



Enhancing Second Language Acquisition: A Comparative Review of Pragmatics and Its Instructional Implications

Asst.lecturer. Nawar Alaa Abdul – Hussain

Dept. of English, College of Education, University of Missan, Missan, Iraq

Nawaralibadi72@gmail.com

Abstract

Pragmatics, the field that examines how context is related to meaning, is an essential element of second language acquisition. This review covers pragmatics, which is communication language use specific, including acts of speech, implicature, politeness, and the management of conversations in effective interpersonal interactions. The research suggests the fact that pragmatic skills are the most difficult to learn, even harder than grammar, hence there is necessity for explicit instruction. The paper is in support of the implementation of pragmatic instruction in language curricula to better learners' communicative abilities.

Key Words: Second Language Acquisition (SLA), Pragmatic Competence, Speech Acts, Implicature, Politeness Strategies

الملخص

إن البراجماتية، ذلك المجال الذي يدرس كيفية ارتباط السياق بالمعنى، تشكل عنصراً أساسياً في اكتساب اللغة الثانية. وتغطي هذه المراجعة البراجماتية، وهي استخدام لغة التواصل المحددة، بما في ذلك أفعال الكلام، والاستدلال، واللباقة، وإدارة المحادثات في التفاعلات الشخصية الفعالة. وتشير الأبحاث إلى حقيقة مفادها أن المهارات البراجماتية هي الأصعب في التعلم، بل إنها أصعب من القواعد النحوية، وبالتالي هناك ضرورة للتعليم الصريح. وتدعم هذه الورقة تنفيذ التعليم البراجماتي في المناهج اللغوية لتحسين قدرات المتعلمين على التواصل.

1. Introduction

Second language acquisition (SLA) in particular is heavily concerned with pragmatics, the study of how the understanding of meaning is conditioned by context. This review attempts to examine the role of pragmatic competence in creating soft second language communication for second language learners. Learners need to understand pragmatics in order to formulate and receive intended meanings in social interaction.

2. Previous studies

The related studies on the role of pragmatics in second language acquisition include the following top papers "On the effects of instruction in second language pragmatics" explores the literature on the teachability of pragmatics and compares the benefits of instruction versus exposure while it also examines the results of different instructional approaches (Rose, 2005). "Second language pragmatic ability: Individual differences according to environment" is a paper that shows that the different learning environments such as the L2 or FL and the factors linked to student's individual abilities, such as context and motivation, contribute to the development of pragmatic skills in the students (Wyner & Cohen, 2015).

Another prominent work, "Developing L2 Pragmatics," talks about the most important areas in second language pragmatics research that include task design, the pragmatic development measurement, and



the influence of learning environments on the pragmatics' acquisition (Bardovi-Harlig, 2013). "Teaching Pragmatics: Trends and Issues" is a literature review on pragmatics teaching in language learning, consisting of the instructional methods, classroom practices, and the dilemma of integrating pragmatics into language teaching (Taguchi, 2011). Lastly, "Pragmatic Competence Development in L2" is analyzing the difficulties and procedures behind the development of pragmatic competence in a second language as well as stressing the relationship between grammar and pragmatics (Sayyar et al., 2015).

3. Literature Review

3.1 Theoretical Background

Pragmatic competence is the ability to understand and use language in a way that is appropriate using a range of different social contexts. Bachman & Palmer (1996) assert that pragmatic competence is a vital element of communicative competence leading to the success in using language. Several aspects of pragmatics, including speech acts, implicature, politeness strategies and conversational management, are covered.

3.2 Speech Acts

Speech acts are actions that people do by utterances, for example, to request, apologize, and compliment. Austin (1962) and Searle (1969) put the basis for speech acts theory, which stressed the main role of context in the interpretation of the actions. For example, the phrase 'Can you pass the salt?' is taken to be a request rather than a question about the ability.

3.3 Implicature

Grice's (1975) theory of implicature provides the basis for the demonstration – how speakers may communicate meanings that are non-literal concerning the words, which were actually uttered. The knowledge of implicature is very necessary for learners to become adept at interpreting the indirect meanings and tone of a conversation. For instance, a person who says "It's cold in here," may be asking to have the window shut.

3.4 Politeness Strategies

Politeness theory of Brown and Levinson (1987) explains people make their way of talking, i.e. language their presentation tool, to keep their face and social relationship smoothed. Politeness strategies are culture-dependent, hence one of the most important to language learners is that they should grasp these cultural differences. For example, in such cultures where indirect requests are preferred, they feel that you consider them by using indirect ways. Others on the other hand regard direct requests are their style of communication.

3.5 Conversational Management

Conversational management means knowing how to start, maintain, and end the talk in an appropriate way. In this way, turn-taking, topic management, and the use of discourse markers are the elements of conversational management. Proper conversational management is a very important element for smooth and organized communication.

4. Empirical Studies

Researchers have found that it is often more difficult to develop pragmatic competence than grammatical competence. For instance, Kasper and Rose (2002) revealed that learners usually face difficulty with such speech acts as making requests or giving compliments when learning a second language. In addition, Taguchi (2011) proved that explicit teaching of pragmatics in language learning greatly enhances learners' pragmatic abilities.



4.1 Study on Speech Acts

In a study conducted by Blum-Kulka and Olshtain (1984), the participants were asked to perform acts of apology and requests in Hebrew as a second language. The findings revealed that learners frequently utilized the pragmatic norms of their native language which usually resulted in communication breakdowns. For example, a learner may issue an apology that is straightforward to the recipient of the apology; however, as it is in the target language country by the culture, it is too straightforward.

4.2 Study on Politeness

House (1996) studied German students learning English to see how they have developed politeness strategies. The investigation showed that students usually utilized direct strategies which were seen as rude by native speakers, emphasizing the necessity for evident instruction in politeness norms. For example, a German learner could say "Give me the book" instead of "Could you please give me the book?"

4.3 Study on Implicature

Bouton (1994) investigated the way in which non-native ESL teachers understood implicatures in conversations. The experiment found that most of the students missed the implied meanings which can cause the dialogue interruption. For instance, if a speaker says, "I have a lot of work to do," which implies that he/she cannot participate in a meeting, a student may have either type of responses.

4.4 Study on Conversational Management

Researches by Bardovi-Harlig and Hartford (1993) examined how students who were not native speakers controlled conversations during advising in classes. The survey revealed that students are much often deficient in the speaking skills such as turn-taking and topic management, therefore the communication is getting more and more incompetent. The latter shows the necessity of one-to-one teaching of communication skills for those unskilled in these fields.

5. Discussion

The reviewed literature indicates the fact that incorporation of pragmatic instruction into the language teaching curriculum is a cornerstone for successful learning. Though students may learn grammatical regulations with more ease, the building up of pragmatic competence needs the interaction with actual language use and direct explanation of pragmatics. Educators can employ role-plays, simulations, and use real materials to help learners in getting numerous chances for practicing pragmatic skills.

5.1 Challenges in Teaching Pragmatics

One of the primary difficulties teachers face in teaching pragmatics is the fact that pragmatic norms can be different in different cultures. For instance, a polite expression in one culture can be perceived as impolite in another. Thus, teachers should help learners become aware of cultural variations and offer them techniques for handling the variations. For instance, teachers can use intercultural communication activities to demonstrate these differences.

5.2 Benefits of Pragmatic Instruction

According to studies, users of the explicit instruction in pragmatics can communicate and role-play in different contexts. Thus, such learners can generate and interpret appropriate language better. A good example is the study conducted by Rose and Kasper (2001). The study established that learners who were taught pragmatics scored higher in role-plays and social interactions compared to those who were not. Moreover, pragmatic instruction plays a big role in boosting the learners' confidence in using the language in conformity with social situation.



5.3 Practical Applications

Teachers can incorporate pragmatic instruction into their lessons by using authentic materials such as movies, TV shows, and real-life conversations. These materials provide learners with examples of how language is used in different contexts. Additionally, teachers can use activities such as role-plays, simulations, and discussions to give learners opportunities to practice pragmatic skills.

Several additional strategies need implementation to achieve better enhancement of pragmatic instruction for L2 learners' communicative abilities. Teachers should offer right-away feedback about pragmatic mistakes which occur when students make improper pragmatic choices such as directness or cultural missteps. Native speaker interaction programs and online platforms enable learners to experience authentic communication while learning from genuine pragmatic models.

The assessment process requires learners to engage in self-monitoring activities through pragmatic journals and structured questions which help them evaluate their pragmatic choices. A pragmatic checklist containing elements such as "appropriate greetings" and "context-based formality" and "suitable politeness markers" serves as a systematic evaluation tool for pragmatic norms' implementation by teachers for assessment purposes and peers for feedback and learners for self-evaluation.

Effective L2 pragmatic instruction requires teachers to specifically focus on the pragmatic mistakes which learners commonly make. Learners typically make three main kinds of pragmatic errors which include directness in their speech act choices and misinterpretation of vague meanings and cultural norms regarding appropriate politeness. Teachers can assist learners in confronting pragmatic challenges by first identifying specific errors and then providing correction strategies which include comparing L1 and L2 pragmatic norms and reformulation practice.

The implementation of language programs should create opportunities that let learners converse with native speakers using tandem programs and community outreach initiatives and digital international exchanges. Authentic communication in addition to reflective activities focused on pragmatic norms helps students become more thoughtfully aware of how to use language appropriately. Through conscious observation of hedging in requests along with turn-taking patterns and politeness markers in authentic language input students gain better comprehension of the target language pragmatics system.

5.4 Role of Technology

Technology may contribute to a great degree to pragmatics in education. Through online platforms and language learning apps, learners can have interactional and situational experiences. For instance, virtual reality (VR) environments can pilot real-life scenarios where learners have a chance to practice their pragmatic skills in a supervised environment. Also, online fora and chat groups serve as opportunities for learners to initiate genuine communication with native speakers.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, communicative competence or pragmatic competence is very much required by L2 learners for the production of successful interpersonal interactions. The incorporation of pragmatic instruction into the language teaching process could guide students into managing social interactions and thus expressing their true intentions. The future studies should be directed at the development of procedures that make pragmatic learning more efficient as well as the evaluation of the long-term effects of pragmatic instruction on language proficiency. Besides, we can find more information about the process by which learners from different cultural backgrounds obtain pragmatic competence and how this instruction can be customized to suit their needs.

References



Books

- Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Oxford University Press.
- Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (1996). *Language testing in practice: Designing and developing useful language tests*. Oxford University Press.
- Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kasper, G., & Rose, K. R. (2002). *Pragmatic development in a second language*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Rose, K. R., & Kasper, G. (Eds.). (2001). *Pragmatics in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech acts: An essay in the philosophy of language*. Cambridge University Press.

Journal Articles

- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2013). Developing L2 pragmatics. *Language Learning*, 63(S1), 68–86.
- Bardovi-Harlig, K., & Hartford, B. S. (1993). Learning the rules of academic talk: A longitudinal study of pragmatic change. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 15(3), 279–304.
- Blum-Kulka, S., & Olshtain, E. (1984). Requests and apologies: A cross-cultural study of speech act realization patterns (CCSARP). *Applied Linguistics*, 5(3), 196–213.
- Bouton, L. F. (1994). Can NNS skill in interpreting implicature in American English be improved through explicit instruction? A pilot study. *Pragmatics and Language Learning*, 5, 88–109.
- Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics: Vol. 3. Speech acts* (pp. 41–58). Academic Press.
- House, J. (1996). Developing pragmatic fluency in English as a foreign language: Routines and metapragmatic awareness. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 18(2), 225–252.
- Rose, K. (2005). On the effects of instruction in second language pragmatics. *System*, 33(3), 385–399.
- Sayyar, S., Samadi, L., & Sadighi, F. (2015). Pragmatic competence development in L2. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*, 5(4), 668–688.
- Taguchi, N. (2011). Teaching pragmatics: Trends and issues. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 289–310.
- Wyner, L., & Cohen, A. (2015). Second language pragmatic ability: Individual differences according to environment. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 5(4), 519–556.

Online Sources

- Bardovi-Harlig, K. (2013). Developing L2 pragmatics. *Language Learning*, 63(S1), 68–86. <https://consensus.app/papers/developing-pragmatics-bardoviharlig/39b07cc64edf52739fa0219232312f69>
- Rose, K. (2005). On the effects of instruction in second language pragmatics. *System*, 33(3), 385–399. <https://consensus.app/papers/effects-instruction-language-pragmatics-rose/704011c9335f5d389a494fcc40bff0ff>
- Sayyar, S., Samadi, L., & Sadighi, F. (2015). Pragmatic competence development in L2. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*, 5(4), 668–688. <https://consensus.app/papers/competence-development-sayyar/bf5dcd715d7f52b2894f6d963d3d90a1>
- Taguchi, N. (2011). Teaching pragmatics: Trends and issues. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 289–310. <https://consensus.app/papers/teaching-pragmatics-trends-issues-taguchi/7a4298fe9e7e5c24b8221c267b04c429>
- Wyner, L., & Cohen, A. (2015). Second language pragmatic ability: Individual differences according to environment. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 5(4), 519–556. <https://consensus.app/papers/language-ability-individual-differences-according-wyner/acc2a39570835cd18a32874e7a9633ed>