</sup> )			weight)			
0	13.60 c	46.89 c	21.09 b	9.74 b	2.30 a		
500	15.24 b	51.21 b	21.63 b	10.43 b	2.35 a		
1000	16.20 a	56.78 a	23.73 a	11.80 a	2.42 a		

Table 2: Effect of Auxin on Root and Vegetative Growth Characteristics of th
Kamali Cultivar

Numbers with the same letters are not significantly different based on Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05%.

Effect of number of buds: Table 3 shows that the number of buds left on the Halwani grape cultivar cuttings has a significant effect on the rooting and growth of the transplant. The cuttings with 3 and 5 buds gave the highest percentage of rooted cuttings at 95.00 and 95.56%, respectively, compared to the 7-bud cuttings of 92.67%. The 5-bud cuttings gave the highest rate in average root length, dry weight of the root and vegetative system, average transplant height, number of leaves, leaf area and leaf content of chlorophyll at 10.00 cm, 11.98 gm, 26.35 gm, 31.34 cm, 19.19 leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>, 65.44 cm2, and 11.48 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, respectively, significantly superior to the rest of the 3 and 7 bud cuttings. There was no significant effect of the number of buds on stem diameter and percentage of nitrogen in the leaves.

As seen in Table 4, the 5-bud cuttings improved the rooting of the cuttings and the growth of the Kamali cultivar. They produced significantly superior results for percentage of rooted cuttings, average root length, dry weight of the root and vegetative system, average transplant height, number of leaves, leaf area, leaf content of chlorophyll and percentage of nitrogen in leaves at 99.33%, 9.04 cm, 11.42 gm, 25.12 gm, 28.98 cm, 17.96 leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>, 67.02 cm<sup>2</sup>, 12.48 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, and 2.53%, respectively compared to the levels 3- and 7-bud cuttings<sup>-1</sup>. The highest transplant diameter rate was for the cuttings with 5 buds, reaching 12.99 mm, not considerably different from the 3- and 7-bud cuttings of 12.73 and 12.69 mm, respectively.

Harwani Cuttvar.							
Number of	<b>Rooted cuttings</b>	Root	Dry weight of	Transplant	Transplant		
buds (bud	(%)	length	root system (g)	height (cm)	diameter		
cutting <sup>-1</sup> )		(cm)			( <b>mm</b> )		
3	95.00 a	9.21 b	8.38 b	24.43 c	12.80 a		
5	95.56 a	10.00 a	11.98 a	31.34 a	13.25 a		
7	92.67 b	9.13 c	7.69 c	29.06 b	13.21 a		
Number of	Number of leaf	Leaf	Dry weight of	Leaf content	Nitrogen		
buds (bud	transplants (leaf	area	vegetative	of chlorophyll	(%)		
cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	transplant <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	system (gm)	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh			
				weight)			
3	13.09 c	40.11 c	18.93 c	7.22 c	2.29 a		
5	19.19 a	65.44 a	26.35 a	11.48 a	2.51 a		
7	17.96 b	56.76 b	22.82 b	9.65 b	2.51 a		

Table 3: Effect of Number of Buds on Root and	Vegetative (	Growth of the
Halwani Cultivar.		

Numbers with the same letters are not significantly different based on Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05%.

Table 4: Effect of Number of Buds on Root and Vegetative Growth of theKamali Cultivar.

Number of buds	Rooted cuttings (%)	Root length	Dry weight of root system	Transplant height (cm)	Transplant diameter
(bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )		( <b>cm</b> )	( <b>g</b> )		( <b>mm</b> )
3	94.89 b	8.55 b	9.05 b	25.40 b	12.73 a
5	99.33 a	9.04 a	11.42 a	28.98 a	12.99 a
7	93.67 c	8.30 c	8.94 b	24.92 c	12.69 a
Number of	Number of leaf	Leaf	Dry weight of	Leaf content	Nitrogen
buds	transplants (leaf	area	vegetative	of chlorophyll	(%)
(bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	transplant <sup>-1</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	system (gm)	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh	
				weight)	
3	11.00 c	36.78 c	19.67 c	9.09 c	2.29 b
5	17.96 a	67.02 a	25.12 a	12.48 a	2.53 a
7	16.08 b	51.10 b	21.65 b	10.40 b	2.26 b

Numbers with the same letters are not significantly different based on Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05%.

Interference effect: Table 5 shows that interference between auxin concentrations and the number of buds left on the cutting had a significant effect on the studied characteristics of the Halwani grape cultivar. The interaction at 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA concentration with a level of 5-bud cutting<sup>-1</sup> was superior giving the highest rate in percentage of rooted cuttings, reaching 100%. This was not significantly different from the same concentration with the 3-bud cuttings of 99.33%, which significantly outperformed the rest of the interferences for the same characteristic.

The 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA concentration interference with the level of 5 bud cutting<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased average root length, dry weight of the root and vegetative system, average transplant height, number of leaves, leaf content of chlorophyll, reaching 11.08 cm, 12.72 gm, 28.15 gm, 19.85 leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>, and 12.35 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, respectively over the others. As for the average stem diameter trait, the same concentration with 7-bud cuttings had the highest rate of 13.81 mm, and the concentrations of 500 and 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of IBA interferences with 5-bud cuttings were

significantly superior to the rest of the interferences in leaf area, reaching 67.74 and  $65.47 \text{ cm}^2$ , respectively. There was no significant effect of the interferences on the percentage of nitrogen in the leaves of the Halwani grape cultivar.

Table 6 shows the results of the interference between auxin concentrations and the number of buds left on the Kamali grapes cuttings. The 500 and 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA concentrations interacting 5-bud cutting<sup>-1</sup> significantly outperformed the others in percentage of rooted cuttings, dry weight of the root system and the percentage of nitrogen in the leaves at 100%, 11.57 and 11.95 gm, 2.57 and 2.60%, respectively. Meanwhile, the 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA concentration interacting with the 5-bud cutting<sup>-1</sup> was significantly superior in average root length, transplant height, number of leaves, leaf area, dry weight of vegetative system and chlorophyll content of leaves reaching 10.15 cm, 29.91 cm, 19.32 leaf transplant<sup>-1</sup>, 69.19 cm<sup>2</sup>, 25.93 gm, and 12.85 mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight, respectively compared to other interferences. As for seedling diameter, the 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> IBA concentration interference with the level 5 and 3 bud cuttings<sup>-1</sup> was significantly superior to the others reaching 13.48 and 13.25 mm, respectively.

	Ch	aracteristics of	the Halv	vani Cultival	ſ <b>.</b>	
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds	Rooted cuttings (%)	Root length	Dry weight of root	Transplant height (cm)	Transpl ant
	(bud		(cm)	system (g)		diameter
	cutting <sup>-1</sup> )					( <b>mm</b> )
0	3	90.00 e	7.51 i	6.51 i	21.54 i	12.71 bc
	5	91.67 d	8.84 g	11.25 c	29.96 d	12.73 bc
	7	89.67 e	7.84 h	7.52 g	28.14 f	12.62 c
500	3	95.67 b	9.11 e	8.75 e	25.08 h	12.99
						abc
	5	95.00 b	10.08 d	11.97 b	30.64 b	13.32
						abc
	7	92.67 c	9.04 f	6.88 h	28.98 e	13.20
						abc
1000	3	99.33 a	11.01 b	9.88 d	26.66 g	12.72 bc
	5	100.00 a	11.08 a	12.72 a	33.41 a	13.71 ab
	7	95.67 b	10.52 c	8.66 f	30.07 c	13.81 a
IBA	Number of	Number of leaf	Leaf	Dry weight	Leaf content of	Nitroge
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	buds	transplants	area	of	chlorophyll	n
	(bud	(leaf	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	vegetative	(mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh	(%)
	cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	transplant <sup>-1</sup> )		system (gm)	weight)	
0	3	12.73 i	36.75 e	17.80 i	6.66 i	2.28 a
	5	18.25 d	60.09 b	23.33 d	9.94 e	2.50 a
	7	17.36 f	50.18 c	20.85 f	7.44 g	2.46 a
500	3	12.99 h	40.36	18.76 h	6.82 h	2.23 a
			de			
	5	19.46 b	67.74 a	27.57 b	12.17 b	2.43 a
	7	17.87 e	57.94 b	22.26 e	10.45 d	2.63 a
1000	3	13.56 g	43.23 d	20.22 g	8.17 f	2.36 a
	5	19.85 a	68.47 a	28.15 a	12.35 a	2.60 a
	7	18 64 c	62.14 h	25 36 c	11.06 c	2.44 a

 Table 5: Effect of Auxin and Number of Buds on Root and Vegetative Growth

 Characteristics of the Halwani Cultivar.

Numbers with the same letters are not significantly different based on Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05%.

IBA	Number	Rooted	Root	Dry	Transplant	Transplant
(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	of buds	cuttings (%)	length	weight of	height (cm)	diameter
	(bud		( <b>cm</b> )	root		( <b>mm</b> )
	cutting <sup>-1</sup> )			system (g)		
0	3	92.00 g	7.00 f	6.23 g	19.84 g	12.13 bc
	5	98.00 b	8.29 d	10.75 bc	28.45 b	12.94 abc
	7	93.00 f	7.52 e	7.48 f	22.37 f	12.96 abc
500	3	96.00 d	8.56 cd	9.86 d	27.55 c	12.81 abc
	5	100.00 a	8.67 c	11.57 a	28.58 b	12.54 abc
	7	93.00 f	7.58 e	8.80 e	25.84 e	12.07 c
1000	3	96.67 c	10.08 ab	11.08 b	28.82 b	13.25 a
	5	100.00 a	10.15 a	11.95 a	29.91 a	13.48 a
	7	95.00 e	9.80 b	10.54 c	26.56 d	13.02 ab
			<b>T</b> 0	<b>D</b>	<b>T</b> 0 / /	N 78 /
IBA	Number	Number of	Leaf	Dry	Leaf content	Nitrogen
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds	Number of leaf	Leaf area	Dry weight of	Leaf content of chlorophyll	Nitrogen (%)
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds (bud	Number of leaf transplants	Leaf area (cm²)	Dry weight of vegetative	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh	Nitrogen (%)
1BA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of leaf transplants (leaf	Leaf area (cm²)	Dry weight of vegetative system	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)	Nitrogen (%)
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> )	Leaf area (cm²)	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm)	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight)	Nitrogen (%)
1BA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> ) 10.58 f	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab
1BA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> ) 10.58 f 16.18 c	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab
1BA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 0	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> ) 3 5 7	Number of leaf           transplants (leaf           transplant <sup>-1</sup> )           10.58 f           16.18 c           14.05 d	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c 41.50 f	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc 20.74 d	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc 9.03 d	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab 2.14 b
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 0 500	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> ) 3 5 7 3	Number of leaf           transplants           (leaf           transplant <sup>-1</sup> )           10.58 f           16.18 c           14.05 d           11.11 ef	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c 41.50 f 35.25 g	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc 20.74 d 18.85 e	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc 9.03 d 7.97 d	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab 2.14 b 2.32 ab
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 0 500	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> ) 3 5 7 3 5 5	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> ) 10.58 f 16.18 c 14.05 d 11.11 ef 18.39 b	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c 41.50 f 35.25 g 66.27 b	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc 20.74 d 18.85 e 25.14 ab	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc 9.03 d 7.97 d 12.52 ab	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab 2.14 b 2.32 ab 2.57 a
1BA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 0 500	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5           7           3	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> ) 10.58 f 16.18 c 14.05 d 11.11 ef 18.39 b 16.21 c	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c 41.50 f 35.25 g 66.27 b 52.13 e	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc 20.74 d 18.85 e 25.14 ab 20.90 d	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc 9.03 d 7.97 d 12.52 ab 10.81 c	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab 2.14 b 2.32 ab 2.57 a 2.17 b
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 0 500 1000	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> ) 3 5 7 3 5 7 3 3 3	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> ) 10.58 f 16.18 c 14.05 d 11.11 ef 18.39 b 16.21 c 11.30 e	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c 41.50 f 35.25 g 66.27 b 52.13 e 41.50 f	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc 20.74 d 18.85 e 25.14 ab 20.90 d 21.94 d	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc 9.03 d 7.97 d 12.52 ab 10.81 c 11.17 c	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab 2.14 b 2.32 ab 2.57 a 2.17 b 2.21 b
IBA (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 0 500 1000	Number of buds (bud cutting <sup>-1</sup> )           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5           7           3           5	Number of leaf transplants (leaf transplant <sup>-1</sup> ) 10.58 f 16.18 c 14.05 d 11.11 ef 18.39 b 16.21 c 11.30 e 19.32 a	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) 33.56 h 65.60 c 41.50 f 35.25 g 66.27 b 52.13 e 41.50 f 69.19 a	Dry weight of vegetative system (gm) 18.23 e 24.29 bc 20.74 d 18.85 e 25.14 ab 20.90 d 21.94 d 25.93 a	Leaf content of chlorophyll (mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh weight) 8.14 d 12.06 abc 9.03 d 7.97 d 12.52 ab 10.81 c 11.17 c 12.85 a	Nitrogen (%) 2.33 ab 2.43 ab 2.43 ab 2.14 b 2.32 ab 2.57 a 2.17 b 2.21 b 2.21 b 2.60 a

Table 6: Effect of Auxin and Number of Buds on Root and Veget	tative Growth
Characteristics of the Kamali Cultivar.	

Numbers with the same letters are not significantly different based on Duncan's multiple range test at 0.05%.

The results obtained from this study show that steeping the cutting bases with different concentrations of IBA auxin improved the root characteristics of the Halwani and Kamali cultivar cuttings. This is due to the role of auxins in the loss of differentiation and conversion of specialized cells into meristematic cells and the formation of root primordia on the cuttings (12). In addition, auxin increases sugar availability at the adventitious root formation sites and increases starch movement by raising enzyme activity related to carbohydrate metabolism, in addition to releasing the energy needed to form adventitious roots and enzymes necessary for cell division and unfolding (13). This is reflected in the higher rooted cutting percentages with the increased auxin concentrations, which increases the accumulation of nutrients in the treatment area. This is the outcome of the association of auxins with some naturally occurring substances (auxin synergist) in the presence of some specialized enzymes (poly phenol oxidase) to form conjugates (auxin conjugates) that are highly effective in forming and developing root principles (25).

The increase in the average root length is due to the role of auxin in stimulating the speed of cell division and elongation, which stimulates the early appearance of lateral roots. This results in an increase in the length of lateral roots formed on the bases of

the cuttings, thus increasing the efficiency of water and mineral absorption from the soil, stimulating the growth of green buds, and increasing the vegetative system size. This in turn improves the process of photosynthesis and the accumulation of manufactured materials in the seedling tissues (24). This is reflected positively in improving the vegetative growth characteristics of transplants. These results agree with what (9 and 20) reached when treating grape cuttings with IBA auxin.

The positive effect of bud numbers on root growth characteristics is due to their containing good levels of rooting-assisting factors such as carbohydrates and auxins necessary for root growth. The number of active buds is a source for the formation of internal auxin, and for their production of auxiliary materials that move to the bases of the cuttings to play a role with auxin in stimulating root formation, they are called rooting aids (25). Hartmann and Kester (11) indicated that the presence of specialized non-auxin substances is necessary for the formation of roots on cuttings. They are termed rooting-associated factors, and are produced in newly formed buds and leaves and are present in some plants and absent in others.

This affected the better rooting percentage and root growth characteristics of the Halwani and Kamali cultivars, which leads to increased absorption and transfer of nutrients and increased concentration in the cuttings. This, then, stimulates the growth and opening of buds, and some of them help in the formation of chlorophyll and increase its percentage in the leaves, in addition to improving vegetative growth (17 and 27). Also, the short length of the cuttings led to the directing and distribution of nutrients and plant hormones to a smaller number of vegetative branches, which increases their growth strength (10). These results conform with (5, 18 and 15).

#### Conclusions

Immersing the bases of the Halwani and Kamali cultivar grape cuttings in 1000 mg  $L^{-1}$  IBA concentration for 10 seconds significantly increased the rooting percentage of the cuttings and vegetative growth characteristics, except for the nitrogen percentage in the leaves, which was not affected by auxin levels. Meanwhile, the 3- and 5-bud cuttings produced the highest percentage rooting for the cuttings for both cultivars compared to the 7-bud cuttings. The level of 5 buds-cutting<sup>-1</sup> had a demonstrably significant effect in increasing the root and vegetative characteristics included in the study except for the transplant diameters of the two cultivars.

#### **Supplementary Materials:**

No Supplementary Materials.

#### **Author Contributions:**

All team members participated in all parts of the research, all authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Funding:**

This research received no external funding.

#### **Institutional Review Board Statement:**

The study was conducted according to the protocol approved by the University of Kirkuk/ College of Agriculture/ Department of Horticulture, Iraq.

#### **Informed Consent Statement:**

No Informed Consent Statement.

#### **Data Availability Statement:**

All data availability statement.

#### **Conflicts of Interest:**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments:

The researchers extent their thanks and appreciation to the Deanship of the College of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture, and the management of the Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences for their cooperation in completing and publishing the research.

#### **Disclaimer/Journal's Note:**

The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of AJAS and/or the editor(s). AJAS and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

#### References

- 1. A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. 13<sup>th</sup> Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- 2. Abdullah, M. S., and Younis, S. Th. (2019). Effect of colleting date, type and indol butyric acid on the rooting of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) cv. (Brunswick) Hardwood cuttings. Mesopotamia Journal of Agriculture, 47, Supplement (3): 37-53.
- 3. Al-Dajili, J. A. H. (1992). Practical Grape Production. Dar Al-Kutub for Printing and Publishing, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- Al-Doori, M. F., and Hussein, S. A. (2024). Effect of vine load and seaweed extract on the growth and yield of grape vines (*Vitis vinifera* L.), Shatter Al-Anz cultivar. Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 22(2): 1007-1018. https://doi.org/10.32649/ajas.2024.148012.1179.
- 5. Al-Musawi, S. Sh. J. (2010). Effect of cutting diameter, buds number and urea on seedling growth of grape Cv. Francy. Msc. Thesis, Foundation of Technical Education, Al-Musaib Technical College, Iraq.
- 6. Al-Rawi, A., and Al-Douri, A. (1991). Nurseries and Plant Propagation. Dar Al-Hikma for Printing and Publishing, Mosul, Iraq.
- 7. Al-Sahhaf, F. H. R. (1989). Applied Plant Nutrition. Bayt Al-Hikma for Publishing, Translation and Distribution, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- 8. Al-Saidi, I. H. M. (2000). Viticulture (Part1). Dar Al-Kutub for Printing and Publishing, University of Mosul, Iraq.
- Eed, A. M., Al-hajj, A. H. A., Fara, E. M., and Alousali, T. (2024). Impact of indole butyric acid (IBA) and cultivar on hardwood cutting rooting of Yemeni grape shrubs (*Vitis vinifera* L.) under nursery conditions. Arabian Journal of Scientific Research, 5(1): 1-9.
- Grossman, Y. L., and DeJong, T. M. (1995). Maximum fruit growth potential and seasonal patterns of resource dynamics during peach growth. Annals of Botany, 75(6): 553-560. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1995.1058</u>.

- 11. Hartmann, H. T., and Kester, D. E. (1975). Plant propagation: principles and practices, pp. 662.
- 12. Hartmann, H. T., Kester, D. E., Davies Jr, F. T., and Geneve, R. L. (2002). Plant propagation: Principles and practices 6th ed Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: 770.
- 13. Husen, A. (2012). Changes of soluble sugars and enzymatic activities during adventitious rooting in cutting of *Grewia optiva* as affected by age of donor plants and auxin treatments. American J. Plant Physiol, 7: 1-16.
- 14. Hussein, S. A. (2017). Effect of Cutting Type and Growth Regulator Naphthalene Acetic Acid) NAA (on Rooting Ratio and Pear Seedlings Characteristics Produced in Plastic House (Pyrus communis L.) Suzan Ali Hussein. Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences, 17(1): 102-110.
- 15. Hussein, S. A., and Al-Doori, M. F. (2025). Effect of pruning and fertilization with bio fertilizer (Mycorrhiza) on some growth characteristics and yield of grape vines (Vitis vinifera L.) cv. Francy. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1487(1): 012061.
- 16. Johnson, C. M. and Ullrich, A. (1959). Analytical Method for Use in Plant Analysis Bulletin 799. University of California Agriculture Experiment station, Berkeley CA.
- 17. Jundia, H. (2003). Physiology of fruit trees. Arab House for publishing and Distribution Republic of Egypt.
- 18. Khamis, M. A., Atawia, A. A. R., El-Badawy, H. E. M., and Abd El-Samea, A. A. M. (2017). Effect of buds load on growth, yield and fruit quality of superior grapevines. Middle East J. Agric. Res, 6(1): 152-160.
- 19. Knudson, L. L., Tibbitts, T. W., and Edwards, G. E. (1977). Measurement of ozone injury by determination of leaf chlorophyll concentration. Plant physiology, 60(4): 606-608. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.60.4.606.
- 20. Othman, D. N., and Sh, H. (2022). Rooting of hardwood cuttings of grape (Vitis vinifera L.) response to pre-treatments and rooting media. Kirkuk University Journal For Agricultural Sciences, 13(1): 27-47.
- 21. Rashid, K. I., Alo, N. H., and Hamad, H. S. (2006). Effect of auxins and the strength of the nutrient medium salts on the rooting of three cultivars of European grape Vitis vinifera L. by tissue culture. Diyala Journal, 22: 70-80.
- 22. Roger Mead, R. N. C., and Hasted, A. M. (2003). Statistical Methods in Agriculture and Experimental Biology Chapman and Hall, CRC, A CRC Press Co., Washington, D.C.
- 23. Saieed, N. T. (1990). Studies of variation in primary productivity, growth and morphology in relation to the selective improvement of broad-leaved tree species (Doctoral dissertation, University College Dublin).
- 24. Salisbury, F. B., and Ross, C. W. (1992). Plant Physiology 4th ed. Wadsworth. Inc., Belmont, California, 27-65.
- 25. Salman, M. A. (1988). Propagation of horticultural plants. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. Baghdad. National Library. Iraq.
- 26. SAS. (2001). Statistical Analysis System. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC. USA.

- 27. Sivaci, A., and Yalcin, I. (2007). Investigation of changes in phytohormone levels depending on effects of exogenous indole-butyric-acid and callus formation in the stem cuttings of some apple kinds (Malus sylvestris Miller). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 9(7): 1103-1107.
- 28. Yousef, H. Y. (1987). Propagation of fruit trees. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, University of Salahaddin, Iraq.