

**Magnesium Nanoparticles, Zinc Nanoparticles, and Seaweed
Extract Solutions Effects on Rosemary [*Salvia Rosmarinus L.*]
Plant Chemical Compositions**

Safa Hashim Jummah, and Wasan Hamaza Mazeil Al-Shammery

Department of Biology, College of Sciences, Wasit University, Wasit, Iraq.

Abstract

The reaction of rosemary (*Salvia Rosmarinus L.*) plants on the influence of nanoparticles (zinc and magnesium) and seaweed extract carried out in 2024 on fields in Wasit University. Three replications of a perfectly randomized factorial experiment ($5 \times 5 \times 3$) were used to produce the treatment. The rosemary plants treated with nanoparticles (zinc oxide) with concentrations (0, 0.03, 0.05) mg/mL and (magnesium oxide) with concentrations (0, 0.02, 0.04) mg/mL. Moreover, rosemary treated with seaweed extract with concentrations (0, 50, 100, 150, 200) gm/L. Studying the plant fresh weight, number of branches, protein percentage, carbohydrates content, phenols content and oils content. The findings showed that the development and production characteristics of rosemary were significantly impacted by a single application of the previously mentioned variables, especially when present in high amounts, two-way communication produced different results. The production of oils was the most significant impact seen based on results. The Addition of nano zinc and nano magnesium and seaweed extract to the rosemary. Regardless of vegetable qualities or chemical content, the plant considerably raised all traits utilizing the greatest doses whether used alone or in combination with two interactions.

Keywords: Mg and Zn Nanoparticles, *Salvia Rosmarinus L.*, Plant Chemical Compositions.

1. Introduction

Plants were first cultivated in the Mediterranean basin, which includes Algeria, France, Spain, and Portugal [1].

Plants also cultivated in many nations across the world, including India, Central Asia, America, South Africa, Southeast Africa, the United States, and Brazil [1-2].

Plants grown in fields, farms, and home gardens several active compounds, including caffeine, diterpenes, flavonoids, triterpenes, and volatile oil, are found in rosemary plants [2].

The rosemary plant has many benefits as it is used as an antispasmodic in renal colic and dysmenorrhea and in relieving respiratory disorders and stimulation of hair growth [3]. The mountain has the therapeutic potential, for the treatment or prevention of bronchial asthma, diabetes and peptic ulcer, rosemary contains antioxidants and used as against meat rotting [3-5].

The rosemary plant is a perennial shrub with woody, aromatic leaves that resemble needles and are always fragrant. In the *Lamiaceae* family of mints, plant growing upward (erect), it can reach a height of two meters, with evergreen leaves from two to four cm in length, and two to five mm in diameter. Leaves are dense, short, woolly hairs on the underside and green on the underside. Rosemary comes in a variety of colours, including white, pink, purple, and blue flowers. Also, in varying leaf sizes and branch development habits [6, 7].

Varieties of rosemary plants that are botanical led to variations in the chemical components, oil output, and herbal properties of rosemary [8, 7]. Nanotechnology means nanomaterials technology, microscopic technology, or the

ability to measurement, processing and manufacture of nanotechnology. The term nanotechnology was first used in 1999 by Norio Taniguchi, a professor at the University of Tokyo, to describe tools that use nanomaterials, and nanoscience is concerned with the study of materials on the nanoscale 9-10 of a meter [9-10].

Nanomaterials have special optical, electrical or mechanical properties that differ from what they are in normal dimensions of more than 100 nm. As there is a change in the ratio of surface area to volume, and the increase in surface area to size increases as the particle size decreases. There is also a change in the melting point, freezing and characteristic properties at the nanoscale [10].

Zinc is an essential micronutrient for plant growth and development. Foliar plants showed that foliar application of zinc nano fertilizers resulted in significant improvements in plant height, plant biomass, and nutrient uptake. Nano fertilizers enhanced the uptake and utilization of these essential micronutrients, which improved plant growth application of nano fertilizers can deliver these nutrients directly to the leaves, enhancing their better uptake. This can help alleviate nutrient deficiencies and enhance plant vitality [11].

Additionally, magnesium oxide nanoparticles show several benefits, including low phytotoxicity, thermal

stability, non-genotoxicity, and non-biototoxicity to people. These benefits allow for excellent plant protection application opportunities [12]. Nanoparticles have several other traits that make them excellent candidates for use in a variety of other agricultural applications [13].

Furthermore, by improving seedling development and plant growth, these nanoparticles aid in the expansion of peanut agricultural production. They are also utilized as accepted food additives, supplements, and colorants [12, 14]. Some research suggested that because SWE may contain both major and minor elements, it could partially replace fertilizers [15-17]. SWE's saccharides may trigger defence systems in plants [18-20]. Extracts from the brown algae (*Ascophyllum nodosum* L.). *Le Jolis* (*Fucaceae*) are among the most significant and well-known SWE found worldwide.

According to several studies, SWE increased the growth of vegetable [21-22], fruit [23-26]. Research indicated improved fruit yield, sugar content, chlorophyll content, vegetative development, and resistance to soil-borne and leaf-borne diseases [27-28].

2. Materials and methods

Experimentation commenced in November 2023 at the private Archard field

in Waist university, College of Science. Soil mixture was placed in anvils, with capacity of 10 kg. Then, watered, and on foliar spraying operations were applied. Soil spraying to the recommended concentrations, as well as spraying plant leaves until the entire leaf is covered with concentrations of nanocomposites and seaweed extract.

Spraying was repeated and addition after a month of the first treatment agricultural service operations of plant watering and soil fertilization were carried out throughout the duration of the experiment. Nanocomposites were prepared at certain concentrations depending on the manufacturer concentrations (0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.03, 0.05) mg/mL, by dissolving the required concentrations with 5 mL of ethanol.

The volume is supplemented to 500 mL of deionized water to obtain the above-required concentrations, as well as the usage of seaweed extract in concentrations of (0, 50, 100, 150, and 200) gm/L. Attended concentrates were dissolved with distilled water and complete the volume to 1 litre.

There were 75 panels the foliar spraying of nanoparticles at concentrations (0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05) and seaweed extract at concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200). Foliar spraying at one time ensures for treatment in the vegetative stage of the plant. After

two weeks, Foliar spraying was completed for the second time. Then, after two weeks estimation of plant fresh weight, number of branches and protein percentage, and the statistical analysis examining the differences between statistically significant treatments was made easier. Using Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) analysis of variance test. The mean comparison was evaluated using the least significant differences (LSD) at 0.05 percent levels [29].

3. Results

3.1 Average Protein in Plant

Nano compound significantly affects average protein are shown in (table 3). When the concentration of nano zinc 0.05 mg/mL, the mean protein (6.36 %). Plants treated with control grew the fastest (7.03 %). Nano magnesium also significantly affected average of protein. Similarly, when the concentration of nano magnesium is 0.02, it increased the average protein.

The greatest average of protein was created by seaweed extract at 150 gm/L (7.75 %). In comparison with 50 (6.87 %) and the control (6.65 %). Nano compound and seaweed extract had a significant interaction effect. Plants treated with 0.03 mg/mL of Nano zinc and 50 of Seaweed extract average of protein.

3.2 Average Estimating of Oils Percentage in The Plant

The result shows that nano compound significantly affects average The percentage of oils in the plant. When the concentration of nano zinc 0.05 mg/ml the mean the percentage of oils in the plant (0.863%). Plants treated with control grew the fastest (0.868%).

Nano magnesium also significantly affected average the percentage of oils in the plant. Similarly, when the concentration of nano magnesium 0.02 mg/ml, it increased the average The percentage of oils in the plant. The greatest average of the percentage of oils in the plant was created by Seaweed extract at 150 gm /L (0.814) compared to 50 gm/L (0.787%) and the control (0.814%). Nano compound and Seaweed extract had a significant interaction effect. Plants treated with 0.03 mg/ml of Nano zinc and 50 gm/L of Seaweed extract average of the percentage of oils in the plant.

3.3 Average Estimating of Oil Production in One Plant

Nano compounds significantly affect average production of oil in one plant. Concentration of nano zinc (0.05) mg/mL the mean production of one plant of oil in grams (0.0342) mg. Plants treated with control grew the fastest (0.0345)

mg/L. Nano magnesium also significantly affected average production of one plant in grams. Similarly, when the concentration of nano magnesium (0.02) mg/mL, it increased the average of production. The greatest average of production was created by seaweed extract at 150 gm/L (0.0628) mg/L. Compared to 50 gm/L (0.0429) mg/L and the control (0.0617) mg/L. Nano compound and seaweed extract had a significant interaction effect. Plants treated with 0.03 mg/mL of Nano zinc and 50 gm/L of seaweed extract average production of oil in one plant.

Table 1: The effect of nano magnesium, nano zinc, and seaweed extract on average protein percentage.

| Seaweed Extract (E) | Nano compounds | | | | | Average of Seaweed Extract (E) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 0 | 0.02 (MgO) mg/mL | 0.04 (MgO) mg/mL | 0.03(Z) mg/mL | 0.05 (ZnO) mg/mL | |
| 0 | 6.85 | 8.17 | 6.56 | 5.98 | 5.68 | 6.65 |
| 50 gm/L | 4.38 | 6.71 | 6.33 | 7.00 | 9.92 | 6.87 |
| 100 gm/L | 7.00 | 5.69 | 5.25 | 5.69 | 5.40 | 5.80 |
| 150 gm/L | 5.53 | 11.21 | 10.21 | 6.71 | 5.11 | 7.75 |
| 200 gm/L | 7.51 | 5.69 | 4.38 | 6.33 | 5.69 | 5.92 |
| Average of Nano compounds | 7.03 | 6.72 | 6.55 | 6.34 | 6.36 | Nano compounds |
| LSD (P = 0.05) | 1.616 | | | | | LSD (P = 0.05) 1.616 |
| Two-way Interaction LSD (P = 0.05) | 3.613 | | | | | |

Table 2: The effect of Nano magnesium, Nano zinc, and seaweed extract, on the average percentage of oils in the plant.

| Seaweed Extract (E) | Nano compounds | | | | | Average of Seaweed Extract (E) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 0 | 0.02 (Mg) mg/mL | 0.04 (Mg) mg/mL | 0.03 (ZnO) mg/mL | 0.05 (ZnO) mg/mL | |
| 0 | 0.683 | 1.016 | 1.030 | 0.393 | 0.965 | 0.818 |
| 50 gm/L | 0.709 | 0.634 | 0.859 | 0.659 | 1.075 | 0.787 |
| 100 gm/L | 0.937 | 0.659 | 1.057 | 0.988 | 0.910 | 0.910 |
| 150 gm/L | 0.953 | 0.705 | 0.991 | 0.717 | 0.705 | 0.814 |
| 200 gm/L | 1.057 | 0.679 | 0.974 | 0.934 | 0.659 | 0.860 |
| Average of Nano Compounds | 0.868 | 0.739 | 0.982 | 0.738 | 0.863 | Nano compounds (N) |
| LSD (P=0.05) | 0.2653 | | | | | LSD (P = 0.05) 0.2653 |
| Two-way Interaction LSD (P = 0.05) | 0.5932 | | | | | |

Table 3: The effect of nano compounds on average oil production of one plant in grams.

| Seaweed Extract (E) | Nano compounds | | | | | Average of Seaweed Extract (E) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 0 | 0.02 (MgO) mg/mL | 0.04 (MgO) mg/mL | 0.03 (ZnO) mg/mL | 0.05 (ZnO) mg/mL | |
| 0 | 0.0328 | 0.0508 | 0.1757 | 0.0161 | 0.0333 | 0.0617 |
| 50 gm/L | 0.0496 | 0.0486 | 0.0458 | 0.0315 | 0.0387 | 0.0429 |
| 100 gm/L | 0.0169 | 0.0165 | 0.0378 | 0.0344 | 0.0455 | 0.0302 |
| 15 gm/L | 0.0355 | 0.0188 | 0.0346 | 0.1892 | 0.0357 | 0.0628 |
| 200 gm/L | 0.0378 | 0.0481 | 0.0526 | 0.0346 | 0.0179 | 0.0382 |
| Average of Nano compounds | 0.0345 | 0.0366 | 0.0693 | 0.0612 | 0.0342 | Nano compounds (N) |
| LSD (P = 0.05) | 0.06236 | | | | | LSD (P = 0.05) 0.06236 |
| Two-way Interaction LSD (P = 0.05) | 0.1394 | | | | | |

4. Discussion

Robert George Douglas Steel shows that the use of nano zinc fertilizers increased the essential oil yield and improved the formation of volatile compounds in chrysanthemum plants [30]. That was obtained from results under study, as zinc in particular plays an important role in plant growth through its participation in the synthesis of auxins (plant hormones that regulate cell division and elongation) [30]. Zinc also activates enzymes that participate in the metabolism of carbohydrates, proteins and fats necessary for plant growth and development [31].

Ascohyllum nodosum seaweed extract showed to lessen the degree of disease in carrot and cucumber plants due to foliar pathogens *Alternaria cucumerinum*, *Alternaria radicina*, *Didymella applanata*, and *Botrytis cinerea* [32, 21]. Concentrations of proteins, enzymes, and other substances involved in defence

responses increased as a result. According to several studies, kelp extracts can boost plants' uptake of nutrients [33-35]. This was linked to increased root growth, the capacity of certain kelp extract constituents, such as organic acids, to chelate nutrients [33]. Besides, increased expression of genes encoding proteins involved in nitrogen uptake and assimilation [35]. SWE was applied at (7 mL L⁻¹), which may be consistent with earlier studies that used extracts from *Ascoplyllum nodosum* on a variety of crops and suggested that drench administrations might have a greater effect on plants [25, 36].

Betaines, vitamins, cytokinin's, auxins, abscisic acid (ABA-Like), and macro- and microelements may all be present in commercial SWE [37-39]. Early research revealed that receptor proteins on plant cell membranes are bound by metabolic elicitors, making secondary metabolites like essential oils more abundant [40-42], as well in basil plants [43-44]. Nodosum SWE joint application with nitrogen and boron increased oil yield and enhanced the quality of the oil of olive trees by means of increasing the linoleic.

Also, oleic acid concentrations, the increase in essential oil content and the modified chemical composition might be attributed to several factors such as the presence of major and trace elements, and

secondary metabolite elicitors in *Ascoplyllum nodosum* extracts.

5. Conclusion

The impact of varying amounts of certain magnesium nanoparticles, zinc nanoparticles, and seaweed extract on the vegetative growth of rosemary (*Salvia Rosmarinus L.*). The application of the plant markedly enhanced all attributes, irrespective of whether the features of vegetables or chemical activity attained the maximum concentrations, yielding the most significant benefits.

6. References

1. Boix Y. F., Victório C. P., Lage C. L. S., and Kuster R. M., (2010). Volatile compounds from *Rosmarinus officinalis L.* and *Baccharis dracunculifolia DC.* Growing in southeast coast of Brazil. *Química Nova*. 33, 255-257.
2. Hossain M. M., Sultana F., Khan S., Nayeema J., Mostafa M., Ferdus H., Tran L. P., and Mostofa M. G., (2024). Carrageenans as biostimulants and bio-elicitors: plant growth and defense responses. *Stress biology*. 4, 1, 3.
3. Houlihan C. M., Ho C. T., and Chang S. S., (1985). The structure of rosmariquinone A new antioxidant isolated from *Rosmarinus officinalis L.*

- Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society. 62,1, 96-98.
4. Sotelo-Félix J. I., Martinez-Fong D., Muriel P., Santillán R. L., Castillo D., and Yahuaca P., (2002). Evaluation of the effectiveness of *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Lamiaceae) in the alleviation of carbon tetrachloride-induced acute hepatotoxicity in the rat. *Journal of Ethno pharmacology*. 8, 12, 145-154.
5. Osakabe N., Yasuda A., Natsume M., and Yoshikawa T., (2004). *Rosmarinus* acid inhibits epidermal inflammatory responses: ant carcinogenic effect of *Perilla frutescens* extract in the murine two-stage skin model. *Carcinogenesis*. 25, 4, 549-557.
6. Masuda T., Inaba Y., Maekawa T., Takeda Y., Tamura H., and Yamaguchi H., (2002). Recovery mechanism of the antioxidant activity from carnosic acid Quinone, an oxidized sage and rosemary antioxidant. *Journal of Agricultural and Foo Chemistry*. 50, 21, 5863-5869.
7. Mateu-Andrés I., Aguilera A., Boisset F., Currás R., Guara M., Laguna E., and Pedrola J., (2013). Geographical patterns of genetic variation in rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*) in the Mediterranean basin. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*. 171, 4, 700-712.
8. Zigene Z. D., Asfaw B. T., and Bitima T. D., (2021). Analysis of genetic diversity in rosemary (*Salvia rosmarinus*) using SSR molecular marker for its management and sustainable use in Ethiopian genebank. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*. 68, 1, 279-293.
9. Banjaw D., Wolde T. G., Gebre A. M., and Mengesha B., (2016). Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) variety verification trial at wondogenet, South Ethiopia. *Med Aromat Plants*. 5, 267, 412-2167.
10. Kumah E. A., Fopa R. D., Harati S., Boadu P., Zohoori F. V., and Pak T., (2023). Human and environmental impacts of nanoparticles: a scoping review of the current literature. *BMC public health*. 23, 1, 1059.
11. Filippone G., Romeo G., and Acierno D., (2010). Viscoelasticity and structure of polystyrene/fumed silica nanocomposites: filler network and hydrodynamic contributions. *Langmuir*. 26, 4, 2714-2720.
12. Kargar M., Nabipour M., Pessarakli M. and Kamgar Haghighi A. A., (2018). Nano-fertilizers and Their Roles in Sustainable Agriculture. In: *Nanotechnology in Modern Animal Biotechnology*. 161-176.
13. Ameta S. K., Rai A. K., Hiran D., Ameta R., and Ameta S. C., (2020). Use

- of Nanomaterials in Food Science. Biogenic Nano-Particles and their Use in Agro-ecosystems. 457-488.
14. Khan A. S., Ahmad B., Jaskani M., Ahmad R., and Malik A., (2012). Foliar Application of Mixture of Amino Acids and Seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) Extract Improve Growth and Physico-chemical Properties of Grapes. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 14, 3, 1560-853014.
15. Rao K. G., Ashok C. H., Rao K. V., and Chakra C. S., (2014). Structural properties of MgO nanoparticles: synthesized by co-precipitation technique. *International Journal of Science and Research*. 3, 12, 43-46.
16. Dhargalkar V. K. and Pereira Nadja., (2004). Seaweed: Promising Plant of the Millennium. *Science and Culture*. 71.
17. Hong D. D., Hien H. M., and Son P. N., (2007). Seaweeds from Vietnam used for functional food, medicine and bio fertilizer. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 19, 817-826.
18. Zodape S., Gupta A., Bhandari S., Rawat U., Chaudhary D., Eswaran K., and Chikara J., (2011). Foliar application of seaweed sap as biostimulant for enhancement of yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*. 70, 215-219.
19. Anastyuk S. D., Shevchenko N. M., Nazarenko E. L., Dmitrenok P. S., and Zvyagintseva T. N., (2009). Structural analysis of a fucoidan from the brown alga *Fucus evanescens* by MALDI-TOF and tandem ESI mass spectrometry. *Carbohydrate Research*. 344, 6, 779-787.
20. Stengel D. B., Connan S., and Popper Z. A., (2011). Algal chemodiversity and bioactivity: sources of natural variability and implications for commercial application. *Biotechnology Advances*. 29, 5, 483-501.
21. Vera J., Castro J., Contreras R. A., González A., and Moenne A., (2012). Oligo-carrageenans induce a long-term and broad-range protection against pathogens in tobacco plants. *Physiological and molecular plant pathology*. 79, 31-39.
22. Jayaraj Jayaram J. J., and Ali N., (2015). Use of seaweed extract for disease management of vegetable crops. *Sustainable crop disease management using natural products*. 160-183.
23. Neily W., Shishkov L., Nickerson S., Titus D., and Norrie J., (2010). Commercial extract from the brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum* improves early establishment and helps resist water stress in vegetable and flower seedlings. In *Hort Science Amer*

- Soc Horticultural Science. 45, 8, S105-S106.
24. Chouliaras V., Tasioula M., Chatzissavvidis C., Therios I., and Tsabolatidou E., (2009). The effects of a seaweed extract in addition to nitrogen and boron fertilization on productivity, fruit maturation, leaf nutritional status and oil quality of the olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivar Koroneiki. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 89, 6, 984-988.
 25. Spinelli F., Fiori G., Noferini M., Sprocatti M., and Costa G., (2010). A novel type of seaweed extract as a natural alternative to the use of iron chelates in strawberry production. *Scientia horticulture*. 125, 3, 263-269.
 26. Spann T. M., and Little H. A., (2011). Applications of a commercial extract of the brown seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum* increases drought tolerance in container-grown 'Hamlin' sweet orange nursery trees. *HortScience*. 46, 4, 577-582.
 27. Khan A. S., Ahmad B., Jaskani M. J., Ahmad R., and Malik A. U., (2012). Foliar application of mixture of amino acids and seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) extract improve growth and physicochemical properties of grapes. *Agriculture and Biology*. 14, 3, 383-388.
 28. Steveni C. M., Norrington-Davies J., and Hankins S. D., (1992). Effect of seaweed concentrate on hydroponically grown spring barley. *Journal of applied phycology*. 4, 173-180.
 29. Blunden G., Jenkins T., and Liu Y. W., (1996). Enhanced leaf chlorophyll levels in plants treated with seaweed extract. *Journal of applied phycology*. 8, 535-543.
 30. Steel R. G. D., Torrie J. H., and Dickey D. A., (1997) *Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach*. McGraw Hill Book Company. New York.
 31. Javanmardi H., Abbasi A., and Bagheri H., (2020). Roles of metal, ligand and post synthetic modification on metal organic frameworks to extend their hydrophobicity and applicability toward ultra-trace determination of priority organic pollutants. *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 1125, 231-246.
 32. Rani N., Kumari K., Sangwan P., Barala P., Yadav J., Vijeta and Hooda V., (2022). Nano-iron and nano-zinc induced growth and metabolic changes in *Vigna radiata*. *Sustainability*. 14, 14, 8251.
 33. Jayaraj J., Wan A., Rahman M., and Punja Z. K., (2008). Seaweed extract reduces foliar fungal diseases on carrot. *Crop Protection*. 27, 10, 1360-1366.

34. Crouch I. J., Beckett R. P., and Van Staden J., (1990). Effect of seaweed concentrate on the growth and mineral nutrition of nutrient-stressed lettuce. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 2, 269-272.
35. Mancuso S., Azzarello E., Mugnai S., and Briand X., (2006). Marine bioactive substances (IPA extract) improve foliar ion uptake and water stress tolerance in potted *Vitis vinifera* plants. *Advances in Horticultural Science*. 20, 2, 156-161.
36. Jannin L., Arkoun M., Etienne P., Laîné P., Goux D., Garnica M., and Ourry A., (2013). *Brassica napus* growth is promoted by *Ascophyllum nodosum* (L.) Le Jol. seaweed extract: microarray analysis and physiological characterization of N, C, and S metabolisms. *Journal of plant growth regulation*. 32, 31-52.
37. Elansary H. O., Yessoufou K., Shokralla S., Mahmoud E. A., and Skalicka-Woźniak K., (2016). Enhancing mint and basil oil composition and antibacterial activity using seaweed extracts. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 92, 50-56.
38. Crouch I. J., and Van Staden J., (1993). Commercial seaweed products as biostimulants in horticulture. *Journal of Home and Consumer Horticulture*. 1, 1, 19-76.
39. Stirk W., Arthur G., Lourens A., Novák O., Strnad M., and Van Staden J., (2004). Changes in cytokinin and auxin concentrations in seaweed concentrates when stored at an elevated temperature. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. 16, 31-39.
40. Khan W., Rayirath U. P., Subramanian S., Jithesh M. N., Rayorath P., Hodges D. M., and Prithiviraj B., (2009). Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. *Journal of plant growth regulation*. 28, 386-399.
41. Rayorath P., Jithesh M. N., Farid A., Khan W., Palanisamy R., Hankins S. D., and Prithiviraj B., (2008). Rapid bioassays to evaluate the plant growth promoting activity of *Ascophyllum nodosum* (L.) Le Jol. using a model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *Journal of applied phycology*. 20, 423-429.
42. Sharma H. S., Fleming C., Selby C., Rao J. R., and Martin T., (2014). Plant biostimulants: a review on the processing of macroalgae and use of extracts for crop management to reduce abiotic and biotic stresses. *Journal of applied phycology*. 26, 465-490.
43. Elansary H. O., and Ashmawy N. A., (2013). Essential oils of mint between benefits and hazards. *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*. 16, 4, 429-438.

44. Elansary H. O., and Mahmoud E. A., (2015). In vitro antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of six international basil cultivars. Natural Product Research. 29, 22, 2149-2154.