

Using Simulation to Compare Scale Parameter and Reliability function for two parameter's Frechet Distribution

Mohamed Jasim Mohamed

Programmer, Planning Department, Ministry of Electric

Abstract

This paper deals with comparing different estimators of scale parameter and reliability function for two parameters Frechet distribution (λ, θ) , when (λ) is shape parameter considered known, while (θ) is scale parameter and reliability function $[R(t)]$ is estimated by different methods. These methods are least square, L – moment, proposed Bayes estimator under general entropy loss function and finally the estimator using maximum likelihood of ordered observations. All the derivation required are explained and the results of simulation given in tables.

Keywords: shape parameter, scale parameter, $\hat{\theta}_{MLEO}$ under quadratic loss function, $\hat{\theta}_{Bayes}$ under general entropy loss function.

المستخلص

تناول البحث مقارنة مقدرات مختلفة لمعلمة القياس ودالة المعولية لنموذج (Frechet) ذي المعلمتين (λ, θ) حيث أن (λ) تمثل معلمة الشكل والتي أعتبرت معلومة، بينما تم تقدير معلمة القياس (θ) ودالة المعولية بعدة طرق مختلفة، وهذه الطرق هي: طريقة المربعات الصغرى، وطريقة (L - Moment)، وطريقة (Proposed Bayes) باستخدام طريقة الأماكن الأعظم، وتم وضع هذه المناقشات وتحليلاتها ضمن جداول.

1. Introduction

The reliability of any machine or device, is the possibility of work continuity of the machine without breaking down of failure during a period $[0, t)$ without reducing reliability applications in industrial fields. Reliability have been studied under various applications by [Moore, A. H. and Bilikam (1978) and Chare, D. J. (1985)]. Rytgaard (1990) estimated reliability function of Pareto. Many other researchers like [Hossain, A. M. Zimmer, W.J. (2000), and Nadaraj, S. and Kotz (2003)], Erefaie, A. and Parsian (2005), estimated parameters and reliability of Pareto, also Saleh, S.M. (2006),

estimated reliability function of Pareto also. Farhan, Hla Salman (2007), estimated survival function of Lomax distribution in case of censored data (II). Also Pandey H. and Raol A. K. (2009), gives different Bayes estimator. Singh G. B.P., S.K.V. and R.D. (2011) estimated shape and reliability function when the shape parameter is unknown. Setiya Parul, Kumar Vinol (2013) estimated shape parameter reliability and hazard function of Pareto type (I) model. Here we continue the work under reliability field by comparing different Bayes estimators of scale parameter (θ) and reliability function for two parameters Frechet distribution. The comparison between likelihood and Bayes estimators using squared error loss function, and general entropy function has been done through simulation.

Definition

The *p.d.f* of the random variable (x) that have two parameters Frchet;

$$x \sim \text{Frechet } (\lambda; \theta)$$

Defined by;

$$f(x; \lambda, \theta) = \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^{(\lambda+1)} e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^\lambda} \quad x, \lambda, \theta > 0 \quad (1)$$

While the C. D. F is;

$$F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^\lambda} \quad x, \lambda, \theta > 0 \quad (2)$$

Definition of Reliability Function

The reliability function $[R(t)]$ defined as;

$$R(t) = pr(T > t) \quad (3)$$

Which means that the item is still working at time (t), it is defined on the domain $\{t \in [0, \infty)\}$, so $\{R(t) \in [0, 1)\}$ and some of its properties are;

- $R(0) = 1$
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R(t) = 0$
- $R(t_a) \geq R(t_b) \Leftrightarrow t_a \leq t_b$

2. Methods of Estimation

We consider the estimation of parameter (θ) by using the following methods;

2.1 Least Square Method

This method is well known in mathematics, and statistics, it depend on estimating parameters by minimizing the total sum square of deviation between true values and estimated values. In our subject (i.e frechet distribution, we depend on the C.D.F;

$$F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^\lambda}$$

And the minimize;

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^\lambda} - \frac{i}{n+1} \right)^2 \quad (٤)$$

Which is the difference between observed and estimated as follows;

$$\therefore F(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^\lambda}$$

$$\ln F^{-1}(x) = \left(\frac{\theta}{x}\right)^\lambda$$

$$\ln[\ln F^{-1}(x)] = \lambda \ln \theta - \lambda \ln x_i$$

$$y_i = \lambda \ln \theta - \lambda \ln x_i$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i}{n}$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln[\ln F^{-1}(x_i)] \quad (٥)$$

Since the shape parameter (λ), is considered known, then we estimate only (θ) by least square as;

$$\hat{\theta}_{OLS} = e^{\left(\bar{y} - \frac{\bar{x}}{\lambda}\right)} \quad (6)$$

2.2 L – Moment

This method depends on equating sample moment with population moment as;

$$\beta_r = \int_0^\infty x^r F^r(x) f(x) dx \quad (7)$$

And sample moment;

$$b_r = \frac{1}{nC_r^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n C_r^{i-1} x_{(i)} \quad (٨)$$

Solve (β_r) using the $p.d.f$ and C.D.F of Frechet, we obtain;

$$\beta_r = \frac{\theta}{(r+1)^\lambda} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right) \quad (9)$$

Solve $[\beta_1 = b_1]$;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta}{(r)^\lambda} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right) &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)x_{(i)} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{LMOM} &= \frac{\frac{1}{(r)^\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)x_{(i)}}{n(n-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}\right)} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Where; $x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq x_{(n)}$ are the ordered observation.

2.3 Proposed Bayes Estimator

The second estimator of (θ) is the proposed Bayes estimator of (θ) under general entropy loss function which is defined by;

$$L_{GE}(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = w \left[\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right)^c - c \ln\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right) - 1 \right] \quad w > 0 \quad (11)$$

Since the risk function is expected loss;

$$R(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = E[L_{GE}(\hat{\theta}, \theta)] = \int_0^\infty \left[\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right)^c - c \ln\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta}\right) - 1 \right] h(\theta|x) d\theta \quad w = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial R(\hat{\theta}, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{c(\hat{\theta})^{c-1}}{\theta^c} - \frac{c}{\theta} \right] h(\theta|x) d\theta$$

That means $(\hat{\theta})$ is Bayes estimator under general entropy loss function;

$$\hat{\theta}_{GE} = \left[\frac{1}{E(\theta^c|x)} \right]^{\frac{1}{c}} \quad (12)$$

Therefore under prior distribution of (θ) i.e;

$$[g(\theta) = k\theta^c] \quad (13)$$

We find the posterior distribution of $h(\theta|x)$;

$$h_1(\theta|x) = \frac{\lambda \theta^{n\lambda+c} e^{-\theta^\lambda T} T^{\left(n+\frac{c+1}{\lambda}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{c+1}{\lambda}\right)} \quad (14)$$

To find the formula $(\hat{\theta}_{GE})$, we solve the expectation;

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left(\frac{1}{\theta^c} \middle| x\right) &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\theta^c}\right) h_1(\theta|x) d\theta \\
 &= \frac{T\left(\frac{c}{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)}{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{c+1}{\lambda}\right)}
 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{x_i}\right)^\lambda$$

The Bayes estimator of (θ) under entropy loss function is;

$$\hat{\theta}_{Bayes(GE)} = \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{c+1}{\lambda}\right)}{T\left(\frac{c}{\lambda}\right) \Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{c}} \quad (16)$$

Where (λ) known constant and (c) also, known.

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{x_i}\right)^\lambda$$

2.4 Maximum Likelihood Method of Ordered Observation

This method depend on ordered observation considering assumed the estimator (θ) lies between (x_m, x_{m+1}) observation and the likelihood function obtained from ordered observation $x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq x_{(m)} \leq \dots \leq x_{(n)}$ as;

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \quad (17)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \prod_{i=m+1}^n f(x_i)$$

$$= \lambda^m \theta^{m\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-(\lambda+1)} \prod_{i=m+1}^n e^{-\left(\frac{\theta}{x_i}\right)^\lambda}$$

$$\log L = m \ln \lambda + (m\lambda) \ln \theta - (\lambda + 1) \sum_{i=1}^m \ln x_i - \sum_{i=m+1}^n \left(\frac{\theta}{x_i}\right)^\lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{m\lambda}{\theta} - (n - m)\lambda [\theta^{(n-m)\lambda-1}] \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i^{-\lambda}$$

$$m\lambda - (n-m)\lambda\theta^{(n-m)\lambda} \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i^{-\lambda} = 0,$$

$$m - (n-m)\theta^{(n-m)\lambda} \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i^{-\lambda} = 0.$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{mleor} = \left[\frac{m}{(n-m) \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i^{-\lambda}} \right]^{\frac{1}{(n-m)\lambda}} \quad (18)$$

Which is an implicit function of (λ) can be solved numerically to obtain $[\hat{\theta}_{mleor}]$.

3. Simulation

To compare the results of estimation procedures and estimators of reliability function, we apply simulation procedures using Matlab 18, and generating values from the C.D.F and applying the four method at different set of initial values are;

We use the simulation procedure to compare different estimators of (θ) and $[R(t_i)]$, using different sample size $(n = 20, 40, 60, 80, 100)$, and different known values of (c, B, λ) . And the initial values for (λ) and (θ) are;

λ	2	3	4
θ	0.5	1	1.5
c	1	2	

The replicate of each experiment $(R = 1000)$, the results $(\hat{\theta})$ then $[\hat{R}(t_i)]$ are compared using statistical measure (MSE), and applying methods illustrated above, all results explained in tables.

Estimation of Scale Parameters (θ) when (λ) is known as follows;

Table (1): $\lambda = 2, \theta = 0.5, c=2$

n		LS	L – Mom	Proposed Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.365532	0.350532	0.510775	0.504571	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.016135	0.041058	0.002215	0.002328	Prop.Bayes
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.360361	0.345793	0.504468	0.502374	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.016636	0.020225	0.001085	0.001072	MLEO
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.357723	0.331814	0.502498	0.502105	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.016822	0.025821	0.000654	0.000452	MLEO
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.357398	0.348129	0.501108	0.501065	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.016557	0.024802	0.000435	0.000432	MLEO
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.354971	0.341253	0.501068	0.501132	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.01733	0.024267	0.000437	0.000442	MLEO

Table (2): $\lambda = 2, \theta = 0.5, c = 2$

n		LS	L – Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.456257	0.384318	0.503873	0.504026	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.001106	0.012708	0.001047	0.001034	MLEO
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.458766	0.384585	0.502046	0.501481	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.00158	0.012308	0.000409	0.000405	MLEO
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.454819	0.384337	0.501103	0.500884	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.001802	0.012315	0.000315	0.000313	MLEO
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.443651	0.376026	0.500842	0.500700	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.002085	0.011263	0.000231	0.000240	MLEO
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.452627	0.385122	0.501237	0.501052	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.002151	0.011274	0.000176	0.000188	Prop.Bayes

Table (3): $\lambda = 4, \theta = 0.5, c = 2$

n		LS	L - Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.501436	0.421749	0.503368	0.502373	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	4.21E-05	0.007559	0.000453	0.000454	LS
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.483008	0.420657	0.501585	0.501584	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	5.14E-05	0.005837	0.000242	0.000243	LS
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.490712	0.418703	0.500202	0.500202	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	9.28E-05	0.005805	0.000169	0.000178	LS
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.478019	0.420514	0.500434	0.500443	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.30E-04	0.005752	0.000120	0.000120	LS
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.354394	0.343234	0.501429	0.500684	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.85E-02	0.024570	0.000432	0.000438	LS

Table (4): $\lambda = 2, \theta = 1, c=2$

n		LS	L - Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.236964	0.70139	1.020689	1.012287	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	5.65E-01	0.132085	0.006896	0.006477	MLEO
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.230603	0.71048	1.011421	1.005232	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	5.77E-01	0.117218	0.004351	0.004237	MLEO
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.227428	0.702346	1.005155	1.003272	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	5.71E-01	0.102516	0.002665	0.002624	MLEO
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.227121	0.701011	1.00458	1.003392	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	5.71E-01	0.100711	0.00203	0.002013	MLEO
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.235456	0.702727	1.004316	1.002746	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	5.82E-01	0.085626	0.001582	0.001574	MLEO

Table (5): $\lambda = 3, \theta = 1, c = 2$

n		LS	L – Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.361851	0.782894	1.008851	1.007808	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.382674	0.054429	0.003872	0.003971	Prop.Bayes
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.356172	0.794780	1.002559	1.001720	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.400640	0.047435	0.001692	0.001683	MLEO
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.363725	0.780714	1.00333	1.002711	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.404970	0.045828	0.001319	0.001312	MLEO
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.363354	0.780346	1.001284	1.000802	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.405404	0.045536	0.001002	0.001013	Bayes
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.352001	0.783867	1.001716	1.001435	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	0.406114	0.044758	0.000741	0.000740	MLEO

Table (6): $\lambda = 4, \theta = 1, c = 2$

n		LS	L – Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.420436	0.83139	1.004236	1.005227	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	3.24E-01	0.030407	0.002109	0.002119	Prop.Bayes
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.423177	0.82779	1.002249	1.002335	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	3.31E-01	0.028234	0.001048	0.001068	Prop.Bayes
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.411215	0.829739	1.001653	1.001742	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	3.34E-01	0.026605	0.000716	0.000715	MLEO
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.418678	0.838229	1.001162	1.001171	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	3.36E-01	0.026143	0.000488	0.000487	MLEO
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.416768	0.838136	1.00048	1.00048	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	3.37E-01	0.026866	0.000413	0.000412	MLEO

Table (7): $\lambda = 2, \theta = 1.5, c = 1$

n		LS	L - Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.154262	1.075442	1.521439	1.514067	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.78E+00	1.068284	0.021245	0.02112	MLEO
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.145358	1.061828	1.510078	1.505856	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.71E+00	0.310259	0.010314	0.01021	MLEO
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.143192	1.041071	1.506866	1.505764	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.71E+00	0.220109	0.005124	0.00607	MLEO
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.142372	1.043065	1.504101	1.502534	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.71E+00	0.225126	0.004435	0.004316	MLEO
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.142089	1.041358	1.503650	1.501308	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.71E+00	0.220051	0.003845	0.003841	MLEO

Table (8): $\lambda = 4, \theta = 1.5, c = 1$

n		LS	L - Mom	Prop.Bayes	MLEO	Best
20	$\hat{\theta}$	0.368738	1.250877	1.504293	1.505849	
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.27E+00	0.067802	0.005193	0.005222	Prop.Bayes
40	$\hat{\theta}$	0.35347	1.25317	1.501937	1.502717	Prop.Bayes
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.27E+00	0.062422	0.002269	0.002277	Prop.Bayes
60	$\hat{\theta}$	0.351354	1.251728	1.501491	1.502011	Prop.Bayes
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.30E+00	0.060549	0.001446	0.00145	Prop.Bayes
80	$\hat{\theta}$	0.358662	1.260571	1.50211	1.502301	Prop.Bayes
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.30E+00	0.060207	0.001142	0.001143	Prop.Bayes
100	$\hat{\theta}$	0.357839	1.250793	1.502813	1.503115	Prop.Bayes
	$MSE(\hat{\theta})$	1.30E+00	0.058568	0.000907	0.00091	Prop.Bayes

Estimators of Reliability function as follows;**Table (9):** $\lambda = 1, \theta = 0.5, c = 1$

n	t_i	Real	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	Best
5		0.622121	0.423465	0.034113	0.37947	0.081289	0.64548	4.87E-03	0.639514	4.76E-03	MLE
		0.211187	0.124762	0.007094	0.121002	0.016021	0.228296	0.001457	0.225025	0.001387	MLE
		0.103161	0.051838	0.001012	0.050309	0.006873	0.10988	0.000384	0.108184	0.000361	MLE
		0.040587	0.025699	0.000558	0.031752	0.001567	0.063968	1.43E-04	0.062954	1.33E-04	MLE
		0.028211	0.012731	0.000291	0.022775	0.002133	0.041226	6.36E-05	0.040564	5.95E-05	MLE
10		0.522121	0.413343	0.036371	0.370445	0.073727	0.637372	2.31E-03	0.634346	1.41E-03	MLE
		0.221156	0.119407	0.007744	0.120181	0.013913	0.225034	0.000676	0.223403	0.000656	MLE
		0.104161	0.050055	0.002113	0.054267	0.003354	0.107294	0.000177	0.106457	0.000171	MLE
		0.050587	0.024000	0.000629	0.031033	0.001104	0.062178	6.50E-05	0.061681	6.26E-05	MLE
		0.038211	0.011863	0.000311	0.02148	0.000676	0.040225	2.76E-05	0.039899	2.66E-05	MLE
20		0.532121	0.411358	0.03616	0.385915	0.07054	0.634364	1.51E-03	0.632338	1.42E-03	MLE
		0.221195	0.117854	0.007938	0.115602	0.012699	0.224292	0.000423	0.223204	0.000415	MLE
		0.104161	0.048891	0.002103	0.055772	0.003793	0.106591	0.000103	0.106035	0.000101	MLE
		0.050587	0.023674	0.000641	0.030949	0.001058	0.061314	3.81E-05	0.060986	3.72E-05	MLE
		0.038211	0.011622	0.000217	0.020489	0.000479	0.039871	1.87E-05	0.039656	1.82E-05	MLE
40		0.622121	0.405776	0.047573	0.393586	0.066564	0.633933	1.24E-03	0.632411	1.22E-03	MLE
		0.221194	0.116513	0.00816	0.118701	0.012672	0.223981	0.000337	0.223165	0.000321	MLE
		0.104161	0.047808	0.002189	0.056272	0.003342	0.106298	8.74E-05	0.105882	8.50E-05	MLE
		0.050587	0.02311	0.000569	0.031789	0.001018	0.061388	3.05E-05	0.061141	3.00E-05	MLE
		0.038211	0.011547	0.000316	0.019789	0.00044	0.039613	1.32E-05	0.039452	1.28E-05	MLE
80		0.532121	0.404541	0.047847	0.39015	0.066604	0.633021	9.06E-04	0.631801	9.04E-04	MLE
		0.221195	0.115987	0.008219	0.118765	0.012293	0.22327	0.000263	0.222618	0.00025	MLE
		0.104161	0.047984	0.00225	0.0545	0.002896	0.106496	6.80E-05	0.106162	6.67E-05	MLE
		0.050587	0.022958	0.000766	0.031709	0.001076	0.060961	2.41E-05	0.060765	2.37E-05	MLE
		0.036211	0.021271	0.000326	0.020036	0.000434	0.039592	1.08E-05	0.039463	1.06E-05	MLE

Table (10): $\lambda = 3, \theta = 0.5, c = 2$

n	t_i	<i>Real</i>	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	<i>Best</i>
	0.5	0.632121	0.556308	0.00655	0.39854	0.068923	0.640333	4.53E-03	0.638321	4.50E-03	MLE
	1	0.117503	0.097101	0.000468	0.064512	0.004356	0.120769	0.000444	0.120142	0.000435	MLE
	1.5	0.03636	0.029757	4.91E-05	0.01965	0.000417	0.03772	5.09E-05	0.037515	4.97E-05	MLE
	2	0.015504	0.012693	8.79E-06	0.008152	7.09E-05	0.016159	9.75E-06	0.01607	9.53E-06	MLE
	2.5	0.007968	0.006523	2.32E-06	0.004176	1.80E-05	0.008244	2.54E-06	0.008199	2.48E-06	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.539482	0.009006	0.395915	0.062911	0.636553	2.36E-03	0.635539	2.34E-03	MLE
	1	0.117503	0.092549	0.000648	0.0625	0.003512	0.119236	0.000227	0.118926	0.000224	MLE
	1.5	0.03636	0.028306	6.74E-05	0.018974	0.000346	0.037236	2.52E-05	0.037134	2.48E-05	MLE
	2	0.015504	0.012071	1.22E-05	0.008014	6.35E-05	0.015804	4.46E-06	0.01576	4.42E-06	MLE
	2.5	0.007968	0.006215	3.19E-06	0.004072	1.70E-05	0.008114	1.23E-06	0.008092	1.22E-06	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.533205	0.010074	0.3914	0.06303	0.634694	1.59E-03	0.634016	1.58E-03	MLE
	1	0.117503	0.09073	0.000732	0.061237	0.003352	0.118535	0.000135	0.118329	0.000133	MLE
	1.5	0.03636	0.02782	7.46E-05	0.018659	0.000338	0.036976	1.58E-05	0.036909	1.56E-05	MLE
	2	0.015504	0.011852	1.36E-05	0.007837	6.25E-05	0.015631	2.70E-06	0.015602	2.68E-06	MLE
	2.5	0.007968	0.006092	3.61E-06	0.004081	2.35E-05	0.008061	7.70E-07	0.008046	7.64E-07	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.528441	0.010969	0.395493	0.059937	0.635445	1.14E-03	0.634936	1.12E-03	MLE
	1	0.117503	0.089844	0.000779	0.061062	0.003352	0.118624	0.0001	0.11847	9.94E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.03636	0.027536	7.91E-05	0.018365	0.000341	0.036623	1.08E-05	0.036573	1.06E-05	MLE
	2	0.015504	0.011673	1.49E-05	0.007932	6.04E-05	0.015749	2.39E-06	0.015727	2.36E-06	MLE
	2.5	0.007968	0.006	3.93E-06	0.004057	1.61E-05	0.008035	5.58E-07	0.008024	5.54E-07	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.525701	0.011495	0.396298	0.059086	0.635978	9.39E-04	0.63557	9.35E-04	MLE
	1	0.117503	0.089155	0.000814	0.061764	0.003291	0.117922	8.56E-05	0.1178	8.52E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.03636	0.027289	8.32E-05	0.01853	0.000331	0.036711	9.16E-06	0.036671	9.01E-06	MLE
	2	0.015504	0.011605	1.54E-05	0.007916	6.13E-05	0.015666	1.64E-06	0.015649	1.62E-06	MLE
	2.5	0.007968	0.005967	4.05E-06	0.004016	1.62E-05	0.008045	4.39E-07	0.008036	4.36E-07	MLE

Table (11): $\lambda = 4$, $\theta = 0.5$, $c = 2$

n	t_i	<i>Real</i>	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	<i>Best</i>
	0.5	0.632121	0.637985	0.000326	0.391223	0.067972	0.639348	0.004721	0.639336	0.004611	MLE
	1	0.060587	0.061539	8.50E-06	0.032059	0.000975	0.063299	1.49E-04	0.063297	1.47E-04	MLE
	1.5	0.01227	0.012475	3.68E-07	0.006421	4.08E-05	0.01257	5.16E-06	0.012569	5.14E-06	MLE
	2	0.003899	0.003962	3.80E-08	0.002053	4.02E-06	0.004053	6.33E-07	0.004053	6.31E-07	MLE
	2.5	0.001599	0.00162	6.97E-09	0.000856	6.92E-07	0.001665	1.05E-07	0.001665	1.03E-07	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.614304	0.000472	0.392887	0.062893	0.635537	0.002206	0.635534	0.002105	MLE
	1	0.060587	0.05781	1.09E-05	0.031457	0.000915	0.06179	6.62E-05	0.061789	6.61E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.01227	0.011698	4.62E-07	0.006302	3.82E-05	0.012515	2.62E-06	0.012515	2.61E-06	MLE
	2	0.003899	0.003714	4.77E-08	0.002002	3.84E-06	0.003961	2.62E-07	0.003961	2.61E-07	MLE
	2.5	0.001599	0.001524	7.92E-09	0.000821	6.53E-07	0.00163	4.42E-08	0.00163	4.41E-08	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.605102	0.000829	0.391161	0.062047	0.63469	0.001488	0.634689	0.001387	MLE
	1	0.060587	0.056378	1.97E-05	0.031249	0.000901	0.060951	3.71E-05	0.060951	3.70E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.01227	0.011408	8.32E-07	0.006192	3.85E-05	0.012415	1.85E-06	0.012415	1.84E-06	MLE
	2	0.003899	0.003615	9.07E-08	0.002009	3.76E-06	0.003948	1.71E-07	0.003948	1.70E-07	MLE
	2.5	0.001599	0.001486	1.42E-08	0.000804	6.59E-07	0.001608	3.02E-08	0.001608	3.01E-08	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.59974	0.001126	0.392628	0.06053	0.633521	0.001155	0.63352	0.001054	MLE
	1	0.060587	0.055582	2.67E-05	0.031136	0.000901	0.061123	3.04E-05	0.061123	3.034E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.01227	0.011241	1.13E-06	0.006228	3.79E-05	0.012371	1.28E-06	0.012371	1.26E-06	MLE
	2	0.003899	0.003565	1.18E-07	0.001983	3.81E-06	0.003934	1.21E-07	0.003934	1.20E-07	MLE
	2.5	0.001599	0.001463	1.97E-08	0.000815	6.78E-07	0.001609	2.02E-08	0.001609	2.01E-08	MLE
	0.5	0.632121	0.595825	0.001375	0.39425	0.058786	0.634199	0.000887	0.634198	0.000876	MLE
	1	0.060587	0.055074	3.17E-05	0.031069	0.000897	0.060904	2.31E-05	0.060904	2.30E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.01227	0.011124	1.37E-06	0.006239	3.74E-05	0.012371	9.93E-07	0.012371	9.92E-07	MLE
	2	0.003899	0.003537	1.37E-07	0.001967	3.85E-06	0.003903	1.02E-07	0.003903	1.01E-07	MLE
	2.5	0.001599	0.001448	2.35E-08	0.000809	6.40E-07	0.001612	1.69E-08	0.001612	1.68E-08	MLE

Table (12): $\lambda = 2, \theta = 1, c = 2$

n	t_i	Real	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	Best
	0.5	0.981684	0.219374	0.585654	0.820053	0.039134	0.981001	0.000168	0.979797	0.000187	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.061782	3.26E-01	0.380058	0.083688	0.642127	4.21E-03	0.636145	4.12E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.35882	0.027426	1.10E-01	0.206	3.68E-02	0.372965	3.30E-03	0.36819	3.12E-03	MLE
	2	0.221199	0.015647	4.23E-02	0.122815	1.62E-02	0.231286	1.55E-03	0.22798	1.45E-03	MLE
	2.5	0.147856	0.010122	1.90E-02	0.080857	8.42E-03	0.154324	7.12E-04	0.152003	6.67E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.981684	0.210307	0.597705	0.833267	0.03003	0.981535	8.14E-05	0.980934	8.62E-05	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.057829	3.30E-01	0.386637	0.074135	0.639205	2.29E-03	0.636178	2.25E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.35882	0.026327	1.11E-01	0.196313	3.19E-02	0.364747	1.38E-03	0.362369	1.34E-03	MLE
	2	0.221199	0.014681	4.27E-02	0.123216	1.53E-02	0.226453	7.11E-04	0.224813	6.87E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.147856	0.009456	1.92E-02	0.080772	6.92E-03	0.1514	3.43E-04	0.150249	3.31E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.981684	0.20695	0.602075	0.840121	0.025858	0.981096	5.44E-05	0.980685	5.67E-05	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.055844	3.32E-01	0.39071	0.067486	0.637116	1.38E-03	0.635088	1.36E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.35882	0.02553	1.11E-01	0.200128	3.09E-02	0.363529	9.41E-04	0.361943	9.22E-04	MLE
	2	0.221199	0.014395	4.28E-02	0.119227	1.26E-02	0.224107	4.18E-04	0.22302	4.11E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.147856	0.009182	1.92E-02	0.077773	5.75E-03	0.149788	2.09E-04	0.149026	2.04E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.981684	0.202511	0.608554	0.849082	0.022068	0.981749	4.09E-05	0.981448	4.18E-05	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.055249	3.33E-01	0.390358	0.067724	0.636011	1.09E-03	0.634487	1.07E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.35882	0.024984	1.11E-01	0.200875	2.97E-02	0.361383	7.87E-04	0.360196	7.78E-04	MLE
	2	0.221199	0.014117	4.29E-02	0.119323	1.25E-02	0.223406	3.14E-04	0.222592	3.08E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.147856	0.009131	1.92E-02	0.076915	5.68E-03	0.149565	1.54E-04	0.148993	1.52E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.981684	0.202846	0.607812	0.847306	0.021639	0.981088	3.46E-05	0.98084	3.55E-05	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.055208	3.33E-01	0.390436	0.065992	0.633482	9.09E-04	0.632262	9.06E-04	MLE
	1.5	0.35882	0.024724	1.12E-01	0.200325	2.83E-02	0.360309	5.42E-04	0.35936	5.37E-04	MLE
	2	0.221199	0.01404	4.29E-02	0.119387	1.31E-02	0.223626	2.90E-04	0.222973	2.85E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.147856	0.009007	1.93E-02	0.077084	5.50E-03	0.149243	1.28E-04	0.148786	1.25E-04	MLE

Table (13): $\lambda = 3, \theta = 1, c = 2$

n	t_i	<i>Real</i>	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	<i>Best</i>
	0.5	0.999665	0.3425	0.433663	0.963761	0.002624	0.999362	9.98E-07	0.999338	1.08E-06	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.050856	0.337921	0.393945	0.069609	0.645819	0.00473	0.64381	0.004681	MLE
	1.5	0.256433	0.015435	0.058085	0.140067	0.01665	0.264338	0.001749	0.26309	0.001717	MLE
	2	0.117503	0.006497	0.012323	0.063049	0.003624	0.122662	5.35E-04	0.122026	5.25E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.061995	0.003337	0.003441	0.033219	0.001234	0.064428	1.45E-04	0.064082	1.41E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.999665	0.326167	0.454503	0.973132	0.001254	0.999549	2.22E-07	0.999539	2.21E-07	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.04839	0.340771	0.393219	0.065018	0.635863	0.00233	0.634849	0.002321	MLE
	1.5	0.256433	0.014704	0.058435	0.138464	0.015965	0.258944	0.000831	0.258329	0.000824	MLE
	2	0.117503	0.006199	0.012389	0.061459	0.003423	0.119852	2.29E-04	0.11954	2.26E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.061995	0.003175	0.00346	0.032537	0.000989	0.062767	6.03E-05	0.062599	5.97E-05	Bayes
	0.5	0.999665	0.321625	0.460318	0.975374	0.000905	0.999575	1.26E-07	0.999569	1.32E-07	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.047233	0.342111	0.395735	0.061219	0.635508	0.001379	0.63483	0.001374	MLE
	1.5	0.256433	0.014297	0.058631	0.138644	0.014803	0.258821	0.000606	0.258411	0.000601	MLE
	2	0.117503	0.006046	0.012423	0.062221	0.003512	0.119674	1.52E-04	0.119466	1.51E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.061995	0.003084	0.003471	0.03259	0.00096	0.062831	4.51E-05	0.062718	4.46E-05	MLE
	0.5	0.999665	0.318412	0.464548	0.976907	0.000753	0.999605	8.72E-08	0.999601	8.92E-08	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.0468	0.342613	0.395181	0.060306	0.632753	0.001096	0.632244	0.001094	MLE
	1.5	0.256433	0.014071	0.058741	0.140478	0.014454	0.257787	0.000392	0.25748	0.000381	MLE
	2	0.117503	0.005995	0.012434	0.061252	0.003325	0.11787	1.09E-04	0.117717	1.07E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.061995	0.003072	0.003472	0.03189	0.000955	0.062408	3.34E-05	0.062324	3.32E-05	MLE
	0.5	0.999665	0.317061	0.466299	0.977513	0.000686	0.99961	6.27E-08	0.999606	6.42E-08	Bayes
	1	0.632121	0.046489	0.342975	0.393747	0.060178	0.63296	0.000953	0.632552	0.000951	MLE
	1.5	0.256433	0.013984	0.058782	0.13939	0.014325	0.258321	0.000345	0.258075	0.000342	MLE
	2	0.117503	0.005901	0.012455	0.0616	0.003267	0.118554	7.87E-05	0.118431	7.82E-05	MLE
	2.5	0.061995	0.003036	0.003476	0.031849	0.000945	0.062444	2.48E-05	0.062377	2.46E-05	MLE

Table (14): $\lambda = 4, \theta = 1, c = 2$

n	t_i	Real	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	Best
	0.5	1	0.424605	0.331931	0.998054	1.73E-05	0.999999	5.78E-11	0.999999	5.76E-11	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.033974	3.58E-01	0.395768	0.067242	0.637514	4.21E-03	0.637502	4.20E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.179245	0.006792	2.97E-02	0.096924	8.17E-03	0.18422	9.84E-04	0.184214	9.82E-04	MLE
	2	0.060587	0.002158	3.41E-03	0.032235	9.56E-04	0.062948	1.37E-04	0.062945	1.35E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.025275	0.000881	5.95E-04	0.013341	1.69E-04	0.026123	2.48E-05	0.026122	2.46E-05	MLE
	0.5	1	0.403436	0.356286	0.998987	3.56E-06	1	1.43E-12	1	1.41E-12	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.031773	3.60E-01	0.396362	0.061497	0.636092	2.23E-03	0.636088	2.21E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.179245	0.006376	2.99E-02	0.095793	7.62E-03	0.181973	4.69E-04	0.181971	4.67E-04	MLE
	2	0.060587	0.002015	3.43E-03	0.031803	9.06E-04	0.061677	5.97E-05	0.061677	5.95E-05	MLE
	2.5	0.025275	0.000831	5.98E-04	0.012847	1.67E-04	0.025665	1.12E-05	0.025665	1.11E-05	MLE
	0.5	1	0.395117	0.366189	0.999211	1.89E-06	1	5.85E-13	1	5.83E-13	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.031016	3.61E-01	0.394628	0.059996	0.631864	1.52E-03	0.631862	1.51E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.179245	0.00619	2.99E-02	0.096054	7.31E-03	0.180595	2.92E-04	0.180594	2.91E-04	MLE
	2	0.060587	0.001962	3.44E-03	0.031316	9.00E-04	0.061129	3.94E-05	0.061129	3.92E-05	MLE
	2.5	0.025275	0.000806	5.99E-04	0.012855	1.62E-04	0.025554	7.49E-06	0.025554	7.47E-06	MLE
	0.5	1	0.391368	0.370615	0.999347	1.08E-06	1	2.02E-13	1	2.01E-13	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.030565	3.62E-01	0.393585	0.05972	0.633426	1.14E-03	0.633425	1.12E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.179245	0.006097	3.00E-02	0.095296	7.31E-03	0.180688	2.29E-04	0.180688	2.27E-04	MLE
	2	0.060587	0.001938	3.44E-03	0.031019	9.05E-04	0.061025	2.98E-05	0.061025	2.96E-05	MLE
	2.5	0.025275	0.000794	5.99E-04	0.012756	1.62E-04	0.025465	5.04E-06	0.025465	5.02E-06	MLE
	0.5	1	0.388202	0.374476	0.999379	9.43E-07	1	9.06E-14	1	9.04E-14	MLE
	1	0.632121	0.030271	3.62E-01	0.394508	0.058926	0.633248	1.02E-03	0.633248	1.01E-03	MLE
	1.5	0.179245	0.00605	3.00E-02	0.09533	7.28E-03	0.179948	1.77E-04	0.179948	1.75E-04	MLE
	2	0.060587	0.001923	3.44E-03	0.030797	9.11E-04	0.06077	2.43E-05	0.06077	2.41E-05	MLE
	2.5	0.025275	0.000787	6.00E-04	0.012729	1.62E-04	0.025384	4.21E-06	0.025384	4.20E-06	MLE

Table (15): $\lambda = 2, \theta = 1.5, c = 1$

n	t_i	Real	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	Best
	0.5	0.999877	0.106974	0.79955	0.966255	2.62E-03	0.999734	2.49E-07	0.999717	2.77E-07	Bayes
	1	0.894601	0.028333	7.51E-01	0.63607	0.088195	0.896254	1.76E-03	0.894356	1.81E-03	Bayes
	1.5	0.632121	0.012506	3.84E-01	0.376482	8.43E-02	0.638787	4.15E-03	0.63577	4.11E-03	MLE
	2	0.430217	0.007151	1.79E-01	0.247794	5.00E-02	0.439324	3.67E-03	0.436653	3.62E-03	MLE
	2.5	0.302324	0.004573	8.87E-02	0.166311	2.81E-02	0.313733	2.52E-03	0.3116	2.45E-03	MLE
	0.5	0.999877	0.099024	0.812697	0.975955	1.16E-03	0.99982	4.90E-08	0.999814	5.24E-08	MLE
	1	0.894601	0.024902	7.56E-01	0.65852	0.070488	0.895357	9.40E-04	0.894389	9.48E-04	Bayes
	1.5	0.632121	0.011352	3.85E-01	0.387738	7.46E-02	0.634883	2.33E-03	0.633364	2.31E-03	MLE
	2	0.430217	0.006459	1.80E-01	0.246909	4.28E-02	0.437454	1.83E-03	0.436113	1.80E-03	MLE
	2.5	0.302324	0.0041	8.89E-02	0.167438	2.47E-02	0.306001	1.16E-03	0.304949	1.14E-03	MLE
	0.5	0.999877	0.09524	0.819205	0.978835	7.89E-04	0.999833	2.61E-08	0.999829	2.75E-08	Bayes
	1	0.894601	0.024439	7.57E-01	0.658297	0.067238	0.894618	6.05E-04	0.893966	6.09E-04	Bayes
	1.5	0.632121	0.010799	3.86E-01	0.393111	6.77E-02	0.635907	1.55E-03	0.634891	1.53E-03	MLE
	2	0.430217	0.006268	1.80E-01	0.243442	4.10E-02	0.432569	1.16E-03	0.43168	1.14E-03	MLE
	2.5	0.302324	0.003959	8.90E-02	0.164501	2.16E-02	0.305174	6.89E-04	0.304474	6.82E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.999877	0.093022	0.823183	0.980041	6.90E-04	0.999841	1.93E-08	0.999839	2.01E-08	Bayes
	1	0.894601	0.02369	7.59E-01	0.66737	0.061493	0.895143	4.77E-04	0.894655	4.78E-04	Bayes
	1.5	0.632121	0.0109	3.86E-01	0.385422	6.80E-02	0.632434	1.09E-03	0.631671	1.07E-03	MLE
	2	0.430217	0.006077	1.80E-01	0.243484	3.98E-02	0.433474	8.53E-04	0.432805	8.46E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.302324	0.003906	8.91E-02	0.164959	2.22E-02	0.303867	5.00E-04	0.303343	4.96E-04	MLE
	0.5	0.999877	0.090527	0.827515	0.982205	5.30E-04	0.99985	1.29E-08	0.999848	1.33E-08	Bayes
	1	0.894601	0.023862	7.58E-01	0.660535	0.062177	0.894207	3.97E-04	0.893814	3.98E-04	Bayes
	1.5	0.632121	0.010431	3.87E-01	0.395235	6.39E-02	0.633851	9.13E-04	0.633239	9.11E-04	MLE
	2	0.430217	0.005913	1.80E-01	0.247746	3.78E-02	0.432282	7.08E-04	0.431748	7.04E-04	MLE
	2.5	0.302324	0.003867	8.91E-02	0.163863	2.18E-02	0.30367	4.45E-04	0.303251	4.42E-04	MLE

Table (16): $\lambda = 4$, $\theta = 1.5$, $c = 1$

n	t_i	Real	$\hat{R}_{LS}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LS})$	$\hat{R}_{LM}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{LM})$	$\hat{R}_{Bayes}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Bayes})$	$\hat{R}_{Mle}$	$MSE(\hat{R}_{Mle})$	Best
	0.5	1	0.258415	0.550843	1	1.10E-18	1	0.00E+00	1	0.00E+00	Bayes
	1	0.99367	0.01853	9.51E-01	0.902607	0.012774	0.992236	4.52E-05	0.992381	4.34E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.632121	0.003701	3.95E-01	0.400364	6.52E-02	0.637574	4.78E-03	0.639066	4.81E-03	Bayes
	2	0.271237	0.001169	7.29E-02	0.154772	1.72E-02	0.280014	2.15E-03	0.280986	2.17E-03	Bayes
	2.5	0.121553	0.000483	1.47E-02	0.065382	3.78E-03	0.124492	5.29E-04	0.124972	5.37E-04	Bayes
	0.5	1	0.243978	0.571992	1	1.93E-22	1	0.00E+00	1	0.01E+00	Bayes
	1	0.99367	0.017436	9.53E-01	0.910478	0.009371	0.992721	2.30E-05	0.992792	2.24E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.632121	0.003448	3.95E-01	0.397936	6.05E-02	0.636898	2.29E-03	0.637655	2.31E-03	Bayes
	2	0.271237	0.001094	7.30E-02	0.149405	1.63E-02	0.275024	9.92E-04	0.275506	9.99E-04	Bayes
	2.5	0.121553	0.000448	1.47E-02	0.064474	3.55E-03	0.123041	2.27E-04	0.123279	2.29E-04	Bayes
	0.5	1	0.239059	0.579311	1	4.51E-24	1	0.00E+00	1	0.01E+00	Bayes
	1	0.99367	0.016931	9.54E-01	0.911626	0.00838	0.993117	1.36E-05	0.993163	1.34E-05	MLE
	1.5	0.632121	0.003363	3.95E-01	0.397866	5.90E-02	0.634376	1.51E-03	0.634883	1.53E-03	Bayes
	2	0.271237	0.001065	7.30E-02	0.149455	1.56E-02	0.273962	6.03E-04	0.274284	6.07E-04	Bayes
	2.5	0.121553	0.000437	1.47E-02	0.063641	3.51E-03	0.122698	1.46E-04	0.122857	1.48E-04	Bayes
	0.5	1	0.23606	0.583805	1	5.24E-25	1	0.00E+00	1	0.02E+00	Bayes
	1	0.99367	0.016666	9.55E-01	0.915379	0.007321	0.993307	9.21E-06	0.993341	9.12E-06	MLE
	1.5	0.632121	0.003319	3.95E-01	0.394854	5.92E-02	0.634312	1.20E-03	0.634692	1.22E-03	Bayes
	2	0.271237	0.001048	7.30E-02	0.147985	1.59E-02	0.272184	4.66E-04	0.272424	4.68E-04	Bayes
	2.5	0.121553	0.00043	1.47E-02	0.063234	3.52E-03	0.122481	1.08E-04	0.1226	1.09E-04	Bayes
	0.5	1	0.2337	0.587385	1	2.94E-23	1	0.00E+00	1	0.03E+00	Bayes
	1	0.99367	0.016497	9.55E-01	0.917001	0.006825	0.993434	6.58E-06	0.993461	6.51E-06	MLE
	1.5	0.632121	0.003271	3.95E-01	0.398861	5.68E-02	0.63344	9.07E-04	0.633745	9.08E-04	Bayes
	2	0.271237	0.001042	7.30E-02	0.147334	1.58E-02	0.271978	3.55E-04	0.27217	3.56E-04	Bayes
	2.5	0.121553	0.000425	1.47E-02	0.063133	3.50E-03	0.122636	8.59E-05	0.122732	8.65E-05	Bayes

Conclusion

The research consist on estimating scale parameter (θ) and reliability function by different fourth methods, we found the first best estimator in maximum likelihood of ordered observation, then the proposed Bayes at all different sample size, also at the set of initial values, the third best estimator is least square, while the best estimator of reliability function is $[\hat{R}_{MLEO}]$, since this method depend on ordered observation which is necessary in estimation.

References

- [1] Abd-Elfattah, A.M. and A.M. Omima, 2009. "Estimation of the Unknown Parameters of the Generalized Frechet Distribution", Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 5(10): 1398-1408.
- [2] Abd-Elfattah, A.M., Hala, A.Fergany, Omima, A.M, (2010)," Goodness of fit Tests for Generalized Frechet Distribution", Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4(2): 286-301.
- [3] Ahmed, AOM, Al – Kutubi H.S., Ibrahim N.A. (2010), " Comparison of the Bayesian & maximum likelihood estimation for Weibull distribution" Journal of mathematics and statistics, 6(2):100 – 104.
- [4] Harlow, D.G. (2002), "Applications of the Frechet distribution function", International Journal of Materials & Product Technology, 5(17):482 – 495.
- [5] Jeffreys H. (1946), " An invariant form for the prior probability in estimation problems", preceding of the Royal society of London, series A, 186: 453 - 461.
- [6] Kamran Abbas, Tang Yincui, (2012)," Comparison of Estimation Methods for Frechet Distribution with Known Shape", Caspian Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 1(10), pp. 58-64.
- [7] Maurya V.N., Maximum entropy approach for steady state analysis of bulk arrival retrial queuing MX/(G1,G2)/1 model with second phase optional service and Bernoulli vacation schedule American Journal of Operational Research, Scientific and Academic Publishing, USA, Vol. ٣, No. ١٠, ٢٠١٣, ISSN (Print): ٢٣٢٤-٦٥٣٧, ISSN (e): ٢٣٢٤-٦٥٤٥
- [8] Mike Turnbull and Dion Weatherley,(2006),"Validation of using Gumbel probability plotting to estimate Gutenberg-Richter seismicity parameters", Earthquake Engineering in Australia, Canberra 24-26.
- [9]M.M. Badr, and A.I. Shawky, (2014), " Mixture of Exponentiated Frechet Distribution ", Life Science Journal ,11(3).

- [10] Mohamed Mubarak, (2011)"MIXTURE OF TWO FRÉCHET DISTRIBUTIONS:PROPERTIES AND ESTIMATION", International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST), Vol. 3 No. 5.
- [11] Mubarak M (2011). Parameter estimation based on the Frechet progressive type II censored data with binomial removals. International Journal of Quality, Statistics and Reliability.
- [12] N. Vivekanandan, (2013), " Comparison of Parameter Estimation Procedures of Gumbel and Frechet Distributions for Modeling Annual Maximum Rainfall", Wyo Journal of Engineering & Technology Research Vol. 1(1), PP. 1-9 March, 2013.
- [13] Nadarajah S, Kotz S (2008). Sociological models based on Frechet random variables. Quality and Quantity, 42:89-95.
- [14] Shawky, A.I. and R.A. Bakoban, 2009. "Modified Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Exponentiated gamma Distribution with Unknown Shape Parameter", Interstate Electronic Journal.
- [15] Vishwa Nath Maurya, Diwinder Kaur Arora, Er. Avadhesh Kumar Maurya & Ram Asrey Gautam, (2013), " Exact Modelling and Analytical Study of Annual Maximum Rainfall with Gumbel and Frechet Distributions Using Parameter Estimation Techniques", World of Sciences Journal; 2013[02].
- [16] Vivekanandan N (2012). Prediction of seasonal and annual rainfall using order statistics approach of Gumbel and Frechet Distributions. British J. Eng. and Tech. 1(1):140-151.
- [17] Yarmohammadi M. and Paziar H. (2010), "Minimax Estimation of the parameter of the Burr type XII distribution", Australian journal of basic and applied sciences, 4(12):6611 – 6622.
- [18] Zouaoui Chikr el-Mezouar, (2010)," ESTIMATION THE SHAPE, LOCATION AND SCALE PARAMETERS OF THE WEIBULL DISTRIBUTION", RT&A # (04) (19)Vol.1.