

Al-Noor Journal for Humanities



https://jnh.alnoor.edu.iq/

Identifying Subject in "Heart of Darkness" and Their Arabic Equivalents: A Systemic perspective

R Q Alattar¹ № 💌 , A S Al-Bazzaz¹ № 💌 , A H Mohammed¹ № 💌

¹Northern Technical University, Mosul, Iraq

Article information

Article history:

Received: 13 January 2025 Revised: 1 February 2025 Accepted: 23 February 2025

Keywords:

Halliday's Theory of Grammar. Semantic Vs. Communicative Translation.

Systematic Functional Grammar.

Correspondence:

Ahmed Salim Al-Bazzaz Ahmed.salim85@ntu.edu.iq

Abstract

This paper attempts to investigate the topic in both Arabic and English from the perspective of systematic functional grammar. It gives a synopsis of the subjects in Arabic and their translation into English. Additionally, it provides a broad description of the systemic functional grammar's methodology, particularly the Hally Dian's model. This paper aims at investigating the subject in English and their Arabic realizations. It also identifies the subject in "Heart of Darkness" and evaluates the methods used by the translators to see whether they succeed or fail in realizing the subject in Arabic. The model adopted is that of Halliday (1985, and 1994) and Halliday and Mathiesen (2004, and 2014). In terms of translation, the semantic vs. communicative translation categories proposed by Newmark (1988) are used. The paper concludes that in both English and Arabic, the subject is realized through the mood system. Certain similarities and differences are emphasized. When rendering the subject in Arabic writings, translators use semantic rather than communicative translation.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.69513/jnfh.v3.i3.a2 © Authors, 2025, College of Education, Alnoor University. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

تحري الفاعل في رواية (قلب الظلام) وما يكافئه في اللغة العربية من منظور نظامي



الجامعة التقنية الشمالية / موصل، العراق

لمستخلص

يعد هذا البحث محاولة لدراسة تحري الفعل من منظور وظيفي نظامي باللغتين الإنكليزية والعربية، ويعطي نظرة عامة حول (الفاعل) في اللغة العربية وتجسيده باللغة الإنجليزية. كما يقدم شرحاً عاماً لنهج النحو الوظيفي النظامي، وخاصة نموذج هاليداي يهدف هذا البحث إلى استكشاف الفاعل باللغة الإنجليزية وترجمته باللغة العربية، كما تحدد (الفاعل) في رواية "قلب الظلام" وتقيّم الطرق المستخدمة من قبل المترجمين لمعرفة ما إذا كانوا قد نجحوا أم فشلوا في ترجمة الفاعل باللغة العربية. النموذج المعتمد هو نموذج هاليداي (1985، و1994) فضلاً عن نموذج هاليداي وميثيسن (2004، و2014). أما فيما يتعلق بالترجمة، فتُعتمد تصنيفات نيو مارك (1988) للترجمة التي تتضمن الترجمة الدلالية مقابل الترجمة التواصلية. تخلص البحث إلى أن الموضوع في كل من اللغة الإنكليزية والعربية تتم ترجمته ضمن نظام ال (عمدة). كما يتم تسليط الضوء على بعض نقاط التشابه والاختلاف. ويميل المترجمون إلى تبنى الترجمة الدلالية بدلاً من الترجمة التواصلية عند نقل الموضوع إلى النصوص العربية.

الكلمات المفتاحية

النحو الوظيفي النظامي، نظرية هاليدي في النحو، الترجمة الدلالية والتواصلية.

1.Introduction

Halliday's theory of grammar, known as systemic functional theory, originated from J.R. Firth's systemstructure theory and was influenced by Prague School and American anthropological Functionalism linguistics. The first major descriptive effort was Halliday's work on Chinese and this was a natural part of Firth's emphasis on engagement with many different languages. Halliday's theory was developed at the same time as Chomsky was working in the American context, and it is thus not a reaction to Chomskyan generativism nor Bloomfieldian structuralism, but in Hegelian terms could be described as their antithesis. Systemic theory holds that a clause is a linguistic unit that realizes several levels of meaning. Three metafunctions play a crucial role in the classification of these levels. Metafunction is the term used to describe how language is arranged into ideational, interpersonal, and textual meaning modes.

Human understanding of reality is shaped by ideational meaning. Both ideational modes are described as follows: "In the logical mode, experience is modeled as a sequence, and in the experiential mode, experience is modeled as a configuration" (Matthiessen 2002a: 59). The experiential mode of expression is segmental, focusing on the constituents of the clause as the discourse progresses, in contrast to the logical mode of expression, which is rather serial and requires the reader to follow the development of the input through clauses that form interdependent chains(e.g. Senser Process: mental – Phenomenon).

Interpersonal meaning enacts social roles and relations between people involved in verbal exchanges. In addition to construing the way in which people experience the world, language is also used as a means to exchange "information, goods-&-services(1). In Arabic, like in English, the interpersonal mode of expression is prosodic.It relies on certain intonation patterns which vary depending on whether the speaker is making a proposal or a proposition.

Textual language follows a periodic pattern. Information is arranged on the basis of prominence at clause rank that is constructed on newsworthiness, which is established by theme/rheme structures and what is new and what is old. On other hand Arabic text analysis, the Theme notion has even more implications because the clause could be either VSO or SVO, providing the writer/speaker with more possibilities and subtle differences to take into account.

Concisely,the metafunctional principle of organization can be broken down into three interconnected layers or modes of meaning, which essentially represent three distinct perspectives on the same clause. These three levels, or meaning modes, are textual, interpersonal, and ideational. Particulate, prosodic, and periodic are their three distinct structural ways of expression (2).

2. Clause as Exchange In Arabic:

With regard to verbal exchanges, interpersonal meaning depicts the social roles and relationships of those involved. Language not only shapes people's perception of the world but also facilitates the exchange of "information, goods-&-services" (1). Similar to English, Arabic makes use of prosody in the interpersonal mode of expression, employing specific intonation patterns that differ based on whether the speaker is proposing or making a statement.

1.Where Muhammed has gone? أين ذهب محمدٌ؟

Table (1): An Interrogative Clause

محمدٌ	ذهب		أينَ
S	P		C
M		R	

The expression of interpersonal meaning in Arabic is achieved through the use of declarative, interrogative, and imperative clause forms. A declarative clause in Arabic can be either nominal or verbal. A nominal clause does not contain a verb, whereas a verbal clause includes a verb (3).

2 Muhammed studied

درس محمد

Table (2): A Verbal Clause in Arabic

محمد	درس
S	P
M	R

3. Omer is

adoctor

عمرُ طبيبٌ

Table (3): A Nominal Clause in Arabic

طبيب	عمر
P	S
R	M

In Arabic, there is no grammatical differentiation between lexical and auxiliary verbs To form a negative clause, certain particles like لن and نن are employed.

These particles are utilized for negating declarative clauses (4).

4. I don't know the question انا لا اعرف السوال Table (4): Negative Clause Uses Y Particle

السؤال	اعرف	У	انا
С	P	F	S
R		M	

5. Layla will not go to the hospital

لنْ تذهبَ ليلى الى المستشفى

Table (5): Negative Clause Uses نن Particle

Table (3): Regative Clause Oses & Tartiele					
الى المستشفى	ليلي	تذهب	لن		
A	S	P	F		
R	M	R	M		

6.Sara didn't say the truth لم تقل سارة الحقيقة

Table (6): Negative Clause Uses لم Particle

الحقيقة	سارة	' تقل	لم
С	S	P	F
R	M	R	M

3. Clause as Exchange in English

The meaning between the speaker and the hearer is explained by the interpersonal metafunction, which is conveyed through the options of declarative, imperative, and interrogative moods. The mood systems involve choices made by the speaker for both themselves and their listener (5).

Each type of mood is closely linked to an illocutionary act: the declarative mood is used for making statements, the interrogative mood for asking questions, the imperative mood for giving commands, and the exclamatory mood for expressing strong feelings. The interpersonal metafunction focuses on the interaction between the speaker and the listener. Mood and Residue are the two divisions of a clause in the interpersonal metafunction. The mood element separates the subject and limited mood elements (6). The predicator, complement, and adjunct make up the residue element. Whether the subject is present or absent, as well as the sequence in which the subject and finite occur in relation to one another, determines the declarative, imperative, and interrogative structures.

Table (7): A Declarative Clause

Table (1): A Declarative Clause				
Ahmed	Had	Finished	His	Last
			work	week
S	F	P	C	A
M		R		

Table (8): An Imperative Clause

Table (b): All Imperative Clause				
Close	The door			
P	С			
R				

In the preceding examples, the declarative and interrogative phrase structures represent the presence of the subject, whereas the imperative clause form shows its lack.

4. Translation definitions

according to Jacobson (1962) translation is defined as (7) "the repetitive speaking process of receiving and transmitting a message in a source language into a target language. For Ray (8), translation is defined as the process of transferring meaning from one language to another. Translating text into an equivalent text in a different language (TL) is what Catford (1965) defines as translation. Savory (9) conceives translation as "a craft that attempts to replace a written message and/or statement in another language."

4.1 Newmark Translation Theories

Translation can be done in two ways, according to theory: communicative translation and semantic translation. The two approaches differ greatly from one another. A communicative translation would simply address the second reader, who would demand a liberal infusion of foreign materials into his own language and culture as needed, with no impediments or obscurities. Newmark (1982) (10). However, because the original language text is the only source material for the translator's work, he must respect and work with its form in this case. Only when the reader is made aware of connotations that are integral to the text's main human (non-ethnic) message can semantic translation remain faithful to the original culture. Communicative translation aims to elicit from readers as near an impact as feasible.Newmark) (1982) (10)

4.2 Data analysis and discussion

Table (9)

Table (3)				
Mood elements		Types	of Translation	
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE	
1. <u>It</u> had	1- لطالما	+		
borne all	<u>احتمل</u> جميع			
the ships	السفن التي			
whose	تحمل اسمّاء شبيهة			
names are	شبيهة			
like	بالجواهر			
jewels	بالجواهر التي تتلألأ			
flashing	في ليل			
in the	الزمن. (ص			
night of	9). 2- لقد <u>حمل</u>			
time.		+		
Conrad,	كل السفن			
Joseph	التي يلمع اسمها			
Heart of				
Darkness	كالجواهر في			
p.4	ظلام الزمن.			
	(ص13).			
	3- وقد <u>حمل</u>	+		
	كل السفن			
	التي تتلألأ			
	اسماؤ ها			
	كالجواهر في			
	سماء الزّمن "			
	(ص7).			

This is an example that elucidates that the subject in the TLT1 has an equivalent which is the hidden pronoun within the verb) (احتمال) he ,(هو) since in the Arabic language there is something called (hidden pronouns). Whereas the similar thing occur in the TLT2 and TLT3 In which the subject is the pronoun) he (هو) Identified as a hidden pronoun within the verb (حمل) for the same reason. It is found that all the translators use semantic translation for the subject, but in different form (hidden).

Table (10)

Table (10)					
Mood elements		Types of Translation			
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE		
2. And the	1- فيما ظهر	+			
river was	النهر هناك				
there	منطويا على				
fascinating	فتنة وسحر				
deadly like	مفرط ميتا				
a snake.	ر . كأفعى				
Conrad,	(ص18).				
Joseph	2- حيث النهر	+			
Heart of	هناك فاتن				
Darkness	قاتل كالثعبان				
p.10	(ص 22).				
	3- وكان ا <u>لنهر</u>		+		
	هناك ساحر ا				
	مميتا كالأفعى (ص 14).				
	(ص 14).				

In the TLT1, the translator uses semantic translation by translating the subject (The river) into (النهر) keeping its position in the sentence as a subject. And although the translator uses different Arabic form in translating (the river) into (النهر) in the TLT2 but it is also semantic translation because (Topic) in the TLT is considered as a subject in the SLT. Whereas the third one uutilizes se communicative translation by translating the subject into different Arabic form (Isim Kana).

Table (11)

	1 able (11)				
Mood e	Mood elements		Types of Translation		
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE		
3. <u>It</u> was	1- مضى	+			
upward	أكثر من				
of thirty	ثلاثين يوما				
days	دون ان				
before I	اری مصب				
saw the	النهر				
mouth of	الكبير.				
the big	(ص 26).				
river.	2- مر <u>نحۇ</u>		+		
Conrad,	ثلاثين يوما				
Joseph	قبل ان اری				
Heart of	ثغر النهر				
Darkness	الكبير.				
p.12	(ص 21).				
	3- کنت	+			
	اقترب <u>ۇ</u>				
	من يومي				
	الثلاثين قبل				
	ان اری				
	مصب				
	النهر الكبير				
	(ص20).				

The previous example represents the various types of the semantic translations. In the first one, the subject is hidden within the verb) (مضى time ,(وقت) / in the second sentence the subject is ,i) and the third one is just like the first one, the subject is hidden (hidden pronoun) within the verb (اقتر بُ) (me / القر).

Table (12)

1 able (12)				
Mood elements		Types of Translation		
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE	
4. <u>I</u> was	1- ولقد		+	
thunderstruck.	أص ِب <u>ث</u> ُ			
Conrad,	بالذهول			
Joseph	الصاعق			
Heart of	أصَّرِب <u>ث</u> بالذهول الصاعق (ص37).			
Darkness p.14	-2	+		
	شعَر <u>ْت</u> ُ			
	شعَرْ <u>ت</u> ُ كمن ضربه البرق			
	ضربه			
	البرق			
	(ص42).			
	-3		+	
	فصنعِق <u>ت</u> ُ			
	(ص29).			

This example reveals the various forms of translating subject either as subject or as complement besides in all cases it takes the form of (pronoun). The first translator translates the subject as a (pronoun) which is (ف) within the verb (أصُفْتُ) in TLT2 and the TLT3 also translates it the same translation within the verbs (شَعَرْتُ) and (صُعَقَتُ) but the TLT1 one and the TLT3 used passive voice. The pronoun (أن) is considered as a subject in the TLT2 but in both TLT1 and TLT3 sentences are considered as complement. Therefore, the sentence in TLT2 is semantic, but in TLT1 and TLT3 the sentences are communicative.

Table (13)

Table (13)				
Mood elements		Types of Translation		
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE	
5. <u>I</u> said,	1- فقلتُ	+		
laughing.	ضباحكا			
And you	وانت صانع			
are the	القرميد في المقر			
brickmaker	المقر			
of the	الرئيسي			
Central	(ص44).			
Station.	<u>2- قلتُ</u> له	+		
Conrad,	ضاحكا: انا			
Joseph	ممتن لك			
Heart of	على هذا			
Darkness	(ص45).			
p.18	<u>3</u> -أجبث ضاحكا	+		
	وانت صانع			
	الطوب في			
	وانت صانع الطوب في المحطة			
	المركزية			
	(ص35).			

The example presented above shows that it all the translators realize the subject and translate it as a pronoun – which is - (in all the three sentences

within the verbs ,(أجبث) ,(أجبث) and all of them considered as a subject in the TLT.So, the translation in each case is regarded semantic .

Table (14)

Mood elements		Types of Translation	
SLT	TLT	Semantic	Communicative
6. <u>I</u> am as	<u>1</u> - إني لست مؤذيا	+	
harmless as a	تماما كالطفل		
little child	(ص45).		
Conrad,	2- انا لا أستطيع	+	
Joseph	الإيذاء كالأطفال		
Heart of	(ص50).		
Darkness p.38	3- إنني أقل إيذاءً من	+	
	$\frac{\hat{z}}{2}$ - إنني أقل إيذاءً من قطة غيرة (ص45).		

The translator in TLT1 and TLT3 translates the subject into a (bound pronouns) which is (φ) within (φ) and the translator in TLT2 translates it as (freestanding pronouns) which is. (Li) The translation of all sentences is semantic one.

Table (15)

Mood elements		Types of Translation	
SLT	TLT	Semantic	Communicative
6 He came to	1- لقد <u>ظهر</u> عليهم	+	
them with	كالرعد والبرق		
thunder and	(ص99).		
lightning.	2- لقد <u>جاء</u> هم	+	
Conrad, Joseph	بالبرق والرعد		
Heart of Darkness	(ص86).		
p.24	3- لقد <u>أتى</u> إليهم	+	
	بالبرق والرعد		
	(ص82).		

In the aforementioned example, all the translations are semantic since all the translators translate the SLT subject into a (hidden pronoun) within the verbs (ظهرَ): in TLT1 (أتى), in TLT2, and (أتى) in TLT3.

Table (16)

1000 (10)				
Mood elements		Types of Translation		
SLT	TLT	Ssemantic	Communicative	
8. " <u>The</u>	1- لم تتوقف <u>حركة</u>	+		
traffic of the	النقل الخاصة بالمدينة			
great city	الكبيرة في اعماق الليل			
went on in	المبهم فوق نهر يلون			
the	ملامحه السهاد والقلق			
deepening	(ص 13).			
night upon	2- يمضى المرور في	+		
the sleepless	المدينة العظيمة في "			
river.	الليل البهيم فوق النهر			
Conrad,	الذي لا ينام (ص16).			
Joseph	3- واستمرت حركة	+		
Heart of	المدينة العظيمة في			
Darkness	الليل الزاحف فوقٌ			
p.24	النهر الساهر (ص10).			

In this example, the translator in TLT1 and TLT3 translates the subject into $((2)^{2})$ and the second one translates it into $((1)^{2})$ and all of their translations are semantic because they translate the STL subject in the same form of the TLT.

Table (17)

Mood elements		Types of Translation	
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE
9. " <u>The</u>	1- بدت	+	
woods	الغابات		
were	ساكنة اشبه		
unmoved,	بقنا، ثقيلة		
like a	اشبه بباب		
mask-	سجن مغلق		
heavy,	(ص100).		
like the	<u>2- ل</u> م تكن_		+
closed	الاحراش		
door of a	تتحرك كأنها		
prison	القنا وكانت		
Conrad,	ثقيلة كباب		
Joseph	سجن (ص		
Heart of	(88)		
Darkness	3- کانت		+
p.26	الاحراش		
	ساكنة كالقنا		
	(ص83).		

In the example shown up, the first translator in TLT1 translates the subject into, ((lisin)) he uses the semantic translation whereas the translators in TLT2 and TLT3 translate it into (lisin) both of them use the communicative translation in their translation.

Table (18)

Mood elements		Types of Translation	
SLT	TLT	SEMANTIC	COMMUNICATIVE
10. "he said,	1- ثم تابع يقو <u>ل:</u>	+	
with a	تجنب النرفزة أكثر		
laugh.	من تعرضك		
Avoid	للشمس. (ص22)		
irritation	2- ابتعد عن التوتر		+
more than	كما تبتعد عن		
exposure to	الشمس. (ص26)		
the sun"	3- قال ضاحكا	+	
Conrad,	تجنب أن تثار أكثر		
Joseph	من التعرض		
Heart of	للشمس. (ص16)		
Darkness			
p.22			

The translator in TLT1 and TLT3 translates the subject in the SLT into a (hidden pronoun) within the verbs (قول) and (قول) which is TLT equivalent, so, their translations are semantic. But in TLT2, the translator ignores the subject and the verb in the SLT, and the subject has no translation in the TLT. Therefore, the translation, here, is communicative .

5. Conclusions

- 1. Interpersonal metafunctions with its subject can be realized in English and Arabic texts.
- 2. The translators adopt the semantic translation rather than communicative in rendering the subject in the Arabic texts. The translator in the TL1 uses the semantic model in translation (90%) of the subject in the ST, the translator in the TL2 uses the semantic in translation (70%) of subject in ST, and the translator in the TL3 manipulates the semantic in translation (70%) of subject in ST.

- 3. The grammar of both English and Arabic can be represented as a very large network of systems, an arrangement of options.
- 4. In the Arabic language, the subject can be a name as in (الغاباتُ) in example 9, or a pronoun (within the verb) as in (قلتُ), the pronoun (ث) is the subject.
- 5. In some cases, the Arabic subject is (hidden) within the verb- and this is not possible in the English language as in ($\exists \omega$) the subject is hidden and realized as) he / .(ω

References

- Halliday M. An Introduction to Functional Grammar. Sydney: Edward Arnold Ltd.1994.
- 2.Halliday M. A. K. System and Function in Language: Selected Papers, ed. Kress G. London: Oxford University Press.1979.
- 3. Wright W. A Grammar of Arabic Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 1971,
- 4. Najim H K. A Systemic Approach to the Study of The Clause as Exchange in Imperative and Exclamative Clauses in English and Arabic. Buhuth Mustaqbaliya. 2008.
- Berry M. An Introduction to Systemic Linguistics: Structures and Systems, Vol.2. London: B.T. Batsford Ltd. 1975.
- 6.Halliday M. and Matthiessen M. Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar. London: Routledge. 2014.
- 7.Jakobson R. On Linguistic Aspect of Translation In R.A. Brower: On translation, Cambridge. 1959.
- 8.Ray PS. A philosophy of translation In: Babel, Vol. VIII, NO.4, pp.34. 1962.
- Savory T. The Art of Translation. London: Jonathon Cape Ltd. 1968.
- Newmark P. Approaches to Translation. Oxford: Pergamon Press Ltd. 1982.