Response of Three Cultivars of Oats to Spraying Boron and Silicon in Saline Soil

¹Haider Mohsen Rashid, ²Saddam Hussein Abbas and ³Rafid S.N.Al-Ubori, ³ Department of Field Crops - College of Agriculture - Al-Qasim Green University

Email:1- haider.mehsin@agre.uoqasim.edu.iq
2- abbasbaba@agre.uoqasim.edu.iq
3-rafidish@agre.uoqasim.edu.iq

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out during the winter season 2024-2025 in the field Crops Department - College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University, Babylon / Iraq. to study response of cultivars oat to spraying boron and silicon in saline soil. A randomized complete block design (R.C.B.D) was used with split-plot by three replicates, the main plots included three cultivars; Sheffa, Algouda and Oat11, while the secondary plots included four nutrients treatments; spraying distilled water only (control), spraying boron at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ and spraying both boron at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ and spray silicon at a concentration of 1 ml L⁻¹ together .The results of the statistical analysis indicated a difference between the Cultivars in most of the studied traits, significantly excelled SheffaCultivar by recorded the highest mean for grain yield 6.03 tons. h⁻¹ and the biology yield reached 15.09 tons.h⁻¹. In order to spraying silicon at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ indicated a significant increase in the number of panicles (panicle.m⁻²) by percentage 11.35 %.while to spraying boron at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ indicated a significant increase in the number of grains (grain.panicle⁻¹) by percentage 9.53 %. study that foliar spraying of silicon reduced the effect of salinity on the plant and thus stimulated vegetative growth, which was reflected in the grain yield and its components.

Keywords: Oats, boron, silicon, grain yield components

1. Introduction

Oats (Avena sativa L.) isone of the most important crops in the world, ranking seventh among the world's top producers in terms of production and importance. Their importance is highlighted by their multiple uses, as they are beneficial to humans and animals. In addition to other industrial purposes, the animal feed is used for the high nutritional value of its grains, in terms of protein, vitamins, minerals, water-soluble and easily digestible fiber, and antioxidants that have a beneficial effect on heart disease, diabetes, and blood pressure [1]. The area planted with it globally reached 9.54 million hectares,

with a production rate of 23.54 million tons of grain yield [2]. But a result of the major changes in climatic conditions around the world, including Iraq, in terms declining rainfall rates and the expansion of lands affected by salinity, which was accompanied by the problem of deteriorating agricultural production as a result of hindering the absorption of water and nutrients through the roots. This problem can be reduced by following the foliar feeding method with nutrients necessary for growth [3].such as the element boron is important in stimulating plant's ability to carry photosynthesis by maintaining the water

balance within the cell, stabilizing the components of the cell walls, and activating the cell membranes[4].In addition to the silicon element, which regulates the balance of nutrients and participates in strengthening the structural integrity of cell walls to increase the leg's resistance to lying down[5]. However the process of preparing the plant with these nutrients must be accompanied by the

appropriate selection of cultivars characterized by high production efficiency and the ability to adapt to the environmental conditions available to them [6]. Therefore this research carried out with the aim of study effect spraying boron and silicon on grain yield components for cultivars of oat crop in saline soils.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experiment requirements

The experiment was conducted during the winter season 2024-2025 in the field of the Field Crops Department - College of Agriculture, Al-Qasim Green University in Babil / Iraq, at a latitude of 32.40north and

Table 1: Some chemical and physical properties of field soil.

| Traits | | Values |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| PH | 7.78 | |
| EC | | 7.11 ds.m ⁻¹ |
| OM | | 0.74 % |
| Available nits | 32.48 mg.kg ⁻¹ | |
| Available pho | 8.16 mg.kg ⁻¹ | |
| Available pot | assium | 130.49 mg.kg ⁻¹ |
| | Sand | 267 |
| | | |
| | Clay | 395 |
| Soil | | 338 |
| separators | Silt | |
| | | |
| The texture | | Mixed clay |

Soil service operations were carried out, including plowing, smoothing, and leveling. Then the field was divided into three replicates. Each replicate contained 12 experimental units with an area of 4

2.2.Experimental design

A factorial experiment was applied according to a randomized complete block design (R.C.B.D.), in a split-plots

a longitude of 44.39 east.to study effect spraying boron and silicon on grain yield components for cultivars of oat crop in saline soils Table 1 shows some chemical and physical characteristics of fieldsoil

m²(2m*2m). Phosphate fertilizer (DAP 46 :18:0%) was added at a rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ [7] mixed with the soil, and the planting process was carried out on lines with a distance between one line and another of 20 cm at a seed rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ [8]. Nitrogen fertilizer (urea 46% N) was added at a rate of 120 kg ha⁻¹ In three equal batches, the first after emergence, the second at the beginning of the tillers stage, and the third when 50% of the plants in the experimental unit had flowered [9]. The plants were sprayed during two stages of growth, the first in the tillers stage and the second in the elongation stage. Data were collected and analyzed using the readymade statistical program GenStat V.20 according to the method. Adopted by [10], the least significant difference test was used at the probability level of 0.05 to compare the arithmetic means.

arrangement, with three replications. It included a study of the effect of two factors: the first factor: three cultivars of

oats, Shifa, Al-Jouda, and Oats11, and the second factor: Spraying four nutrient treatments: spraying distilled water only (comparison), spraying boron at a concentration of 1 ml L⁻¹ (in the form of artificial boron foliage fertilizer - boron 13.20%), spraying silicon at a

concentration of 1 ml L⁻¹ (in the form of potassium silicate - silicon oxide 35 %, potassium oxide 12%), and spray both boron at a concentration of 1 ml L⁻¹ and silicon at a concentration of 1 ml L⁻¹ together.

2.3.The studied traits

Some grains yield characteristics were measured when the plants harvested, by taking the mean of a random sample of each experimental unit, for studied traits:

- The number of panicles per mater.
- The the number of grains per panicle.
- weight thousand grains.
- the grain yield is ton per hectare
- the biology yield: is ton per hectare.
- 3. Results and discussion
- 3.1. Number of Panicle per Square mater (panicle .m²)

The results in Table 2 indicated that there are significant differences between the genotpes in number of panicles, as the sheffa cultivar recorded the highest average of 375.30 panicle m⁻², compared to the oat11 cultivar, which recorded the lowest average of 342.20 panicle m⁻². The reason for this may be due to the role of the genetic factor in determining the cultivar ability to form tillers and transform them into fertile panicles, based on its efficiency in producing the largest amount of the products photosynthesis process[11]. It is evident from the same Table that there significant differences between the nutrients in the number of panicles, there was superiority for the silicon spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ recorded the highest average of 375.23

- Harvest index (%): Calculated through the following equation: Harvest index% = (grain yield / biological yield) x 100. -Percentage of infertility (%): Calculated

from the following equation: Percentage of infertility = number of empty seeds/number of total seeds x 100.

panicle m⁻², compared to the control treatment, which recorded the lowest average of 336.96 panicle m⁻². The reason for this superiority may be due to the positive effect of the silicon element in reducing the harmful effects environmental stresses and increasing the plants ability to absorb water important nutrients to increase efficiency of the photosynthesis process [12]. The results also indicated there was a significant interaction between cultivars and nutrients, as the interaction treatment between the sheffa cultivar and spraying silicon at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ excelled in the Number of panicles, by recording the highest average of 390.9 panicle m⁻² compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded the lowest averages only 308.3 panicle m⁻².

Table 2 Effect of boron and silicon on the number of panicles (panicle .m⁻²) for oat cultivars in saline soil.

| Nutrients | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + | Cultivars |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Cultivars | Control | Doron | Billeon | Silicon | averages |
| Shifa | 365.4 | 363.1 | 390.9 | 381.90 | 375.30 |
| Alguda | 337.2 | 355.2 | 374.9 | 366.70 | 358.50 |
| Oat11 | 308.3 | 346.3 | 359.9 | 354.20 | 342.20 |
| nutrients averages | 336.96 | 354.86 | 375.23 | 367.60 | |
| L.S.D _{0.05} | Cultivars = 9 | .70 ,Nutrier | nts = 9.19 ,Inte | eraction = 15.53 | |

3.2. Number of Grains per Panicle(grain.panicle⁻¹)

According to Table 3 indicated there are significant differences between cultivars, the nutrients and interaction between the cultivars and nutrients in the number of grains, as the Algouda cultivar recorded the highest average of 56.92 grain panicle⁻¹, compared to the oat11 cultivar. which recorded the lowest average of 47.28 grain panicle⁻¹. The reason for this may be attributed to the difference between varieties in genetic factors that are positively associated with quantitative traits and the number of grains is one of the most important of these traits[13].that there was superiority for the boron spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ recorded the highest average of 55.29 grain panicle⁻¹, compared to the control treatment, which recorded the lowest

average of 50.48 grain panicle⁻¹. The reason for this may be due to the important role of the boron element in controlling the activity of proteins in the pollen tube, in addition to controlling the distribution of synthetic materials, especially proteins, through cellular proliferation practices, including the gaps that lead to the expansion of the pollen tube [14-15]. While the interaction treatment between the Algouda cultivar and spraying boron at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ excelled in the trait the number of grain per panicle by recording the highest average of 60.11 grain panicle⁻¹, compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded the lowest averages 44.22 grain panicle⁻¹.

Table 3 Effect of boron and silicon on the Number of grains per panicle (grain.panicle⁻¹)for oat cultivars in saline soil.

| Nutrients | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + | Cultivars |
|--------------------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Cultivars | | | | Silicon | averages |
| Shifa | 54.67 | 57.33 | 54.22 | 54.11 | 55.08 |
| Alguda | 52.56 | 60.11 | 56.22 | 58.78 | 56.92 |
| Oat11 | 44.22 | 48.44 | 50.00 | 46.44 | 47.28 |
| nutrients averages | 50.48 | 55.29 | 53.48 | 53.11 | |

| L.S.D _{0.05} | Cultivars=2.72 | ,Nutrients=2.07 | ,Interaction=3.72 |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| L.S.D 0.05 | | | |

3.3. Weight Thousand Grain (g)

The results presented in Table demonstated that there are significant differences between the cultivars in the weight thousand grain, as the sheffa cultivar recorded the highest average of 29.23 g, compared to the oat11 cultivar, which recorded the lowest average of 27.05 g. The reason for the difference between the varieties may be attributed to the fact that the characteristic of the weight of a thousand grains is one of the components of the yield, which has a high degree of heritability compared to the other quantitative components, in addition to the variation of the varieties in the extent to which they benefit from the products of the photosynthesis process, their accumulation in the grains, and their increased weight [16]. As for spraying nutrients, it led to a significant increase in the weight of a thousand grains, that there was superiority for the silicon spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ recorded the highest average of 29.39 g, compared to the control treatment which gave the lowest average of 25.97 g. The reason may be to the role of silicon in the production of dry matter, its transfer from the leaves, its accumulation in the grains, and its increase in weight, it is one of the important components leading to an increase in the economic yield and hence the harvest index[17].also indicated that there was a significant interaction between the cultivars and nutrients, as interaction treatment between the sheffa cultivar and spraying silicon at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ excelled in the weight thousand grain by recording the highest average of 31.63 g, compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded the lowest averages 24.61 g.

Table 4 Effect of boron and silicon on the 1000 grain weight (g) for oat cultivars in saline soil.

| Nutrients | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + | Cultivars |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Cultivars | | | | Silicon | averages |
| Shifa | 26.87 | 28.8 | 31.63 | 29.60 | 29.23 |
| Alguda | 26.43 | 27.36 | 28.12 | 28.63 | 27.64 |
| Oat11 | 24.61 | 27.07 | 28.43 | 28.10 | 27.05 |
| nutrients averages | 25.97 | 27.74 | 29.39 | 29.23 | |
| L.S.D _{0.05} | Cultivars = 0 | .63 ,Nutrier | nts = 0.64 , Inte | eraction = 1.07 | |

3.4. Grains Yield (ton.ha⁻¹)

The results shown in Table 5 showed there are significant differences between the oat cultivars, the nutrients and interaction between the cultivars and nutrients in the grains yield, as the sheffa cultivar recorded

the highest average of 6.05 ton ha⁻¹, compared to the oat11 cultivar which recorded the lowest average of 4.31 ton ha⁻¹. The reason for this may be attributed to the difference in the varieties in their

response to environmental conditions, as well as the difference in the basic yield components of each variety, Perhaps the superiority of the Sheffa cultivar in the two characteristics of the number of panicles and the weight of a thousand grains causes a greater increase in the purity of the other components and thus an increase in the grain yield[18] .that there was superiority for the silicon spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L-1recorded the highest average of 5.88 ton ha⁻¹, compared to the control treatment, which gave the lowest average of 4.40 ton ha⁻¹. The reason for this may be attributed to the role of silicon in enhancing the work of some enzymes important for chemical reactions

and reducing the harmful effects of free radicals that negatively affect the work of plant organelles, which improves the characteristics of vegetative reproductive growth, such as increasing the number of panicles and the weight of a thousand grains, which are among the basic components for increasing grain yield[19]. The interaction treatment between the sheffa cultivar and spraying silicon at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ excelled in the trait of the grains yield by recording the highest average of 6.69 ton ha⁻¹, compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded the lowest averages only 3.29 ton ha⁻¹.

Table 5 Effect Effect of boron and silicon on the grains yield (ton.ha⁻¹) for oat cultivars in saline soil.

| Nutrients | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + | Cultivars |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Cultivars | Control | Boron | Sincon | Silicon | averages |
| Shifa | 5.41 | 6.00 | 6.69 | 6.12 | 6.05 |
| Alguda | 4.50 | 5.82 | 5.85 | 6.21 | 5.59 |
| Oat11 | 3.29 | 4.40 | 5.10 | 4.46 | 4.31 |
| nutrients averages | 4.40 | 5.40 | 5.88 | 5.60 | |
| L.S.D _{0.05} | Cultivars = 0. | ,Nutrient | s = 0.25 ,Inter | action = 0.44 | |

3.5. Biological Yield (ton.ha⁻¹)

The oat cultivars differed significantly in terms of biological yield, as shown in table 6, as the sheffa cultivar recorded the highest average of 15.29 ton ha⁻¹, compared to the oat11 cultivar, which recorded the lowest mean of 13.16 ton ha⁻¹. The reason for this may be attributed to the difference in the characteristics of tillering ability and dry matter production, which represents the effectiveness of the plant in the process of photosynthesis, in addition to the increase achieved by the Sheffa cultivar in the character of grain yield,

which comes from a group of components that indicate the extent of improvement in growth characteristics, and this is reflected in the accumulation of dry matter and thus Biological outcome[20]. Spraying nutrients also caused a significant increase in dry matter yield, there was superiority for the silicon spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ recorded the highest average of 15.30 ton ha⁻¹, compared to the control treatment, which gave the lowest average of 12.55 ton ha⁻¹. The reason for this may be attributed to the role of silicon in

enhancing physiological processes within the plant and increasing the absorption and accumulation of nutrients necessary for the photosynthesis process, which is reflected in the accumulation of dry matter (biological yield)[21]. While a significant interaction was observed between oat cultivars and nutrients in this trait through, the interaction between the sheffa cultivar and spraying silicon at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ excelled in the trait of the biology yield by recording the highest average of 16.03 ton ha⁻¹ compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded the lowest averages only 10.92 ton. ha⁻¹.

Table 6 Effect of boron and silicon on the Biology yield (ton.ha⁻¹) for oat cultivars in saline soil.

| Nutrients | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + | Cultivars |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Cultivars | Control | Dolon | Sincon | Silicon | averages |
| Shifa | 14.19 | 15.05 | 16.03 | 15.88 | 15.29 |
| Alguda | 12.54 | 15.00 | 15.51 | 15.56 | 14.65 |
| Oat11 | 10.92 | 13.41 | 14.38 | 15.94 | 13.16 |
| nutrients averages | 12.55 | 14.49 | 15.30 | 15.13 | |
| L.S.D _{0.05} | Cultivars = 0 | .56 ,Nutrier | nts = 0.38 , Inte | eraction = 0.72 | |

3.6. Harvest Index (%)

The results of the table 7 showed there are a significant difference between the study factors and the interaction between them in the harvest index, as the sheffa cultivar recorded the highest average of 39.11%, compared to the oat11 cultivar which recorded the lowest average of 32.01 %. The reason for this may be attributed to the Shiffa cultivar possessing a number of characteristics compared to the rest of the varieties, such as the number of tillers producing panicles and the production and accumulation of dry matter in the grains, which caused an increase in grain yield, which was reflected in the harvest index [22].the silicon spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ recorded the highest average of 37.76 %, compared to

the control treatment, which gave the lowest average of 34.2 %. The reason for the increase in the harvest index may be attributed to the role of the silicon element in suppressing harmful free radicals resulting from the effect of salt stress and thus improving the characteristics of vegetative growth, which led to an increase in the ratio of grain yield to biological interaction yield[23]. The treatment between the sheffa cultivar and spraying silicon at a concentration of 1 ml.L excelled in the trait of the harvest index by recording the highest average of 41.43 %, compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded the lowest averages 29.73 %..

| Nutrients Cultivars | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + Silicon | Cultivars averages |
|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Shifa | 37.96 | 39.26 | 41.43 | 37.81 | 39.11 |
| Alguda | 34.91 | 38.16 | 37.25 | 39.25 | 37.39 |
| 0 11 | 29.73 | 32.21 | 34.62 | 31.49 | 32.01 |

37.76

. Nutrients = 1.23

Table 7 Effect of boron and silicon on the harvest index (%) for oat cultivars in saline soil.

36.54

3.7.Percentage of Infertility (%)

Oat11

L.S.D _{0.05}

nutrients averages

The results in Table 8 explained there are significant differences between the oat cultivars in trait the Percentage of infertility, as the sheffa cultivar recorded average of 4.60 %, compared to the oat11 cultivar which recorded average of 6.49 %. The reason for the discrepancy between varieties may be attributed to differences in genetic factors and the extent of their adaptation to environmental conditions [24]. While the boron spray treatment at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ recorded average of 4.48 %, compared to the control treatment which gave average of 6.52 %. The reason for this may be attributed to the role of the active element boron in the

34.2

Cultivars = 1.58

processes of transferring carbohydrates from the source to the downstream, which is necessary to complete the processes of pollination and fertilization, and this is reflected in the increase in the number of grains[25-26].The results also indicate that there was a significant interaction between the cultivars and nutrients, as the interaction treatment between the sheffa cultivar and spraying boron at a concentration of 1 ml.L⁻¹ excelled in the Percentage of infertility by recording average of 4.01 %, compared to the interaction treatment between the Oats11 cultivar and the control treatment that recorded averages only 8.34 %.

36.18

.Interaction = 2.20

Table 8 Effect of boron and silicon on the Percentage of infertility (%) for oat cultivars in saline soil.

| Nutrients | Control | Boron | Silicon | Boron + | Cultivars |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Cultivars | Control | Boron | Silicon | Silicon | averages |
| Shifa | 5.59 | 4.01 | 4.47 | 4.32 | 4.60 |
| Alguda | 5.62 | 4.12 | 4.6 | 4.28 | 4.65 |
| Oat11 | 8.34 | 5.29 | 6.64 | 5.69 | 6.49 |
| nutrients averages | 6.52 | 4.48 | 5.23 | 4.76 | |
| L.S.D _{0.05} | Cultivars = 0 | .16 ,Nutrier | nts = 0.24 , Integrated, | eraction = 0.38 | |

Conclusion

conclude from this experiment that the cultivars differ among themselves in the basic grain production components, which distinguishes the Shifa variety from the rest of the cultivars. The silicon spraying process also

References

- [1] Ghani, A. J. (2016). Oats, Agricultural Research Department, Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Extension and Training Department, p. 15.
- [2]USDA.(2018).World Agriculture Production. foreign agriculture service office of global analysis Washington. DC 20250-1051.
- [3] Al-Furaih, L. M., Hudhaili, K.H and Al-Abdullah.S.A.K (2020). Response of oat varieties, *Avena sativa* L., to
- biological and mineral fertilization. Syrian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 7 (2): 131-148.
- [4] Hanifuzzaman, M., Uddin, F. M., Mostofa, M. G., Sarkar, S. K., Paul, S. K., and Rashid, M. H. (2022). Effect of zinc and boron management on yield and yield contributing characters of Aus rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Research on Crops, 23(1), 1-10.
- [5] Wang, M., Wang, R., Mur, L. A. J., Ruan, J., Shen, Q., and Guo, S. (2021). Functions of silicon in plant drought stress responses. Horticulture Research, 8.254.
- [6]Abbas, S. H. (2011). Estimation of Correlations and Path Coefficient Analysis of Bread Wheat Varieties Cultivated under Three Seeding Rates.

led to a significant increase in most grain production characteristics of plants grown in saline soil.

- Kufa Journal for Agricultural Sciences, 3 (1).
- [7] Silva, J. A. D., Goi, C. J., Fernandes, S. B., Mantai, R. D., Scremin, O. B., and Pretto, R. (2016). Nitrogen efficiency in oats on grain yield with stability. RevistaBrasileira de EngenhariaAgrícola e Ambiental, 20(12), 1095-1100.
- [8] Devi, U., Singh, K. P., Kumar, S., and Sewhag, M. (2014). Effect of nitrogen levels, organic manures and Azotobacter inoculation on yield and economics of multi-cut oats. Forage Res, 40(1), 36-43.
- [9]Ratan, N., Singh, U. N., and Pandey, H. C. (2016). Yield and quality of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and varieties in Bundelkhand region (UP) India. Agri. Sci. Res. J, 6(1): 27-30.
- [10] Al-Asadi, M. H. S. (2019)GenStat. To analyze agricultural experiments. Al-Qasim Green University - College of Agriculture. Dar Al-Warith Printing and Publishing Press. Iraq. 304 p.
- [11]May, W.E.; R.M. Mohr; G.P. Lafond; A.M Jonston; and F.C. Stevenson (2018). Effect of nitrogen seeding date and cultivar on Oat quality and yield in the eastern Canadian Prairies. Can. J.Plant Sci., 1025-1036.
- [12] Ning, D., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Qin, A., Huang, C., Fu, Y., ... and Duan, A.

- (2023). The effects of foliar supplementation of silicon on physiological and biochemical responses of winter wheat to drought stress during different growth stages. Plants, 12(12): 2386. 2-14.
- [13] Mahadevan. M.; F.C. Daniel; K.Z. Pamela; and V.O. Sadras (2016). The critical period for yielddetermination in oat (*Avena sativa* L.). Field Crop Res., 199:109-116.
- [14] Jasim, A. H., and Arut, A. A. (2024).Response of Mung Bean Yield to Boron and Different NPK Formulas..International Journal of Agriculture and Earth Science (IJAES),10(2):100-105.
- [15] Songsriin, J., Yamuangmorn, S., Lordkaew, S., Jumrus, S., Veeradittakit, J., Jamjod, S., and Prom-U-Thai, C. (2023). Efficacy of Soil and Foliar Boron Fertilizer on Boron Uptake and Productivity in Rice. Agronomy, 13(3): 692.
- [16] Al-Rawi, D. S.,&Abood, N. M. (2021). Response of Oats Cultivars to Spraying with Nano and Mineral Zinc and Potassium on Yield and its Components. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science,904(1): 12-50.
- [17] Ali, H., Ahmad, M., Alvi, M. H., Ali, M. F., Mahmood, I., Ahmad, S., and Sameen, A. (2023). Foliar application of silicon to boost biochemical and physiological response in oat under water stress. Silicon, 15(12): 5317-5329.
- [18]Saad, A. S., and Alrubaiee, S. (2023). Effect of two oat cultivars and different spraying concentrations of some amino acids on the yield characteristic and their components. Euphrates journal of agricultural science, 15(1): 93-100.

- [19]Hassan, M.F.,andAlsulaiman, M.A., 2022. Effect of foliar application of silicon on some growth properties and forage yield of Oat cultivars (*Avena sativa* L.) grown under saline affected soils. Journal of NeuroQuantology 20; 6884-6898.
- [20]Bilal. M.; M. Ayub; M. Tariq; M. Tahir; and M.A. Nadeem (2017). Dry matter yield and forage qualitytraits of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) J. of the Saudi society of Agri. Sci., 16:236-241.
- [21] Hassan, M. F., and ALsulaiman, M. A. (2023). Effect of Foliar application of Silicon on Oat varieties (*Avena sativa* L.) Grain yield and Components under Silt-affected soil. Texas Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 12: 57-62.
- [22] Al-Sultani, A. J. K., Lehmood, A. M., and Al-Mohammad, M. H. (2023). Effect of Spraying Nano-Silicon in some Growth and Yield Traits For Two Oats Cultivars. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1262(5):30-50.
- [23] Kutasy, E., Buday-Bódi, E., Virág, I. C., Forgács, F., Melash, A. A., Zsombik, L., and Csajbók, J. (2021). Mitigating the negative effect of drought stress in oat (*Avena sativa* L.) with silicon and sulphur foliar fertilization. Plants, 11(1): 30.
- [24] Anis, A. H. A. and Al-Hajjouj.Y.A.H. (2023). Study of the effect of different water quality and number of irrigations and their interaction with inputs of oat *Avena sativa* L. in Iraqi conditions. Syrian Journal of Agricultural Research 10(1): 216-236.
- [25]Bari, A. A., Promi, R. J., Muhyidiyn, I., Pramanik, M. H., Demir, C., ERMAN, M., and Islam, M. S. (2023). Sulphur

and Boron Fertilization Increased Productivity of Boro Rice (BRRI dhan28) by Increasing Pollen Fertility and Agronomic Efficiency in Calcareous Soils. ISPEC Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 7(1): 218-236.

[26] Ijaz, M., Ul-Allah, S., Sher, A., Sattar, A., Mahmood, K., Alamri, S., ndaHussain,

I. (2023). Boron Fertilization Alleviates the Adverse Effects of Late Sowing in Wheat under Different Tillage Systems. Agriculture, 13(6):1229.