Journal of Kerbala for Agricultural Sciences Issue (3), Volume (12), (2025)

Effects of phosphorus and zinc application on the growth and oil ratio of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under calcareous soil condition

Shilan Hussein Shkur[1], Muhamad Tahsen Maruf[2], Razhan Bakr Mustafa[2*]

[1] **Department of Biotechnology and Crop Science, College of Agricultural Engineering Science, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani, Iraq.**

[2] **Department of Natural Resources, College of Agricultural Engineering Science, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimani, Iraq.**

***Corresponding author e-mail:** razhan.mustafa@univsul.edu.iq

https://doi.org/ 10.59658/jkas.v12i3.3156

Received: Apr. 17, 2025

Accepted: July 18, 2025

Published: Sep. 15, 2025

Abstract

In order to evaluate the effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer on the elements concentration, growth, and oil ratio of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under calcareous soil conditions, this study was conducted at the farm of the Agricultural Research Station in Qaragool, during the winter season of 2022-2023. A randomised complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates was used to carry out a factorial experiment. The factors examined included the factor P with three levels of phosphorus fertilizer (0, 100, 200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}) from the source of triple superphosphate and factor Zn with three levels of zinc spraying in concentrations of (0, 15, 30 kg Zn ha^{-1}) from the source of zinc sulfate. The results of this investigation confirm that both levels of (200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 30 kg Zn ha^{-1} and 100 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 30 kg Zn ha^{-1}) have a significant impact on some characteristics of yield and yield components of the plant, for example, plant height (141.667cm), main branches.$plant^{-1}$ (30.000), number of heads.$plant^{-1}$ (95.667), number of seeds.$head^{-1}$ (19.000), weight of 100 seeds (3.757g), and seed yield (13.450*Mg*.ha^{-1}). Furthermore, variance analysis showed that the interaction between different levels of phosphorus with zinc fertilizer has a significant effect on nutrient content in the rhizosphere soil, nutrient content in the seed, and the shoot of the safflower plant. Also, the oil content (42.660%) in the seed and fatty acids such as linoleic acid (65.620%) and linolenic acid (0.097%) in the seed oil of safflower were affected by the interaction between different levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer.

Keywords: Fatty acids, Nutrient content, Oil ratio, Safflower, Yield

Introduction

Safflower is a major oil-producing crop worldwide. In Iraq, it is cultivated during the winter season. Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) is an oilseed crop that belongs to the Compositae or Asteraceae family. It is widely grown for its oil. Also, it was mostly produced for the flowers, which were used to color foods [1]. According to hull types of seeds, the seed oil content ranges from (20% to 45%). The oil is high in linoleic acid, an unsaturated fatty acid that aids in lowering the cholesterol level in the blood [2]. The cultivation of this crop is crucial as it stands out as one of the few oilseed crops suitable for Iraq's winter climate, whereas most oilseed crop grown in Iraq are summer crops. Additionally, it requires minimal water and can withstand salty and drought condition [3]. One of the most important factors in obtaining high safflower output is effective nutrient management [4]. Phosphorus (P) is an essential element required for plant growth and productivity. This element plays a role in an array of processes, including synthesis of biomolecules and formation of high-energy molecules, nucleic acid synthesis, photo-synthesis, glycolysis, respiration, membrane synthesis, and stability, enzyme activation/inactivation, redox reactions, signaling, and carbohydrate metabolism [5, 6]. Numerous researches have shown that adding phosphorous to the soil enhances plant growth and has a beneficial effect. Plant height went up from (96.23 to 101.97) cm as the phosphorus level increased from (40 to 60) kg P ha^{-1} [7]. Moreover, adding phosphorus fertilizer had an impact on vegetative growth, yield components, and oil content of safflower [8]. Several key factors directly affect crop yield, including soil fertility, the availability of macronutrients and micronutrients in the soil, input application and crop management. To enhance crop production, it is possible to either expand the cultivated area or by increasing its yield per unit area. Under the present situation, the more appropriate choice is to achieve a higher yield per unit area. Micronutrients are regarded as essential plant nutrients taken up and consumed by the plants in relatively lesser amount. These micronutrients play an eminent role in plant growth, development and plant metabolism [9]. However, these micronutrients are required in low quantity; their deficiencies are responsible for low quality and low productivity of safflower [10]. Zinc (Zn) plays a key role in regulatory cofactors of different enzymes and protein in many biochemical pathways it can help in high productivity in oilseed crops [11]. Zinc is essential for crop nutrition as needed for numerous metabolic processes, and oxidation-reduction reactions its deficiency will reduce growth and yields of crop [12].

The safflower growth and mineral nutrient uptake to be studied comprehensively, because safflower seed is commonly used to improve digestive health or relieve constipation. Safflower seed may also help lower total blood cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, which may help reduce the risk of heart disease. The aim of this study is to investigate the impact of different amount of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer effect on some growth traits of safflower.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out of Qaragool, farm of Agricultural Researches Station (35° 21' 29" N, 45° 37' 19" E 556 m above sea level) in Sulaimani Governorate, Kurdistan Region-Iraq, during winter season of 2022-2023 to study the effect of phosphorus and zinc on the growth and oil ratio of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) “Gilla” variety. For determining the physical and chemical characteristics of soil in the study area, the soil samples were taken at (0 to 40 cm) depths; the soil samples were allowed to air dry, passed through a (2 mm) sieve, and kept in plastic bottles until analyzed. The soil type was silty clay, the physical and chemical properties of the soil of field experimental site are shown in Table 1. The experimental design was factorial experiment, laid out in a completely random block design (RCBD) with three replications. It included two factors. The first factor was phosphorous fertilizer with three levels (0, 100 and 200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1}) as soil application, and the second factor were three levels of zinc fertilizer (0, 15, and 30 kg Zn ha^{-1}) were used as foliar application. The used treatments are symbolised as follows:T1=control (P0Zn0), T2=100 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} (P1Zn0), T3=200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} (P2Zn0), T4=15 kg Zn ha^{-1} (P0Zn1), T5=100 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 15 kg Zn ha^{-1} (P1Zn1), T6=200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 15 kg Zn ha^{-1} (P2Zn1), T7=30 kg Zn ha^{-1} (P0Zn2), T8=100 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 30 kg Zn ha^{-1} (P1Zn2), T9=200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 30 kg Zn ha^{-1} (P2Zn2).

The farm size was (7 m × 15 m) divided manually into plots, each replicate consists of nine experimental unit (1 m × 1 m) in size, and within each experimental unit were three lines, the length of the planted line one meter, (0.05 m) distance was left then seeds were sown, and the distance from one line to the next (0.45 m) and (0.3 m) between plant to plant in the same line, (0.5 m) distance between experimental units was left, and one meter between blocks. Triple superphosphate and zinc sulfate were used as a source of (P and Zn) fertilizers respectively. The phosphate fertilizer, it was added in two doses, the first dose was at sowing date, and the last dose was applied after (94 days) from sowing. Half of total zinc sulfate was sprayed at (117 days) after sowing time, and the remaining half was sprayed at (20 days) after the initial application. The experimental field were ploughed and well leveled, the weeding were accomplished manually several times for all treatments equally and as needed during the growing season. The safflower seeds were planted in (5 cm) depth, the seeds were sown manually on 8th December 2022, and harvesting was done when the plants reached full maturity on 8th July 2023. Data on vegetative and reproductive growth parameters such as (plant height, main branches $plant^{-1}$, number of heads $plant^{-1}$, number of seeds $head^{-1}$, weight of 100 seeds, seed yield), contents of phosphorus, zinc and iron in soil rhizosphere, phosphorus, zinc and iron content in seeds and shoots, oil content (%), linoleic acid (%) and linolenic acid (%) in seeds were recorded during the course of study for each experimental unit separately. Available P was assayed by extracting P from soil rhizosphere with 0.5 M $NaHCO_3$ (pH 8.5), according to the procedure of [13]. Available Zn and Fe content of soil rhizosphere was extracted with DTPA-TEA (pH 7.3) extractant following the method of [14]. Wet digestion method using HNO_3-$HClO_4$ in the ratio of (2:1) was followed to determine P, Zn and Fe from the plant material as mentioned by [15]. Phosphorus content was read on a spectrophotometer, the content of zinc and Fe was measured with atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). Oil content of safflower seeds was determined by using Soxhlet apparatus as mentioned by [16]. The fatty acid compositions were analyzed according to [17] by GC–MS and GC-FID instruments.

The collected data were statistically analyzed for all measured variable using the statistical program package (XLSTAT software), the differences were compared at the 5% significance level, the Duncan's multiple range test was used to compare among means [18].

Table (1): The physical and chemical properties of the soil samples of the field experimental site.

<table>
<tr><th colspan="9">Physical properties</th></tr>
<tr><th colspan="5">Particle size distribution (PSD) g kg^{-1}</th><th colspan="4">Bulk density Mg m^{-3}</th></tr>
<tr><td>Sand</td><td>Silt</td><td>Clay</td><td colspan="2">Texture class</td><td colspan="4" rowspan="2">1.65</td></tr>
<tr><td>25.60</td><td>496.45</td><td>477.95</td><td colspan="2">Silty Clay</td></tr>
<tr><th colspan="9">Chemical properties</th></tr>
<tr><th>pH</th><th colspan="2">EC dS m^{-1} at 25 C°</th><th colspan="6">Soluble ions mmol L^{-1}</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">7.82</td><td colspan="2" rowspan="2">0.41</td><td>Ca^{2+}</td><td>Mg^{2+}</td><td>Na^{+}</td><td>K^{+}</td><td>HCO_3^{-}</td><td>SO_4^{2-}</td></tr>
<tr><td>2.98</td><td>0.71</td><td>0.97</td><td>0.95</td><td>3.87</td><td>0.91</td></tr>
<tr><th>Organic matter (OM)</th><th colspan="2">Total $CaCO_3$equivalent</th><th colspan="3">Available P</th><th>Available Zn</th><th colspan="2">Available Fe</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">g kg^{-1}</td><td colspan="3">µg g^{-1} soil</td><td colspan="3">mg kg^{-1}</td></tr>
<tr><td>15.30</td><td colspan="2">99.32</td><td colspan="3">6.12</td><td>0.96</td><td colspan="2">2.53</td></tr>
</table>

Results and Discussion

Effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some nutrients content in the rhizosphere soil

Phosphorus content in the soil rhizosphere

Table 2 show the effect of phosphorus, zinc-levels and their interaction in phosphorus concentration in rhizosphere at ($p \leq 0.05$). Depending on the effect of phosphorus in phosphorus concentration in rhizosphere, no significant improvement in P concentration was observed by the application of P levels.

However, the effect of zinc-levels in phosphorus concentration was found to be significant. The highest mean value of phosphorus concentration in rhizosphere was (2.808%) obtained with zinc-level (Zn2) compared with control treatment that recorded (1.275%).

As well as, the interaction effect between levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer in phosphorus concentration in the rhizosphere was found to be significant at ($P \leq 0.05$). The maximum concentration of phosphorus was (3.170%) recorded by (P2Zn2) and, the minimum concentration of phosphorus was (0.950%), which recorded by (P2Zn0). The nature and concentration of nutrients in the rhizosphere depends on soil type, soil fertility, and crop intensity. Likewise, the absorption and utilization of nutrient by plants are impacted by various factors related to both the soil and the plants, as well as their interactions. Physical, chemical, and biological alterations in the rhizosphere are associated with enhanced concentration of phosphorus in the root vicinity and, consequently, its uptake [19].

Zinc content in the soil rhizosphere

Data present in Table 2 explain that the Zn concentration in rhizosphere was influenced significantly by phosphorus, zinc-levels and their interactions at ($p \leq 0.05$). Based on the applied levels of phosphorus fertilizer, the phosphorus-level (P2) was significantly different from other treatments which obtained highest mean rate of Zn concentration in the rhizosphere (3.773 mg kg^{-1}) when the lowest mean rate was (3.043 mg kg^{-1}) recorded at control. While the zinc-level (Zn2) was significantly different from other treatments which obtained highest mean rate of Zn concentration in the rhizosphere (4.380 mg kg^{-1}) when the lowest mean rate was (2.130 mg kg^{-1}) recorded at control.

Table (2): Effect of phosphorus, zinc and their interactions on the some nutrients content in the rhizosphere soil of safflower

Phosphorus content in the soil rhizosphere soil %				
Phosphorus Levels	Zinc Levels			
	Zn 0	Zn 1	Zn 2	Effect of Phosphorus
P 0	1.420 de	1.775 cde	2.485 abc	1.893 a
P 1	1.455 de	1.380 de	2.770 ab	1.868 a
P 2	0.950 e	1.960 bcd	3.170 a	2.027 a
Effect of Zinc	1.275 b	1.705 b	2.808 a	
Zinc content in the soil rhizosphere mg kg^{-1}				
P 0	1.315 d	3.190 b	4.625 a	3.043 b
P 1	2.315 c	2.810 bc	4.155 a	3.093 b
P 2	2.760 bc	4.200 a	4.360 a	3.773 a
Effect of Zinc	2.130 c	3.400 b	4.380 a	
Iron content in the soil rhizosphere mg kg^{-1}				
P 0	38.200 b	36.900 b	25.500 c	33.533 b
P 1	41.300 b	24.300 c	57.300 a	40.967 a
P 2	35.350 b	20.100 c	59.550 a	38.333 ab
Effect of Zinc	38.283 b	27.100 c	47.450 a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions separately followed with the same letters are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range tests at ($p \leq 0.05$).

The most favorable interaction between phosphorus and zinc-levels in Zn concentration of rhizosphere was (4.625 mg kg^{-1}) obtained by (P0Zn2) and was significantly highest than all treatments, while the lowest mean value soil Zn concentration was (1.315 mg kg^{-1}) recorded from (P0Zn0). The amount of Zn in the rhizosphere is important for efficient uptake by plant roots [20].

Iron content in the soil rhizosphere

Table 2, demonstrated that phosphorus, zinc-levels, and their interactions were significantly impacted in Fe concentration in rhizosphere. Depending on the applied levels of phosphorus fertilizer, the phosphorus-level (P1) was significantly different from other treatments which obtained the highest mean value of Fe concentration in the rhizosphere (40.967 mg kg^{-1}) while the lowest mean rate was (33.533 mg kg^{-1}) recorded at control. About zinc fertilizer, zinc-level (Zn2) was significantly different from other treatments which observed the maximum mean value of Fe concentration in the rhizosphere (47.450 mg kg^{-1}), and minimum mean value of Fe concentration was (27.100 mg kg^{-1}) recorded by (Zn1) treatment. Regarding to the interaction between applied phosphorus and zinc-levels, the significant differences were found between phosphorus and zinc in Fe concentration of the rhizosphere, at ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest concentration of Fe was (59.550 mg kg^{-1}) recorded by (P2Zn2) while the lowest concentration of Fe was (20.100 mg kg^{-1}) which recorded by (P2Zn1). Micronutrient availability in the rhizosphere is controlled by soil and plant properties, and interactions of roots with microorganisms and the surrounding soil. In addition, micronutrient-efficient crops and genotypes can increase an available nutrient fraction and hence increase micronutrient uptake [21].

Effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some vegetative growth criteria of a safflower plant:

Plant height (cm)

Table 3 indicates that the application levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizers had a significant impact on plant height at ($P \leq 0.05$). As the levels of phosphorus rose, there was a notable increase in plant height. The height of plant varied between (133.778 to 135.667) cm; the highest measurement was found in treatment (P2) and the lowest was in the control. Based on the impact of zinc fertilization on plant height, the zinc fertilization rate (Zn2) showed the maximum plant height (140.111 cm), while the minimum value of plant height (130.111 cm) was observed in the control. Regarding the interaction between phosphorus and zinc fertilizer levels application on plant height, significant differences were found at ($P \leq 0.05$). The plant height was ranged from (130.000 to 141.667) cm, the highest value of plant height was recorded at (P2Zn2), while the lowest value of plant height was recorded at (P1Zn0) and (P2Zn0). Our results are supported by [7] who found that the higher levels of phosphorus likely led to an increase in plant height because phosphorus is essential for various physiological processes, including photosynthesis, respiration, energy storage and transfer, cell division, cell enlargement and development of meristematic tissues that aid in enhancing plant growth characteristics. These results are also going with those for zinc, and were obtained by [22, 23, 24] they found that the application of zinc rates fertilizer affected significantly on the plant height (cm) of safflower, zinc leads to increased plant yield through positive physiological effects such as impact on metabolism of plant cells [25, 26].

Main branches. plant^{-1} and number of heads. plant^{-1}

The main branches per plant of safflower were affected significantly by application of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer levels at ($P \leq 0.05$). Table 3 demonstrate that the rates of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer application were significantly affected on the main branches per plant of safflower, the main branches per plant of safflower significantly increased by the applied phosphorus rates. The maximum value was obtained at (P1), with a mean (24.000), while the minimum value recorded at control was (22.000). The impact of the zinc fertilization rate on the main branches shows significant different, the highest value was recorded at (Zn2) level with a mean of (29.111), while the lowest value (19.333) was obtained at control. Regarding to the application of interaction between phosphorus and zinc fertilizer rates on a main branches.plant^{-1}, significant differences were found at ($P \leq 0.05$). The main branches ranged from (18.333 to 30.000), the highest values were recorded from (P2Zn2 and P1Zn2), while the lowest values were recorded from control.

Meanwhile, the number of heads.plant^{-1} affected by the application of phosphorus and zinc rate fertilizer significantly Table 3, the phosphorus rate (P2) showed the maximum value (83.444) heads.plant^{-1}, while the minimum value of (69.111) heads.plant^{-1}, was observed from control. Based on the effect of zinc fertilization rate on the number of heads, the number of heads.plant^{-1} affected by the application zinc rate fertilizer significantly, the highest value was recorded at (Zn2), with a mean (93.222), while the minimum value (56.667) was obtained at control. About the interaction between the application of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer rates on the number of heads.plant^{-1}, significant differences were found at ($P \leq 0.05$). The number of heads ranged from (44.667 to 95.667) heads.plant^{-1}, the lowest value was observed from (P0Zn0), whereas the highest value was observed from (P1Zn2). These results were agree with the results were obtained by [7, 27, 28] for phosphorus they found that phosphorus application significantly influenced the growth analysis of safflower, and its components, also our results are harmonic with that obtained by [22, 24, 29] they found that the application of zinc significantly increased growth yield of safflower plant.

Table (3): Effect of phosphorus, zinc and their interactions on the vegetative growth criteria of safflower

Plant height (cm)				
Phosphorus Levels	**Zinc Levels**			
	Zn 0	**Zn 1**	**Zn 2**	**Effect of Phosphorus**
P 0	130.333 d	132.667 cd	138.333 b	133.778 b
P 1	130.000 d	133.333 c	140.333 ab	134.556 ab
P 2	130.000 d	135.333 c	141.667 a	135.667 a
Effect of Zinc	130.111 c	133.778 b	140.111 a	

Main branches. plant^{-1}				
P 0	18.333 b	20.333 b	27.333 a	22.000 b
P 1	20.333 b	21.667 b	30.000 a	24.000 a
P 2	19.333 b	21.000 b	30.000 a	23.444 ab
Effect of Zinc	19.333 b	21.000 b	29.111 a	
Number of heads. plant^{-1}				
P 0	44.667 d	73.667 c	89.000 ab	69.111 c
P 1	52.333 d	80.667 bc	95.667 a	76.222 b
P 2	73.000 c	82.333 bc	95.000 a	83.444 a
Effect of Zinc	56.667 c	78.889 b	93.222 a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions separately followed with the same letters are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range tests at ($p \leq 0.05$).

Effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some reproductive growth criteria of a safflower plant

Number of seeds.head^{-1} and weight of 100 seeds (g)

Table 4 shows the effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilization rate and their interactions in number of seeds.head^{-1}. The phosphorus fertilization significantly influenced the number of seeds at ($P \leq 0.05$). The phosphorus rate (P2) showed the highest mean of seeds.head^{-1} was (17.889), while control recorded the lowest mean value, which was (16.444). Relying on the effect of zinc fertilization rate on number of seeds.head^{-1}, significant differences observed among the treatments at ($P \leq 0.05$). The higher mean value (18.667) recorded with the level (Zn2) compared to other zinc levels. Additionally, concerning the interaction effect between applied phosphorus and zinc levels at ($P \leq 0.05$). The maximum number of seeds.head^{-1} was (19.000) resulted from the interaction effect by (P2Zn2), while the minimum mean value (14.667) recorded with (P0Zn1). While for weight of 100 seeds (g) Table 3 show the effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilization rate and their interactions in weight of 100 seeds significantly influenced at ($P \leq 0.05$).

The phosphorus rate (P1) showed the maximum mean weight of 100 seeds (3.169) (g), however the minimum mean value recorded with the control was (2.928) (g). Also, the effect of zinc fertilization rate on the 100-seed weight (g), significant differences were observed among the treatments at ($P \leq 0.05$). The higher mean value (3.521) (g) recorded with the zinc level (Zn2), the lower mean value (2.568) (g) recorded with the control. In relation to the interaction between phosphorus and the applied zinc rates, maximum 100-seed weight (g) was (3.757) (g) resulted from the interaction effect by (P1Zn2), comparing with (P1Zn0), which recorded minimum 100-seed weight (g) was (2.493) (g).

This may be related to the same reasons mentioned previously; similar results were obtained by [7, 27, 30] for phosphorus, they found that the application of phosphorus was affected significantly in the number of seeds.head^{-1} and weight of 100 seeds of safflower. While the results were obtained by [22, 24, 31] for zinc rates, they found that the application of zinc rate fertilizer was affected significantly in the number of seeds.head^{-1} and weight of 100 seeds of safflower.

Seed yield (*M*g.ha^{-1})

About the illustrated data in Table 4 for seed yield (*M*g.ha^{-1}). This effect has taken no similar trends of that in 100-seed weight. No significant improvement in seed yield was also observed by application the phosphorus-levels. These results are in agreement with the results reported by [32].

While, the effect of zinc on seed yield was found to be significant. The maximum and minimum mean value of seed yield were (12.517 *M*g ha^{-1} and 8.983 *M*g ha^{-1}) recorded from (Zn2) and control respectively, and the interaction effect of phosphorus and zinc-levels on seed yield was found to be significant at ($P<0.05$). The seed yield in this study varied from (8.650 *M*g ha^{-1} to 13.450 *M*g ha^{-1}). The maximum of seed yield was produced by (P1Zn2) recorded (13.450), while the minimum seed yield was produced by (P1Zn0), which recorded (8.650). Phosphorus is the most interesting major nutrient because P deficiency limits crop growth and yield in many regions in the world [33]. The application of phosphorus important because it is essential plant macronutrient involved in the numerous molecules component. Molecules that contain P are DNA, RNA, proteins, lipids, sugars, ATP, ADP, and NADPH. In other words, P is central to a majority of the molecular constituents needed for the functioning of plant cells [34]. The results are in a harmonic with the finding by [22, 23, 35] about zinc rates application. Also, [36] indicated that zinc sulfate application under water stress conditions increased seed yield more than control plots. Zinc is crucial for many enzymes that play a vital role in nitrogen metabolism, energy transfer, and protein synthesis [12].

Table (4): Effect of phosphorus, zinc and their interactions on the reproductive growth criteria of safflower

Number of seeds.head^{-1}				
Phosphorus Levels	Zinc Levels			Effect of Phosphorus
	Zn 0	Zn 1	Zn 2	
P 0	16.333 bc	14.667 d	18.333 a	16.444 b
P 1	15.333 cd	17.667 ab	18.667 a	17.222 ab
P 2	16.333 bcd	18.333 a	19.000 a	17.889 a
Effect of Zinc	16.000 b	16.889 b	18.667 a	
Weight of 100 seeds (g)				
P 0	2.527 d	2.967 c	3.290 b	2.928 b
P 1	2.493 d	3.257 b	3.757 a	3.169 a
P 2	2.683 cd	2.947 c	3.517 ab	3.049 ab
Effect of Zinc	2.568 c	3.057 b	3.521 a	
Seed yield (*M*g.ha^{-1})				

P 0	9.400 b	9.100 b	11.700 ab	10.067 a
P 1	8.650 b	11.200 ab	13.450 a	11.100 a
P 2	8.900 b	11.400 ab	12.400 a	10.900 a
Effect of Zinc	8.983 c	10.567 b	12.517 a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions separately followed with the same letters are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range tests at ($p\leq 0.05$).

Effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilizer levels and their interactions on the some nutrients content in the seed and shoot of a safflower plant

Phosphorus content in the seed and shoot

From the represented data in Table 5, it appears, that significant differences were registered at ($P\leq0.05$), for phosphorus content in the seed influenced by both applied levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer. Considering the effect of phosphorus, the maximum and minimum mean value of phosphorus content in the seed were (0.219% and 0.203%), recorded from application of (P2 and P1) treatments respectively. When considering on the effect of zinc, the highest significant mean value of P content in the seed was (0.241%) which recorded from application of (Zn2), while its lowest mean value was (0.191%) which obtained from control. On the other hand, the interaction effect between added levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer in P content in the seed was found to be significant at ($P\leq0.05$). The higher value of P content in the seed with giving (0.254 %) at (P1Zn2), comparing to almost all other treatments. Moreover, significant differences were found from interaction effect between phosphorus and zinc fertilizer in P content in the shoot which was found to be significant at ($P\leq0.05$), shown in the Table 6. About the effect of applied phosphorus-levels in P content in the shoot, the maximum mean value of P content in the shoot was (0.760%) observed with (P2). While the minimum mean value of P content in the shoot was (0.662%) observed with control treatment. Other researchers have also reported P concentration [mg P (g DM) $^{-1}$] in whole safflower plants increased significantly with increasing P fertilization [37]. Relying on the effect of zinc fertilizer in P content in the shoot, the higher mean value of P content in the shoot was (0.775%) obtained at zinc level (Zn1) comparing with (Zn2), which recorded (0.643%). In present study, statistically significant differences were found between phosphorus and zinc fertilizer-levels interactions in terms of P content in the shoot. The highest and lowest value for P content in the shoot were (0.877 and 0.573)%, which recorded by (P2Zn1) and control respectively.

Table (5): Effect of phosphorus, zinc and their interactions on some nutrients content in the seed of safflower

Phosphorus content in the seed %		
Phosphorus Levels	**Zinc Levels**	

	Zn 0	Zn 1	Zn 2	Effect of Phosphorus
P 0	0.204 d	0.186 ef	0.228 bc	0.206 b
P 1	0.173 f	0.181 f	0.254 a	0.203 b
P 2	0.196 de	0.220 c	0.240 b	0.219 a
Effect of Zinc	0.191 b	0.196 b	0.241 a	
Zinc content in the seed mg kg^{-1}				
P 0	110.500 f	145.500 d	201.000 b	152.333 c
P 1	125.500 e	181.500 c	208.000 b	171.667 b
P 2	180.000 c	186.500 c	228.500 a	198.333 a
Effect of Zinc	138.667 c	171.167 b	212.500 a	
Iron content in the seed mg kg^{-1}				
P 0	196.000 e	200.000 de	225.000 cd	207.000 b
P 1	194.000 e	186.000 e	253.500 b	211.167 b
P 2	192.000 e	238.000 bc	288.000 a	239.333 a
Effect of Zinc	194.000 b	208.000 b	255.500 a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions separately followed with the same letters are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range tests at ($p \leq 0.05$).

Zinc content in the seed and shoot

From Table 5, it appears that Zn content in the seed is highly and significantly influenced by application of phosphorus, zinc rates fertilizer and their interaction at ($P \leq 0.05$). The zinc content in the seed significantly increased with application of phosphorus fertilizer levels to (P2). The maximum mean of Zn content value was (198.333 mg kg^{-1}), obtained at (P2), which was different significantly comparing with that obtained at control-level which was (152.333 mg kg^{-1}).

Significant improvement in Zn content in the seed was also observed by application the zinc- levels. The maximum mean value was (212.500 mg kg^{-1}), obtained at (Zn2), which was different significantly in comparing with that obtained at control which was (138.667 mg kg^{-1}). These finding were in agreement with those obtained by [38] they stated that Zn concentration in the seeds of safflower was increased by Zn application. Furthermore, [23] reported that application of zinc enhanced zinc concentration in seed of safflower. However, the interaction effect of phosphorus and zinc rates on Zn content in the seed was found to be significant. The highest value of Zn content in the seed was (228.500 mg kg^{-1}), recorded by (P2Zn2), while the lowest value was (110.500 mg kg^{-1}), and recorded by control.

Significant improvement in Zn content in the shoots was also observed by application the phosphorus and zinc rates fertilizer in Table 6. The zinc content in the shoots significantly increased with application of phosphorus levels. The maximum mean Zn content in the shoots value was (442.000 mg kg^{-1}), obtained at (P2), which significant difference when compared to the results was obtained at the control-level which was (408.833 mg kg^{-1}). Concerning the effect of application the zinc-levels, the maximum mean value was (450.333 mg kg^{-1}), obtained at (Zn2), which was different significantly comparing with that obtained at control which was (403.833 mg kg^{-1}). [35] also reported that zinc sulfate spraying leads to improve zinc concentration in stems and leaves of safflower compared with control. Significant differences were found between phosphorus and zinc interaction on Zn content in the shoots. The maximum value of Zn content in the shoots was (479.500 mg kg^{-1}), recorded by (P2Zn2), while the minimum value was (376.500 mg kg^{-1}) recorded by control.

Iron content in the seed and shoot

The result in Table 5, demonstrate that the phosphorus and zinc rates fertilizer were significantly affected on the Fe content in the seed of safflower plant at ($P \leq 0.05$). The Fe content in the seed significantly increased with increasing phosphorus rates. The maximum and minimum means of Fe content in the seed were (239.333 mg kg^{-1} and 207.000 mg kg^{-1}), recorded at treatment (P2) and control respectively. Concerning on the effect of zinc rates fertilizer on Fe content in the seed, significant differences were found at ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest mean Fe content in the seed (255.500 mg kg^{-1}) was obtained in treatment (Zn2) and the lowest mean Fe content in the seed (194.000 mg kg^{-1}) was obtained in control. Similar to this study, in 2016 under regular irrigation conditions the safflower crops that sprayed with 7.5 g L^{-1} of zinc sulfate during the flowering stage exhibited the highest iron content in the seeds [39]. Depending on the effect of interaction between phosphorus and zinc rates at ($P \leq 0.05$). The maximum Fe content in the seed was (288.000 mg kg^{-1}) resulted from (P2Zn2), comparing with (P1Zn1), which recorded (186.00 mg kg^{-1}).

Table (6): Effect of phosphorus, zinc and their interactions on the some nutrients content in the shoot of safflower

Phosphorus content in the shoot %				
Phosphorus Levels	Zinc Levels			Effect of Phosphorus
	Zn 0	Zn 1	Zn 2	
P 0	0.573 f	0.759 b	0.656 de	0.662 c
P 1	0.738 bc	0.689 cd	0.660 de	0.695 b
P 2	0.790 b	0.877 a	0.614 ef	0.760 a
Effect of Zinc	0.700 b	0.775 a	0.643 c	
Zinc content in the shoot mg kg^{-1}				
P 0	376.500 d	428.000 bc	422.000 c	408.833 b

P 1	405.000 c	407.500 c	449.500 b	420.667 b
P 2	430.000 bc	416.500 c	479.500 a	442.000 a
Effect of Zinc	403.833 b	417.333 b	450.333 a	
Iron content in the shoot mg kg^{-1}				
P 0	75.000 e	82.400 d	107.500 b	88.300 b
P 1	92.600 c	113.500 ab	119.000 a	108.367 a
P 2	88.500 c	119.000 a	108.500 b	105.333 a
Effect of Zinc	85.367 c	104.967 b	111. 667 a	

Means within a column, row and their interactions separately followed with the same letters are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range tests at ($p \leq 0.05$).

Moreover, the result in Table 6 shows the Fe content in the shoot significantly influenced by the applied phosphorus rates. The maximum and minimum mean Fe content in the shoot were (108.367 mg kg^{-1} and 88.300 mg kg^{-1}), recorded at treatment (P1) and control respectively. Concerning the effect zinc rates fertilizer on Fe content in the shoot, significant differences were found at ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest mean value of Fe content in the shoot was (111.667 mg kg^{-1}) obtained in treatment (Zn2), and the lowest mean Fe content in the shoot (85.367 mg kg^{-1}) obtained in control. Depending on the effect of interaction between phosphorus and zinc rates at ($P \leq 0.05$). The maximum Fe content in the shoot was (119.000 mg kg^{-1}) resulted from (P1Zn2 and P2Zn1), and the lowest Fe content in the shoot (75.000 mg kg^{-1}) obtained in control.

Effect of phosphorus, zinc fertilizer levels and their interactions on the oil content in the seed and linoleic and linolenic acid in the seed oil of safflower plant:

From the represented data in Table 7, it appears, that significant differences were registered at ($P \leq 0.05$), for oil content in the seed % influenced by both applied levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer. Considering the effect of phosphorus, the maximum and minimum mean value of oil content in the seed were (35.804% and 34.046%), recorded from application of (P2 and P0) treatments respectively. These results are in conformity with the findings by [30]. When considering on the effect of zinc, the highest significant mean value of oil content in the seed was (41.138%) which recorded from application of (Zn2), while its lowest mean value was (29.016%) which obtained from control. Zinc can increase fat metabolism and the way it affected the oil content [31]. On the other hand, the interaction effect between added levels of phosphorus and zinc fertilizer in oil content in the seed % was found to be significant at ($P \leq 0.05$). The higher value of oil content in the seed with giving (42.660%) at (P1Zn2), comparing to almost all other treatments.

Table (7): Effect of phosphorus, zinc and their interactions on the oil content in the seed and linoleic and linolenic acid in the seed oil of safflower

Oil content in the seed %

Phosphorus Levels	Zinc Levels			Effect of Phosphorus
	Zn 0	Zn 1	Zn 2	
P 0	28.097 e	34.913 c	39.127 b	34.046 b
P 1	28.283 e	32.613 d	42.660 a	34.519 ab
P 2	30.667 d	35.120 c	41.627 a	35.804 a
Effect of Zinc	29.016 c	34.216 b	41.138 a	
Linoleic acid in the seed oil %				
P 0	63.267 c	64.720 ab	63.717 bc	63.901 b
P 1	63.063 c	65.620 a	63.637 bc	64.107 ab
P 2	64.530 ab	64.893 a	64.580 ab	64.668 a
Effect of Zinc	63.620 b	65.078 a	63.978 b	
Linolenic acid in the seed oil %				
P 0	0.073 d	0.090 ab	0.087 abc	0.083 a
P 1	0.077 cd	0.093 ab	0.083 bcd	0.084 a
P 2	0.073 d	0.097 a	0.087 abc	0.086 a
Effect of Zinc	0.074 c	0.093 a	0.086 b	

Means within a column, row and their interactions separately followed with the same letters are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range tests at ($p \leq 0.05$).

Moreover, significant differences were found from interaction effect between phosphorus and zinc fertilizer in linoleic acid in the seed oil % which was found to be significant at ($P \leq 0.05$), shown in the Table 7. Depending on the effect of applied phosphorus-levels in linoleic acid in the seed oil, the maximum mean value was (64.668%) observed with (P2) while the minimum mean value was (63.901%) observed with control treatment. The increase in linoleic acid due to application of P has also been reported by [40] in safflower. Relying on the effect of zinc fertilizer in linoleic acid in the seed oil, the higher mean value was (65.078%) obtained at zinc-level (Zn1) comparing with control, which recorded (63.620%). [41] examined the effect of zinc sulfate ($ZnSO_4.7H_2O$) at (3000 mg L^{-1}) as a foliar application on safflower in 2002 enhanced linoleic acid compared with control. Concerning the interaction effect between both phosphorus and zinc fertilizer-levels in linoleic acid in the seed oil %, significant differences were recorded due to these interactions.

From Table 7, it appears that linolenic acid in the seed oil % has no significant effect from the applied levels phosphorus fertilizer, however, the applied levels of zinc fertilizer and the interaction between phosphorus and zinc fertilizers had a significant impact at ($P \leq 0.05$). Significant improvement in linolenic acid in the seed oil % was observed by application the zinc-levels. The maximum mean value was (0.093%), obtained at (Zn1), which was different significantly in comparing with that obtained at control which was (0.074%). The results are consistent with the findings of [42] who indicated that application of zinc improved linolenic acid in seed oil of safflower when compared with control. Moreover, the interaction effect of phosphorus and zinc rates on linolenic acid in the seed oil % was found to be significant. The maximum value was (0.097%), recorded by (P2Zn1), while the minimum value was (0.073%), which recorded by (P2Zn0) and control.

The results above indicate that the rates of phosphorus and zinc applied at levels of (P2Zn2 and P1Zn2) significantly influences the yield and yield components of the safflower plant, because the phosphorus is necessary for the growth, development, and maturation of all crops. A sufficient supply of phosphorus during the early stages is essential for the initiation of their reproductive parts. Oilseeds and pulses require a lot of phosphorus due to its major role in plant metabolism [7]. Also, zinc is considered as a micronutrient which has an obvious role in seed yield and plays an important role in the production of biomass. Furthermore, zinc may be required for chlorophyll production, pollen function, fertilization and germination [43, 44].

From the results obtained in this study, it can be concluded that interaction different levels of phosphorus with zinc fertilizer to safflower plant enhanced growth, yield and yield components characteristics, nutrients content in the rhizosphere soil, nutrients content in the seed and shoot of safflower plant that were taken in this present study. Additionally, oil content in the seed, fatty acids such as (linoleic and linolenic acid) in the seed oil of safflower plant were improved as fertilized with the interaction different levels of phosphorus and zinc. Finally, it could be concluded also both levels of (200 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 30 kg Zn ha^{-1} and 100 kg P_2O_5 ha^{-1} + 30 kg Zn ha^{-1}) had impact to improve yield and yield component of safflower.

References

1) Gecgel, U., Demirci, M., Esendal, E., & Tasan, M. (2007). Fatty acid composition of the oil from developing seeds of different varieties of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 84*(1), 47–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-006-1007-3
2) Coşge, B., Gürbüz, B., & Kiralan, M. (2007). Oil content and fatty acid composition of some safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) varieties sown in spring and winter. *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences, 1*(3), 11–15. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242606175
3) Hussain, M. I., Lyra, D.-A., Farooq, M., Nikoloudakis, N., & Khalid, N. (2016). Salt and drought stresses in safflower: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 36*(1), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0344-8
4) Mündel, H.-H., Blackshaw, R. E., Byers, J. R., Huang, H. C., Johnson, D. L., Keon, R., Kubik, J., McKenzie, R., Otto, B., Roth, B., & Stanford, K. (2004). *Safflower production on the Canadian prairies*. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge Research Centre. http://publications.gc.ca/site/eng/333269/publication.html
5) Razaq, M., Zhang, P., Shen, H.-L., & Salahuddin. (2017). Influence of nitrogen and phosphorous on the growth and root morphology of *Acer mono*. *PLOS ONE, 12*(2), e0171321. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171321

6) Vance, C. P., Uhde-Stone, C., & Allan, D. L. (2003). Phosphorus acquisition and use: Critical adaptations by plants for securing a nonrenewable resource. *New Phytologist, 157*(3), 423–447. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00695.x
7) Sreekanth, N., Singh, V., Tiwari, D., & George, S. G. (2021). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus levels on growth and yield of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal, 10*(10), 2271–2273.
8) Kolanyane, M. O. (2022). *The influence of nitrogen and phosphorus nutrition on growth and yield components of safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.)* [Master's thesis, Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources].
9) Tripathi, D. K., Singh, S., Singh, S., Mishra, S., Chauhan, D. K., & Dubey, N. K. (2015). Micronutrients and their diverse role in agricultural crops: Advances and future prospective. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, 37*(7), Article 139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-015-1870-3
10) Kumara, K., Rao, K. N., Veeresh, H., Gaddi, A. K., & Channabasavanna, A. S. (2020). Response of safflower to foliar application of micronutrient mixture. *International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry, 21*(2), 26–33. https://doi.org/10.9734/irjpac/2020/v21i230152
11) Pal, A. K., Nayak, B. K., & Shukla, A. K. (2021). Zinc use and management of oilseed crops: An overall review. *Chemical Science Review and Letters, 10*(37), 69–80. https://doi.org/10.37273/chesci.CS205111272
12) Hafeez, B., Khanif, Y. M., & Saleem, M. (2013). Role of zinc in plant nutrition: A review. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 3*(2), 374–391. https://doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2013/2746
13) Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanabe, F. S., & Dean, L. A. (1954). *Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate* (Circular No. 939). United States Department of Agriculture. U.S. Government Printing Office.
14) Lindsay, W. L., & Norvell, W. A. (1978). Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal, 42*(3), 421–428. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1978.03615995004200030009x
15) Ryan, J., Estefan, G., & Rashid, A. (2001). *Soil and plant analysis laboratory manual* (2nd ed.). International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA). https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11766/67563
16) AOAC. (1980). *Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists* (12th ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
17) Pasandi, M., Janmohammadi, M., Abasi, A., & Sabaghnia, N. (2018). Oil characteristics of safflower seeds under different nutrient and moisture management. *Nova Biotechnologica et Chimica, 17*(1), 86–94. https://doi.org/10.2478/nbec-2018-0009
18) Al-Rawi, K. M., & Khalaf-Allah, A. M. (1980). *Design and analysis of agricultural experiments*. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Dar Al-Kutub Institution for Printing and Publishing, University of Mosul.

19) Fageria, N. K., & Stone, L. F. (2006). Physical, chemical, and biological changes in the rhizosphere and nutrient availability. *Journal of Plant Nutrition, 29*(7), 1327–1356. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160600767682

20) Khoshgoftarmanesh, A. H., Afyuni, M., Norouzi, M., Ghiasi, S., & Schulin, R. (2018). Fractionation and bioavailability of zinc (Zn) in the rhizosphere of two wheat cultivars with different Zn deficiency tolerance. *Geoderma, 309*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.08.019

21) Rengel, Z. (2015). Availability of Mn, Zn and Fe in the rhizosphere. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 15*(2), 397–409. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162015005000036

22) Haliloğlu, H., & Beyyavaş, V. (2019). The effects of nitrogen and zinc applications on the yield, yield components and oil ratio of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.) under semi-arid conditions. **Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 17**(4), 7591–7604. https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1704_75917604

23) Kaveh, M., Shoae, M. S., & Ayoubizadeh, N. (2015). Effect of the selenium and zinc concomitant use on some morphological and physiological properties of spring safflower (C.V. Arak 2811) [Special issue]. **Biological Forum – An International Journal, 7**(2), 79–85.

24) Ravi, S., & Channal, H. T. (2010). Effect of sulphur, zinc and iron on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by safflower. **An Asian Journal of Soil Science, 5**(1), 178–181.

25) Mengel, K., Kirkby, E. A., Kosegarten, H., & Appel, T. (Eds.). (2001). **Principles of plant nutrition** (5th ed.). Kluwer Academic Publishers. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-1009-2

26) Taha, M. H., Shalaby, E. A., & Shanan, N. T. (2013). Improving safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.) growth and biological activities under saline water irrigation by using iron and zinc foliar applications. **Journal of Plant Production, 4**(8), 1219–1234. https://doi.org/10.21608/jpp.2013.73784

27) Golzarfar, M., Rad, A. H. S., Delkhosh, B., & Bitarafan, Z. (2012). Safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.) response to different nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer rates in two planting seasons. **Žemdirbystė = Agriculture, 99**(2), 159–166.

28) Ravikumar, P. V., Umesha, C., Anandamai, D., & Raju, S. G. (2021). Effect of sulphur and phosphorus levels on growth attributes and economics of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.). **The Pharma Innovation Journal, 10**(12), 1595–1599.

29) Achary, P. L., & Umesha, C. (2021). Effect of sulphur and zinc levels on growth and yield of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.). **The Pharma Innovation Journal, 10**(11), 1805–1809. https://doi.org/10.22271/tpi.2021.v10.i11z.9139

30) Singh, R. K., & Singh, A. K. (2013). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur fertilization on productivity, nutrient-use efficiency and economics of safflower

(**Carthamus tinctorius**) under late-sown condition. **Indian Journal of Agronomy, 58**(4), 583–587. https://doi.org/10.59797/ija.v58i4.4242

31) Ghavami, S. H., Moghadasi, M. S., & Omiditabrizi, A. H. (2015). Evaluation of use Fe and Zn micronutrients application on quantitative and qualitative traits of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.) [Special issue]. **Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi (Cumhuriyet University Faculty of Science Science Journal), 36**(3), 636–640.

32) Malek, A. H., & Ferri, F. (2014). Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on safflower yield in dry land conditions. **International Journal of Research in Agricultural Sciences, 1**(1), 28–33.

33) Rodríguez, D., Andrade, F. H., & Goudriaan, J. (1999). Effects of phosphorus nutrition on tiller emergence in wheat. **Plant and Soil, 209**(2), 283–295. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004690404870

34) Zhang, Z., Liao, H., & Lucas, W. J. (2014). Molecular mechanisms underlying phosphate sensing, signaling, and adaptation in plants. **Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 56**(3), 192–220. https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12163

35) Gülmezoğlu, N., & Aytaç, Z. (2016). The influences of various zinc applications on seed yield and zinc uptake of safflower. **Toprak Su Dergisi (Soil Water Journal), 5**(2), 11–17.

36) Lakzayi, M. (2015). Influence of foliar application on safflower yield. **International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, 2**(12), 336–339.

37) Abbadi, J., & Gerendás, J. (2015). Phosphorus use efficiency of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.) and sunflower (**Helianthus annuus** L.). **Journal of Plant Nutrition, 38**(7), 1121–1142. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2014.983115

38) Aytaç, Z., Gülmezoğlu, N., Sirel, Z., Tolay, I., & Torun, A. A. (2014). The effect of zinc on yield, yield components and micronutrient concentrations in the seeds of safflower genotypes (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.). **Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca, 42**(1), 202–208. https://doi.org/10.15835/nbha4219405

39) Ahmadi, R., Mahmoudi, M., Shekari, F., Afsahi, K., Shekari, K., Saba, J., & Mastinu, A. (2024). Application methods of zinc sulphate increased safflower seed yield and quality under end-season drought stress [Special issue]. **Horticulturae, 10**(9), Article 963. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10090963

40) Mahmood, S. A., Hama, S. J., Sofy, S. O., & Rasul, A. A. (2021). Effect of different levels of phosphorus fertilizer on oil yield and their derivatives of three varieties of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.). **Euphrates Journal of Agricultural Science, 13**(1), 11–20.

41) Movahhedy-Dehnavy, M., Modarres-Sanavy, S. A. M., & Mokhtassi-Bidgoli, A. (2009). Foliar application of zinc and manganese improves seed yield and quality of safflower (**Carthamus tinctorius** L.) grown under water deficit stress. **Industrial Crops and Products, 30**(1), 82–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.02.004

42) Kouchakkhani, H., Janmohammadi, M., & Sabaghnia, N. (2023). The effect of different fertilisers and planting patterns on the fatty acid profile of safflower oil. **Biologija, 69**(3), 205–216. https://doi.org/10.6001/biologija.2023.69.3.1

43) Kaya, C., & Higgs, D. (2002). Response of tomato (**Lycopersicon esculentum** L.) cultivars to foliar application of zinc when grown in sand culture at low zinc. **Scientia Horticulturae, 93**(1), 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4238(01)00310-7

44) Pandey, N., Pathak, G. C., & Sharma, C. P. (2006). Zinc is critically required for pollen function and fertilisation in lentil. **Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 20**(2), 89–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2005.09.006