

Degree of Best Approximation of Unbounded Functions by Operators

Raad Falih Hasan

Ministry of Education- Baghdad Education Third Rusafa

*Corresponding author: raad49491@gmail.com

Harith M Abdul Razzaq

Mathematical, Open Educational College, Wasit Study Center – Iraq

harith@uowasit.edu.iq

Abstract

In this work, we identified two operators of type $\underline{H}(f, x)$ and $\overline{H}(f, x)$, where $\underline{H}(f, x)$ is below the function f and $\overline{H}(f, x)$ is above the function f . In this paper, the aim of it is to study and for a few unbounded functions, find the level of the most accurate approximation in the multiplier space $L_{p, \alpha_n}(x)$ and we obtained results.

Keywords: Multiplier Modulus of Smoothness, Multiplier Averaged, Degree of Best Multiplier Approximation of a Function,

1 Introduction

Approximation theory is a deep theoretical study of methods that use numerical approximation for the problems of mathematical analysis[1]. The concept of approximation was one of the most basic concepts that contribute effectively in the development of mathematical infrastructure in the past century [2]. Many researchers and mathematicians are still researching the problems of approximation theory and its applications, such as:

In (2014) [3] introduced the best one-sided approximation of unbounded functions in $1 \leq p < \infty$ by positive linear operator and entire functions. In (2012) [4] studied the magnitude of the constants in the equivalence between the first and second order Ditzian-Totik moduli of smoothness and related k -functional, continuous functions in topological spaces can also be used in the theory of function approximation in the future [8, 9, 10, 11]. In [12] investigated the Levitan and Bebutov approaches to the metrical approximations by trigonometric polynomials and p -periodic type functions. In [13] introduce two notions of closure in the category of proximity spaces which satisfy (weak) hereditariness, productivity, and idempotency.

2 Definitions and Concepts

Definition 2.1 [5]

The k -th difference of every function f of order k with step h at point x is defined by $\Delta_h^k f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{m+k} \binom{k}{m} f(x + mh)$, where:

$\binom{k}{m} = \frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!}$ is called the binomial coefficient.

Definition 2.2 [6], [7]

(1) For $f \in L_p(X), X = [a, b]$, we define the local modulus of smoothness of the function f of order k at point $x \in [a, b], 0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{b-a}{k}$ by:

$$\omega_k(f, x, \delta) = \sup\{|\Delta_h^k f(t)| : t, t + kh \in [a, b] \cap \left[x - \frac{k\delta}{2}, x + \frac{k\delta}{2}\right]\}. \quad (1)$$

(2) The degree of best one-sided approximation is defined by:

$$\tilde{E}_n(f) = \inf\{\|P_n - q_n\| : P_n, q_n \in \mathbb{P}_n\}$$

Where

$$P_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq q_n(x).$$

(2)

\mathbb{P}_n is the set of all algebraic or trigonometric polynomials of degree of n .

Definition 2.3

For $L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$, where $X = \{f : f \text{ is unbounded function on } [0, \infty)\}$, if there is a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of real numbers such that $\int_0^{\infty} f(x) \alpha_n dx < \infty$, with under the norm:

$$\|f\|_{p, \alpha_n} = \left(\int_X |f \alpha_n(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p}, p \geq 1.$$

(3)

Definition 2.4

The degree of best multiplier approximation of f and the degree of best one-sided multiplier approximation of f with respect to the algebraic or trigonometric polynomials on X are given respectively by:

$$E_n(f)_{p, \alpha_n} = \inf\{\|f - P_n\|_{p, \alpha_n} : P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n\}$$

(4)

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p, \alpha_n} = \inf\{\|q_n - P_n\|_{p, \alpha_n} : P_n, q_n \in \mathbb{P}_n\}$$

(5)

Where:

$$P_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq q_n(x)$$

(6)

Definition 2.5

For $f \in L_{p,\alpha_n}(X), X = [0, \infty)$, the multiplier integral modulus of f of order $k, \delta \in [0, \frac{b-a}{k}]$ is defined by:

$$\omega_k(f, \delta)_{p,\alpha_n} = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left(\int_a^{1-kh} |\Delta_h^k f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

(7)

Definition 2.6

The multiplier local of smoothness of f of order k at point $x \in [a, b], \delta \in [0, \frac{b-a}{k}]$ is defined by:

$$\omega_k(f, x, \delta)_{p,\alpha_n} = \sup \left\{ \|\Delta_h^k f(x)\|_{p,\alpha_n} \right\}$$

(8)

Note that:

$t, t + kh \in \left\{ \left[x - \frac{k\delta}{2}, x + \frac{k\delta}{2} \right] \cap [a, b] \right\}$, Additionally:

$$\Delta_h^k f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} f\left(x - \frac{k\delta}{2} + ih\right)$$

(9)

Furthermore, $x \mp \frac{kh}{2} \in X$ is the difference of a function f of order k with step h at a point x .

Definition 2.7

The multiplier averaged modulus of smoothness of f of order k is defined by:

$$\tau_k(f, \delta)_{p,\alpha_n} = \|\omega_k(f, \cdot, \delta)\|_{p,\alpha_n} = \left(\int_X |\omega_k(f, x, \delta)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, k \in N, p \in [1, \infty)$$

(10)

Definition 2.8

For $f \in L_{p,\alpha_n}(X), X = [0, \infty), n \in N$, we define:

$$\underline{H}(f, x) = f(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] \alpha_n ds dt \quad (11)$$

$$\underline{H}(f, x) = f(x) + \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] \alpha_n ds dt \quad (12)$$

Where $x \geq 0, h \geq 0$. From the above inequalities, it is clear that:

$$\underline{H}(f, x) \leq f(x) \leq \underline{H}(f, x) \quad (13)$$

3. Auxiliary Lemmas

Lemma 3.1

Assume that $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X), X = [0, \infty)$, then $\omega_k(f, \delta)_{p, \alpha_n} \leq \tau_k(f, \delta)_{p, \alpha_n}$ (14)

Proof:

The proof of this lemma will be carried out via definition 2.2 (part 1) and definition 2.7 respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k(f, \delta)_{p, \alpha_n} &= \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \left(\int_a^{b-Kh} |\Delta_h^k f \alpha_n(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq k \leq \delta} \left(\int_a^{b-Kh} \left| \omega_k \left(f \alpha_n, x + \frac{x+kh}{a}, \delta \right) \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq k \leq \delta} \left(\int_{a+\frac{kh}{2}}^{b-\frac{kh}{2}} |\omega_k(f \alpha_n, x, \delta)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \tau_k(f, \delta)_{p, \alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

Lemma 3.2

Let $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X), X = [0, \infty)$, then

$$\| \underline{H}(f, \cdot) - f(\cdot) \|_{p, \alpha_n} \leq c \omega_2 \left(f, \frac{h}{2} \right)_{p, \alpha_n} \quad (15)$$

Proof:

From definition 2.8, we have:

$$H_{h+}(f, x) = f(x) + \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] \alpha_n ds dt$$

$$H_{h+}(f, x) - f(x) = \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] \alpha_n ds dt$$

Taking norm to both sides, yields the below inequality:

$$\left\| H_{h+}(f, x) - f(\cdot) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} \leq \left\| \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] ds dt \right\|_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$= \left\| \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \Delta_{s+t}^2 f(x) ds dt \right\|_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$= C \omega_2(f, h/2)_{p, \alpha_n}.$$

The desired inequality is satisfied.

Lemma 3.3

Let $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$, $X = [0, \infty)$, then

$$\|f(\cdot) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x)\|_{p, \alpha_n} \leq C \omega_2(f, \cdot, h/2)_{p, \alpha_n}$$

(16)

Where C is a constant.

Proof:

The proof will be carried out via definition 2.8 as shown below:

$$H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) = f(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] \alpha_n ds dt$$

Then we get the below integral equation:

$$f(x) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) = \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] \alpha_n ds dt$$

Taking the norm for both sides of the above integral equation would then yield:

$$\|f(\cdot) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x)\|_{p, \alpha_n} \leq \left\| \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \Delta^2 f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t)) ds dt \right\|_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$= \left\| \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \Delta_{s+t}^2 f(x) ds dt \right\|_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$\leq C \omega_2(f, \cdot, h/2)_{p, \alpha_n}$$

The desired inequality is fulfilled.

4 Main Results

Several results will be proved and analyzed in this section.

Theorem 4.1

Let $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$, $X = [0, \infty)$, then

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_{p, \alpha_n} \leq c_1 \tau_2 \left(f, \frac{h}{2} \right)_{p, \alpha_n}$$

(17)

C_1 is a constant.

Proof:

By taking the norm for both sides of the integral of the difference $H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x)$ and by utilizing Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, respectively we

get:

$$\left\| H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} = \left\| H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) - f(x) + f(x) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$\leq \left\| f(x) - H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} + \left\| H_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) - f(x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$= c \omega_2 \left(f, \cdot, \frac{h}{2} \right)_{p, \alpha_n} + c \omega_2 \left(f, \cdot, \frac{h}{2} \right)_{p, \alpha_n} = c_1 \cdot \tau_2 \left(f, \cdot, \frac{h}{2} \right)_{p, \alpha_n} + c_1 \tau_2 \left(f, \cdot, \frac{h}{2} \right)_{p, \alpha_n}$$

$$\leq C \tau_2(f, h/2)_{p, \alpha_n}.$$

The constant C is given by $C = c_1 + c_2$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2

Let $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$, $X = [0, \infty)$. Then:

$$\|H'_h(f, x) - f'(x)\|_{p, \alpha_n} \leq \frac{c}{h} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f, h)_{p, \alpha_n}$$

(18) Proof:

From definition 2.8, it can be obtained:

$$H_h(f, x) = f(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] ds dt$$

Then

$$H_h(f, x) = f(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \left[\int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} (x+s+t) - \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} (x+2(s+t)) ds \right] dt$$

(19)

Let $u = x + s \rightarrow du = ds$, then as $s = 0 \rightarrow u = x$ and then $s = \frac{h}{2} \rightarrow u = x + \frac{h}{2}$.

Suppose that $u = x + 2s \rightarrow du = 2ds$. This yields that:

as $s = 0 \rightarrow u = x$ and then $s = \frac{h}{2} \rightarrow u = x + h$. The function $H_h(f, x)$ is then given by:

$$H_h(f, x) = f(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \left[\int_x^{x+\frac{h}{2}} 2f(u+t) du - \int_x^{x+h} \frac{1}{2} f(u+2t) du \right] dt \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, $H'_h(f, x)$ is also introduced by:

$$H'_h(f, x) = f'(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \left[2 \left(f\left(x + \frac{h}{2} + t\right) - f(x+t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} (f(x+h+2t) - f(x+2t)) \right] dt$$

Then

$$H'_h(f, x) = f'(x) - \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} [2\Delta_{h/2} f(x+t) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_h f(x+2t)] dt$$

(21)

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| H'_h(f, x) - f'(\cdot) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} &\leq \left\| \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \Delta_{h/2} f(x+t) dt \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} + \left\| \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \Delta_h f(x+2t) dt \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{c}{h} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f, h)_{p, \alpha_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The final result is obtained from definition 2.5. The preferred inequality is verified.

Theorem 4.3

If $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$, $X = [0, \infty)$, then

$$\left\| \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x) - f'(x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} \leq \frac{c}{h} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f, \cdot, h)_{p, \alpha_n} \quad (22)$$

Proof:

The proof can be carried out via definition 2.8 and by a similar way to the proof of theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4

Let $f \in L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$, $X = [0, \infty)$ then

$$\hat{E}_n(f)_{p, \alpha_n} \leq \frac{c_1}{h} \tau_1(f, h/2)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{c_2}{h} \tau_1(f, h)_{p, \alpha_n} \quad (23)$$

Where c_1 and c_2 are constants.

Proof:

By utilizing lemma 3.1, theorem 4.2 and theorem 4.3, the desired inequality can be obtained as shown in the below analysis.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, \cdot) - \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, \cdot) - f(x) + f(x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} &= \left\| \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, \cdot) - \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, \cdot) - f(x) + f(x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} \\ &\leq \left\| \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, \cdot) - \hat{f}(x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} + \left\| \hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, \cdot) - f(x) \right\|_{p, \alpha_n} \\ &= \frac{c}{h} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f, \cdot, h)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{c}{h} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f, \cdot, h)_{p, \alpha_n} \\ &= \frac{c_1}{h} \omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{c_2}{h} \omega_1(f, \cdot, h)_{p, \alpha_n} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{h} \tau_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n} + \frac{c_2}{h} \tau_1(f, h)_{p, \alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

5 Conclusion

Through the operators $\hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x)$ and $\hat{H}_{\frac{h}{2}}(f, x)$, the Approximating of unbounded functions with the optimal multiplier in $L_{p, \alpha_n}(X)$ -space $X = [0, \infty)$ is obtained. The relationship between this degree $\hat{E}(f)_{p, \alpha_n}$ and $\omega_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n}$, $\omega_2\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n}$ and $\tau_1\left(f, \frac{h}{2}\right)_{p, \alpha_n}$.

References:

- [1] Soleymani F, Stanimirović PS, Torregrosa JR, Saberi Nik H, Tohidi E., Recent theories and applications in approximation theory, The Scientific World Journal, 2015.
- [2] Park JH., Chebyshev approximation of discrete polynomials and splines, PhD, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, USA, 1999.
- [3] AL-saidy, SK, Alaa, AM, The best one -sided approximation of unbounded functions in L_p, w spaces, PhD, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad ,Iraq, 2014.
- [4] Tachev GT., Refined Estimates for the Equivalence Between Ditzian-Totik Moduli of Smoothness and K-Functionals, Theory and Applications of Mathematics & Computer Science, 2(2), 48-54, 2012.
- [5] Hristov, V.H. Ivanov, K.G., Operators for one sided approximation by algebraic in $L_p[-1,1]^d$, Mathematica Balkanica, 2, 374-390, 1988.
- [6] Sendov, BI. Popov VA., The averaged modulus of smoothness, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA, 1988.
- [7] DeVore, RA, Lorentz, GG., Constructive approximation, Springer Science and Business Media, 1993.
- [8] Ali HJ, Hassan RF., On Light Mapping and Certain Concepts by Using $m \times N$ -Open Sets, Baghdad Science Journal, 17(1), 371-377, 2020.
- [9] Humadi NK, Ali HJ., On ω C-continuous functions, In Journal of Physics, Conference Series, 1294(3), 2019.
- [10] Humadi NK, Ali HJ., New types of perfectly supra continuous functions, Iraqi Journal of Science, 26, 61(4), 811-819, 2020.
- [11] Hussain, KA, Noman LM, Ali, HJ., On supra ωb_p -Lindelöf spaces, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 47, 588–595, 2022.
- [12] Chaouchi B, Kostic M, Velinov D., Metrical almost periodicity, metrical approximations of functions and applications, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 47(2), 769-793, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3393>.
- [13] Özkan S, Kula M, Kula S, Baran TM., Closure operators, irreducibility, Urysohn's lemma, and Tietze extension theorem for proximity spaces, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 47(2), 870-882, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3398>.