



IMPACT OF SUPPLEMENTING DIETS WITH CHITOSAN AND PROBIOTICS ON CERTAIN BLOOD PROPERTIES OF BROILERS

W. I. Al-Jugifi ^{1*}

H. H. Nafea ¹

N. N. Alhayani ²

¹ Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, College of Agriculture, University of Anbar.

² Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, College of Medicine, University of Anbar.

*Correspondence to: Waleed Ismail Al-Jugifi, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Anbar, Iraq.

Email: ag.waleed.ismail@uoanbar.edu.iq

Article info	Abstract
Received: 2025-01-22 Accepted: 2025-03-23 Published: 2025-06-30	This experiment was conducted at the poultry farm of the Animal Production Department, College of Agriculture, University of Anbar from 28 December 2023 to 8 February 2024 (42 days). It aimed to compare the effect of adding two different levels of chitosan and probiotics to the diet and their combinations in the physiological performance and some blood characteristics of broilers from the age of 6 weeks. The study used 10 one-day-old Ross chicks of 40 g average weight. The chicks were distributed into 7 treatments of 3 replicates each (10 chicks for each replicate). The first treatment (T1) was the control (without any addition), the T2 and T3 treatments included adding chitosan at 1 and 2 g/kg feed, respectively. The T4 and T5 treatments included adding probiotic at 1 and 2 g/kg of feed, respectively. The T6 treatment included the addition of chitosan and probiotics at 0.5 g/kg of feed each while T7 included the addition of chitosan and probiotics at 1 g/kg feed each. Results show that the T5 treatment had significant superiority ($P \leq 0.05$) in the PCV and hemoglobin values compared to T1, T2, and T4, and did not differ significantly from the other treatments. Treatment T5 also continued to be superior in the number of red blood cells compared
DOI-Crossref: 10.32649/ajas.2025.187598	
Cite as: Al-Jugifi, W. I., Nafea, H. H., and Alhayani, N. N. (2025). Impact of supplementing diets with chitosan and probiotics on certain blood properties of broilers. <i>Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences</i> , 23(1): 656-666.	
©Authors, 2025, College of Agriculture, University of Anbar. This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).	



to T1, T2, T3, and T4 and did not differ significantly from the other treatments. There was also a significant decrease ($P \leq 0.05$) in the concentration of blood plasma glucose in T2 compared to T6, while treatment T2 recorded a significant increase in total protein concentration over T3, T4, and T6. Also, treatment T2 showed a significant increase in globulin concentration compared to T3 and T4 but was not significantly different from the other treatments. Lipid profiles recorded a significant decrease ($P \leq 0.05$) in the concentration of blood plasma cholesterol and low-density lipoproteins in treatment T6 compared to T2 and T4, but were not significantly different from the other treatments. Liver enzymes recorded a significant decrease ($P \leq 0.05$) in the AST enzyme level in treatment T2 over T4 and T5, but was not significantly at variance from the other treatments.

Keywords: Chitosan, Probiotics, Blood characteristics, Broilers.

تأثير إضافة الكيتوزان والبروبيوتك إلى العليقة في بعض صفات الدم لفروج اللحم

وليد اسماعيل الجعفي^{1*}  حسام حكمت نافع¹  نور ناجي الحياتي² 

¹ قسم الانتاج الحيواني، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الانبار.

² فرع الاحياء المجهرية، كلية الطب، جامعة الانبار.

*المراسلة الى: وليد اسماعيل الجعفي، قسم الانتاج الحيواني، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الانبار، العراق.

البريد الالكتروني: ag.waleed.ismail@uoanbar.edu.iq

الخلاصة

أجريت هذه التجربة في قاعة الدواجن التابع لقسم الإنتاج الحيواني في كلية الزراعة/ جامعة الأنبار للفترة من 2023/12/28 الى 2024/2/8 (42 يوم). هدفت التجربة إلى مقارنة تأثير إضافة مستويين مختلفين من الكيتوزان والبروبيوتك وخليطهما إلى العليقة في بعض الصفات الدمية لفروج اللحم في عمر 6 أسابيع. استخدم 210 فرخ سلالة روص 308 بعمر يوم واحد وبمتوسط وزن 40 غم. وزعت على 7 معاملات بواقع 3 مكررات لكل معاملة (10 فرخ لكل مكرر). أما بالنسبة لمعاملات التجربة فكانت المعاملة الأولى T1 سيطرة (بدون أي إضافة) والمعاملة الثانية T2 والمعاملة الثالثة T3 تضمنت إضافة الكيتوزان بمستوى 1 و 2 غم/كجم علف على التوالي. تضمنت المعاملة الرابعة T4 والمعاملة الخامسة T5 إضافة البروبيوتك بمستوى 1 و 2 جم/كجم علف على التوالي، وتضمنت المعاملة السادسة T6 إضافة الكيتوزان بمستوى 0.5 جم/كجم علف + البروبيوتك بمستوى

0.5 جم/كجم علف وتضمنت المعاملة السابعة T7 إضافة الكيتوزان بمستوى 1 جم/كجم علف + البروبايتوك بمستوى 1 جم/كجم علف. وأظهرت النتائج أن المعاملة T5 كان لها تفوق معنوي ($P \leq 0.05$) في قيم PCV والهيموغلوبين مقارنة بالمعاملات T1 و T2 و T4 ولم تختلف بشكل كبير عن بقية المعاملات التجريبية. كما استمرت المعاملة T5 في التفوق في عدد خلايا الدم الحمراء مقارنة بالمعاملات T1 و T2 و T3 و T4 ولم تختلف بشكل كبير عن بقية المعاملات. كما أظهرت نتائج الصفات الكيمائية انخفاض معنوي ($P \leq 0.05$) في تركيز جلوكوز بلازما الدم في المعاملة T2 مقارنة بالمعاملة T6 ولم تختلف معنويا عن باقي مجموعات التجربة، بينما سجلت المعاملة T2 ارتفاعا معنويا في تركيز البروتين الكلي مقارنة بالمعاملات T3 و T4 و T6 ولم تختلف معنويا عن باقي المعاملات، كما سجلت المعاملة T2 ارتفاعا معنويا في تركيز الكلوبيولين مقارنة بالمعاملات T3 و T4 ولم تختلف معنويا عن باقي المعاملات، وسجلت نتيجة صورة الدهن انخفاضا معنويا ($P \leq 0.05$) في تركيز كولسترول بلازما الدم والبروتينات الدهنية منخفضة الكثافة في المعاملة T6 مقارنة بالمعاملتين T2 و T4 ولم تختلف معنويا عن باقي المعاملات التجريبية، وسجلت نتيجة تحليل أنزيمات الكبد انخفاضا معنويا ($P \leq 0.05$) في مستوى أنزيم AST في المعاملة T2 مقارنة بالمعاملتين T4 و T5 ولم تختلف معنويا عن باقي المعاملات التجريبية.

كلمات مفتاحية: كيتوزان، بروبايتوك، صفات الدم، فروج اللحم.

Introduction

Some European Union nations and the United States have banned the use of antibiotics as growth boosters in chicken feed because they harm both the health of the bird and the consumer by contaminating the leftover carcass tissues (6). Genetic alterations in the gene map are among the adverse consequences of these antibiotics (3) prompting the search for alternatives from natural sources that are safe, raise body immunity, and reduce the incidence of bacterial and fungal diseases (29). A non-nutritional additive to chicken feed, such as chitosan—a material made from chitin that is safe for both human and animal health—is one of these techniques. It makes up the majority of the exterior skeleton of marine creatures like shrimp and crabs and is composed of many glucosamine units (25). Thus, it has a beneficial impact on the physiological and productive performance of broiler chickens as well as their ability to use energy and protein efficiently (17). It regulates the intestinal flora and creates suitable conditions for the spread of beneficial microorganisms and prevents the growth of harmful gram-negative and gram-positive microorganisms and fungi (12, 16, 20 and 21).

A manmade product that is added to poultry feed are probiotics, which are made up of helpful bacteria isolated from the intestinal flora of the chicken's digestive system (9). It aids in the transmission of these beneficial bacteria to freshly hatched chicks (8), and thus accelerates the occurrence of microbial balance after at least 14 days (18). This improves the body's immune response (13) though it is necessary to correct the microbial imbalance, especially when adult birds are exposed to stressful elements such as high temperatures and disease infection, in addition to changes in the feed that

reduce productive performance (10 and 26). The role of probiotics in the digestive system of poultry is a process of competitive antagonism of microorganisms, modifying metabolism by intensifying the activity of digestive enzymes, reducing ammonia production, improving feed intake and digestion, absorption efficiency, improving the body's immune response, and reducing mortality rates (1 and 7).

The aim of this experiment was to examine the effects of supplementing chicken rations with different amounts of chitosan and probiotics, as well as their combinations, on the blood characteristics of 42-day-old broilers.

Materials and Methods

This 42-day experiment was carried out at the University of Anbar/ college of Agriculture in chicken farm from 28 December 2023 until 8 February 2024. The experimental treatments were as follows: Treatment T1 (control without any addition), T2 and T3 had 1g/kg and 2g/kg of chitosan included in the diets, respectively, T4 and T5 included 1g/kg and 2g/kg of probiotic, T6 included a mixture of 0.5g/kg each of chitosan and probiotics and T7 a 1g/kg mixture of chitosan and probiotics each. A total of 210 one-day-old chicks from Rose 308 broilers weighing 40 g on average were used.

The chicks were divided into seven treatments at random, with three replicates for each treatment and ten chicks for each duplicate. As indicated in Table 1, three different diets were administered during the trial period: the starter diet, from 1 to 11 days, the grower diet (12 to 21 days), and the finisher diet (22 to 42 days). Probiotics and chitosan were acquired from the People's Republic of China through the Amazon website. At 42 days of age, three broiler chickens for each treatment had their jugular veins randomly sampled. Using K-EDTA tubes, tests were conducted for total erythrocyte and leukocyte counts (RBC and WBC), packed cell volume (PCV), hemoglobin concentration (Hb), heterophil, lymphocytes, and H/L.

After centrifuging the blood samples for 15 minutes at 3000 cycles, the blood plasma was separated, and subsequent tests carried out for total protein, albumin, globulin, glucose, AST, ALT, triglycerides, cholesterol, LDL, HDL, VLDL using a kit from AGAPPE-Switzerland. The experiment was a one-way study, and the trend contained a significant component as well as the impact of treatments using the general linear model and SAS (27) statistical program. Duncan's test (1955) was used to examine the difference between the mean values at the $P \leq 0.05$ significance level (11).

Table 1: Components and nutritional makeup of diets used in experiments.

Ingredient (%)	Starter (1-11 d)	Grower (12-21 d)	Finisher (22-42 d)
Corn	47	51	53
Wheat	10	10	10
Soybean meal, (44% CP)	33.8	29.3	25.39
Animal protein (40% CP) *	5	5	5
Plant oil	2	3	5
DiCa ph.	0.6	0.4	0.3
CaCO ₃	1.5	1.2	1.2
Sodium chloride	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total	100%	100%	100%
Calculated chemical composition**			
ME, kcal/kg diet	2997	3111	3262
Protein %	23.4	21.5	19.8
Lysine %	1.35	1.22	1.11
Cyst + meth %	0.89	0.84	0.80
Fiber %	2.8	2.7	2.6
Ca %	1.07	0.90	0.86
Available phosphorus %	0.47	0.42	0.40
Fat %	4.6	5.7	7.8

*Concentrated protein for chicken feed, Alblasserdam, Holland, Wafi B.V. 40% crude protein, 5% crude fat, 2.20% crude fiber, 7.13% moisture, 28.32% ash, 4.50% calcium, 2.65% phosphorus, 4.68% available phosphorus, 3.85% lysine, 3.70% methionine, 4.12% methionine + cysteine, 0.42% tryptophan, 1.70% threonine, 2107 metabolizable energy, 2.30% selenium, and 4% copper.

** The chemical composition values were calculated using NRC (1994) (24).

Results and Discussion

The PCV and hemoglobin values for treatment T5 were substantially better ($P \leq 0.05$) than for T1, T2, and T4, but not statistically different from the others (Table 2). Treatment T5 also continued to be superior in the number of red blood cells compared to T1, T2, T3, and T4 and did not differ significantly from the others. However, no discernible variations were found in the quantity of white blood cells, heterophil, lymphocytes, or the proportion of heterophil to lymphocytes throughout the treatments. By enhancing the microbial balance in the intestines, probiotics contribute to better digestive health by increasing the absorption of iron and vitamin B12, two components necessary for the synthesis of red blood cells and hemoglobin (20). Probiotics also play a role in reducing oxidative stress, which improves the efficiency of bone marrow in producing red blood cells (4). It has been proven that antioxidants play an important role in increasing the number of red blood cells and the level of hemoglobin in the blood (23 and 24).

Table 2: Impact of dietary additions and mixtures of probiotics and chitosan on the cellular blood properties of broilers.

Treatment	Traits						
	PCV (%)	Hb (g/dL)	RBC Cell/ml ⁶	WBC Cell/ml ³	Heterophil (%)	Lymphocytes (%)	H/L
T1	28.50 b	8.50 b	3.04 b	9.05	39.50	51.50	0.76
T2	29.00 b	8.60 b	3.07 b	8.20	39.00	52.00	0.75
T3	30.00 ab	8.90 ab	3.17 b	6.30	31.00	59.50	0.52
T4	29.00 b	8.65 b	3.10 b	6.05	29.50	61.50	0.47
T5	36.50 a	11.05 a	3.94 a	8.25	32.50	59.00	0.55
T6	30.50 ab	9.15 ab	3.26 ab	8.90	36.00	54.50	0.66
T7	32.00 ab	9.65 ab	3.44 ab	7.60	33.00	58.00	0.56
Sig. level	0.05	0.05	0.05	NS	NS	NS	NS
SEM	0.88	0.29	0.103	0.761	2.12	1.897	0.066

The mean \pm standard error

NS: not significant.

The letters in the same column (a, b, and c) show that notable variations between the treatments at level $p \leq 0.05$.

Treatments: T1 control, T2 and T3 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of chitosan, respectively), T4 and T5 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of probiotics, respectively), T6 (0.5g/kg each of chitosan + probiotics), T7 (1g/kg each of chitosan and probiotics).

Table 3 reveals that the concentration of low-density lipoproteins and blood plasma cholesterol in treatment T6 was considerably lower ($P \leq 0.05$) than in treatments T2 and T4, but was not statistically different from the other treatments. Triglyceride and high-density lipoprotein concentrations did not significantly differ across the treatments.

Lactobacillus and bifidobacterium strains can help break down bile acids are necessary for fat and cholesterol absorption so that they can be excreted instead of reabsorbed, which makes the liver use more cholesterol and lower its level (31). In addition, probiotics produce short-chain fatty acids that inhibit cholesterol production in the liver (14 and 15). Chitosan also has a positive charge that helps it bind to fatty acids and cholesterol, which have a negative charge. Chitosan also binds to bile acids that contain cholesterol, which encourages the liver to use more cholesterol to produce new bile acids (19).

Table 3: Impact of dietary additions and mixtures of chitosan and probiotics on broiler blood profile lipid characteristics.

Treatment	Traits			
	mg/dl Cholesterol	TG mg/dl	HDL mg/dl	LDL mg/dl
T1	195.5 ab	197.5	36.0	102.0 ab
T2	208.0 a	173.0	39.5	108.5 a
T3	187.0 ab	169.5	37.0	97.5 ab
T4	215.0 a	151.0	39.0	112.0 a
T5	197.0 ab	182.0	40.0	102.0 ab
T6	175.5 b	160.0	37.5	91.0 b
T7	188.0 ab	195.5	36.0	97.0 ab
Significance Level	0.05	NS	NS	0.05
SEM	4.14	7.06	1.07	2.22
Treatments: T1 control, T2 and T3 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of chitosan, respectively), T4 and T5 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of probiotics, respectively), T6 (0.5g/kg each of chitosan + probiotics), T7 (1g/kg each of chitosan and probiotics).				

Table 4 shows that the blood plasma glucose concentration for treatment T2 was significantly lower ($P \leq 0.05$) than for T6 and did not differ significantly from the others. In contrast, total protein concentration for T2 was significantly higher than for T3, T4, and T6 but not significantly different from the other treatments. Additionally, globulin concentrations in T2 were much higher than T3 and T4, but did not differ significantly from the other treatments. Also, there were no discernible variations in the albumin concentrations amongst the treatments. Chitosan acts as a soluble fibrous material that slows digestion and reduces the absorption of glucose from the intestines into the blood. It also improves the cells' response to insulin, which helps transport glucose from the blood to the cells more efficiently (30). As for the effect of chitosan in increasing the level of blood protein, it is due to improving liver function by reducing fat deposition and reducing inflammatory proteins in the blood (5).

Table 4: Effect of adding chitosan and probiotics and their combinations to the diet on the biochemical blood characteristics of broilers.

Treatment	Traits			
	Glucose mg/dl	Total Protein g/l	Albumin g/l	Globulin g/l
T1	234.0 ab	63.0 ab	22.5	40.5 ab
T2	188.0 b	68.0 a	23.0	45.5 a
T3	250.5 ab	55.5 c	28.5	27.0 c
T4	239.5 ab	55.5c	25.0	30.5 bc
T5	218.5 ab	66.5 ab	31.5	35.0 abc
T6	260.0 ab	60.0 bc	25.0	35.0 abc
T7	284.0 a	66.5 ab	29.0	37.5 abc
Significance Level	0.05	0.05	NS	0.05
SEM	7.97	1.49	1.24	1.79
Treatments: T1 control, T2 and T3 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of chitosan, respectively), T4 and T5 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of probiotics, respectively), T6 (0.5g/kg each of chitosan + probiotics), T7 (1g/kg each of chitosan and probiotics).				

Table 5 shows that the ALT enzyme levels did not vary substantially across treatments, and were considerably lower in T2 ($P \leq 0.05$) than in T4 and T5. They also did not differ significantly from the other experimental treatments. To improve liver function, chitosan therapy lowers the amount of the AST enzyme because it reduces oxidative stress and inflammation, eliminates pollutants and heavy metals, and lessens body fat buildup (2).

Table 5: Impact of dietary additions and mixtures of chitosan and probiotics on the liver enzymes of broiler.

Treatment	Traits	
	AST IU/ L	ALT IU/ L
T1	259 bc	42.5
T2	214 c	23.5
T3	259.5 bc	35.5
T4	342.5 ab	30.0
T5	374.5 a	30.0
T6	268.0 abc	24.5
T7	264.0 bc	37.0
Significance Level	0.05	NS
SEM	16.58	2.64
Treatments: T1 control, T2 and T3 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of chitosan, respectively), T4 and T5 (1g/kg and 2g/kg of probiotics, respectively), T6 (0.5g/kg each of chitosan + probiotics), T7 (1g/kg each of chitosan and probiotics).		

Conclusions

The addition of probiotics improved the hemoglobin value and red blood cell count, while adding both probiotics and chitosan reduced the level of cholesterol and LDL. Chitosan had an effect in reducing the level of the AST enzyme. As such, it can be concluded that including the two additives has no negative effect on the health of broilers.

Supplementary Materials:

No Supplementary Materials.

Author Contributions:

Waleed Ismail Al-Jugifi methodology, writing—original draft preparation; Husam Hkmat Nafea, Noor Naji Alhayani: writing—review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding:

This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

The study was conducted following the protocol authorized by the Head of the Ethics Committee, University of Anbar.

Informed Consent Statement:

No Informed Consent Statement.

Data Availability Statement:

No Data Availability Statement.

Conflicts of Interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to express their deep appreciation to all staff of the Center of Desert Studies, University of Anbar (www.uoanbar.edu.iq) for their support and guidance in completing this research.

Disclaimer/Journal's Note:

The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of AJAS and/or the editor(s). AJAS and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

References

1. Abdel-Rahman, M. A., et al. (2020). Effects of probiotics supplementation on growth performance, feed utilization, and gut health of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, 99(3): 1445-1455.
2. Adil, S., Aldhalimi, A. K., Wani, M. A., Baba, I. A., Sheikh, I. U., Abd El-Hack, M. E., ... and Abuljadayel, D. A. (2024). Impacts of dietary supplementation of chitosan nanoparticles on growth, carcass traits nutrient digestibility, blood biochemistry, intestinal microbial load, and meat quality of broilers. *Translational Animal Science*, 8: txae134. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txae134>.
3. AFM, A.-E., Saed, Z. J. M., Naser, A. S., Mohammed, Th. T., Abdulateef, S. M., ALKhalani, F. M. H., & Abdulateef, F. (2020). The Role of Adding Sodium Chloride in Broiler Chicks Diets to Improve Production Performance and Antioxidant Status during Heat Stress. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 23(16). <https://doi.org/10.36295/asro.2020.231612>.
4. Alkhalf, A., Alhaj, M., and Al-Homidan, I. (2010). Influence of probiotic supplementation on blood parameters and growth performance in broiler chickens. *Saudi journal of biological sciences*, 17(3): 219-225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2010.04.005>.
5. Al-Marzooqi, W., El-Zaiat, H. M., El Tahir, Y., Al-Kharousi, K., and Hassan, S. K. (2024). Influence of chitosan dietary supplement on growth performance, blood indices, and characteristics of meat quality in chickens. *Ger. J. Vet. Res*, 4(3): 49-60. <https://doi.org/10.51585/gjvr.2024.3.0097>.
6. Al-Yaseen, A. A., and Abdel-Abbas, M. H. (2010). Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Poultry Feed Research, University of Baghdad/College of Agriculture.
7. Arif, M., Akteruzzaman, M., Islam, S. S., Das, B. C., Siddique, M. P., and Kabir, S. L. (2021). Dietary supplementation of Bacillus-based probiotics on the growth performance, gut morphology, intestinal microbiota and immune response in low biosecurity broiler chickens. *Veterinary and Animal Science*, 14: 100216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vas.2021.100216>.
8. Chen, X., Zhang, Y., Ma, W., Zhu, Y., Wu, X., and Wang, Z. (2020). Effects of Cordyceps militaris polysaccharide on egg production, egg quality and Caecal microbiota of layer hens. *Journal of World's Poultry Research*, 10(1): 41-51.

9. Chen, Y., et al. (2019). Effects of dietary probiotics on immune response and intestinal health in broilers. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 6: 108.
10. Ciurescu, G., Dumitru, M., Gheorghe, A., Untea, A. E., and Drăghici, R. (2020). Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* on growth performance, bone mineralization, and bacterial population of broilers fed with different protein sources. *Poultry science*, 99(11): 5960-5971. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.08.075>.
11. Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple range and multiple F tests. *biometrics*, 11(1): 1-42. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3001478>.
12. Elnesr, S. S., Elwan, H. A., El Sabry, M. I., Shehata, A. M., and Alagawany, M. (2022). Impact of chitosan on productive and physiological performance and gut health of poultry. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 78(2): 483-498. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00439339.2022.2041992>.
13. Gao, P., et al. (2017). Probiotics improve intestinal microbiota and immunity in broilers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 234: 142-152.
14. Halder, N., Sunder, J., De, A. K., Bhattacharya, D., and Joardar, S. N. (2024). Probiotics in poultry: a comprehensive review. *The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology*, 85(1): 23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41936-024-00379-5>.
15. Hazaa, A. A., and Nafe, H. H. (2024). Response of broilers to dimethylglycine addition to normal and low-energy diet on physiological performance and antioxidant status. *Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(2): 851- 867. <https://doi.org/10.32649/ajas.2024.184461>.
16. Jasim, H. H., and Nafea, H. H. (2021). Effect of chitosan and antibiotic adding to corn-soybean diet on the productive performance of broiler chickens. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 48(13): 10-14.
17. Jia, L., Zhang, X., Li, X., Schilling, M. W., Peebles, E. D., Kiess, A. S., and Zhang, L. (2022). Internal organ and skeletal muscle development in commercial broilers with woody breast myopathy. *Poultry Science*, 101(9): 102012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.102012>.
18. Khambualai, O., Yamauchi, K. E., Tangtaweewipat, S., and Cheva-Isarakul, B. (2008). Effects of dietary chitosan diets on growth performance in broiler chickens. *The Journal of Poultry Science*, 45(3): 206-209. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.45.206>.
19. Khan, I., Zaneb, H., Masood, S., Ashraf, S., Rehman, H. F., Tahir, S. K., ... and Shah, M. (2021). Supplementation of selenium nanoparticles-loaded chitosan improves production performance, intestinal morphology, and gut microflora in broiler chickens. *The journal of poultry science*, 59(3): 272-281. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0210026>.
20. Li, J., Cheng, Y., Chen, Y., Qu, H., Zhao, Y., Wen, C., and Zhou, Y. (2019). Dietary chitooligosaccharide inclusion as an alternative to antibiotics improves intestinal morphology, barrier function, antioxidant capacity, and immunity of broilers at early age. *Animals*, 9(8): 493. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9080493>.
21. Mousa, B. H., Nafa, H. H., Al-Rawi, Y. T., and Al-Dulaimy, R. K. (2018). Effect of partial substitution of crude glycerol as an alternative energy source to diets in productive performance and some blood parameters of broiler. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 10(11): 2907-2911.

22. Nafea, H. H., and Ahmed, M. Th. (2024). Individual and synergistic effect of the addition of astaxanthin and magnolol compared with vitamin to the diet of laying hens on some physiological traits and oxidation indicators of stored eggs. *Anbar Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(1): 719-734. <https://doi.org/10.32649/ajas.2023.141634.1057>.
23. Nafea, H. H., Alkhilani, F. M., and Hamid, B. I. (2019). Effect of adding high levels of phytase enzyme to cornsoybeans based diets in the production and physiological performance of broilers. *Biochemical and Cellular Archives*, 19(1). DOI: 10.35124/bca.2019.19.1.1621.
24. National Research Council (NRC). (1994) Nutrient requirement of poultry, 9th revisited National Academy Press, Washington D.C., U.S.A.
25. Qin, C., Gao, J., Wang, L., Zeng, L., and Liu, Y. (2006). Safety evaluation of short-term exposure to chitooligomers from enzymic preparation. *Food and chemical toxicology*, 44(6): 855-861. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2005.11.009>.
26. Ramlucken, U., et al. (2020). Role of probiotics in alleviating heat stress in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, 99(9): 4505-4516.
27. SAS Institute. (2017). SAS/STAT® 14.3 User's Guide. Cary, NC 27513 (USA): SAS Institute.
28. Sjoefjan, O., Adli, D. N., Harahap, R. P., Jayanegara, A., Utama, D. T., and Seruni, A. P. (2021). The effects of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts as probiotics on the growth performance, relative organ weight, blood parameters, and immune responses of broiler: A meta-analysis. *F1000Research*, 10: 183. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.51219.3>.
29. Soltan, M. A., Shewita, R. S., and El-Katcha, M. I. (2008). Effect of dietary anise seeds supplementation on growth performance, immune response, carcass traits and some blood parameters of broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci*, 7(11): 1078-1088.
30. Tahir, S. K., Yousaf, M. S., Ahmad, S., Shahzad, M. K., Khan, A. F., Raza, M., ... and Rehman, H. (2019). Effects of chromium-loaded chitosan nanoparticles on the intestinal electrophysiological indices and glucose transporters in broilers. *Animals*, 9(10): 819. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9100819>.
31. Yazhini, P., Visha, P., Selvaraj, P., Vasanthakumar, P., and Chandran, V. (2018). Dietary encapsulated probiotic effect on broiler serum biochemical parameters. *Veterinary world*, 11(9): 1344. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.1344-1348>.