Obesity and its relation with cesarean sections in maternity teaching hospital in Erbil 2015 -2016

Dr.Noor Sabah Noori M.B.Ch.B

Abstract

Introduction: Obesity is defined as increase in body weight due to excessive fat accumulation. It is the most common nutritional disorder in the affluent industrialized and developed world. A generally accepted definition of obesity is a body mass index more than 30kg/m. The World Health Organization describes obesity as: "one of the most blatantly visible, yet most neglected public health problem that threaten to overwhelm both, more and less developed countries."

Aim : To determine the frequency of cesarean section in obese pregnant women.

Material and methods : It is a descriptive cross sectional study carried out in maternity teaching hospital in Erbil from April 2015 to April 2016.

Two hundred and forty four women were enrolled in this study. Both primigravida and multigravida with BMI 30 kg/m² or more, before 16 weeks of pregnancy were booked from out-patient department. Women with multiple pregnancies, previous cesarean section, pregnancies with medical disorders etc were excluded. Data was analyzed by using SPSS- 10 version.

Results : Out of 244 women recruited, one hundred and forty (57.4%) women were primigravida and 104 (42.6%) multigravida. Mean BMI was 31.18kg/m² ±SD 1.17. Spontaneous labor started in 154 (63.1%), while labor was induced in 77 (31.6%). Thirteen patients (5.3%) were delivered by elective cesarean section. Spontaneous vaginal delivery occurred in 116 women (47.5%), instrumental delivery in 31(12.7%) and cesarean section in 97 women (39.8%). Mean BMI of patients delivered by spontaneous vaginal delivery (SVD) was 31.47kg/m², by instrumental 31.66kg/m², by cesarean section 32.33 kg/m² (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Obesity significantly increased the frequency of cesarean section especially in primigravida.

Keywords: Obesity, Cesarean section, Risk factors.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is defined as increase in body weight due to excessive fat accumulation. It is the most common nutritional disorder in the affluent industrialized and developed world.(1) A generally accepted definition of obesity is a body mass index more than 30kg/m.(1) The prevalence of obesity in the UK has tripled since 1980 and continued to

| Variables | Minimum | Maximum | Mean + SD |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Age (years) | 22 | 40 | 29.13 + 3.04 |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 30 | 36.8 | 31.83 + 1.17 |
| Weight of Baby(kg) | 2.2 | 4.2 | 3.09 + 0.38 |

Table I: Characteristics of the Study Population

Table II: Labor Outcome

| Variables | n | % |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|
| Mode of Delivery $(n = 244)$ | | |
| SVD | 116 | 47.5 |
| Instrumental delivery | 31 | 12.7 |
| Cesarean section | 97 | 39.8 |
| Type of cesarean section (n=97) | | |
| Elective | 20 | 21 |
| Emergency | 77 | 79 |
| Outcome of Induced Labor (n = 77) | _ | |
| SVD | 24 | 31 |
| Instrumental delivery | 13 | 17 |
| Cesarean section | 40 | 52 |

Table III: Comparison of Outcome of Labor

| Variables | Primigravida ($n = 140$) | Multigravida (n = 104) |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Onset of Labor Spontaneous labor | 79 (56.4%) | 75 (72.1%) |
| Instrumental delivery Elective CS | 56 (40%) 5 (3.6%) | 21 (20.2%) 8 (7.7%) |
| Mode of Delivery SVD Instrumental delivery | 45 (32.1%) 24 (17.1%) | 71 (68.3%) 7 (6.7%) |
| Cesarean section | 71 (50.8%) | 26 (25%) |

Tikrit Medical Journal 2016;21(1):210-216

0

outcomes in nulliparous women delivering singleton babies. BMC Public Health 2007;7:168.

- Bianco AT, Smilen SW, Davis Y, Lopez A, Lapinski R, Lockwood CJ. Pregnancy outcome and weight gain recommendations for the morbidly obese women. Obstet Gynecol1998;91:97-102.
- Buhimschi CS, Buhimschi I, Malinow AM, Weiner CP. Intrauterine pressure during second stage of labor in obese women. Obetet Gynecol 2004;103:225-30.
- Kramer MS. Energy/protein restriction for high weight-for-height or weight gain during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000, CD000080.
- American College of Obtetricians and Gynecologists. Task Force on Cesarean Delivery Rates. ACOG, Washington DC.2000.
- Galtier-Dereure F, Boegner C, Bringer J. Obesity and pregnancy: Cmplications

and cost. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1242s-8s.

- Cnattinglus R, Cnattinglus S, Notzon FC. Obstacles to reducing cesarean section rates in a low cesarean setting: The effect of maternal age, height, and weight. Obstet Gynecol 1998;92:501-6.
- Saunders N, Paterson C. Effect of gestational age on obstetrical performance: when is Saunders N, Paterson C. Effect of gestational age on obstetrical performance: when is "term" over. Lancet 1991;338:1190-2.
- Lederman SA, Paxon A. Maternal reporting of prepregnancy weight and birth outcome: Completeness compared with the clinical record. Matern Child Health J 1998;2:123-6.
- Krishnamoorthy U, Schram CMH, Hill SR. Maternal obesity in pregnancy: Is the time meaningful inform preventive and management strategies? Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2006;113:1134-40.

pregnancies in obese women should be acknowledged as high risk and managed according to strict guidelines.(19)

We found an even distribution of the weeks of antenatal visit among women thereby minimizing selection bias. Krishnamoorthy et al suggested that all pregnancies in obese women should be acknowledged as high risk and managed according to strict guidelines.(19)

Management should include pre-pregnancy counseling to reduce weight.

What remains controversial is the effect of restriction of weight gain during pregnancy. Although the Institute of Medicine advocates weight restriction, there are others who believe that this lead to preterm delivery and intrauterine growth restriction of the baby while producing no decrease in cesarean section rates.(13)

Conclusions

Obesity is associated with increased risk of cesarean sections. It exerts significant influence on the mode of delivery as both instrumental delivery rate as well as cesarean section rate increased. It also provide basis of an increased rate of induction of labor, further more this increased induction rate lead to increased cesarean section rate.

References

 Adapted from internet, http://www.who.int/nut#obs(accessed 21 November 2005.

- Vyas S, Ghani L, Khazaezadeh N, OtengNtim E. Pregnancy and obesity In: Studd J, Tan SL, Chervenak FA, eds. Progress in Obstetrics and Gynecology. 18th edition. China: Elsevier, 2008: 11-28.
- Kanaglingam MG, Forouhi NG, Greer IA, Sattar N. Changes in booking body mass index over a decade: retrospective analysis from a Glasgow Maternity Hospital. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2005 ;112:1431-3.
- Callaway LK, Chang AM, Mcintyre HD. The prevalence and impact of overweight and obesity in an Australian obstetric population. Med J Aust 2006;184:56-9.
- Dietz PM, Callaghan WM, Morrow B, Cogswell ME. Population-based assessment of the risk of primary cesarean delivery due to excess prepregnancy weight among nulliparous women delivering term infants Matern Child Health J 2005;9:237-44.
- Getahun D, Kaminsky LM, Elsassar DA, et al. Changes in prepregnancy body mass index between pregnancies and risk of primary cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007;197:376.e1e7.
- Crane SS, Wojtowycz MA, Dye TD, Aubry RH, Artal R. Association between prepregnancy obesity and the risk of cesarean delivery. Obstet Gynecol 1997;89:213-6.
- Zhang J, Bricker L, Wray S, Quenby S. Poor uterine contractility in obese women women Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2007;114:343-8.
- Chowdhry H, Chowdhry A, Azam N, Jan S. Effect of obesity on pregnancy and its outcome. Pak Arm Forces J 2006; 56:192- 7.
- Bhattachary S, Campbell DM, Liston WA. Effect of obesity on pregnancy

In our study a higher frequency of cesarean section in obese women was noted. The emergency cesarean section rate was more in primigravida (42.1%) as compared to multigravida where it was 17.3%. Elective cesarean section rate was more or less same primigravida (8.7%)and both in multigravida (7.6 %). These values are higher than those found in other studies.(5,6) The main indications of cesarean section were similar to other study.(6) A study showed that increase risk of surgical delivery is directly related to the increased risk of induction of labor.(10) The frequency of cesarean section increases by pregnancy related complications such as diabetes and hypertension.(15) Our findings suggest that obesity not only increases the risk of certain complications during pregnancy that lead to increase risk of cesarean delivery, but it also independently increases the risk of cesarean section.

Placental abnormalities such as placenta previa and placental abruption were not significantly associated with BMI. To date, no study has demonstrated an increased risk of placenta previa with obesity. Bianco et al reported an increase risk of placental abruption of 1.8 % versus 0.9% (p<05) between obese and non obese patients but in our study there is no signifigant relationship.(11)

The presence of excess intra abdominal adipose tissue itself could mechanically obstruct the progression of labor, contributing to failure to progress. If progress of labor is mechanically obstructed, this could overtime compromise fetoplacental circulation and cause fetal distress. The obese women theoretically may take more time to reach the optimal tissue oxytocin levels due to their larger body volume.(16) Increased risk of cesarean delivery for failure to progress could also be the consequence of difficult abdominal and vaginal examination of obese women in labor. Without accurate monitoring of progression in labor, operative delivery risk may increase.

Saunders and Paterson suggested that not going into spontaneous labor at term could be a risk marker for difficulties in the birth mechanism such as malposition of occiput and impaired uterine contraction but this needs the proof.(17)Zhang et al reported reduction in contractility of the obese uterus in vitro and suggested that this may be due to increased cholesterol deposits in the other hand, myometrium.(8) On the Buhimschi et al found no difference in intrauterine pressure in the second stage of labor in obese and non obese.(12) Whatever the reason, there appears to be little doubt regarding the association between obesity and cesarean section rate.

The ideal time for baseline height and weight of a woman is before pregnancy or in early gestation. Most of the researchers have relied on the woman's recall of her prethe reliability and pregnancy weight, standardization of which is verv doubtful.(18) In this study the height and weight of women were recorded in early pregnancy. Still value recorded in pregnancy remains an approximation of the prepregnancy weight, and therefore subject to bias. We found an even distribution of the weeks of antenatal visit among women selection bias. thereby minimizing Krishnamoorthy et al suggested that all

Elective cesarean sections were done in 5%. The overall cesarean section rate was 39.8% (n 97). Mostly the cesarean sections (both elective and emergency) were done in women for non-progress of labor (38/97), fetal distress (n 20), failed induction of labor (n 12), mal-presentations (n 8), non-reactive CTG (n 7), large baby (n 3), refusal of trial of labor (n 3), abruption(n 2), obstructed labor(n 2), absent ligor (n 1) and placenta previa (n 1). The overall vaginal deliveries were 47.5% (table II). The cesarean sections were significantly high in induced labor (52% - n 40/77). Vaginal deliveries took place in 37/77 women. It included both spontaneous vertex deliveries 31% (n 24) and instrumental deliveries 17% (n 13).

The mean BMI of women who underwent cesarean section was 32.32 kg/m², in instrumental delivery 31.66 kg/m² and in SVD 31.47 kg/m². Spontaneous labor started in 72.1% of multigravida and 56.6% of primigrvida. The labor was induced in 43.4 % of primigravida and 27.9% of multigravida. The emergency cesarean section was done in 42.1% of primigravida and 17.1% of mutigrvida. The spontaneous delivery took place in 68% of multigravida and 32% of primigrvida. 17.1% of primigravida and 6.7 % of multigravida had instrumental deliveries. 52% primigravida's labor ended in cesarean section while only 25% of multigravida had cesarean delivery (table III). The higher rate of cesarean section seen in women with mean BMI 32.3kg/m2 + 1.2 with p < 0.001.

Discussion

The obesity is frequently associated with higher rates of cesarean sections as studied earlier.(6)Similarly among various studies it was found that the obesity was significantly associated with higher rates of induction of labor.(5-10)Our study showed 31.6% of induction of labor. The various indications of induction of labor in order of frequency were postdate pregnancies, decrease fetal movement, pregnancy induced hypertension(PIH), and gestational diabetes(GDM). Induction of labor for postdate pregnancies was needed in 21 patients. The national primary cesarean delivery rate in United States is approximately 14.6%, ranging from a low of 11.5 % in Utah to as high of 24.3% in Mississippi.(14) In Australian obstetric population overall cesarean section rate is 22.3% and that of obesity is 35.2%.2 in present study the overall frequency of cesarean section is 39.8% in women with BMI > 32.32 kg/m2which include both primigravida and multigravida patients. In this study separation of the morbidly obese women was not done. Cesarean section was performed electively without taking risk of labor stress. The largest study by Crane et al came from the Central New York State Department of Health's electronic birth certificate of more than 19,000 deliveries. That study reported an increased risk of primary cesarean delivery among obese women, although this was less than that noted in the our study. The data from Crane et al was obtained by electronic birth certificates which may be less reliable because it did not tell the events of labor.(7)

rise. In US, the prevalence of overweight and obesity now exceeds more than 60% among adults and the latest data from the US National Center for Health Statistics shows that 30% of adults are obese. It is classified as sixth most important risk factor contributing to overall burden of diseases and is attributed to 30,000 deaths in the UK per year.(2) The World Health Organization describes obesity as: "one of the most blatantly visible, yet most neglected public health problem that threaten to overwhelm both, more and less developed countries." A recent study showed that one in 5 women booked for antenatal care between 2002-2004 were obese.(2) In iraq no data regarding incidence of obesity in pregnancy is available. Leonie et al showed that cesarean section rate for obese pregnant woman was 35.2% as compared to 22.3% of normal weight. (3)

The aim of our study was to find out the frequency of cesarean section in obese pregnant women .This knowledge will help to understand the burden of problem and the need for increase public awareness so that preventive measures may be stressed by reducing preconception weight in obese women.

Patients and methods

A cross sectional study was conducted by collecting cases in the department of obstetrics & gynecology maternity teaching hospital in Erbil from April 2015 to April 2016. A total of 244 women were booked in early pregnancy. The height and weight of women noted on first visit before 16 wks of gestation. The BMI was calculated. The inclusion criteria was women without comorbid and singleton pregnancy, BMI 30 or > 30 kg/m². Women with previous cesarean section and associated medical disorders were excluded. Women enrolled in the study were evaluated routinely on every antenatal visit for weight gain and development of any complication of pregnancy like PIH or GDM etc. Fetal assessment on each visit was done by measuring fundal height, amount of liqor, fetal size and fetal heart sounds. Women admitted with labor pains were assessed during the course of labor by maintaining partogram. Labor was induced in women who developed pregnancy related complications. Data was analyzed by SPSS 10. Statistical analysis using SPSS. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Mean and standard deviation were used for numerical values. Frequencies and percentages were computed for different modes of deliveries, cesarean section and its indications. Stratification was done on the basis of parity. It enabled to control the effect of this variable on outcome.

Results

Out of 244 women, primigravida were 140. The mean age of women was 29.13 ±SD 3.04 years and mean BMI was 31.83kg/m2 ±SD 1.17 (table-I). 154/244(63%) into women went spontaneous labor and labor was induced in 77(32%) women due to various reasons including bad obstetric history, PIH, decreased amniotic fluid index, gestational diabetes, impaired CTG, pre-labor rupture of membranes, postdate pregnancies etc.