Electroencephalography (EEG) Signal Processing: A Systematic Review of Techniques, Applications, and Future Directions

Anas Fouad Ahmed

Al-Iraqia University, College of Engineering, https://en.aliraqia.edu.iq/ Al Adhmia - Haiba Khaton, 6029, Baghdad, Iraq

Email: anas.ahmed@aliraqia.edu.iq

Ikhlas M. Farhan

University of Technology, College of Electrical Engineering, https://www.uotechnology.edu.iq/ Al Wehada-Neighborhood, 19006, Baghdad, Iraq

Email: ikhlas.m.farhan@uotechnology.edu.iq

Zaid Abdulkareem Hussein

Sunni Endowment, https://dewan.time24.net.tr/Baghdad 10053, Iraq

Email: alhashimi \(\cdot \cdot a \) gmail.com

Abstract:

Electroncesefelography (EEG) is a powerful brain signal analysis tool widely used in neurological disorders, brain-computer interface (BCI), cognitive monitoring and biometric authentication. Despite its importance, EEG signal noise, low signal-to-show ratio (SNR) and inter-subject variability, advanced reserve so-up, functional extraction and classification techniques are necessary. This article presents a comprehensive, systematic review of EEG signal therapy, discusses pre-prosaic methods, functional extraction techniques, classification methods (traditional machine learning, deep learning and hybrid models), discussions and future research directions. A broad comparative analysis of existing methods is involved.

Keywords: EEG signal processing, feature extraction, machine learning, deep learning, hybrid models, brain-computer interface, neurological disorder detection.

\ Introduction

Electroencephalography (EEG) is a non-invasive neurophysiological technique that records brain activity through electrical signals. The first time introduced by Hans Berger in '979 ['], EEG has become an important tool in neurology, cognitive study and medical diagnosis. It is essential in monitoring epilepsy, neurodiac disease diagnosis, emotional recognition and interaction between humans and computers [']-['2]. However, EEG signal analysis is challenging due to noise objects, poor spatial resolution and personal variability [°]. The arrival of machine learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) have improved EEG processing to a large extent, which enables automatic functional extraction and real-time classification [¹]. The review examines advanced processing technology, functional extraction methods, classification models (including hybrid techniques) and EEG-based applications. A comparative analysis of traditional ML, DL, and hybrid methods is given, and challenges and future research directions are discussed.

Y Methodology

This systematic review follows the prism (favourite reporting elements for systematic reviews and meta-analysis) guidelines.

Y, Literature Search Strategy

"EEG signal processing"

"Facilitation Survey in EEG"

"Machine Learning for EEG"

"Deep Learning EEG classification"

Y, Y Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria

EEG preparation, functional extraction and classification studies.

Research on ML/DL techniques for EEG-based applications.

Peer-Review Journal Articles and Conference Letters.

Exclusion criteria

Non-English publication.

Study without empirical confirmation.

Conference abstract with inadequate technical details

Traditional speech growth techniques include spectral subtraction, veneer filtration and MMSE estimates [°], [¹]. The purpose of these methods is to reduce the noise in the background by preserving speech information.

T. EEG Signal Processing Techniques

EEG signal treatment consists of three important stages:

Pre-treatment: Removal of noise and deformation certificates.

Functional extraction: Identify meaningful patterns from the signal.

Classification: Assign the features drawn to different categories.

Each step is essential to improve the accuracy and strength of EEG-based applications.

7.1 Preprocessing Methods

EEG signals are often contaminated from internal and external noise sources, which must be removed for accurate analysis. The most common noise sources include:

Physical objects (e.g. flashes, muscle activity, cardiac signs).

Environmental noise (e.g., electrical intervention or movement of objects).

Electrode displacement (e.g. poor contact with the skull).

To reduce these effects, various pre-roses have been developed.

Table 1: Comparison of EEG Preprocessing Methods

Technique	Purpose	Advantages	Disadvantages	References
Bandpass Filtering	Removes unwanted	Simple,	Can distort	[^], [^]
	frequency	effective	signals	
	components			
Independent	Independent Identifies and		Computationall	[٩]
Component Analysis	separates	for artefact	у	
(ICA)	artefact sources	removal	expensive	

Wavelet Transform	Decomposes EEG	Preserves time	Requires	[١٠],[١١]
	into	and frequency	parameter	
	frequency sub-bands	information	tuning	
Common Spatial	Enhances	Effective for	Sensitive to	[17]
Pattern (CSP)	discriminative	BCI	noise	
	EEG features	applications	variations	
Adaptive Noise	Eliminates specific	Works well	Computational	[١٣]
Cancellation	noise sources	with	overhead	
	adaptively	dynamic noise		

7,7 Feature Extraction Techniques

Functional extraction is essential to reduce the dimensions of EEG data while maintaining important information. Facilities can be classified as:

Time domain properties: Statistical properties for raw EEG signals.

Frequency domain properties: Analysis using Fourier Transform (FT) and Power Spectral Density (PSD).

Time enemy properties: Time and frequency analysis (e.g. Wavelet, short-term furrier transformation).

Nonlinear features: Measures of brain signal complexity, such as entropy and fractal dimension.

Table Y: Feature Extraction Techniques in EEG Analysis

Feature Type	Methods	Advantages	Disadvantages	Referen
				ces
Time-Domain	Mean, variance,	Simple,	Low classification	[١٤]
	skewness	computationally	accuracy	
		efficient	-	
Frequency-	PSD. FFT,	Effective for	Loses temporal	[10]
Domain	Welch's method	steady-	information	
		state analysis		
Time-	STFT, wavelets,	Captures transient	High	[١٦]
Frequency	Hilbert-	patterns	computational	
	Huang Transform		complexity	
Nonlinear	Entropy, fractal	Useful for chaotic	Difficult to	[١٢]
Features	dimension,	EEG	interpret	
	Lyapunov	patterns		
	exponent			

4. Machine Learning and Deep Learning for EEG Classification

EEG classification is essential for epilepsy detection, motor imagery recognition, sleep staging, and emotion classification. Traditional ML techniques, deep learning models, and hybrid approaches have been developed to improve accuracy.

1, \ Traditional Machine Learning Approaches

Traditional ML models require manual feature extraction before classification.

Table *: Comparison of Traditional Machine Learning Methods for EEG

Algorithm	Advantages	Disadvantage	Application	Referen
		S		ces
Support Vector Machines (SVM)	Works well with high-dimensional data	Requires tuning	BCI, epilepsy detection	[\^], [\9]
k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)	Simple, interpretable	Computationa lly expensive	Emotion recognition	[٢٠]
Random Forest (RF)	Robust to overfitting	Computationa lly expensive	Cognitive load classificatio n	[۲۱]

E, T Deep Learning-Based Methods

Deep learning eliminates manual feature extraction by learning patterns directly from EEG signals.

Table 4: Deep Learning Models for EEG Classification

DL Model	Advantages	Disadvantage	Application	Referen
		S		ces
Convolutional Neural	Extracts spatial EEG features	Requires large datasets	Seizure detection	[77],[7
Networks (CNNs)	reatures	uatasets	detection	']
Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)	Captures temporal EEG patterns	Vanishing gradient problem	Emotion recognition	[٢٤]
Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs)	Analyzes EEG connectivity	Computationa lly expensive	Motor imagery	[٢٥]

به ' Hybrid Methods for EEG Classification

Hybrid models combine ML and DL approaches to improve classification accuracy and robustness.

Table o: Hybrid EEG Classification Models

Hybrid Model	Components	Advantages	Disadvantages	Application	References
CNN-	CNN for feature	High accuracy	Computational	Epilepsy	[٢٦],[٢٧]
	extraction +		cost	detection	
SVM	SVM for				
	classification				
LSTM-	LSTM for	Captures	Prone to	Emotion	[٢٨],[٢٩]
	sequential	temporal	overfitting	recognition	
RF	analysis +	dependencies			
	Random	_			
	Forest for				
	classification				
Graph	CNN for spatial	Handles both	Requires large	Seizure	[٣٠],[٣١]
Convolutional	features	spatial and	datasets	prediction	
Networks	+ LSTM for	sequential			
(GCNs)	temporal	patterns			
	dependencies				

•. Applications of EEG Signal Processing

EEG classification has numerous real-world applications, spanning medical diagnostics, human-computer interaction, and security systems.

•, \ Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs)

BCIs enable direct communication between the brain and external devices.

Applications include:

Neuroprstiika: controlling robotic organs [^٣[†]].

Smart Home Control: EEG-driven home automation [٣٣].

Virtual reality: Improve gaming experiences [$^{r\xi}$].

•, Y Neurological Disorder Diagnosis

EEG is widely used in medical diagnosis:

Epilepsidetection: Automatic seizure spread [70].

Alzheimer's disease: to detect cognitive decline [77].

Depression diagnosis: EEG-based evaluation of mental health [rv].

o, Biometric Authentication

EEG-based certification provides increased security:

Identification verification: EEG biometry for safe access [٣٨].

Continuous certification: Verification of real-time [^{٣٩}].

7: Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant progress of EEG signal therapy, many challenges broadly prevent EEG-based technologies. These challenges include noise objects, variation between subjects, computational obstacles and large-scale data set deficiency. It is important to address these boundaries to improve the reliability, accuracy and factual purposes of EEG-based systems.

7,1 Key Challenges

Noise and Artifacts in EEG Signals

EEG signals are receptive to different noise sources, including physical, environmental and movement objects. These objects affect the quality of EEG registration, reduce the signal-to-show ratio (SNR) and affect classification performance.

Common types of artwork include:

Electromyography (EMG) objects: Muscular contraction mainly affects high-frequency ribbon tape.

Electroculography (EOG) objects: Results from eye movements affect the frontal electrode reading to a large extent.

Movement artefacts: Electrodes are caused by displacement or subject movement, which is usually seen by using EEG applications.

Streamline intervention: Environmental noise from electrical sources (• • - ٦ • Hz frequency range) can distort EEG signals.

Despite the advanced prepricing techniques such as independent component analysis (ICA) and Wavelet Danoizing, deformation is calculated and time-consuming. Future EEG systems must include real-time noise filtering algorithms to increase EEG's praise in real-world applications [5.].

7,1,7 Inter-Subject and Intra-Subject Variability

EEG signals show significant inter-subject variability, which means that EEG patterns can vary widely in individuals due to the difference in brain structure, cognitive function and electrode placement. Similarly, intra-subject variability refers to a person's change in EEG patterns over time due to fatigue, emotional conditions, and external factors.

Effects of variability on the EEG model:

Poor normalization: Trained models in one subject can perform poorly on the other and limit cross-topic adaptability.

Increase in calculation complexity: Extensive privatization and calibration are needed before the real-world placement.

Need for domain optimization: Many studies detect transmission and adaptive functional extraction techniques to reduce subject variation [5]. A promising approach to addressing the difference between the subjects is the development of adaptive and individual EEG models that dynamically adjust the parameters based on real-time response.

٦,١,٣ Data Scarcity and Limited Public Datasets

The success of Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) models depends on a large dataset. However, the EEG dataset is often limited in size, making it difficult to train a model with high demonstrations without overmass.

Challenges in EEG data collection:

High costs for data collection: EEG experiments require special equipment and trained professionals. Lack of Standardized Datasets: Many studies use different

electrode configurations and preprocessing pipelines, making cross-study comparisons difficult.

Ethical and Privacy Concerns: EEG data contains sensitive information about brain activity, raising concerns about data sharing and subject privacy [57].

Potential Solutions:

Data Augmentation Techniques: Synthetic EEG data generation using Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) can help expand training datasets.

Federated Learning Approaches: Allow decentralized model training while preserving privacy.

Development of Open-Source EEG Repositories: Encouraging the collection and sharing of large-scale multi-subject EEG datasets.

The Real-Time EEG Processing and Computational Constraints

Many EEG applications, such as brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) and neurofeedback systems, require real-time processing. However, EEG signal analysis often involves computationally intensive steps, including:

High-dimensional feature extraction (e.g., wavelet decomposition).

Deep learning-based EEG classification (e.g., CNNs, LSTMs).

Artefact removal algorithms that require significant processing power.

Wearable EEG devices like consumer-grade headsets have limited processing power, making real-time inference challenging. Optimized lightweight deep learning models, such as MobileNets and TensorFlow Lite, can help improve efficiency while maintaining high classification accuracy.

Ty Future Research Directions

٦,٢,١ Explainable AI (XAI) for EEG Models

Deep learning models in EEG classification often lack interpretability, making them challenging to deploy in clinical and neuroscience applications. Explainable AI (XAI) aims to enhance model transparency by providing human-readable insights into EEG-based decision-making.

Key areas for XAI in EEG analysis:

Feature Importance Visualization: Identifying which EEG channels or frequency bands contribute most to classification.

Model Interpretability Techniques: Using SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) or Layer-wise Relevance Propagation (LRP) to understand deep learning model predictions.

Clinical Acceptance: Physicians require AI models to provide interpretable and trustworthy outputs for EEG-based diagnostics.

By incorporating XAI techniques, EEG-based ML/DL models can become more transparent, trustworthy, and clinically relevant.

T, Y, Y Real-Time Processing for Wearable EEG Devices

The development of portable and wearable EEG devices is a growing research area, enabling applications in mental health monitoring, cognitive state assessment, and brain-computer interfaces. The current EEG classification in real-time faces many challenges, including:

Problems with delay: Most deep teaching models require high calculation power and limit real-time performance.

Edge AI for EEG processing: Distribution of models on built-in systems such as Raspberry Pie or Nvidia Jetson can increase efficiency.

Cloud-based EEG analysis: Cloud closing for Clouds enables more complex EEG analysis without hardware restrictions.

Future EEG systems should focus on real-time processing and allow natural integration into everyday applications such as neurofeedback training and cognitive charge monitoring.

T,T,T Multimodal EEG-fMRI Fusion for Advanced Brain Mapping

Combining EEG -is with other neuroimaging forms, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and Magnetoencephalography(MEG), can provide deep insight into brain function.

Benefits of EEG-fMRI Integration:

EEG provides high temporary resolution, while FMRI offers high spatial resolution. Better neurological disease diagnosis: Alzheimer's accuracy helps detect Parkinson's and epilepsy.

A better understanding of cognitive functions: EEG-FMRI studies can show how brain networks interact in real time.

Challenges of EEG-fMRI Fusion:

Problems with signal synchronization: EEG and FMRI work on different scales, making it challenging to coordinate data.

High costs and complexity: FMRI scanners are expensive and limit mass studies.

Data integration algorithms: Advanced deep learning models must effectively combine EEG and FMRI functions.

Future research should focus on developing effective deep learning frameworks that can initially integrate EEG and FMRI data, leading to more accurate activity of brain activity.

Conclusion

The EEG signal treatment has experienced significant progress in recent decades, which improves the preaching of techniques, functional extraction methods, machine learning (ML), Deep Learning (DL) and hybrid classification models. Despite these advances, EEG-based systems face significant challenges related to signal noise, variation between subjects, data shortages, real-time treatment limits and model lecturers.

This systematic review provided a comprehensive analysis of the EEG signal treatment techniques, covering:

Independent ingredient analysis (ICA), Wavelet transformation and preprocessing techniques such as adaptive noise reduction, which help reduce speed objects, muscle noise (EMG) and eye blink artefacts

(EOG).

Extraction methods, time domains, frequency domains, time-frequency representatives, and nonlinear functions provide unique benefits for each EEG application.

From classification models, traditional ML (SVM, KNN, Random Forest) to DL-based approach (CNN, LSTM, GCN) and hybrid methods (CNN-SVM, LSTM-RF, CNN-LSTM).

Critical EEG applications include brain-computer cleaning (BCIS), neurological disorder diagnosis (epilepsy, Alzheimer's, depression), cognitive condition monitoring and biometric authentication.

Challenges related to noise objects, professional variability, data set limits, and computational obstacles hinder the distribution of EEG-based solutions in the real world.

Future research directions include the development of Explainable AI (XAI) for EEG models, real-time processing for wearable EEG devices, and EEG-fMRI fusion for enhanced brain mapping.

References

- [1] T. La Vaque, "The history of EEG: Hans Berger, psychophysiologist," J. Neurother., vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 1-9, 1999.
- [7] A. Subasi, Practical Guide for Biomedical Signals Analysis Using Machine Learning Techniques: A MATLAB-Based Approach. Cambridge: Academic Press, 7.19.
- [7] A. M. Beres, "A review of EEG and event-related brain potentials in language research," Appl. Psychophysiol. Biofeedback, vol. 57, pp. 757-700, 7017.
- [4] O. Novik, F. Smirnov, and M. Volgin, Electromagnetic Geophysical Fields: Precursors to Earthquakes and Tsunamis; Impacts on the Brain and Heart. Cham: Springer, 7.19.
- [°] S. Das, D. Tripathy, and J. L. Raheja, "Real-time BCI system design to control Arduino-based robot using EEG," Springer, 7.19.
- [Y] M. I. B. Ahmed et al., "A review on machine learning approaches in identification of pediatric epilepsy," SN Comput. Sci., vol. ", no. \", p. \(\frac{\tau}{\tau} \), \(\frac{\tau}{\tau} \),
- [^] W. Mumtaz et al., "A machine learning framework involving EEG-based functional connectivity to diagnose major depressive disorder," Med. Biol. Eng. Comput., vol. °, pp. ۲۳۳-۲٤٦, ۲۰۱۸.
- [' ·] A. Anuragi and D. S. Sisodia, "Alcohol use disorder detection using EEG signal features," Biomed. Signal Process. Control, vol. o7, pp. ٣٨٤–٣٩٣, ٢٠١٩.
- [11] R. Yuvaraj et al., "A novel Parkinson's disease diagnosis index using higher-order spectra features in EEG signals," Neural Comput. Appl., vol. "., pp. 1770-1770, 7.14.
- [\ \ \ \] V. K. Bairagi and S. M. Elgandelwar, "Early diagnosis of Alzheimer's using EEG: The role of preprocessing," Int. J. Biomed. Eng. Technol., vol. \(\xi\), no. \(\xi\), pp. \(\forall \cdot \cdot
- [17] P. Ofner and G. R. Müller-Putz, "Movement target decoding from EEG and discriminative sources: A preliminary study," in IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. (EMBC), pp. 147A-1471, 7.10.
- [14] Y. Zeng et al., "EEG-based identity authentication framework using face rapid serial visual presentation," Sensors, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 7, 7.14.
- ['°] R. Lafta et al., "Fast Fourier Transform and Ensemble Model to Classify Epileptic EEG Signals," ' ' ' IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). IEEE, ' ' ' ' '.

- [17] L.Longo and R.B. Reilly, "on EEGwaveLAD: A fully automated online EEG wavelet-based learning adaptive denoiser for artefacts identification and mitigation", PloS one, vol. 7., no. 1, p.e. 717. 77, 7.70.
- [17] J. A. Dian et al., "Identification of brain regions of interest for epilepsy surgery planning using support vector machines," in IEEE EMBC, pp. 709.-7097, 7010.
- [14] X. Lun et al., "GCNs-net: A graph convolutional neural network approach for decoding time-resolved EEG motor imagery signals," arXiv preprint arXiv: ٢٠٠١, ١٨٩٢٤, ٢٠٢٠.
- [14] S. Tripathi et al., "Using deep and convolutional neural networks for accurate emotion classification on DEAP dataset," in AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Y. 17.
- [7.] K. Akar, S. Kara, S. Agambayev, and V. Bilgiç, "Nonlinear analysis of EEGs of patients with major depression during different emotional states," Comput. Biol. Med., vol. ⁷⁷, pp. ²⁹– ⁷¹, ⁷¹.
- [71] S. Siuly, Y. Li, and Y. Zhang, "EEG signal analysis and classification," IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng., vol. 11, pp. 151-155, 7.17.
- [T. Song et al., "EEG emotion recognition using dynamical graph convolutional neural networks," IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput., vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 077-011, 7.14.
- [74] Y. Zhao et al., "EEG-based seizure detection using linear graph convolution network with focal loss," Comput. Methods Programs Biomed., vol. 7.4, p. 1.7777, 7.71.
- [77] J. Zhang and K. Li, "A multi-view CNN encoding for motor imagery EEG signals," Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, vol. Ao, p. 100, 77, 70, 77.
- [YV] X. Wang et al., "Automated recognition of epilepsy from EEG signals using a combining space-time algorithm of CNN-LSTM," Sci. Rep., vol. Y, no. 1, p. 14AVI, Y.YT.
- [YA] L. Ilias, D. Askounis, and J. Psarras, "Multimodal detection of epilepsy with deep neural networks," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. YYT, p. 119.11, YYT.

- [7] A. Khosla et al., "A comparative analysis of signal processing and classification methods for different applications based on EEG signals," Biocybern. Biomed. Eng., vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 749-79, 7.7.
- [**] P. Saha et al., "Common spatial pattern in frequency domain for feature extraction and classification of multichannel EEG signals," SN Comput. Sci., vol. 7, pp. 1–11, 7.71.
- [**] R. Zebari, A. Abdulazeez, D. Zeebaree, D. Zebari, and J. Saeed, "A comprehensive review of dimensionality reduction techniques for feature selection and feature extraction," J. Appl. Sci. Technol. Trends, vol. 1, no. 7, pp. 65-7.
- [*\(\xi\)] S. Phadikar, N. Sinha, and R. Ghosh, "Automatic eye-blink artifact removal from EEG signal using wavelet transform with heuristically optimized threshold," IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform., vol. \(\xi\), no. \(\xi\), pp. \(\xi\) \(\xi\).
- [** o] M. B. Hossain, S. Islam, and Q. Moni, "Recognition of human emotions using EEG signals: A review," Comput. Biol. Med., vol. ١٣٦, p. ١٠٤٦٩٦, ٢٠٢١.
- [77] B. Kaur, D. Singh, and P. P. Roy, "Eyes open and eyes close activity recognition using EEG signals," in Int. Conf. Cogn. Comput. Inf. Process., Springer, pp. 7-9, 7.17.
- [*V] A. Shoeb, "Application of machine learning to epileptic seizure onset detection and treatment," Ph.D. dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Y....
- [] H. Sharma, A. Parashar, and A. M. Joshi, "Dephnn: A novel hybrid neural network for EEG-based screening of depression," Biomed. Signal Process. Control., vol. 57, p. 1.7797, 7.71.
- [4.] M. Pawar and S. Dhage, "Wavelet-based imagined speech classification using EEG," Int. J. Biomed. Eng. Technol., vol. 74, no. 7, pp. 710-774, 7.77.
- [4] G. Sharma, R. D. Tripathi, S. Mittal, and S. Bhattacharya, "Using deep and convolutional neural networks for accurate emotion classification on DEAP dataset," in AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell., Y. Y.
- [* Y] A. Alarcao and M. J. Fonseca, "Emotions recognition using EEG signals: A survey," IEEE Trans. Affect. Comput., vol. Y , no. Y, pp. YY = Y & Y, Y · Y V.
- [5] M. Öner and G. Hu, "Analyzing one-channel EEG signals for detection of close and open eyes activities," in IEEE Int. Conf. Adv. Appl. Informat., pp. TIA_TTT, TIT.
- [\$\frac{1}{2}] R. Andrzejak et al., "Indications of nonlinear deterministic and finite-dimensional structures in time series of brain electrical activity: Dependence on recording region and brain state," Phys. Rev. E, vol. 7\frac{1}{2}, no. 7, p. 179. 7, 7.1.

- [40] P. Shuman et al., "The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains," IEEE Signal Process. Mag., vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 47-94, 7017.
- [57] F. Martinez-Murcia et al., "Periodogram connectivity of EEG signals for the detection of dyslexia," in Int. Work-Conf. Interplay Nat. Artif. Comput., Springer, pp. "o "o 9, 7 . 19.
- [\$\forall S. Sunaryono et al., "Gradient boosting machines fusion for automatic epilepsy detection from EEG signals based on wavelet features," J. King Saud Univ. Comput. Inf. Sci., vol. \(\tilde{\psi}\), no. \(\tilde{\chi}\), pp. \(\forall = 0.1 \), \(\tilde{\chi}\), \(\tilde{\chi}\).
- [\$\delta] M. Al-Fahoum and A. Al-Fraihat, "Methods of EEG signal features extraction using linear analysis in frequency and time-frequency domains," ISRN Neurosci., vol. \(\frac{1}{2}\), p. \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\).
- [°·] R. PhysioBank, "PhysioNet: Components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals," Circulation, vol. '\', no. '\', pp. e'\'\o-e'\'\', \'...