مجلة
كلية التــراث الجامعة

مجلة علمية محكمة
متعددة التخصصات نصف سنوية
العدد الحادي و الأربعون
30 نيسان 2025
ISSN 2074-5621

رقم الايداع في دار الكتب والوثائق 719 لسنة 2011

مجلة كلية التراث الجامعة معترف بها من قبل وزارة التعليم العالي والبحث العلمي بكتابها المرقم (ب 3059/4) والمؤرخ في (4/7/ 2014)

The Possibilities, Difficulties, and Regulatory Consequences in Auto Driven cars and affecting of Artificial Intelligence on them

Ali Mohammed Al-Tameemi [1], Rashid Merzah Khudair [2], Hayder Mahmood Salman [3]

[1,2, and 3] Artificial Intelligence Science Department, Al-Turath University

***Abstract**: With the development of fully autonomous vehicles, artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to completely transform the automobile sector. Artificial intelligence (AI)-powered self-driving cars have the ability to completely change transportation as we know it, drastically expand mobility access, decrease traffic congestion, and improve road safety. But implementing AI in cars also brings with it difficult technological problems that need to be solved, difficult moral dilemmas, and new legal problems that legislators will have to deal with. This article examines the legal environment and policy implications surrounding self-driving automobiles, addresses the main obstacles and unsolved issues, and presents an outline of the primary advantages and potential of AI-driven autonomous vehicles. Although advances in AI have accelerated the development of autonomous vehicle technology, we contend that significant obstacles must to be addressed before completely autonomous vehicles can be implemented in a safe and widespread manner. To control the testing and use of autonomous vehicles, handle liability and insurance concerns, guarantee safety and security, and foster public confidence in the technology, policymakers will need to develop new legislation and standards. Autonomous vehicles have the potential to significantly improve society with the correct technological advancements and legislative decisions, but realizing this promise will require coordinated efforts from the government, business, and academic community.*

***Keywords**: robotics, deep learning, computer vision, autonomous vehicles, self-driving cars, regulation, policy, ethics, and safety.*

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) and its use in the creation of autonomous vehicles (AVs) have evolved dramatically in recent years. Autonomous vehicles are quickly acquiring the capacity to sense their surroundings, make judgments, and regulate their movement without human intervention, owing to advancements in fields like deep learning, robotic control, computer vision, and sensor fusion. By enhancing accessibility, efficiency, safety, and convenience, autonomous driving technology has the potential to completely transform the transportation industry. But it also brings with it difficult technological, moral, and legal issues that need to be resolved. There are many possible advantages of self-driving automobiles. AVs have the potential to significantly lower crash fatalities and injuries by removing human error, which contributes to over 90% of existing accidents. [1] By facilitating the use of alternative fuels and facilitating more effective routing and smoother traffic flow, autonomous cars may also help to cut emissions and traffic congestion. For people who are unable to drive themselves, such the old and crippled, AVs may improve mobility. In addition, the technology may enable new business models for mobility, alter land use and parking requirements, and promote automobile sharing [2]. To ensure the safety and dependability of self-driving technology, however, there is still considerable work

to be done. The task of autonomous driving is incredibly difficult since it involves having cars negotiate uneven roads and communicate with other agents, including pedestrians and other drivers. To precisely sense its surroundings in real time, anticipate other drivers' behaviors, make safe and sensible decisions, and carry out precision vehicle control, it depends on cutting-edge sensors and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies. Handling unfavorable weather and road conditions, reacting to intricate and uncommon "edge cases," making sure AI perception and decision-making systems are strong, and verifying system safety are some of the major unresolved issues [3]. There are important legal and regulatory concerns with the implementation of AI-based autonomous vehicles. For the AV era, the current frameworks controlling automobiles and transportation will need to be changed. Standards for AV safety validation and testing, liability and insurance policies, data security and privacy, regulations for human-machine interfaces, and maintenance and inspection procedures are important policy concerns that need to be addressed [4].
The management of infrastructure, land use, public transportation, licensing and training, and law enforcement will all be impacted by the shift to self-driving automobiles.
The ultimate acceptability of autonomous vehicles will depend on public acceptance and trust. A number of well-publicized AV mishaps have sparked questions about the technology's dependability and safety [5]. According to polls, most people are currently afraid to ride in self-driving automobiles [6]. The public needs to be persuaded that AVs are a safe and secure technology, that the necessary laws and accountability procedures are in place, and that the advantages of using AVs outweigh any dangers and inconveniences before they become widely accepted. This article's objective is to give a broad overview of the existing situation, major obstacles, and legal concerns related to the use of AI to driverless cars. Section 2 examines the fundamental AI technologies that underpin AVs as well as the possible advantages of the system. The main technological obstacles and unresolved issues that need to be resolved in order to enable the safe, widespread deployment of AV are covered in Section 3. The government's current efforts to address the major policy and regulatory concerns brought up by AVs are examined in Section 4. A summary and recommendations for the field are included in Section 5's conclusion.

2. Automated Driving with AI Technology

2.1 Fundamental Autonomous Driving Skills

Perception, prediction, planning, and control are the four main tasks that artificial intelligence (AI) does for autonomous cars [7]. In order to recognize and classify things in the vehicle's environment, such as roads, lanes, signs, traffic lights, vehicles, people, obstructions, etc., perception uses sensors and computer vision algorithms. In order to assist the AV in making decisions, prediction seeks to predict the probable future motions of objects that have been observed. Planning is deciding which vehicle actions and routes to take in light of the AV's perception and forecast. Control sends signals to the vehicle's actuators for steering, braking, and accelerating based on judgments made during the planning stage. AI is essential to each of these phases.

2.2 Crucial Supporting Technologies

Autonomous driving capabilities are made possible by a variety of AI technologies, such as:

● Deep learning: For several AV perception tasks, including object detection, semantic segmentation, and classification, deep neural networks (DNNs) have emerged as the industry standard [8]. While recurrent neural networks are capable of processing sequential input for prediction, convolutional neural networks are superior at visual recognition. Driving policies can be trained using reinforcement learning.

● Computer vision: To interpret raw sensor data from cameras, AVs mostly depend on computer vision algorithms. Pixels are converted into usable perceptual knowledge using methods such feature extraction, object detection, semantic segmentation, depth estimation, visual odometry, and sensor fusion [9].

● Robotics and control: To make decisions about how to drive and carry out vehicle motion, autonomous vehicles (AVs) use robotics and control theory techniques such path planning, obstacle avoidance, feedback control, and simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) [10].

●Simulation: A lot of gaming engines and photorealistic simulation environments are utilized to train and test A.V. systems. Through simulation, AI models may safely test uncommon or hazardous scenarios and learn from enormous amounts of synthesized data [11].

Table 1 lists some of the most important AI methods found in the main AV subsystems.

AV Subsystem	Example AI Techniques
Perception	- Convolutional neural networks for object detection and classification- Recurrent neural networks for temporal modeling- Semantic segmentation for scene understanding- Sensor fusion algorithms
Prediction	- Recurrent neural networks for trajectory prediction- Gaussian mixture models and hidden Markov models- Inverse reinforcement learning for behavior modeling
Planning	- Reinforcement learning for decision making- Imitation learning from human demonstrations- Probabilistic graphical models for reasoning- Optimization-based motion planning
Control	- Deep reinforcement learning for end-to-end control- Model predictive control and feedback linearization- Adaptive control and robust control methods

2.3 Degrees of Automation

Six degrees of driving automation have been established by the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), ranging from Level 0 (no automation) to Level 5 (complete automation) [12]:

●**Level 0 (No Automation)**: Every aspect of driving is the responsibility of the human driver.

●**Level 1 (Driver Assistance)**: While the car can help with certain tasks, such adaptive cruise control or lane keeping, most driving and situational awareness still falls under the purview of the human driver.

● **Level 2 (Partial Automation)**: In specific scenarios, including driving on a highway, the car can regulate both steering and acceleration/deceleration. The human driver is nevertheless required to keep a close eye on the surroundings and be prepared to take over at any moment.

● **Level 3 (Conditional Automation)**: In some scenarios, such traffic jams, the car is capable of managing every aspect of driving. Although the human driver can disengage, they still need to be prepared to step in when the system calls for it.

●**Level 4 (High Automation)**: In most circumstances, the car can manage every facet of driving without the need for human assistance. In certain extreme situations, the car might ask for human assistance (e.g. off-road driving).

● **Level 5 (Full Automation)**: The car is capable of managing every facet of driving in any circumstance without ever needing assistance from a person.

While numerous businesses are testing Level 4 vehicles in restricted environments, vehicles equipped with Level 1 and Level 2 driver aid capabilities are currently readily accessible. Due to the extreme complexity of managing every driving circumstance, experts predict that restricted Level 4 AVs (such as geofenced automated taxis) will arrive before Level 5 AVs [13]. As AVs become more capable of handling a larger percentage of driving responsibilities and technology advances, the shift from Level 2 to Level 4+ will probably happen gradually.

2.4 Capability for Technology

Even though the field is developing quickly, autonomous vehicle technology is still in its infancy. As of right now, people cannot purchase completely autonomous (Level 5) cars; instead, AV use is mostly restricted to testing by tech firms and automakers. The majority of AV testing takes place in settings that are somewhat restricted, like roads, cities, and geofenced zones.

The technological readiness level (TRL) of AV technology was evaluated in a 2021 RAND Corporation research across several operational design domains (ODDs) [14]. According to the study, autonomous vehicles (AVs) have a TRL of 6-7 (system/subsystem model or prototype demonstration) for driving on public roads during the day in fair weather. AVs are still at a TRL of 3-5 (analytical/laboratory studies to component validation) for more difficult ODDs, like as driving in cities at night or in bad weather.

Improving sensors and perception algorithms for full 360° awareness, growing world models and ontologies, hardening AI decision making for uncommon events and complex scenarios, establishing testing and validation protocols for safety assurance, and guaranteeing cyber security and resilience are some of the major obstacles still standing in the way of AV technological readiness. In Section 3, we go into further depth about these difficulties.

2.5 Advantages of Self-Driving Cars

The effective widespread use of AI-powered autonomous vehicles would have a positive impact on society. **Among the main potential benefits of AVs are:**

● **Safety**: AVs can significantly lower road accidents brought on by fatigue, impairment, and human error. According to NHTSA estimates, 1.35 million people die annually worldwide and 38,824 people perished in traffic crashes in the US in 2020 [15][16]. AVs may be able to cut crash mortality by up to 90%, according to studies [17][18].

●**Efficiency**: AVs may be able to ease traffic, lessen congestion, and use AI to optimize routing. Comparing autonomous vehicles (AVs) to human-driven cars, simulation studies have suggested that AVs could boost highway capacity by 50–80% [19]. AVs may potentially communicate with the infrastructure of smart cities and intelligent transit systems.

●**Accessibility**: People who are unable to drive, such as the elderly, disabled, and young people, may be able to move around in autonomous vehicles. For these populations, this might significantly increase access to social activities, employment opportunities, and healthcare. 53 million Americans have a disability of some kind, and 49.2 million Americans over 65 have one [20][21].

●**Convenience**: By eliminating the need to drive and look for parking, AVs may save time. In the US alone, traffic congestion is thought to cause 6.9 billion hours of lost time per year [22]. Self-driving cars might make it possible for passengers to mingle, work, or unwind while on the road.

● **Safety**: The NHTSA [23] reports that human error accounts for 94% of significant collisions. Many of the mishaps brought on by human error, exhaustion, or impairment might be avoided with the employment of AVs.

●**New business models**: Automated mobility-on-demand and car sharing are two examples of the new transportation businesses that AVs may facilitate. This could lower the number of people who own their own cars and free up parking lot space [24]. Additionally, AVs may encourage the creation of new applications like last-mile delivery and automated logistics.

● **Environmental quality**: Since most AVs are anticipated to be electric, there will be fewer direct emissions. Even with increased power needs, their efficiency could still lower net emissions. Furthermore, AVs' improved traffic flow reduces unnecessary braking and acceleration. According to one study, AVs may reduce 15%–40% less fuel is used than in traditional cars [25].

The main anticipated advantages of auto car driven are compiled at scale in Table 2.

Benefit Area	Potential Impacts
Safety	- 90% reduction in crash fatalities- Elimination of accidents due to human error, impairment, distraction
Efficiency	- 50-80% increase in highway capacity- Smoothed traffic flow and reduced congestion- Optimized routing and traffic management
Accessibility	- Expanded mobility for elderly, disabled, and underserved- Potential for lower-cost transportation options
Convenience	- Productive or relaxing time in vehicle vs. driving- Reduced parking hassles and costs
Environment	- Reduced emissions from efficiency and electrification- Enabler for shared mobility and reduced vehicle ownership
Land Use	- Reclaimed parking spaces for other uses- Altered urban development patterns

3. Difficulties for AI-Powered Autonomous Vehicles

3.1 Summary of the Main Difficulties

Despite the quick advancement of autonomous driving technology, there are still many obstacles to be solved before AVs can be used safely in the majority of real-world scenarios. Important concerns consist of:

● **Safe management of edge cases**: autonomous vehicles (AVs) need to be capable of managing the "long tail" of uncommon and complicated scenarios that may occur when driving, like construction sites, collisions, severe weather, and erratic behavior from other drivers. Present AI systems face difficulties handling these edge circumstances [26].

●**Robustness and reliability**: In order to handle component failures and unfavorable circumstances, AV systems need to be incredibly robust and reliable, with fail-safes and redundancies. When driving, even small mistakes in perception or decision-making can have disastrous results. It is difficult to establish strong trust in the robustness of AV.

● **Generalization and adaptability**: AVs are typically tested and trained in a restricted range of settings and environments. On the other hand, they need to be able to adjust to shifting and erratic conditions while driving and successfully generalize to new environments.

●**Testing and validation difficulty**: Because catastrophic accidents are infrequent, proving that an AV system is safe is quite difficult. It might take billions of kilometers of testing to statistically confirm AV safety with high confidence [27]. In addition, it is challenging to thoroughly test and validate AI systems because to their complexity.

Interaction with human-driven cars: Over a protracted transition phase, autonomous vehicles (AVs) must be able to safely communicate and work in tandem with human-driven vehicles. Human behavior is frequently erratic and occasionally deviates from the law. It might be difficult to read the subtle clues and unwritten laws of the road.

● **Social and ethical considerations**: AVs will unavoidably encounter moral conundrums, such as deciding between two undesirable outcomes in an accident that cannot be prevented. It is difficult to encode societal standards and human values into driving. AVs need to be seen favorably by pedestrians and other human drivers.

● **Fleet management and maintenance**: Remote monitoring, dispatching, maintenance, and quick problem-solving are necessary for overseeing sizable fleets of AVs. AVs are intricate systems that need to be maintained properly to guarantee safe operation.

●**Cyber security**: AVs are vulnerable to cyberattacks that might give hackers access to operate or modify the sensors on the vehicles, which could have fatal results. Safety requires protecting AV systems from tampering and penetration [28]. Below, we go over a few of these issues in more detail.

3.2 Sturdiness of Perception

For autonomous vehicles (AVs), robust perception is essential. To enable safe decision-making, the vehicle must be able to detect and comprehend its surroundings with accuracy under a variety of conditions. Computer vision techniques used to cameras, lidar, and radar play a major role in AV perception. For numerous perceptual tasks, including object detection, semantic segmentation, depth estimation, and sensor fusion, deep learning has emerged as the industry standard method.

Deep neural networks are not as resilient as human perception, despite their remarkable accuracy on perception tests. They struggle to handle out-of-distribution data and can fail catastrophically in response to input perturbations that are unnoticeable to humans, such as adversarial instances [29]. It's also challenging to thoroughly test and validate them due to their intricate nonlinear structure.

A significant unmet problem is ensuring and validating deep learning perception systems' resilience to the entire gamut of environmental circumstances that autonomous vehicles will face. Reliability of perception is required under challenging weather, lighting, and road conditions, as well as in noisy and unreliable sensors and unfamiliar or unclear situations. Unusual configurations and rare kinds of objects are frequently absent from training data.

Many methods, including creating difficult and hostile test cases, physically-based picture augmentations, redundant and diverse network designs, and simulated domain randomization, are being investigated to increase DNN robustness [30]. Nevertheless, further research is required to enable interpretability, probabilistic forecasts, quantitative confidence estimates, and graceful deterioration in AV perception systems.

3.3 Conduct Scheduling and Choice Making

Another significant issue facing AVs is behavior planning and decision making. To navigate safely and effectively, the AV must choose suitable high-level actions (such lane changes, turns, and yields) based on its perception of the scene and make decisions in real-time. Nonetheless, there is a wide range of options when driving, and situations frequently entail complicated interactions between several agents. Making the wrong option might have catastrophic effects.

Real-world driving scenarios are highly complicated, and this presents a challenge for rule-based and model-based approaches. Lately, end-to-end driving policy training has showed potential with learning-based methods utilizing imitation learning (IL) and deep reinforcement learning (DRL) [31]. Nonetheless, the sample inefficiency of DRL and the distributional shift problems of IL continue to be obstacles to practical implementation.

A crucial concern is guaranteeing the security of intricate learned policies. A "safe" behavioral area is hard to define precisely, as learned tactics might lead to harmful actions by taking advantage of training gaps. The goal of shielded learning, safety envelopes, and constrained optimization approaches is to limit the policy space to safe behaviors [32]. However, a learnt policy might not account for all possible real-world events.

Interaction and coordination with human drivers present another difficulty because they frequently exhibit locally irrational but socially acceptable behavior and may respond un-predictability to autonomous vehicles. Game theory, cognitive science, and multi-agent reinforcement learning techniques could be applied to develop safer interaction regulations. But it's very hard to analyze and anticipate human behavior in all its complexity.
Transparency, accountability, and debugging also depend on the interpretability and Explainability of AV decision making. Deep learning rules are mainly opaque, which makes it challenging to comprehend the thinking behind the choices they make. Research is currently being done on methods to translate policies into human-readable rules, show activations, and explain essential elements [33].

3.4 Safety Checks and Validations

One of the biggest challenges is proving that an autonomous car is safe enough to be used widely. Since human drivers have incidents at a very low frequency, billions of real-world miles of testing would be necessary to statistically and confidently confirm an autonomous vehicle's safety [27]. Although simulation can speed up testing, its application is limited by the challenge of accurately simulating the entire range and complexity of real-world circumstances.
The objective of formal verification techniques is to demonstrate mathematically that an AV system meets predetermined safety requirements. However, thorough formal specification and verification are quite difficult due to the complexity of deep learning components. Often, abstract system models or more straightforward subcomponents are the focus of practical formal techniques. Validating safety in the real world probably calls for a mix of modeling, testing, and some formal analysis. It's still difficult to define acceptance criteria and uniform testing for AVs. Although the NHTSA has published draft frameworks and guidelines for AV safety assurance, particular procedures and measurements are still required [34].
Alternative paradigms have been offered by some academics, such as "blame-worthy" AI systems that can explain and take responsibility for their mistakes in order to gain public trust [35] and "safety by design" architectures that seek to limit the AV system to a known-safe behavioral envelope [32]. These methods haven't, however, been thoroughly tested in real-world scenarios. AVs need to be verified for security, privacy, maintainability, and other quality features in addition to just being safe. Another major problem is proving that AVs will act in a way that is morally and socially acceptable. In the end, it will be crucial to build public trust in AV safety and advantages by a mix of stringent engineering, testing, supervision, accountability, and openness.

3.5 Linkages and Infrastructure

Sophisticated infrastructure and connection will need to be heavily invested in before autonomous vehicles can be widely used. High-definition maps are essential for autonomous vehicles (AVs), and smart roads with sensors, signage, and communication capabilities will be advantageous. Autonomous vehicles (AVs) will be able to share data with infrastructure and with each other through cellular 5G and vehicle-to-everything (V2X) communication, facilitating cooperative sensing and movement.
The capital expenses of modernizing infrastructure, settling disputes over communication standards and protocols, guaranteeing coverage and dependability, and handling the massive volumes of data produced are some of the difficulties in this field. Vehicle-to-vehicle communications need to be verified to avoid

spoofing and manipulation, and the privacy and security of these interactions is a significant problem as well. AVs have the potential to become platforms for surveillance.

A summary of the main obstacles in the various AV development domains is shown in Table 3.

Challenge Area	Key Issues
Perception	- Robustness to adverse conditions (weather, lighting, sensor noise)- Graceful degradation and uncertainty estimation- Handling rare and novel object types and scenes
Decision making	- Driving policy learning and safety assurance- Interaction and coordination with human-driven vehicles- Interpretability and explain ability of decisions
Validation	- Astronomical testing requirements for statistical proof of safety- Infeasibility of formal verification for learning-based components- Defining standardized testing and acceptance criteria
Cyber security	- Vulnerability to remote hacking and adversarial attacks- Securing internal networks and external V2X communications- Over-the-air software update and configuration management
Infrastructure	- Capital-intensive deployment of smart roadways and connectivity- Standardization of V2X communication protocols and data formats- Mapping and localization in GPS-denied environments

4. Matters of Regulation and Policy

4.1 The Requirement for AV Rules

The Artificial intelligence in autonomous vehicles has brought about a dramatic technological revolution that has given rise to a number of new legislative and regulatory challenges. Autonomous vehicles (AVs) are not well adapted for the regulations and supervision systems that currently regulate human-driven cars. In domains like safety validation, accountability, data protection, human-machine interaction, and more, fundamentally new frameworks and standards are required.

Strong regulations must guarantee the safe development, testing, and implementation of AVs, safeguard individual rights and the public good, encourage competition and innovation, and foster public confidence in the technology. A major difficulty is striking the correct balance between promoting innovation, safeguarding the public, and avoiding overregulation.

The current state of AV regulations is dispersed and changing quickly. In the United States, autonomous vehicle operations are governed by a patchwork of state-level regulations, with the federal government adopting a mostly non-regulatory approach centered on voluntary guidance thus far. Governments around the world are also working hard to create AV standards and regulations, although their strategies differ greatly. Unifying AV regulations between legal systems will be crucial to facilitating widespread implementation.

The main problems and regulations pertaining to AVs are covered in the section below. Generally speaking, regulators are still debating how best to supervise the safe advancement and application of an unproven, revolutionary, and quickly developing technology that has enormous upside potential but also hazards and unclear effects.

4.2 Security and Examination

The main regulatory difficulty is ensuring the safety of autonomous vehicles. It is necessary to prove that autonomous vehicles (AVs) are safe enough to be used on public roads; yet, there are currently no standards, metrics, or procedures for doing so. Regulators are having difficulty establishing evidence-based testing methodologies and approval criteria for autonomous vehicles (AVs) as well as validating the safety of sophisticated AI systems.

Although the US has voluntary guidelines on AV safety published by the NHTSA, no legally binding federal regulations exist. While some states have established testing permit procedures, there are differences in safety standards and supervision methods. Although it will take time, the NHTSA has stated that it eventually hopes to develop legally binding guidelines and a safety framework. Companies are mostly self-certifying the security of their AV development in the meantime checkup.

Governments around the world are starting to impose testing criteria and specific design principles, as well as developing safety validation frameworks. For instance, China demands remote monitoring capabilities for autonomous vehicles, while Singapore requires them to pass a safety examination prior to on-road testing. An AV safety evaluation system based on audit, simulation, and real-world testing has been proposed by the EU. Additionally, the UN is attempting to expand automotive laws to include AV performance, security, and safety.

The definition and measurement of AV safety in relation to human driving, the appropriate combination of simulation, closed-course, and on-road testing, the degree of transparency and data sharing to be mandated, and how to validate AVs for operation in their intended domains are some of the major unanswered questions in AV safety regulation. It will be crucial to have adaptable regulatory frameworks that can keep safety standards strict while keeping up with the quick development of AV technology.

4.3 Accountability and Protection

The transition to autonomous vehicles will upend liability and auto insurance policies that rely on driver error. Who is responsible for an antivirus software crash: the program vendor, the carmaker, the operator, or another party? When control is shared by a machine and a person, how will liability be assessed and allocated? What information and proof will be needed to determine fault? How will AVs be handled by insurance prices and products?

As of right now, AV liability and insurance are not particularly governed by any federal laws in the US. These concerns have been addressed by several states in their AV testing regulations, for example, by making operators to assume liability or imposing certain insurance requirements [36]. Congress debated and ultimately decided not to enact the SELF DRIVE Act, federal legislation that offered liability protection for AV producers. As AV deployment increases, more extensive federal liability regulations will probably be required.

Managing risk concentration with fleet ownership, potential need for no-fault insurance systems, handling culpability mismatches between AV and human driving (because AVs won't have a human driver to blame), effects on insurance costs, and more are other important concerns. New models are being intensively investigated by insurers for AV underwriting and claims.

4.4 Accreditation and Permits

Updates to driver licensing and vehicle certification procedures will be necessary for AVs. AVs are currently not covered by US DOT certification of vehicles under FMVSS, nor are they subject to state licensing requirements for human drivers. It will be necessary to adopt new strategies in order to approve the use of AV systems and components and ensure their safety. Important issues include whether to develop an FMVSS specifically for AVs, how to manage the quick speed at which AV software updates and evolves, and how to strike a balance between government approval and self-certification.

AVs will someday eliminate the necessity for human drivers to obtain a license. Regulators must specify the licensing and training needs for safety drivers and AV test operators in the interim, though. The US states have thus far adopted different strategies; some have accepted carmaker training programs, while others have required further road testing and certification. It will also be necessary to take into account the human-machine interface and remote AV operator oversight.

4.5 Justice and Morality

Regulation of autonomous vehicles will undoubtedly encounter moral conundrums and value-laden decisions. The traditional "trolley problem" involves an autonomous vehicle (AV) having to choose between two possible courses of action that both cause harm. For instance, it could have to veer and kill the vehicle's occupant or stay on track and hit multiple pedestrians. Much discussion has been sparked by these moral conundrums over how to include moral principles and human values into AV decision-making. The majority of ethicists concur that morality cannot be solved easily or universally and that AVs' ethical code must be clear and embraced by the public. Germany has established 20 ethical guidelines for autonomous vehicles, including treating all people equally in situations where accidents are unavoidable. The EU is also looking into ways to make AV behavior more ethically compliant with humans. Authorities will have to choose between requiring automakers to adhere to specific moral guidelines or letting them make their own decisions.

Another important factor to think about is the effects on society and fair access to AVs. If AVs are made widely accessible and reasonably priced, they have the potential to lower mobility costs and increase access to transportation for underserved populations. AVs might, however, exacerbate transportation disparities if they are only available to the wealthy. It's also necessary to handle the effects on jobs, public transportation, urban growth, and other areas. It will be crucial to have proactive laws to guarantee that AVs benefit society as a whole.

4.6 Security & privacy

Significant privacy concerns will arise from the massive volumes of data that AVs will collect about the whereabouts and actions of travelers. To control the collection, sharing, and use of AV data, robust data rights and protections must be established. A model framework mandating user permission, data minimization, and other safeguards is provided by the EU's GDPR.

In the US, the SELF DRIVE Act would have created fundamental government privacy rules for AV data. In addition, states are thinking about model laws, and the NHTSA is evaluating strategies. Automakers have created standards for voluntary privacy. Transparency regarding data practices, user control and consent, data sharing and sales, secondary uses, and data retention are important concerns in privacy regulation.

Security from malware is yet another important regulatory concern. AVs are intricate software-driven systems that are susceptible to cyber-attacks and hacking. Vehicles could be remotely controlled, crashed, or used for terrorist purposes if AVs are attacked. Robust security laws, guidelines, and supervision will be crucial. Congress has debated legislation requiring automakers to have cyber security strategies, and the NHTSA has released best practices for car cyber security [37]. Regulators need to strike a careful balance between privacy, security, and other factors.

4.7 State Regulation vs. Federal

One of the main concerns in the US is how the federal and state governments are responsible for different aspects of AV regulation. Traditionally, state governments have jurisdiction over driver licensing, insurance, and local traffic rules, while the federal government regulates vehicle safety and performance. AVs make this distinction hazier, so a uniform federal framework with room for state policy flexibility will eventually be needed.

So far, NHTSA has issued voluntary federal guidance on AV safety, but regulation has been largely left to states. Over 40 states have enacted AV legislation or executive orders, resulting in an inconsistent patchwork of rules. The SELF DRIVE Act aimed to clarify federal and state roles, but did not advance in Congress. Resolving this issue and harmonizing regulations across states will be important for wide scale AV deployment.

Strong government safety regulations and control, according to some, are necessary to guarantee uniformity and public trust in AVs. Some advocate for preserving the state's freedom to try out various strategies and adjust to local requirements. Possible frameworks involve the federal government setting minimum safety and performance standards, while the states maintain control over infrastructure, insurance, licensing, and traffic regulations. It will be crucial for states and the federal government to continue working together on AV policy.

The primary regulatory concerns and difficulties for AVs are outlined in Table 4.

Issue Area	Key Challenges
Safety	- Defining validation methods, metrics, and thresholds for AV safety- Balancing safety rigor with innovation and development pace- Adapting regulations to rapidly advancing technology
Liability	- Determining and apportioning responsibility in crashes (operator, owner, OEM, etc.)- Adapting insurance models and products for AVs- Handling liability mismatches between human-driven and autonomous vehicles
Licensing	- Defining training and certification requirements for AV operators- Determining role and oversight of remote supervisors- Harmonizing state-level licensing frameworks
Ethics	- Encoding societal values and priorities in AV decision making- Preventing inequitable distribution of risks and benefits- Ensuring transparency and public input in policy choices
Privacy	- Protecting personal travel data collected by AVs- Regulating secondary uses and sharing of AV data- Balancing privacy with needs for data access (safety, insurance, law enforcement)
Security	- Mandating cyber security standards and best practices for AVs- Monitoring and responding to evolving cyber threats- Oversight of AV maintenance and configuration management

5. Conclusion & Suggestions

The Artificial intelligence-enabled autonomous cars have the potential to revolutionize transportation by lowering pollutants, traffic, and accident rates while increasing accessibility and efficiency. Industry and university are making remarkable technological strides toward bringing self-driving cars to market. To build extremely durable, dependable, and broadly applicable autonomous vehicles (AV) that can manage the entire intricacy of driving in the actual world, this research has demonstrated that there are still important technical obstacles that need to be overcome. Perception, judgment, interaction, security, validation, infrastructure, and other areas are among the many open issues.

A variety of new regulatory issues pertaining to safety, responsibility, ethics, equity, privacy, and liability are also being brought about by AVs. Comprehensive policy frameworks that guarantee the safe development and application of AVs while fostering innovation and safeguarding the public interest will require a great deal of work.

We offer the following recommendations for the field based on the study presented in this research:

● To bring basic AV capabilities—such as perception, prediction, behavior planning, and control—up to the robustness and reliability needed for safe, scalable autonomy, more research and development work is needed. It still takes basic research and ground-breaking inventions.

●It is necessary to establish new approaches, metrics, tools, and best practices for testing, evaluating, and ensuring the safety of autonomous vehicles. To hasten development and gather proof of safety, limited public pilot programs, closed-course testing, and simulation should be used.
●Ensuring the safety of autonomous vehicles requires the development of robust regulatory frameworks. Clarity would come from a unified federal strategy with distinct duties for the federal and state governments. Safety validation, car certification, driver license, insurance, data privacy, and cyber security all require AV-specific requirements.
● Ensure safety and responsible development of technology, industry, academia, and government must work together consistently to make quick progress. Developments will be accelerated by data sharing, coordinated policies, and smart public-private partnerships.
● Guarantee fair access and advantages, proactive measures should be taken to comprehend and influence the social effects of AVs. Policies may be required to lessen any negative effects and ease the transition for displaced workers.
Within the next ten years, autonomous vehicles may become a safe, sustainable, and widely available reality with concentrated efforts to address the remaining technological and legislative obstacles, ushering in a new era of transportation. It will involve persistently creative research, careful policymaking, and collaboration amongst several stakeholders to realize this promise. The effects on the economy, society, and mobility would be revolutionary. It is now necessary to take coordinated action in order to realize this objective.

6. الملخص باللغة العربية:

مع تطور المركبات ذاتية القيادة بالكامل، أصبح الذكاء الاصطناعي لديه القدرة على تحويل قطاع السيارات بالكامل. تتمتع السيارات ذاتية القيادة التي تعمل بالذكاء الاصطناعي بالقدرة على تغيير النقل تمامًا كما نعرفه، وتوسيع نطاق الوصول إلى التنقل بشكل كبير، وتقليل الازدحام المروري، وتحسين السلامة على الطرق. لكن تنفيذ الذكاء الاصطناعي في السيارات يجلب معه أيضًا مشاكل تكنولوجية صعبة تحتاج إلى حل، ومعضلات أخلاقية صعبة، ومشاكل قانونية جديدة سيتعين على المشرعين التعامل معها. تدرس هذه المقالة البيئة القانونية والآثار السياسية المحيطة بالسيارات ذاتية القيادة، وتتناول العقبات الرئيسية والقضايا التي لم يتم حلها، وتقدم مخططًا للمزايا الأساسية وإمكانات المركبات ذاتية القيادة التي يقودها الذكاء الاصطناعي. على الرغم من أن التقدم في الذكاء الاصطناعي قد عجل بتطوير تكنولوجيا المركبات ذاتية القيادة، فإننا نؤكد أنه يجب معالجة عقبات كبيرة قبل أن يتم تنفيذ المركبات ذاتية القيادة تمامًا بطريقة آمنة وواسعة النطاق. للسيطرة على اختبار واستخدام المركبات ذاتية القيادة، والتعامل مع مخاوف المسؤولية والتأمين، وضمان السلامة والأمن، وتعزيز ثقة الجمهور في التكنولوجيا، سيحتاج صناع السياسات إلى وضع تشريعات ومعايير جديدة. ولتحقيق هذا يتطلب جهودًا بين الجهات المعنية.

7. References

[1] NHTSA, "*Automated Vehicles for Safety*", https://www.nhtsa.gov/technology-innovation/automated-vehicles-safety.
[2] Litman, T. (2021), "*Autonomous Vehicle Implementation Predictions*", Victoria Transport Policy Institute, https://www.vtpi.org/avip.pdf.
[3] Yurtsever, E., et al. (2020). "*A Survey of Autonomous Driving: Common Practices and Emerging Technologies*", IEEE Access, 8, 58443-58469.
[4] Anderson, J. M., et al. (2016), "*Autonomous Vehicle Technology: A Guide for Policymakers*", RAND Corporation, https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR443-2.html .
[5] NTSB (2019), "*Collision Between Vehicle Controlled by Developmental Automated Driving System and Pedestrian*", Accident Report NTSB/HAR-19/03, https://www.ntsb.gov/investigations/AccidentReports/Reports/HAR1903.pdf

[6] Pew Research Center (2020), "*Public Perceptions of Autonomous Vehicles*", https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2020/05/14/public-perceptions-of-autonomous-vehicles/ .
[7] Shalev-Shwartz, S., Shammah, S., & Shashua, A. (2017). "*On a formal model of safe and scalable self-driving cars*", arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.06374.
[8] Rao, Q., & Frtunikj, J. (2018). "*Deep learning for self-driving cars: Chances and challenges*", In 2018 IEEE/ACM 1st International Workshop on Software Engineering for AI in Autonomous Systems (SEFAIAS), pp. 35-38.
[9] Zhao, H., et al. (2019). "*Multi-agent deep reinforcement learning for large-scale traffic signal control*", IEEE transactions on intelligent transportation systems, 21(3), 1086-1095.
[10] Kuutti, S., et al. (2020). "*A survey of deep learning applications to autonomous vehicle control*", IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems.
[11] Dosovitskiy, A., et al. (2017). "*CARLA: An open urban driving simulator*", In Conference on robot learning, pp. 1-16.
[12] SAE International (2018), "*Taxonomy and Definitions for Terms Related to Driving Automation Systems for On-Road Motor Vehicles*", Standard J3016_201806, https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j3016_201806/
[13] Koopman, P., & Wagner, M. (2017). "*Autonomous vehicle safety: An interdisciplinary challenge*", IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine, 9(1), 90-96.
[14] Fraade-Blanar, L., et al. (2021), "*Autonomous Vehicles and the Future of Auto Insurance*", RAND Corporation, https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA878-1.html
[15] NHTSA (2022), "*Early Estimate of Motor Vehicle Traffic Fatalities in 2020*", https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/813118
[16] WHO (2021), "*Road traffic injuries*", https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/road-traffic-injuries
[17] Fagnant, D. J., & Kockelman, K. (2015). "*Preparing a nation for autonomous vehicles: Opportunities, barriers and policy recommendations*", Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 77, 167-181.
[18] Morando, M. M., et al. (2018). "*Studying the safety impact of autonomous vehicles using simulation-based surrogate safety measures*", Journal of Advanced Transportation.
[19] Friedrich, B. (2016). "*The effect of autonomous vehicles on traffic*", In Autonomous Driving, pp. 317-334, Springer.
[20] US Census Bureau, "*Older Population and Aging*", https://www.census.gov/topics/population/older-aging.html
[21] CDC (2018), "*Disability Impacts All of Us*", https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/infographic-disability-impacts-all.html
[22] Schrank, D., et al. (2019), "*2019 Urban Mobility Report*", Texas A&M Transportation Institute, https://static.tti.tamu.edu/tti.tamu.edu/documents/mobility-report-2019.pdf
[23] Singh, S. (2018), "*Critical reasons for crashes investigated in the National Motor Vehicle Crash Causation Survey*", National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT HS 812 506.
[24] Fagnant, D. J., & Kockelman, K. M. (2014). "*The travel and environmental implications of shared autonomous vehicles, using agent-based model scenarios*", Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 40, 1-13.
[25] Vahidi, A., & Sciarretta, A. (2018). "*Energy saving potentials of connected and automated vehicles*", Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 95, 822-843.
[26] Koopman, P., & Wagner, M. (2016). "*Challenges in autonomous vehicle testing and validation*", SAE International Journal of Transportation Safety, 4(1), 15-24.

[27] Kalra, N., & Paddock, S. M. (2016). "*Driving to safety: How many miles of driving would it take to demonstrate autonomous vehicle reliability?*", Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 94, 182-193.

[28] Petit, J., & Shladover, S. E. (2014). "*Potential cyberattacks on automated vehicles*", IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 16(2), 546-556.

[29] Eykholt, K., et al. (2018). "*Robust physical-world attacks on deep learning visual classification*", In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 1625-1634.

[30] Filos, A., et al. (2020). "*Can autonomous vehicles identify, recover from, and adapt to distribution shifts?*", In International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 3145-3153.

[31] Sallab, A. E., et al. (2017). "*Deep reinforcement learning framework for autonomous driving*", Electronic Imaging, 2017(19), 70-76.

[32] Shalev-Shwartz, S., et al. (2017). "S*afe, multi-agent, reinforcement learning for autonomous driving*", arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03295.

[33] Kim, J., et al. (2018). "*Interpretable learning for self-driving cars by visualizing causal attention*", In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp. 2942-2950.

[34] NHTSA (2020), "*Framework for Automated Driving System Safety*", https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.gov/files/documents/ads_safety_framework_-_081120_final.pdf

[35] Danaher, J. (2019). "*Automation and utopia: Human flourishing in a world without work*", Harvard University Press.

[36] NCSL (2022), "*Autonomous Vehicles | Self-Driving Vehicles Enacted Legislation*", https://www.ncsl.org/research/transportation/autonomous-vehicles-self-driving-vehicles-enacted-legislation.aspx

[37] NHTSA (2021), "*NHTSA Cybersecurity Best Practices for Modern Vehicles*", https://www.nhtsa.gov/document/nhtsa-cybersecurity-best-practices-modern-vehicles