The prevalence of some non-communicable diseases and risk factors in Tuz district/Amirlee township.

Imad Noaman Shareef*, Abudul Rahman J. Mansoor**. *Dept. of Pharmacology, College of Medicine, Tikrit University. **Dept. of Physiology, College of Nursing, Tikrit University.

Abstract

This cross sectional study was designed to determine the prevalence of non communicable diseases and risk factors. The study was performed on 72 peoples in age between 20-45 years (36 males and 36 females) in Tuz district/Amirlee township from Jun till December/2013.

Results: The study show the prevalence rate of the behavioral information as tobacco was 22.2% and fruit and vegetable serving two time per day was 16.7% while three time per day was 4.2%, physical activity for two time per week was 13.9% and three time per week was 2.8%, body mass index as over weight was 63.9% and obese was 18.1%, systolic hypertension was 25% while diastolic hypertension was 26.4% and fasting blood sugar was 20.8%.

Conclusions: These study was recorded the poor consumption of fruit and vegetable and low intensity physical activity. The distribution of behavioral risk factors show that smoking was more prevalent among males, the increasing impact of behavioral risk factors over the life course might have contributed to the high prevalence of hypertension and hyperglycemia.

Key words: People, fill direct questioner form, sphygmomanometers, stethoscope, tape measurement for height, weight scale measurement for weight, tube, laboratory investigation.

Introduction

on communicable disease is a medical condition, infectious non and non transmissible among people(1). Noncommunicable disease may be chronic disease of long duration and slow cardiovascular progression as diseases. diabetes. chronic respiratory disease. Alzheimer's disease, and cancer(2-4) or they may result in more rapid death such as stroke(5).

Most of these diseases are attributed to common preventable risk factors. The most modifiable risk factors are tobacco use, unhealthy diet, physical inactivity, and behaviors, these risk factor which lead to increased risk of obesity, and hypertension(6-8).

Integrated prevention and control strategies are most effective-focusing on the common risk factors and cutting across specific disease(9). So the basis of prevention is identification of the magnitude of the common risk factors for their prevention and control(9).

80% of non-communicable diseases deaths - 36 million – occur, every year(10), at least 5 million people die because of tobacco use(11) and about 2.8 million die from being overweight(12), high blood pressure for roughly 2.6 million death(13) and 7.5 million from other risk factor(14). They are the leading causes of death in all regions.

All age groups and all regions are affected non-communicable by diseases. Noncommunicable diseases are often associated with older age groups, but evidence shows that more than 9 million of all deaths attributed to non-communicable diseases (NCDs) occur before the age of 60. Children, adults and the elderly are all vulnerable to the risk factors that contribute to non-communicable diseases(15-17). To lessen the impact of non-communicable diseases on individuals and society, a comprehensive approach is needed that requires all sectors, including health, finance,

Tikrit Medical Journal 2016;21(1):141-147

affairs. education. agriculture. foreign planning and others, to work together to reduce the risks associated with noncommunicable diseases, as well as promote the interventions to prevent and control them(5). An important way to reduce noncommunicable diseases is to focus on lessening the risk factors associated with these diseases(18-19). Low-cost solutions exist to reduce the common modifiable risk factors (mainly tobacco use, unhealthy diet and physical inactivity, and the harmful use of alcohol)(1) and map the epidemic of noncommunicable diseases and their risk factors. Other ways to reduce non-communicable diseases are high impact essential noncommunicable diseases interventions that can be delivered through a primary health-care approach to strengthen early detection and timely treatment. Evidence shows that such excellent economic interventions are investments because, if applied to patients early, can reduce the need for more expensive treatment(20). These measures can be implemented in various resource levels. The greatest impact can be achieved by creating healthy public policies that promote non-communicable diseases prevention and control and reorienting health systems to address the needs of people with such diseases(1,21).

Materials and methods

This work was conducted in Tuz district /Amirlee township, the work was carried from August to December/2013.

This study is involve 72 peoples (36 males and 36 females) with age range from 20-45 These material involve survey vears. information. these include behavioral information (tobacco, fruit and vegetable), physical activity, biochemical measurement blood sugar by laboratory (fasting investigation)(22), measurement of blood pressure by using sphygmomanometers, stethoscope, and body mass index by divided weight in kilogram to the height in square meter (weight in kilogram by use weight scale, high in square meter by using tape measurement). Comparisons were performed by using mean, prevalence rate and chi square test(23).

The prevalence of body mass index: according to the world health organization(24).

BMI value less than 18.5 are considered underweight.

BML value from 18.5 to 24.9 is normal.

BMI value from 24.9 - 29.9 is over weight.

BMI value from 29.9 – 34.9 is obese.

BMI value from 34.9 - 40 is sever obesity. BMI value from 40 - 44.9 is morbid obesity.

BMI value from 45 - 50 is super obese. The prevalence of hypertension according work health organization as(24) show in table (1):

Results

The study was designed to see prevalence rate of non communicable disease in people in this area (36 males and 36 females).

1- Behavioral risk factor as: 1-1. Tobacco :

The result showed that prevalence rate of smoking in male 38.9%, in female 5.6% and in both sex 11.6% as in table 1.

1-2. Fruit and vegetable consumption:

Respondents were asked about the number of serving fruit and vegetable each day. Result showed that one time consumption per day in male 63.9%, female 91.7% and in both sex 76.4%, two time consumption per day in male is 25%, female is 8.3% and in both sex is 16.7%, while three time consumption per day in male is 8.3%, female is 0%, in both sex is 4.2%. and also result no any one serving five time daily as in table 2.

The result of Fruit and vegetable consumption was very little.

2- Physical activity:

The frequency of performing different types of physical activity (work, travel, recreation) in week was inquired.

The prevalence rate of physical activity one time per week in male is 44.4%, in female is 55.5% and in both sex is 50%. Two time per week in male is 25%, in female is 2.8% and

ũ

in both sex is 13.9%, while three time weekly is in male 5.6%, in female 0%, in both sex 2.8%

The result of this activity is very little as in table 3.

3- Physical measurement: body mass index (BMI):'

This is a measure of nutritional status whereby the body weight in kilogram is divided to the square meters for height.

The prevalence of body mass index between 18.5-24.9 in male is 13.9%, in female is 22.2% and in both sex is 18.1% as in table 4.

The prevalence of BMI between 24.9-29.9 in male is 55.6%, in female is 72.2% and in both is 63.9% as in table 4.

The prevalence of BMI between 29.9-34.9 in male is 30.6%, in female is 5.6% and in both sex is 18.1% as in table 4.

4- awareness to hypertension and diabetes:

To identify public awareness about their health status, the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes was estimated based on this study.

4-1. Hypertension:

The prevalence rate of systolic hypertension in male was 22.2%, in female was 27.8%, and in both sex was 25% as in table 5.

The prevalence rate of diastolic hypertension was in male 25%, in female 27.8 and in both sex 26.4% as in table 6.

4-2. Fasting blood sugar:

The mean fasting blood sugar in male is 0.19, in female is 0.22 and in both sex is 0.20.

The respondent is considered hyperglycemic with fasting blood sugar $\geq 126 \text{ mg/dl}(23)$.

According results showed that the prevalence rate of hyperglycemia in male 19.4%, in female 22.2%, and in both sex 20.8% as in table 7.

Discussion

This study is important as it adds to the limited literature available documenting rates of and correlates of achieving the recommended level of tobacco use, unhealthy diet and physical inactivity, in addition to measurement of blood pressure, and fasting blood glucose.

The prevalence rate of current smoking was 22.2% which is higher than United state (20.8%)(25) while same the England (22%)(25) and Canada (22.3%)(26).

The prevalence rate of physical activity three time per week was 2.8% which is very less than American (Indians, Hispanics) which is 45%. Also fruit and vegetable serving 4.2% was less than American Indian which is 37%(27). The prevalence of overweight is more than England (19.8%) and in united state 18.8% while the obesity is less than England 23.9%, and united state 20.2%(27).

The prevalence of arterial systolic hypertension is higher than brazil is 2.2% while is also higher than Brazilian in arterial diastolic hypertension is 2.5%(28-30).

The prevalence of hyperglycemia in this study was 20.8%. which is lower than what was reported by Apkon et al. (61.8%) and also Deepak et al (45%)(31-33).

Interventions to promote the two behavioral strategies and physical activity described in this report might be accomplished simultaneously or individually. Interventions should be comprehensive, combining both consumer education and environmental factors, such as education about the effective of smoking in health and environment, also the availability of fresh fruits and vegetables and access to these foods(34)). New approaches, such as improving availability by developing alternative sources for fruits and vegetables (e.g., food cooperatives, farmers' markets, and community gardens) and providing alternative transportation options (e.g., car pools and ride-sharing programs) should be considered and changes in high calorie beverage consumption and also increase physical activity at the community level(35).

A number of metabolic consequences of obesity have been proposed as the blood pressure-elevating mechanism(36). Increasing weight has been shown to increase salt retention(37) and insulin resistance is proposed by some to be a cause of hypertension, hyperglycemia(38).

Tikrit Medical Journal 2016;21(1):141-147

Conclusions

The current survey deals with the identification of common modifiable risk factors: tobacco use, unhealthy diet and inactivity, in addition to physical measurement of blood pressure, and fasting glucose. The following were blood concluded:

1- Epidemiological pattern of risk factors:

1.1 Tobacco use: the prevalence rate of smoking was 22.2%, the proportion of smoking among males was seven folds higher than females.

1-2 Fruit and vegetables servings: the number of servings of fruit and vegetables was 76.4% one time per day, 16.7% two per time day while 4.2% three time per day.

1-3 Physical activity: the prevalence of physical activity was 50% one time per week, 13.9% two time per week while 2.8% three time per wk.

1-4 Overweight and obesity: overweight was estimated to be 63.9% while the prevalence rate of obesity was 18.1%.

1-5 High blood pressure: based on physical measurement, the mean systolic hypertension was 25%, while diastolic hypertension was 26.4%.

1-6 Hyperglycemia: the prevalence of hyperglycemia was 20.8%.

2- Poor consumption of fruit and vegetable and low intensity physical activity. All these have been contributed to the high prevalence of hypertension and hyperglycemia.

3- The distribution of behavioral risk factors show that smoking was more prevalent among males.

4- The increasing impact of behavioral risk factors over the life course might have contributed to the high prevalence of hypertension and hyperglycemia.

Recommendations

- More survey is needed for other areas.

- Other laboratory investigation is needed as lipid profile.

References

1- Yusuf S, Reddy K S, Ounpu S, Anand S, "Global burden of cardiovascular diseases: Part I: General considerations, the epidemiological transition, risk factors, and impact of urbanization", 2001,circulation 104: 2746-2753.

2- Reddy K S, "Cardiovascular diseases in the developing countries: dimensions, determinants, dynamics and directions for public health action", 2002, public health nutrition 5: 231-237.

3- Karakas M; Koenig W. "CRP in cardiovascular disease". Herz 2009, 34 (8): 607–13.

4- Nathan DM, Cleary PA; Backlund, JY; Genuth, SM; Lachin, JM; Orchard, TJ; Raskin, P; Zinman, B et al. "Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes". The New England Journal of Medicine, December 2005, 353 (25): 2643–53.

5- Beaglehole R, Yach D, "Globalization and the prevention and control of noncommunicable disease: the neglected chronic diseases of adults", The Lancet; 2003, 362: 903-08.

6- Anand K, Shah B, Yadav K, Singh R, Mathur P, Paul E, Kapoor S K, "Are the urban poor vulnerable to non-communicable diseases? A survey of risk factors for noncommunicable diseases in urban slums of Faridabad", The National Medical Journal of India, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2007, 115-120.

7- Leeder S, Raymond S, Greenberg H, Liu H, Esson K, "A Race Against Time: The Challenge of Cardiovascular Disease in Developing Economies", New York, Columbia University 2004.

8- Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AA: Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: international survey. Bmj 2007, 335:194.

9- Lioret S, Maire B, Volatier JL, Charles MA: Child overweight in France and its relationship with physical activity, sedentary behaviour and socioeconomic status. Eur J Clin Nutr 2007, 61:509-516.

10- Guindon G, Boisclair D: "Past, Current and Future Trends in Tobacco Use-Health, Jamison D, Breman J, Measham A, Alleyne G, Claeson M, Evans D (2006), "Priorities in Health", Washington DC, World Bank. 2003. 11- Kharbanda OP, Sidhu SS, Sundaram K, Shukla DK: Oral habits in school going children of Delhi: a prevalence study. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2003, 21:120-124.

12- Sorof JM, Lai D, Turner J, Poffenbarger T, Portman RJ: Overweight, ethnicity, and the prevalence of hypertension in schoolaged children. Pediatrics 2004, 113:475-482. 13- Moura AA, Silva MA, Ferraz MR, Rivera IR: Prevalence of high blood pressure in children and adolescents from the city of Maceio, Brazil. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2004, 80:35-40.

14- Da Silva RC, Malina RM: Level of physical activity in adolescents from Niteroi, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Cad Saude Publica 2000, 16:1091-1097.

15- Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH: Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. Bmj 2000, 320:1240-1243.

16- Cury CR, Golfeto JH: Strengths and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ): a study of school children in Ribeirao Preto. 2003, 25:139-145.

17- Chiolero A, Cachat F, Burnier M, Paccaud F, Bovet P: Prevalence of hypertension in schoolchildren based on repeated measurements and association with overweight. J Hypertens 2007, 25:2209-2217.

18- Stamatakis E, Wardle J, Cole TJ: Childhood obesity and overweight prevalence trends in England: evidence for growing socioeconomic disparities. J Obes (Lond)2010, 34:41-47.

19- Anjos LA, Castro IR, Engstrom EM, Azevedo AM: Growth and nutritional status in a probabilistic sample of schoolchildren from Rio de Janeiro. Cad Saude Publica 2003, 19 (Suppl 1):S171-179.

20- Ziraba A K, Fotsol J C, Ochakol R, "Overweight and obesity in urban Africa: A problem of the rich or the poor?", BMC Public Health, 2009, 9:465. 21- Batty GD: Examining life-course influences on chronic disease: the Ribeirao Preto and Sao Luis birth cohort studies (Brazil). Braz J Med Biol Res 2007, 40: 1159-1162.

22- N.W. Tietz. Clinical guide to laboratory test. 4th ed. 2006, 1074-1077.

23- Doll R: Cohort studies: history of the method. I. Prospective cohort studies. Soz Preventive 2001, 46:75-86.

24- The WHO stepwise approach to chronic diseases risk factor surveillance. Geneva: WHO.2006.

25- Simpson CR, Hippisley-Cox J, Sheikh A. "Trends in the epidemiology of smoking recorded in UK general practice". Brit J Gen Pract 2010, 60 (572): e121–e127.

26- Report on Smoking in Canada 1985 to 2001, Statistics Canada, Health Statistics Division, December 2002.

27- French SA, Story M, Jeffery RW. Environmental influences on eating and physical activity. Annu Rev Public Health 2001, 22:309--35.

28- Cardoso VC, Simoes VM, Barbieri MA, Silva AA, Bettiol H, Alves MT, Goldani MZ: Profile of three Brazilian birth cohort studies in Ribeirao Preto, SP and Sao Luis, MA.

Braz J Med Biol Res 2007, 40:1165-1176.

29- Wang Y, Monteiro C, Popkin BM: Trends of obesity and underweight in older children and adolescents in the United States, Brazil, China, and Russia. Am J Clin Nutr 2002, 75: 971-977.

30- Wechsler SM: DFH III: o Desenho da Figura Humana - Avaliação do Desenvolvimento Cognitivo de Crianças Brasileiras. 3rd edition. Campinas 2003, 38:581-586.

31- Faustino E, Apkon M, Persistent Hyperglycemia in the critically ill children. J Pediatr

2005, 146:30-4.

32- Guillermo E, Umpierrez S, Niloofar B, et al Hyperglycemia: An Independent Marker of

In-Hospital Mortality in Patients with Undiagnosed Diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab

2002, 87(3):978-82.

33- Keays R. Diabetes. Current Anesthesia & Critical Care 2007, 18:69–75

34- Deepak S, Rohini C, Onuma C, et al; Incidence and Risk Factors for Perioperative hyperglycemia in Children with Traumatic Brain Injury . Anesth Analg 2009, 108(1):81-9.

35- Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Guide to community preventive services. Physical activity. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2005.

36- Hall JE. Renal and cardiovascular mechanisms of hypertension in obesity. Hypertension. 1994, 23:381–394.

37. Rocchini AP, Key J, Bondie D, Chico R, Moorehead C, Katch V, Martin M. The effect of weight loss on the sensitivity of blood pressure to sodium on obese adolescents. N Engl J Med. 1989, 321:580–585.

38. Cooper RS, Van Horn L, Liu K, Trevisan M, Nanas S, Stamler J. A randomized trial on the effect of decreased dietary sodium intake on blood pressure in adolescents. J Hypertens. 1984, 2:361–366.

Table 1 :Category of blood

| Category pressure | systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | diastolic blood |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Optimal | < 120 | < 80 |
| Pre-hypertension | $120 \le 140$ | $80 \leq 90$ |
| Hypertension stage 1 | $140 \le 160$ | $90 \le 100$ |
| Hypertension stage 11 | ≥160 | J. |

Table 2: Distribution of current smokers by male, female and both sex by using the mean and prevalence rate:-

| Age groups | male | | female | | total | | t-tes | |
|-------------|------|------|--------|-----|-------|------|-------|--|
| | mean | % | mean | % | mean | % | | |
| 20-45 years | 0.38 | 38.9 | 0.05 | 5.6 | 0.22 | 22.2 | 11.6 | |

Table 3: Distribution of the respondents that take one, two and three time of serving fruit and vegetable per day in male, female and both of them by use the mean and prevalence rate:-

| Age groups | Time of serving fruit and vegetable per day | male | | femal | female | | Both sexes | |
|-------------|---|------|------|-------|--------|------|------------|------|
| | - Breeze La - 1 | mean | % | | % | mean | % | |
| 20-45 years | One time | 0.63 | 63.9 | 0.9 | 91.7 | 0.76 | 76.4 | 6.5 |
| 20-45 years | Two time | 0.25 | 25 | 0.08 | 8.3 | 0.16 | 16.7 | 6.6 |
| 20-45 years | Three time | 0.08 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 4.2 | 3.14 |

Table 4: The percentage of participants practicing one, two and three time per week in male, female and both sex by use the mean and prevalence rate:-

| Age groups | Physical activity per week | male | | female | | total | | t-test | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|------|------|--------|------|-------|------|--------|--|
| | | mean | % | mean | % | mean | % | | |
| 20-45 years | One time | 0.44 | 44.4 | 0.55 | 55.5 | .0.5 | 50 | 0.9 | |
| 20-45 years | Two time | 0.25 | 25 | 0.02 | 2.8 | 0.13 | 13.9 | 7.44 | |
| 20-45 years | Three time | 0.05 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 2.8 | 2.1 | |

| Age groups Body mas index | | male | | female | | Both sexes | | t-test | |
|------------------------------|-------------|------|------|--------|------|------------|------|--------|--|
| | | mean | % | mean | % | mean | % | | |
| 20-45 years | 18.5 - 24.9 | 0.13 | 13.9 | 0.22 | 22.2 | 0.18 | 18.1 | 0.81 | |
| 20-45 years | 24.9 - 29.9 | 0.55 | 55.6 | 0.72 | 72.2 | 0.63 | 63.9 | 2.9 | |
| 20-45 years | 29.9 - 34.9 | 0.30 | 30.6 | 0.05 | 5.6 | 0.18 | 18.1 | 7.6 | |

Table 5: Rate of body mass index between 18.5-24.9, 24.9-29.9 and 29.9-34.9 among the respondents in male, female, and both sex by use the mean and prevalence rate.

Table 6: Rate of systolic hypertension \geq 140mmHg in male, female and in both sexes by using the mean and prevalence rate:-

| Age groups | male | male | | female | | exes | t-test |
|-------------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|
| | mean | % | mean | % | mean | % | |
| 20-45 years | 0.22 | 22.2 | 0.27 | 27.8 | 0.25 | 25 | 0.3 |

Table 7: Rate of diastolic hypertension \geq 90mmHg in male, female and both sex by using the mean and prevalence rate:-

| Age groups | male | | female | | Both sexes | | t-test |
|-------------|------|----|--------|------|------------|------|--------|
| | mean | % | mean | % | mean | % | 11 |
| 20-45 years | 0.25 | 25 | 0.27 | 27.8 | 0.26 | 26.4 | 0.08 |

Table 8: Percentage of the respondents with fasting blood glucose ≥ 126 mg/dl in male, female and both sexes by using the mean and prevalence rate:-

| Age groups male mean | female | | | Both sexes | | t-test | |
|----------------------|--------|------|------|------------|------|--------|------|
| | mean | % | mean | % | mean | % | |
| 20-45 years | 0.19 | 19.4 | 0.22 | 22.2 | 0.20 | 20.8 | 0.08 |